# A NEW CHARACTERIZATION OF THE FIBONACCI WORD

V. BERTHÉ, S. BRLEK, AND P. CHOQUETTE

ABSTRACT. Using the run-length encoding  $\Delta$ , the Fibonacci infinite word F possesses a natural representation obtained from the iterations of  $\Delta$ . This representation is the eventually periodic word  $112(13)^{\omega}$  which can be extended to the shifted Fibonacci words.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\varphi: \{1,2\}^* \longrightarrow \{1,2\}^*$  be the morphism defined by

$$\varphi(1) = 12 \quad ; \quad \varphi(2) = 1,$$

and let  $F_n = \varphi^n(1)$  be the *n*-th iterate, also called the *n*-th Fibonacci word. We then have

$$\begin{array}{rcl} F_{0} & = & 1, \\ F_{1} & = & 12, \\ F_{2} & = & 121, \\ F_{3} & = & 12112, \\ F_{4} & = & 12112121 \end{array}$$

and the infinite Fibonacci word F is obtained as the fixed point of  $\varphi$ , that is

The combinatorial and arithmetic properties of F have been widely studied and it has a dominant role in the theory of Sturmian words.

The run-length encoding  $\Delta$  is used in many applications as a method for compressing data. For instance, the first step in the algorithm used for compressing the data transmitted by Fax machines consists of a run-length encoding of each line of pixels. It also has been used for the enumeration of factors in the Thue-Morse sequence [2]. It is defined as follows. Let  $\Sigma = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_k\}$  be a finite alphabet. Then every word  $w \in \Sigma^*$  can be uniquely written as a product of factors as follows

$$v = \alpha_{m_1}^{e_1} \alpha_{m_2}^{e_2} \alpha_{m_3}^{e_3} \cdots$$

where  $\alpha_{m_j} \in \Sigma$  and the exponents  $e_j \ge 0$ . Hence the coding is realized by a function

$$\Delta, \tau) : \Sigma^* \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}^* \times \Sigma^*$$

where the first component is the function  $\Delta$  :  $\Sigma^* \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}^*$ , defined by

$$\Delta(w) = e_1 e_2 e_3 \dots = \prod_{j \ge 0} e_j,$$

with the support of NSERC (Canada).

and the second component is the function  $\tau: \Sigma^* \longrightarrow \Sigma^*$  induced by the congruence  $\equiv$  defined by

$$\alpha^2 \equiv \alpha, \ \forall \alpha \in \Sigma.$$

Note that the alphabet  $\Sigma$  may be identified with a subset of  $\mathbb{N}$  and we shall denote  $\mathbf{k} = \{1, 2, \ldots, k\} \subset \mathbb{N}$  for a fixed integer k. The operator  $\Delta$  can be iterated, provided the process is stopped when the resulting word has length 1, and can also be extended to infinite words. For instance we have

 $\begin{array}{rcl} \Delta^0(F) &=& 121121211211212121212\\ \Delta^1(F) &=& 1121112121112111\\ \Delta^2(F) &=& 213111313\cdots,\\ \Delta^3(F) &=& 1113111\cdots,\\ \Delta^4(F) &=& 313\cdots. \end{array}$ 

It is not difficult to see that the process can be reversed:  $\Delta^i(F)$  may be retrieved from  $\Delta^{i+1}(F)$  with the knowledge of  $\tau(\Delta^i(F))$ . It turns out that in the case of the Fibonacci word F, not only the alphabet is bounded but also  $\tau(\Delta^i(F))$  is eventually periodic. Therefore F is completely determined by the characteristic sequence

$$\Phi(F) = (\Delta^{i}(F)[0])_{i=0..\infty} = 112(13)^{\omega}.$$

We also show that the shifted sequences of F also share this property.

#### 2. Definitions and notation

A word over a finite alphabet of letters  $\Sigma$  is a finite sequence of letters

$$w: [0, n-1] \longrightarrow \Sigma, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

of length n, and w[i] or  $w_i$  denotes its letter of index i. The set of n-length words over  $\Sigma$  is denoted  $\Sigma^n$ . By convention the *empty* word is denoted  $\varepsilon$  and its length is 0. The free monoid generated by  $\Sigma$  is defined by  $\Sigma^* = \bigcup_{n\geq 0} \Sigma^n$ . The set of right infinite words is denoted by  $\Sigma^{\omega}$  and  $\Sigma^{\infty} = \Sigma^* \cup \Sigma^{\omega}$ . Adopting a consistent notation for sequences of integers,  $\mathbb{N}^* = \bigcup_{n\geq 0} \mathbb{N}^n$  is the set of finite sequences and  $\mathbb{N}^{\omega}$  is those of infinite ones. Given a word  $w \in \Sigma^*$ , a factor f of w is a word  $f \in \Sigma^*$ satisfying

$$\exists x, y \in \Sigma^*, w = xfy.$$

If  $x = \varepsilon$  (resp.  $y = \varepsilon$ ) then f is called *prefix* (resp. *suffix*). The set of all factors of w, called the *language* of w, is denoted by L(w), and those of length n is  $L_n(w) = L(w) \cap \Sigma^n$ . Finally  $\operatorname{Pref}(w)$ ,  $\operatorname{Suff}(w)$  denote respectively the set of all prefixes and suffixes of w. The length of a word w is |w|, and the number of occurrences of a factor  $f \in \Sigma^*$  is  $|w|_f$ . A *block* of length k is a factor of the particular form  $f = \alpha^k$ , with  $\alpha \in \Sigma$ . If w = pu, and |w| = n, |p| = k, then  $p^{-1}w = w[k] \cdots w[n-1] = u$  is the word obtained by erasing p. As a special case, when |p| = 1 we obtain the *shift* function defined by  $s(w) = w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}$ . Clearly the shift extends to right infinite words.

The *reversal* (or mirror image)  $\tilde{u}$  of  $u = u_0 u_1 \cdots u_{n-1} \in \Sigma^n$  is the unique word satisfying

$$\widetilde{u_i} = u_{n-1-i}, \ \forall \ i, 0 \le i \le n-1.$$

A palindrome is a word p such that  $p = \tilde{p}$ , and for a language L,  $\operatorname{Pal}(L)$  denotes the set of its palindromic finite factors. Over any finite alphabet  $\Sigma = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_k\},\$ 

there is a usual length preserving morphism, defined for every permutation  $\rho$ :  $\Sigma \longrightarrow \Sigma$  of the letters, which extends to words by composition

$$[0, n-1] \xrightarrow{u} \Sigma \xrightarrow{\rho} \Sigma$$
,

defined by  $\rho u = \rho u_0 \ \rho u_1 \ \rho u_2 \cdots \rho u_{n-1}$ .

This definition extends as usual to infinite words  $\mathbb{N} \longrightarrow \Sigma$ . The occurrences of factors play an important role and an infinite word w is recurrent if it satisfies the condition

$$u \in L(w) \implies |w|_u = \infty$$

Clearly, every periodic word is recurrent, and there exist recurrent but non-periodic words, the Thue-Morse word M being one of these [15]. Finally, two words u and v are *conjugate* when there are words x, y such that u = xy and v = yx. The conjugacy class of a word u is denoted by [u], and the length is invariant under conjugacy so that it makes sense to define |[u]| = |u|.

Checking that  $\Delta$  commutes with the mirror image, is stable under permutation and preserves palindromicity is straightforward:

**Proposition 1.** The operator  $\Delta$  satisfies the conditions

- (a)  $\Delta(\widetilde{u}) = \Delta(u)$ , for all  $u \in \Sigma^*$ ;
- (b)  $\Delta(\rho u) = \Delta(u)$ , for all  $u \in \Sigma^*$  and every permutation  $\rho : \Sigma \longrightarrow \Sigma$ ;
- (c)  $p \in \operatorname{Pal}(\Sigma^*) \implies \Delta(p) \in \operatorname{Pal}(\mathbb{N}^*)$ .

Note that  $\Delta$  is not distributive on concatenation in general. Nevertheless

(1) 
$$\Delta(uv) = \Delta(u) \cdot \Delta(v) \iff \widetilde{u}[0] \neq v[0],$$

that is to say if and only if the last letter of u differs from the first letter of v. This property can be extended to iterations and yields the following useful lemma.

**Lemma 2** (Glueing Lemma). Let  $u \cdot v \in \operatorname{Pref}(F_n)$  for some *n*. If there exists an index *m* such that, for all  $i, 0 \leq i \leq m$ , the last letter of  $\Delta^i(u)$  differs from the first letter of  $\Delta^i(v)$ , and  $\Delta^i(u) \neq 1$ ,  $\Delta^i(v) \neq 1$ , then

(i)  $\Phi(uv) = \Phi(u)[0..m] \cdot \Phi \circ \Delta^{m+1}(uv);$ (ii)  $\Delta^{i}(uv) = \Delta^{i}(u)\Delta^{i}(v).$ 

The glueing operation is denoted by  $\oplus$ :

$$\Phi(u) \oplus_m \Phi(v) = \Phi(u)[0..m] \cdot \Phi \circ \Delta^{m+1}(uv),$$

and observe that the glueing lemma may also be generalized (by associativity) to the concatenation of more than two words.

**Example**. Let u = 1211 and v = 21211. Iterating  $\Delta$  on uv yields

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \Delta^0(uv) = & \mathbf{1}211 & \cdot & 21211 \\ \Delta^1(uv) = & \mathbf{1}12 & \cdot & 1112 \\ \Delta^2(uv) = & \mathbf{2}1 & \cdot & 31 \\ \Delta^3(uv) = & 11 & \cdot & 11 \\ \Delta^4(uv) = & \mathbf{4} \end{array}$$

In this case we have m = 2 and  $\Phi(1211 \cdot 21211) = \mathbf{112} \cdot \Phi(1111) = 112 \cdot 14$ .

The glueing Lemma 2 admits an extension to infinite words: let  $u \in \Delta^{(*)}(\operatorname{Pref}(F))$ and  $v \in \Delta^{(*)}(Suff(F))$ . If there exists an index m such that the last letter of  $\Delta^m(u)$ differs from the first letter of  $\Delta^m(v)$ , then

$$\Phi(u) \oplus_m \Phi(v) = \Phi(u)[0..m] \cdot \Phi \circ \Delta^{m+1}(uv).$$

The glueing lemma is fundamental for establishing the claim results and most of the proofs are based on induction, use canonical factorizations of the Fibonacci finite words  $F_n$ , where the glueing lemma applies.

### 3. Results

The Fibonacci words  $F_n$  satisfy many characteristic properties and we state without proof the ones that will be used hereafter:

**Proposition 3.** For all n > 0 the following properties hold:

- (a)  $F_{n+3} = F_{n+2} \cdot F_{n+1}$ , and  $F_{n+4} = F_{n+2} \cdot F_{n+1} \cdot F_{n+2}$ ; (b)  $2 \cdot F_{2n+2} \cdot 1^{-1}$  and  $1 \cdot F_{2n+1} \cdot 2^{-1}$  are paindromic factors.
- (c) The set  $\{F_{n+1}, F_n\}$  is an  $\omega$ -code, that is, every word in  $\{1, 2\}^{\omega}$  admits at most one  $\{F_n, F_{n-1}\}$ -factorization.

In the finite case we have the following property.

**Proposition 4.** The sequence of finite Fibonacci words satisfies for all  $n \ge 0$  the conditions

- (i)  $\Phi(2 \cdot F_{2n+2} \cdot 1^{-1}) = 2(13)^{n+1};$ (ii)  $\Phi(1 \cdot F_{2n+1} \cdot 2^{-1}) = 12(13)^n.$

*Proof.* We proceed by induction. A straightforward verification establishes the base of the induction for n = 0, 1, 2, 3. Assume now the conditions hold until n - 1. In order to establish (i) we use the recurrence relations of Proposition 3 for 2n+2 and obtain

(2) 
$$\Phi(2 \cdot F_{2n+2} \cdot 1^{-1}) = \Phi(2 \cdot (F_{2n}F_{2n-1}F_{2n}) \cdot 1^{-1})$$
$$= \Phi(2 \cdot (F_{2n} \cdot 1^{-1}1 \cdot F_{2n-1} \cdot 2^{-1}2 \cdot F_{2n}) \cdot 1^{-1})$$

Recall that  $\Delta$  preserves palindromicity (Proposition 1), and that  $2 \cdot F_{2n+2} \cdot 1^{-1}$ is palindromic (Proposition 3). Therefore, for every  $m \leq 2n-1$  by induction hypothesis, the  $\Delta$ -iterates satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^m (2 \cdot F_{2n} \cdot 1^{-1})[0] &= \Delta^m (2 \cdot F_{2n} \cdot 1^{-1})[Last] \\ &\neq \Delta^m (1 \cdot F_{2n-1} \cdot 2^{-1})[0] = \Delta^m (1 \cdot F_{2n-1} \cdot 2^{-1})[Last], \end{aligned}$$

where *Last* abusively denotes the index of the last letter of a word. We may now apply the glueing Lemma 2 to equation (2) in order to obtain

$$\Delta^{2n-1}(2 \cdot F_{2n+2} \cdot 1^{-1}) = 313$$

from which one concludes that

$$\begin{split} \Phi(2 \cdot F_{2n+2} \cdot 1^{-1}) &= 2(13)^{n-1} 1 \oplus_{2n-1} \Phi \circ \Delta^{2n} (2 \cdot F_{2n+2} \cdot 1^{-1}) \\ &= 2(13)^{n-1} 1 \cdot \Phi(313) \\ &= 2(13)^{n+1}. \end{split}$$

The proof of (ii) is similar and is left to the reader.

In a similar way one can establish the following result.

**Proposition 5.** The sequence of Fibonacci words satisfies for all  $n \ge 2$  the conditions

(i)  $\Phi(F_{2n} \cdot 1^{-1}) = 112(13)^{n-1};$ (ii)  $\Phi(F_{2n+1} \cdot 2^{-1}) = 112(13)^{n-1} \cdot 12.$ 

We proceed now with showing that the alphabet used in the iterations of  $\Delta$  is bounded.

**Proposition 6.** The words

$$F_{2n} \cdot 1^{-1}, F_{2n+2} \cdot 2^{-1}, 2 \cdot F_{2n+2} \cdot 1^{-1}, 1 \cdot F_{2n+1} \cdot 2^{-1}, n \in \mathbb{N},$$

are words in  $\Delta^{(*)}(\mathbf{3})$ .

*Proof.* First, we prove by induction on  $n \ge 1$  that there exist two uniquely and well defined words  $V_n$  and  $W_n$  such that

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \Phi(V_n) &=& (13)^n, & \Phi(W_n) &=& 3(13)^n, \\ \Delta(V_n) &=& W_{n-1}, & \Delta(W_n) &=& V_n, \\ V_n &\in& \{1,3\}^*, & W_n &\in& \{1,3\}^*, \end{array}$$

and two consecutive occurrences in  $V_n$  or in  $W_n$  of the letter 3 are separated by 111 or 1.

One has  $V_1 = 111$ ,  $W_1 = 313$ ,  $V_2 = 1113111$ ,  $W_2 = 313111313$ . Assume that the induction hypothesis holds for  $n \ge 2$ . The word  $V_{n+1}$  is uniquely determined by its first letter 1 and the fact that  $\Delta(V_{n+1}) = W_n$ . Similarly,  $W_{n+1}$  is uniquely determined. Since the 3's are separated by either 1 or 111, then 313 always code 1113111 in  $V_{n+1}$ , whereas the word 31113 always codes 111313111, which implies the desired property on  $V_{n+1}$ . The proof is similar for  $W_{n+1}$ .

Observe that we have proved that  $V_n \in \{13, 11\}^*$ , that is,  $V_n$  can be encoded over the alphabet  $\{A, B\}$ , where A = 13, B = 11, and that  $V_{n+1} = \phi(V_n)$ , where  $\phi$ is defined by  $\phi : A \mapsto ABA$ ,  $B \mapsto AB$  ( $\phi$  is the square of the Fibonacci morphism up to the alphabet).

Now, we have  $\Delta^3(F_{2n} \cdot 1^{-1}) = V_{n-1}$ ,  $\Delta^3(F_{2n+2} \cdot 2^{-1})$  is a prefix of  $V_n$ ,  $\Delta(2 \cdot F_{2n+2} \cdot 1^{-1}) = V_{n+1}$ , and  $\Delta^2(1 \cdot F_{2n+1} \cdot 2^{-1}) = V_n$ , so that it only remains to check that the first interations of  $\Delta$  produce words over the alphabet **3** to conclude.  $\Box$ 

The infinite Fibonacci word satisfies the following property, which is a direct consequence of Proposition 5 and 6.

## **Proposition 7.** The word F satisfies $\Phi(F) = 112(13)^{\omega}$ and $\Delta^*(F) \subset \mathbf{3}^{\omega}$ .

It is well known that the Fibonacci word F does not contain cubes, and for the  $\Delta$ -iterates the following patterns are avoided.

**Lemma 8.** The factors 33 and 31313 never occur in  $\Delta^k(F)$ , for every  $k \ge 2$ . The factors 22 and 21212 never occur in  $\Delta(F)$ .

Proof. One checks that 33 and 22 never occur in  $\Delta^k(F)$ , for  $k \leq 2$ . According to the proof of Proposition 6, 33 never occurs in  $V_n$ , for all n and hence in F. Assume now that the factor 31313 occurs in  $\Delta^k(F)$ , for some  $k \geq 2$ . Since 33 does not occur in  $\Delta^{k-1}(F)$  (if k = 2, consider 22), then  $\Delta(31313) = 11111 \in \Delta^k(F)$ , which implies that the letter 5 occurs in  $\Delta^{k+1}(F)$ , a contradiction. The same argument applies for 21212.

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  denote the *Fibonacci shift*, that is, the set of infinite words having exactly the same factors as the Fibonacci word F; let us recall that  $\mathcal{F}$  is the closure in  $\{1,2\}^{\omega}$  of the orbit  $\{s^k(F); k \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of F.

**Example**.  $\Phi(2 \cdot F) = 213 \cdot (s^3 \circ \Phi)(F) = 2(13)^{\omega}$ . Indeed by applying the glueing lemma, we have the following iterations of  $\Delta$  on  $2 \cdot F$ 

that is,

$$\Phi(2F) = 2 \oplus_0 \Phi(1 \cdot \Delta(F)) = 213 \oplus_2 \Phi(\Delta^3(2F)),$$

so that  $\Phi(2 \cdot F) = 213 \cdot \Phi(\Delta^3(F)) = 213 \cdot s^3 \circ \Phi(F).$ 

We know that  $\Phi(F)$  is eventually periodic so that the following question is natural: does such a behaviour extend to other words in the Fibonacci shift  $\mathcal{F}$ ? More precisely is this property characteristic of the Fibonacci language or does it hold only for particular sequences of the Fibonacci shift? The next theorem answers this question:

**Theorem 9.** Every word  $U \in \mathcal{F}$  satisfies the following properties:

- (i) U is a word of  $5^{\omega}$ ;
- (ii) for every  $k \ge 2$ ,  $s(\Delta^k(U)) \in \{1, 3\}^*$ ;
- (iii) every factor of  $\Delta^k(U)$  having 3 or 111 for prefix occurs in  $\Delta^k(F)$ ;
- (iv) if U belongs to the two-sided orbit under the shift s of F, that is, if there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that either  $U = s^n(F)$  or  $F = s^n(U)$ , then  $\Phi(U)$  eventually ends with  $(13)^{\omega}$ .

*Proof.* The remaining of this section will be devoted to the proof of this theorem which requires several steps. We need first a preliminary lemma to state the base case of an induction property that we prove below.

**Lemma 10.** Let  $U \in \mathcal{F}$ . Then  $\Delta(U) \in \{1, 2\}^{\omega}$  and we have:

- (i) two consecutive occurrences of the letter 2 in Δ(U) are separated by 1 or 111; 2 occurs infinitely often;
- (ii) every factor having 2 or 111 for prefix occurs in  $\Delta(F)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $F = \varphi(F)$  it follows that 22,111  $\notin L(F) = L(U)$ . Therefore two consecutive occurrences of 2 are separated by 1 or 11 in U, which implies that  $\Delta(U) \in \{1,2\}^{\omega}$ .

(i) Since  $22 \notin U$ , every occurrence of 2 in  $\Delta(U)$  codes an occurrence of 11 in U. Let us prove that  $11111 \notin L(\Delta(U))$ . By contradiction, assume that 11111 is a factor, then 11111 would code an occurrence of either 121212 or 212121 in U, but neither word is a factor of F. Furthermore, two consecutive occurrences of 2 in  $\Delta(U)$  cannot be separated by an even number of 1's: indeed, either the first or the last 2 would code 22 in U, which ends the proof of this statement.

(ii) Let w be a factor of  $\Delta(U)$  whose prefix is either the letter 2 or the factor 111. It codes uniquely a factor in U and in F, implying that it belongs to  $\Delta(F)$ . Let us come back to the proof of Theorem 9. We prove by induction the following assertions, where  $x_k = 2$  if k = 1 and 3 otherwise;

- (1)  $\Delta^k(U)$  is well defined;
- (2)  $\Delta^k(U) \in \mathbf{5}^{\omega}$ ;  $(s \circ \Delta^k)(U)) \in \{1, x_k\}^{\omega}$ ;
- (3) two successive occurrences of  $x_k$  are separated either by 1 or 111; the letter  $x_k$  occurs infinitely often;
- (4) every factor of  $\Delta^k(U)$  having  $x_k$  or 111 for prefix occurs in  $\Delta^k(F)$ .

The induction property holds for k = 1 by Lemma 10. Fix now an integer  $k \ge 1$  and assume that the induction property holds for both k and k-1. For the sake of simplicity, we assume that  $k \ge 2$  and replace  $x_k$  by its value 3. The proof proceeds exactly in the same way when k = 1,  $x_k = 2$ . We only need to use the fact that 22 does not occur in  $\Delta^0(U) = U$ .

Observe first that the factors 33 and 31313 do not occur in  $\Delta^k(U)$ , and 33 does not occur in  $\Delta^{k-1}(U)$ , according to Assertion 4 and Lemma 8.

- From Assertions 1, 2 and 3 above,  $\Delta^{k+1}(U)$  is easily seen to be well defined.
- We have three cases to consider.
  - If  $\Delta^k(U)[0] = 3$ , then  $\Delta^{k+1}(U) \in \{1,3\}^{\omega}$ , by Assertion 3.
  - If  $\Delta^{k}(U)$  has  $1^{y}3$   $(y \ge 1)$  for prefix, then  $\Delta^{k+1}(U) = y\Delta(s^{y} \circ \Delta^{k}(U))$ , and  $s \circ \Delta^{k+1}(U) \in \{1,3\}^{\omega}$ .
  - If  $\Delta^k(U)[0] = y \neq 1, 3$ , then  $\Delta^k(U)$  has  $y1^z$   $(z \geq 1)$  for prefix, since the factor 33 cannot occur in  $\Delta^{k-1}(U)$ . If z is even, then Assertion 2 implies that  $y1^z3$  would code a factor of the form  $r^y(3131)^{z/2}333$  in  $\Delta^k(U)$   $(r \in 5)$ , a contradiction with the fact that  $33 \notin L(\Delta^k(U))$ . If  $z \geq 5$ , then  $y1^z3$  would code a factor of the form  $r^y31313$ , a contradiction with the fact that  $31313 \notin L(\Delta^k(U))$ . We have thus proved that  $y \in \{1,3\}$ , which implies that  $(s \circ \Delta^{k+1}(U)) \in \{1,3\}^{\omega}$ .

Note that the first letter of  $\Delta^{k+1}(U)$  is smaller than or equal to 5, since 31313 does not occur in  $\Delta^{k-1}(U)$ . Hence,  $\Delta^{k+1}(U) \in \mathbf{5}^{\omega}$ .

• The factor 33  $\notin L(\Delta^{k+1}(U))$ , otherwise 333 would occur in  $\Delta^k(U)$ . Hence every occurrence of the letter 3 in  $\Delta^{k+1}(U)$  codes 111 in  $\Delta^k(U)$ . The factor 311113  $\notin L(\Delta^{k+1}(U))$ , otherwise it would code 1113131333 in  $\Delta^k(U)$ , contradicting the fact that 33 does not occur in  $\Delta^k(U)$ . Similarly, the factor 311111  $\notin L(\Delta^{k+1}(U))$ , otherwise it would code 11131313 in  $\Delta^k(U)$ , but 31313 does not occur in  $\Delta^k(U)$ . At last, the factor 3113  $\notin L(\Delta^{k+1}(U))$ , since otherwise it would code 11131333 in  $\Delta^k(U)$ , again a contradiction.

Hence two consecutive occurrences in  $\Delta^{k+1}(U)$  of 3 are separated either by 1 or 111, and the letter 3 occurs infinitely often.

• Let w be a factor of  $\Delta^{k+1}(U)$  whose prefix is either 3 or the factor 111. It codes uniquely a factor in  $\Delta^k(U)$  also starting with either 3 or 111, and belonging thus by Assertion 4 to  $\Delta^k(F)$ ; therefore w belongs to  $\Delta^{k+1}(F)$ .

It remains now to prove that  $\Phi(U)$  ultimately ends in  $(13)^{\omega}$  if U is an image or a preimage of F under the action of the shift s to complete the proof of Theorem 9.

Assume first that U is a shifted image of the Fibonacci word F, that is, there exists  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $U = s^k(F)$ . Let us now introduce a suitable factorization of 2F. For that purpose, let us first observe that  $F = \varphi^{2n+1}(F)$  can be uniquely decomposed over the  $\omega$ -code  $\{F_{2n}, F_{2n+1}\}$  (see Proposition 3), and even over the

 $\omega$ -code { $F_{2n+2} \cdot F_{2n+2} \cdot F_{2n+1}, F_{2n+2} \cdot F_{2n+1}$ }. Hence we may factorize 2F over

$$\{2 \cdot F_{2n+2} \cdot F_{2n+2} \cdot F_{2n+1} \cdot 2^{-1}, 2 \cdot F_{2n+2} \cdot F_{2n+1} \cdot 2^{-1}\}.$$

Furthermore, the first term of this factorization is easily seen by induction to be  $2 \cdot F_{2n+2} \cdot F_{2n+1} \cdot 2^{-1}$ , whereas its second term is  $2 \cdot F_{2n+2} \cdot F_{2n+2} \cdot F_{2n+1} \cdot 2^{-1}$ . One has  $U = s^{k+1}(2F)$ . Let  $n \ge 2$  be large enough such that  $|F_{2n+3}| > k+1$ . Let us write  $2F_{2n+2} \cdot F_{2n+1}2^{-1}$  as

$$2 \cdot F_{2n+2} \cdot F_{2n+1} = P_k \cdot Q_k$$

where  $P_k$  is the prefix of 2F of length k + 1; hence  $2F = P_k \cdot U$ , and

$$U = Q_k \cdot s^{|F_{2n+3}|} (2 \cdot F)$$

i.e.,

$$U \in Q_k \cdot \{2 \cdot F_{2n+2} \cdot F_{2n+2} \cdot F_{2n+1} \cdot 2^{-1}, 2 \cdot F_{2n+2} \cdot F_{2n+1} \cdot 2^{-1}\}^{\omega},\$$

the first term of this factorization being  $2 \cdot F_{2n+2} \cdot F_{2n+2} \cdot F_{2n+1} \cdot 2^{-1}$ . Let us observe that

 $2 \cdot F_{2n+2} \cdot F_{2n+2} \cdot F_{2n+1} \cdot 2^{-1} = (2 \cdot F_{2n+2} \cdot 1^{-1}) \cdot (1 \cdot F_{2n+1} \cdot 2^{-1}) \cdot (2 \cdot F_{2n} \cdot 1^{-1}) \cdot (1 \cdot F_{2n+1} \cdot 2^{-1}),$  and

$$2 \cdot F_{2n+2} \cdot F_{2n+1} \cdot 2^{-1} = (2 \cdot F_{2n+2} \cdot 1^{-1}) \cdot (1 \cdot F_{2n+1} \cdot 2^{-1})$$

Let us first prove that  $\Phi(s^{|F_{2n+3}|}(2F)) = 2(13)^{n+1}112(13)^{\omega}$ . Following Proposition 4 and Proposition 6, the glueing lemma applies, and implies that the first terms of  $\Phi(s^{|F_{2n+3}|}(2F))$  are  $2(13)^n$ ; let us note that  $\Delta^{2n+1}(2 \cdot F_{2n+2} \cdot 1^{-1}) = 111$ ,  $\Delta^{2n+1}(1 \cdot F_{2n+1} \cdot 2^{-1}) = 3$ ,  $\Delta^{2n+1}(2 \cdot F_{2n} \cdot 1^{-1}) = 1$ . Hence

$$\Delta^{2n+1}(2 \cdot F_{2n+2} \cdot F_{2n+1} \cdot 2^{-1}) = 111 \cdot 3.$$

$$\Delta^{2n+1}(2 \cdot F_{2n+2} \cdot F_{2n+2} \cdot F_{2n+1} \cdot 2^{-1}) = 111 \cdot 3 \cdot 1 \cdot 3.$$

One concludes by considering the next values of  $\Delta^k$ ,  $2n+2 \leq k \leq 2n+6$  and using the fact that  $\Phi(2F) = \Phi(2 \cdot F_{2n+2} \cdot F_{2n+1} \cdot 2^{-1} \cdot s^{|F_{2n+3}|}(2F)) = 2(13)^{\omega}$ .

Let us prove that  $\Phi(Q_k \cdot s^{|F_{2n+3}|}(2F))$  and  $\Phi(s^{|F_{2n+3}|}(2F))$  ulimately coincide. Let m be the smallest integer such that  $\Delta^m(Q_k) = 1$ . One checks that  $m \leq 2n+5$ . Let us distinguish two cases according to the parity of m, and apply the glueing lemma, by noticing that the first term of the decomposition of  $s^{|F_{2n+3}|}(2F)$  is  $2 \cdot F_{2n+2} \cdot F_{2n+2} \cdot F_{2n+1} \cdot 2^{-1}$ .

- Assume that *m* is even. Assume furthermore  $m \leq 2n$ . Then the factor  $\Delta^m (s^{|F_{2n+3}|}(2F))$  admits 313111313 as a prefix since  $\Phi(s^{|F_{2n+3}|}(2F)) = 2(13)^{n+1}112(13)^{\omega}$ . Hence  $\Delta^{m+1}(Q_k \cdot s^{|F_{2n+3}|}(2F))$  admits 11113111 as a prefix, which implies that  $\Delta^{m+2}(Q_k \cdot s^{|F_{2n+3}|}(2F))$  admits 413 as a prefix; one deduces that  $\Phi(Q_k \cdot s^{|F_{2n+3}|}(2F))$  and  $\Phi(s^{|F_{2n+3}|}(2F))$  coincide for indices larger than m + 3. If m = 2n + 2, then  $\Delta^{2n}(s^{|F_{2n+3}|}(2F))$  admits 3111313 as a prefix, and similarly one checks that  $\Phi(Q_k \cdot s^{|F_{2n+3}|}(2F))$  ends in (13)<sup> $\omega$ </sup> from indices larger than or equal to 2n + 5. If m = 2n + 4, then one checks that  $\Phi(Q_k \cdot s^{|F_{2n+3}|}(2F))$  and  $\Phi(s^{|F_{2n+3}|}(2F))$  coincide for indices larger than 2n + 6.
- Assume that m is odd. This implies that  $\Delta^{m-1}(Q_k) = 2$ . Assume that  $m \leq 2n + 1$ . One checks that  $\Delta^m(Q_k \cdot s^{|F_{2n+3}|}(2F))$  admits 11113 as a prefix, and thus  $\Phi(Q_k \cdot s^{|F_{2n+3}|}(2F))$  and  $\Phi(s^{|F_{2n+3}|}(2F))$  coincide for indices larger than m + 2. If m = 2n + 3,  $\Phi(Q_k \cdot s^{|F_{2n+3}|}(2F))$  ends in  $(13)^{\omega}$  from for indices larger than 2n + 6. If m = 2n + 5, one checks that

 $\Phi(Q_k \cdot s^{|F_{2n+3}|}(2F))$  and  $\Phi(s^{|F_{2n+3}|}(2F))$  coincide for indices larger than 2n+8.

One thus deduces that  $\Phi(U)$  ultimately terminates in  $(13)^{\omega}$ .

Assume now that U is a preimage of F under an iterate of s, that is, there exists k such that  $s^k(U) = F$ . Since both 2F and 1F belong to  $\mathcal{F}$ , then U is either a preimage or 2F or of 1F, that is, there exists a finite word  $P_U$  such that either  $U = P_U \cdot 2F$  or  $U = P_U \cdot 1F$ . Using the factorizations, respectively, of 2F over  $\{2 \cdot F_{2n+2} \cdot F_{2n+2} \cdot F_{2n+1} \cdot 2^{-1}, 2 \cdot F_{2n+2} \cdot F_{2n+1} \cdot 2^{-1}\}$  or 1F over  $\{1 \cdot F_{2n+1} \cdot F_{2n} \cdot 2^{-1}, 1 \cdot F_{2n+1} \cdot F_{2n} \cdot 2^{-1}\}$  we may apply the same reasoning as above. Let us recall that  $\Phi(2F) = 2(13)^{\omega}$ , whereas one checks that  $\Phi(1F) = 12(13)^{\omega}$ . One thus obtains that  $\Phi(P_U \cdot 2F)$  and  $\Phi(P_U \cdot 1F)$  ultimately coincide with respectively  $\Phi(2F)$  or  $\Phi(1F)$ , which ends the proof.

We have thus proved that words that are images or preimages of F under the shift s eventually end with  $(13)^{\omega}$ . The next proposition states that this property does not hold for all words in  $\mathcal{F}$ , that is, there exist words U with the same set of factors as F for which  $\Phi(U)$  presents a different behaviour.

**Proposition 11.** There exist words U in  $\mathcal{F}$  such that  $\Phi(U)$  contains infinitely many occurrences of the letter 2.

*Proof.* Let us exhibit an example of a Sturmian word U in  $\mathcal{F}$  such that  $\Phi(U)$  does not ultimately end in  $(13)^{\omega}$ . Let U be the limit word in  $\{1,2\}^{\omega}$  of the sequence of finite words

$$U_n = (1 \cdot (F_7 \cdot F_{10}) \cdots (F_{2^k - 1} \cdot F_{2^k + 2}) \cdots (F_{2^n - 1} \cdot F_{2^n + 2}) \cdot 1^{-1}), n \ge 3.$$

This sequence of words converges for the usal topology on  $\{1,2\}^{\omega}$  and for every  $n, U_n$  is a factor of the Fibonacci word F as we shall see now. Indeed, following [9], every finite concatenation of  $F_n$ 's with decreasing order of indices and where no two consecutive indices occur, is a prefix of the Fibonacci word F. Hence

$$F_{2^n+2} \cdot F_{2^n-1} \cdots F_{10} \cdot F_7$$

is a prefix of F. Since 2F is also a Sturmian word in  $\mathcal{F}$ ,  $2 \cdot F_{2^n+2} \cdot F_{2^n-1} \cdots F_{10} \cdot F_7$  is also a factor of F. But

$$2 \cdot F_{2^{n}+2} \cdot F_{2^{n}-1} \cdots F_{10} \cdot F_{7} \cdot 2^{-1} = (2 \cdot F_{2^{n}+2} \cdot 1^{-1}) \cdot (1 \cdot F_{2^{n}-1} \cdot 2^{-1}) \cdots (2 \cdot F_{10} \cdot 1^{-1}) \cdot (1 \cdot F_{7} \cdot 2^{-1})$$

is a concatenation of palindromes by Proposition 3. The set of factors of F being stable under mirror image (see for instance [13]), we have

$$(1 \cdot F_7 \cdot 2^{-1}) \cdot (2 \cdot F_{10} \cdot 1^{-1}) \quad \cdots \quad (1 \cdot F_{2^n - 1} \cdot 2^{-1}) \cdot (2 \cdot F_{2^n + 2} \cdot 1^{-1}) \\ = \quad 1 \cdot (F_7 \cdot F_{10}) \cdots (F_{2^n - 1} \cdot F_{2^n + 2}) \cdot 1^{-1}$$

is a factor of F. Hence the word U belongs to  $\mathcal{F}$  since it is a limit of factors of the Fibonacci word, and admits for every n,  $U_n$  as a prefix. Consider now the following factorization

$$(1 \cdot F_{2^n - 1} \cdot 2^{-1}) \cdot (2 \cdot F_{2^n + 2} \cdot 1^{-1}) = (1 \cdot F_{2^n - 1} \cdot 2^{-1}) \cdot (2 \cdot F_{2^n} \cdot 1^{-1}) \cdot (1 \cdot F_{2^n - 1} \cdot 2^{-1})(2 \cdot F_{2^n} \cdot 1^{-1})$$

Following Proposition 4 and Proposition 6, the glueing lemma applies. One has  $\Delta^{2^n}(1 \cdot F_{2^n-1} \cdot 2^{-1}) = 1$ ,  $\Delta^{2^n}(1 \cdot F_{2^n+1} \cdot 2^{-1}) = 111$ , and  $\Delta^{2^n}(2 \cdot F_{2^n} \cdot 1^{-1}) = 3$ . Hence

$$\begin{split} \Delta^{2^{n}} (1 \cdot F_{2^{n}-1} \cdot F_{2^{n}+2} \cdot 2^{-1}) &= 1 \cdot 3 \cdot 111 \cdot 3, \\ \Delta^{2^{n}+1} (1 \cdot F_{2^{n}-1} \cdot F_{2^{n}+2} \cdot 2^{-1}) &= 1 \cdot 1 \cdot 3 \cdot 1, \\ \Delta^{2^{n}+2} (1 \cdot F_{2^{n}-1} \cdot F_{2^{n}+2} \cdot 2^{-1}) &= 2 \cdot 1 \cdot 1 \\ \Delta^{2^{n}+3} (1 \cdot F_{2^{n}-1} \cdot F_{2^{n}+2} \cdot 2^{-1}) &= 1 \cdot 2 \\ \Delta^{2^{n}+4} (1 \cdot F_{2^{n}-1} \cdot F_{2^{n}+2} \cdot 2^{-1}) &= 1 \cdot 1 \\ \Delta^{2^{n}+5} (1 \cdot F_{2^{n}-1} \cdot F_{2^{n}+2} \cdot 2^{-1}) &= 2. \end{split}$$

By applying the glueing lemma, one proves by induction that

 $\Delta^{2^{n-1}+8}(U_n) = \Delta^{2^{n-1}+8}((1 \cdot F_{2^n-1} \cdot 2^{-1}) \cdot (2 \cdot F_{2^n+2} \cdot 1^{-1})),$ 

which implies  $\Phi(U)[2^n + 2] = 2$ , for all  $n \ge 3$ .

**Remark** One can in fact prove that there exist uncountably many words U in  $\mathcal{F}$  such that  $\Phi(U)$  does not ultimately end in  $(13)^{\omega}$ .

#### References

- J. Berstel, Axel Thue's papers on repetition words: a translation, *Publications du LaCIM*, (1995).
- [2] S. Brlek, Enumeration of factors in the Thue-Morse word, Discrete Appl. Math. 24 (1989) 83-96.
- [3] S. Brlek, A. Ladouceur, A note on differentiable palindromes, Theoret. Comput. Sci., 302 (2003) 167-178
- [4] S. Brlek, S. Dulucq, A. Ladouceur, L. Vuillon, Combinatorial properties of smooth infinite words, MFCS'04, Prague, Czech Republic (2004) submitted.
- [5] A. Carpi, Repetitions in the Kolakovski sequence, Bull. of the EATCS, 50 (1993) 194–196.
- [6] E.M. Coven, G.A. Hedlund, Sequences with minimal block growth, Math. Systems Theory, 7 (1973 138-153.
- [7] F. M. Dekking, On the structure of self generating sequences, Séminaire de théorie des nombres de Bordeaux, exposé 31, 1980-1981.
- [8] F. M. Dekking, What is the long range order in the Kolakoski sequence, in R. V. Moody (ed.), The mathematics of Long-Range Aperiodic Order, Kluwer Academic Publishers (1997) 115-125.
- [9] J.-M. Dumont, A. Thomas, Systèmes de numération et fonctions fractales relatifs aux substitutions, *Theoret. Comp. Sci.* 65 (1989), 153-169.
- [10] W. Kolakoski, Self Generating Runs, Problem 5304, American Math. Monthly, 72 (1965) 674. Solution: American Math. Monthly, 73 (1966) 681-682.
- [11] A. Ladouceur, Outil logiciel pour la combinatoire des mots, Mém. Maitrise en Math., UQAM, AC20U5511 M6258, 1999.
- [12] P. Lamas, Contribution à l'étude de quelques mots infinis, Mém. Maitrise en Math., UQAM, AC20U5511 M4444, 1995.
- [13] M. Lothaire, Algebraic Combinatorics on words, Cambridge University Press, 2002.
- [14] A. de Luca, Sturmian words: structure, combinatorics, and their arithmetics, Theoret. Comput. Sci., 183 (1997) 45-82.
- [15] M. Morse, Symbolic Dynamics, Amer. J. Math., 60 (1938) 815-866.
- [16] M. Morse, G.A. Hedlund, Symbolic dynamics II: Sturmian Trajectories, Amer. J. Math., 62 (1940) 1-42.
- [17] A. Thue, Über unendliche Zeichenreihen, Kra. Vidensk. Selsk. Skrifter. I. Mat. Nat. Kl., Christiana, Nr. 7 (1906) 1-22.
- [18] A. Thue, Über die gegenseitige Lage gleicher Teile gewisser Zeichenreihen, Kra. Vidensk. Selsk. Skrifter. I. Mat. Nat. Kl., Christiana, Nr. 1 (1912) 1-67.

LIRMM, 161 RUE ADA, 34392 MONTPELLIER CEDEX 05, FRANCE E-mail address: berthe@lirmm.fr

LACIM, UNIVERSITÉ DU QUÉBEC À MONTRÉAL, MONTRÉAL (QC) CANADA H3C 3P8 $E\text{-}mail\ address:\ brlek@lacim.uqam.ca$ 

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, YORK UNIVERSITY, 4700 KEELE STREET, TORONTO (ON) CANADA M3J1P3 *E-mail address*: philcho@mathstat.yorku.ca