

MARKOFF–LAGRANGE SPECTRUM OF ONE-SIDED SHIFTS

HAJIME KANEKO AND WOLFGANG STEINER

ABSTRACT. For the Lagrange spectrum and other applications, we determine the smallest accumulation point of binary sequences that are maximal in their shift orbits. This problem is trivial for the lexicographic order, and its solution is the fixed point of a substitution for the alternating lexicographic order. For orders defined by cylinders, we show that the solutions are S -adic sequences, where S is a certain infinite set of substitutions that includes Sturmian morphisms. We also consider a similar problem for symmetric ternary shifts, which is applicable to the multiplicative version of the Markoff–Lagrange spectrum.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let A^∞ be the set of infinite words on a finite alphabet A , equipped with a total order \leq and the ultrametric d given by $d(a_1a_2\cdots, b_1b_2\cdots) = 2^{-\min\{n \geq 1 : a_n \neq b_n\}}$ for $a_1a_2\cdots \neq b_1b_2\cdots$. We study properties of the set of *sup-words*

$$\mathcal{M}_\leq := \{s_\leq(\mathbf{a}) : \mathbf{a} \in A^\infty\}, \quad \text{with} \quad s_\leq(a_1a_2\cdots) := \sup_{n \geq 1} a_n a_{n+1} \cdots,$$

for a large class of orders on A^∞ . In particular, we are interested in the smallest accumulation point \mathbf{m}_\leq of \mathcal{M}_\leq . For the lexicographic order \leq_{lex} , words in $\mathcal{M}_{\leq_{\text{lex}}}$ occur as (quasi-greedy) β -expansions of 1 for real bases $\beta > 1$ (see [Par60]), with $\mathbf{m}_{\leq_{\text{lex}}} = 1000\cdots$ being the limit as $\beta \rightarrow 1$. For the alternating lexicographic order \leq_{alt} , most elements of $\mathcal{M}_{\leq_{\text{alt}}}$ are $(-\beta)$ -expansions of $\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}$ in the sense of [IS09, Ste13], with $\mathbf{m}_{\leq_{\text{alt}}}$ being the limit as $\beta \rightarrow 1$. An image of $\mathcal{M}_{\leq_{\text{alt}}}$ occurs in a multiplicative version of the (Markoff–)Lagrange spectrum w.r.t. an integer base, which is defined in terms of well approximable numbers [Dub06, AK21]; see Proposition 10. Below the image of $\mathbf{m}_{\leq_{\text{alt}}}$, which is the fixed point of a substitution [All83, AC83, Dub07], we find the discrete part of this spectrum. The classical Markoff and Lagrange spectra are given by two-sided versions of $\mathcal{M}_{\leq_{\text{alt}}}$ (and the Lagrange spectrum is defined by \limsup instead of \sup). The unimodal order \leq_{uni} yields kneading sequences of unimodal maps [MT88], and $\mathbf{m}_{\leq_{\text{uni}}}$ is the fixed point of the period-doubling (or Feigenbaum) substitution. Sup-words are also closely related to infinite Lyndon words, which are defined by $a_1a_2\cdots < a_na_{n+1}\cdots$ for all $n \geq 2$; see e.g. [PZ20].

We consider orders satisfying that

$$(1) \quad \mathbf{a} \leq \mathbf{b} \leq \mathbf{c} \quad \text{implies} \quad d(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \leq d(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c}) \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c} \in A^\infty$$

(note that $d(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \leq d(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c})$ is equivalent to $d(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}) \leq d(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c})$ by the strong triangle inequality), and we call them *cylinder orders* because the elements of each cylinder of words are contiguous. Here, the *cylinder* (of length n) given by $a_1 \cdots a_n \in A^n$ is

$$[a_1 \cdots a_n] := \{a'_1 a'_2 \cdots \in A^\infty : a'_1 \cdots a'_n = a_1 \cdots a_n\},$$

Date: October 5, 2023.

This work was supported by the Agence Nationale de la Recherche through the projects CODYS (ANR-18-CE40-0007) and IZES (ANR-22-CE40-0011) and by the JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 19K03439.

and we write $[a_1 \cdots a_n] < [b_1 \cdots b_n]$ if $(a_1 \cdots a_n)^\infty < (b_1 \cdots b_n)^\infty$ (or, equivalently, $\mathbf{a} < \mathbf{b}$ for all $\mathbf{a} \in [a_1 \cdots a_n]$, $\mathbf{b} \in [b_1 \cdots b_n]$). Note that (1) is equivalent to the condition (*) of [PZ20], and it includes generalized lexicographic orders as considered in [Reu06].

In Section 2, we give basic properties of \mathcal{M}_\leq . Section 3 contains our main result, an algorithm for determining the smallest accumulation point \mathbf{m}_\leq of \mathcal{M}_\leq , for any cylinder order (A^∞, \leq) . We also give a complete description, in terms of S -adic sequences, of all words \mathbf{m}_\leq obtained by cylinder orders and of the discrete part of \mathcal{M}_\leq , i.e., all its elements below \mathbf{m}_\leq . Since the words \mathbf{m}_\leq have linear factor complexity, real numbers having such β -expansions are in $\mathbb{Q}(\beta)$ or transcendental, for all Pisot or Salem bases $\beta \geq 2$. In Section 4, we determine \mathbf{m}_\leq for some classical examples of cylinder orders and show that all maximal Sturmian sequences can occur. We consider cylinder orders on symmetric alphabets in Section 5 and apply our results to the multiplicative Lagrange spectrum and other problems in Section 6,

2. MARKOFF-LAGRANGE SPECTRUM

We first show that the set of periodic words in \mathcal{M}_\leq is dense, and that \mathcal{M}_\leq is equal to

$$\mathcal{L}_\leq := \{\ell_\leq(\mathbf{a}) : \mathbf{a} \in A^\infty\}, \quad \text{with} \quad \ell_\leq(a_1 a_2 \cdots) := \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n a_{n+1} \cdots$$

Note that \mathcal{M}_\leq and \mathcal{L}_\leq can be seen as generalizations of the *Markoff* and *Lagrange spectrum* respectively. In the classical case, these spectra are defined by two-sided sequences, and the Lagrange spectrum is a strict subset of the Markoff spectrum [Fre68, CF89].

Theorem 1. *Let \leq be a cylinder order on A^∞ . Then*

$$\mathcal{L}_\leq = \mathcal{M}_\leq = \text{cl}\{s_\leq(\mathbf{a}) : \mathbf{a} \in A^\infty \text{ purely periodic}\}.$$

In particular, the set \mathcal{M}_\leq is closed.

In the proof of the theorem, we use the following characterization of cylinder orders.

Lemma 2. *An order \leq on A^∞ is a cylinder order if and only if*

$$(2) \quad \mathbf{a} \leq \mathbf{b} \text{ implies } \mathbf{a}' \leq \mathbf{b}' \text{ for all } \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}', \mathbf{b}' \in A^\infty \text{ with } \max(d(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}'), d(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b}')) < d(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}).$$

Proof. Let \leq be a cylinder order, and $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}', \mathbf{b}' \in A^\infty$ such that $\mathbf{a} \leq \mathbf{b}$, $d(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}') < d(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$, $d(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b}') < d(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$. Then both $\mathbf{a} \leq \mathbf{b} \leq \mathbf{a}'$ and $\mathbf{b}' \leq \mathbf{a}' \leq \mathbf{b}$ are impossible by (1), using that $d(\mathbf{a}', \mathbf{b}') = d(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$ by the strong triangle inequality. This implies that $\mathbf{b} > \mathbf{a}'$ and thus $\mathbf{b}' > \mathbf{a}'$, i.e., (2) holds. Let now \leq be an order satisfying (2). Then $\mathbf{a} \leq \mathbf{b}$ and $d(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c}) < d(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$ imply that $\mathbf{c} < \mathbf{b}$, thus $\mathbf{a} \leq \mathbf{b} \leq \mathbf{c}$ with $d(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c}) < d(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$ is impossible, i.e., (1) holds. \square

Proof of Theorem 1. We show first that \mathcal{M}_\leq is the closure of $s_\leq(\mathbf{a}')$ with purely periodic $\mathbf{a}' \in A^\infty$. Since $s_\leq(s_\leq(\mathbf{a})) = s_\leq(\mathbf{a})$, it suffices to consider $\mathbf{a} \in A^\infty$ with $s_\leq(\mathbf{a}) = \mathbf{a}$. We have $(a_1 \cdots a_n)^\infty \in \mathcal{M}_\leq$ whenever

$$(3) \quad 2^{-n} d(\mathbf{a}, a_{n+1} a_{n+2} \cdots) < 2^{-i} d(\mathbf{a}, a_{i+1} a_{i+2} \cdots) \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq i < n.$$

Indeed, we have, for all $1 \leq i < n$, that $a_{i+1} a_{i+2} \cdots \leq \mathbf{a}$ (because $s_\leq(\mathbf{a}) = \mathbf{a}$) and

$$\begin{aligned} d(\mathbf{a}, a_{i+1} a_{i+2} \cdots) &> 2^{i-n} d(\mathbf{a}, a_{n+1} a_{n+2} \cdots) = 2^{i-n} d((a_1 \cdots a_n)^\infty, a_{n+1} a_{n+2} \cdots) \\ &= 2^i d((a_1 \cdots a_n)^\infty, \mathbf{a}) = d(a_{i+1} \cdots a_n (a_1 \cdots a_n)^\infty, a_{i+1} a_{i+2} \cdots), \end{aligned}$$

hence (2) gives that $a_{i+1} \cdots a_n (a_1 \cdots a_n)^\infty \leq (a_1 \cdots a_n)^\infty$, thus $(a_1 \cdots a_n)^\infty \in \mathcal{M}_\leq$. Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^{-n} d(\mathbf{a}, a_{n+1} a_{n+2} \cdots) = 0$, we have either $d(\mathbf{a}, a_{n+1} a_{n+2} \cdots) = 0$ for some $n \geq 1$, i.e., \mathbf{a} is purely periodic, or infinitely many n such that (3) holds and thus $(a_1 \cdots a_n)^\infty \in \mathcal{M}_\leq$ infinitely often. Therefore, $\mathcal{M}_\leq \subseteq \text{cl}\{s_\leq(\mathbf{a}) : \mathbf{a} \in A^\infty \text{ purely periodic}\}$. For the opposite inclusion, we have to show that \mathcal{M}_\leq is closed. Consider $\mathbf{a} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{a}^{(k)}$ with $\mathbf{a}^{(k)} \in \mathcal{M}_\leq$. If $a_{n+1} a_{n+2} \cdots > \mathbf{a}$ for some $n \geq 1$, then we also had $a_{n+1}^{(k)} a_{n+2}^{(k)} \cdots > \mathbf{a}^{(k)}$ for all large enough k , contradicting that $\mathbf{a}^{(k)} \in \mathcal{M}_\leq$. This implies that $s_\leq(\mathbf{a}) = \mathbf{a}$, i.e., $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{M}_\leq$.

Since $s_\leq(\ell_\leq(\mathbf{a})) = \ell_\leq(\mathbf{a})$ for all $\mathbf{a} \in A^\infty$, we have $\mathcal{L}_\leq \subseteq \mathcal{M}_\leq$. For the opposite inclusion, let $\mathbf{a} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{a}^{(k)}$ for some purely periodic words $\mathbf{a}^{(k)} \in \mathcal{M}_\leq$, let (p_k) be an increasing sequence satisfying $\mathbf{a}^{(k)} = (a_1^{(k)} \cdots a_{p_k}^{(k)})^\infty$, and let $\mathbf{b} = a_1^{(1)} \cdots a_{p_1}^{(1)} a_1^{(2)} \cdots a_{p_2}^{(2)} \cdots$. Then $\ell_\leq(\mathbf{b}) \geq \mathbf{a}$. If $a_{i+1}^{(k)} \cdots a_{p_k}^{(k)} a_1^{(k+1)} \cdots a_{p_{k+1}}^{(k+1)} \cdots > \mathbf{a}$ for some $k \geq 1$, $0 \leq i < p_k$, then

$$(4) \quad \delta_{i,k} := d(a_{i+1}^{(k)} \cdots a_{p_k}^{(k)} a_1^{(k+1)} \cdots a_{p_{k+1}}^{(k+1)} \cdots, \mathbf{a}) \leq \max(d(\mathbf{a}^{(k)}, \mathbf{a}), d(\mathbf{a}^{(k)}, \mathbf{a}^{(k+1)}), 2^{-p_k}).$$

Indeed, for $1 \leq j \leq p_k - i$, we cannot have $[a_{i+1}^{(k)} \cdots a_{i+j}^{(k)}] > [a_1 \cdots a_j] = [a_1^{(k)} \cdots a_j^{(k)}]$ because this contradicts $\mathbf{a}^{(k)} \in \mathcal{M}_\leq$, thus $\delta_{i,k} = 2^{-j}$ implies $d(\mathbf{a}^{(k)}, \mathbf{a}) \geq 2^{-j}$; similarly, for $p_k - i < j \leq 2p_k - i$,

$$[a_{i+1}^{(k)} \cdots a_{p_k}^{(k)} a_1^{(k)} \cdots a_{i+j-p_k}^{(k)}] = [a_{i+1}^{(k)} \cdots a_{p_k}^{(k)} a_1^{(k+1)} \cdots a_{i+j-p_k}^{(k+1)}] > [a_1 \cdots a_j] = [a_1^{(k)} \cdots a_j^{(k)}]$$

is impossible, thus $\delta_{i,k} = 2^{-j}$ implies $d(\mathbf{a}^{(k)}, \mathbf{a}^{(k+1)}) \geq 2^{p_k - i - j}$ or $d(\mathbf{a}^{(k)}, \mathbf{a}) \geq 2^{-j}$; hence, we have $\delta_{i,k} < 2^{i-2p_k}$ or $\delta_{i,k} \leq 2^{i-p_k} d(\mathbf{a}^{(k)}, \mathbf{a}^{(k+1)})$ or $\delta_{i,k} \leq d(\mathbf{a}^{(k)}, \mathbf{a})$, which implies (4). Since the right hand side of (4) tends to 0 as $k \rightarrow \infty$, we have $\ell_\leq(\mathbf{b}) \leq \mathbf{a}$, thus $\mathbf{a} = \ell_\leq(\mathbf{b}) \in \mathcal{L}_\leq$. \square

3. SMALLEST ACCUMULATION POINT OF \mathcal{M}_\leq

For determining the smallest accumulation point \mathbf{m}_\leq of \mathcal{M}_\leq for a cylinder order (A^∞, \leq) , we can restrict to two-letter alphabets, w.l.o.g., $A = \{0, 1\}$. Indeed, if $\{0, 1\} \subseteq A$, $[0] < [1]$, then \mathcal{M}_\leq has the accumulation point 10^∞ (because $(10^n)^\infty \in \mathcal{M}_\leq$ for all $n \geq 1$), and we clearly have $s_\leq(\mathbf{a}) > 10^\infty$ if $\mathbf{a} \in A^\infty$ contains a letter $a_n \in A$ with $[a_n] > [1]$.

We use substitutions (also called word morphisms) and limit words (or S -adic sequences). Let A^* be the monoid of finite words over the alphabet A , with concatenation as operation. A *substitution* $\sigma : A^* \rightarrow A^*$ satisfies $\sigma(vw) = \sigma(v)\sigma(w)$ for all $v, w \in A^*$ and is extended naturally to A^∞ ; it suffices to give $\sigma(a)$ for $a \in A$ to define σ . For a sequence $\boldsymbol{\sigma} = (\sigma_n)_{n \geq 1}$ of substitutions on the alphabet A and an infinite word $\mathbf{a} \in A^\infty$, the *limit word* is

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\mathbf{a}) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{[1,n]}(\mathbf{a}),$$

if this limit exists; we use the notation $\sigma_{[1,n]} := \sigma_1 \circ \sigma_2 \circ \cdots \circ \sigma_n$ for $n \geq 0$, with $\sigma_{[1,0]}$ being the identity map. For a set of substitutions S , we denote the monoid generated by the composition of substitutions in S by S^* . We use the set of substitutions

$$S = \{\tau_{j,k} : 0 \leq j < k\}, \quad \text{with} \quad \begin{array}{l} \tau_{j,k} : 0 \mapsto 10^j, \\ \phantom{\tau_{j,k}} : 1 \mapsto 10^k. \end{array}$$

Our main result is the following characterization of the smallest accumulation point \mathbf{m}_\leq and the discrete part of \mathcal{M}_\leq for cylinder orders \leq .

Theorem 3. *Let $\mathbf{m} \in \{0, 1\}^\infty$. Then $\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{m}_\leq$ for some cylinder order \leq on $\{0, 1\}^\infty$ with $0^\infty < 1^\infty$ if and only if $\mathbf{m} = \sigma(10^\infty)$ for some $\sigma \in S^*$ or $\mathbf{m} = \boldsymbol{\sigma}(1^\infty)$ for some $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \in S^\infty$.*

If $\mathbf{m}_\leq = \boldsymbol{\sigma}(1^\infty)$, $\boldsymbol{\sigma} = (\sigma_n)_{n \geq 1} \in S^\infty$, then

$$(5) \quad \{\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{M}_\leq : \mathbf{a} < \mathbf{m}_\leq\} = \{(\sigma_{[1,n]}(0))^\infty : n \geq 0\}.$$

If $\mathbf{m}_\leq = \sigma_{[1,h]}(10^\infty)$, $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_h \in S$, $h \geq 0$, then

$$(6) \quad \{\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{M}_\leq : \mathbf{a} < \mathbf{m}_\leq\} = \{(\sigma_{[1,n]}(0))^\infty : 0 \leq n \leq h\} \\ \cup \{\sigma_{[1,h]}((10^j)^\infty) : j \geq 0, \sigma_{[1,h]}((10^j)^\infty) < \mathbf{m}_\leq\},$$

and there is at most one $j \geq 0$ such that $\sigma_{[1,h]}((10^j)^\infty) < \mathbf{m}_\leq$.

The following proposition constitutes the core of the proof of Theorem 3 and provides an algorithm for calculating \mathbf{m}_\leq .

Proposition 4. *Let \leq be a cylinder order on $\{0, 1\}^\infty$ with $[0] < [1]$.*

If $[10^j 1] < [10^j 0]$ holds for at most one $j \geq 0$, then $\mathbf{m}_\leq = 10^\infty$, and $\mathbf{a} < \mathbf{m}_\leq$ implies that $\mathbf{a} = 0^\infty$ or $\mathbf{a} = (10^j)^\infty$ (in case $[10^j 1] < [10^j 0]$).

Otherwise, we have $\mathbf{m}_\leq = \tau_{j,k}(\mathbf{m}_\preceq)$ for the cylinder order \preceq on $\{0, 1\}^\infty$ defined by $\mathbf{a} \preceq \mathbf{b}$ if $\tau_{j,k}(\mathbf{a}) \leq \tau_{j,k}(\mathbf{b})$, where j, k are minimal such that $0 \leq j < k$, $[10^j 1] < [10^j 0]$, $[10^k 1] < [10^k 0]$; we have $[0] \prec [1]$ and $\{\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{M}_\preceq : \mathbf{a} < \mathbf{m}_\preceq\} = \{0^\infty\} \cup \{\tau_{j,k}(\mathbf{a}) : \mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{M}_\preceq, \mathbf{a} \prec \mathbf{m}_\preceq\}$.

Proof. We have $\mathbf{m}_\leq \in [1]$ because $\mathcal{M}_\leq \cap [0] = \{0^\infty\}$, and $\mathbf{m}_\leq \leq 10^\infty$ because $(10^n)^\infty \in \mathcal{M}_\leq$ for all $n \geq 0$. If $[10^j 0] < [10^j 1]$ for all $j \geq 0$, i.e., $\min[1] = 10^\infty$, then $\mathbf{m}_\leq = 10^\infty$. Otherwise, let j be minimal such that $[10^j 1] < [10^j 0]$, i.e., $\min[1] \in [10^j]$. Then $\mathcal{M}_\leq \cap [10^j 1] = \{(10^j)^\infty\}$ implies that $\mathbf{m}_\leq \in [10^j 0]$. If $[10^k 0] < [10^k 1]$ for all $k > j$, i.e., $\min[10^j 0] = 10^\infty$, then $\mathbf{m}_\leq = 10^\infty$. Otherwise, let $k > j$ be minimal such that $[10^k 1] < [10^k 0]$, i.e., $\min[10^j 0] \in [10^k 1]$. We have $\mathbf{m}_\leq \leq \tau_{j,k}(10^\infty)$ because $\tau_{j,k}((10^n)^\infty) \in \mathcal{M}_\leq$ for all $n \geq 0$, thus $\mathbf{m}_\leq \in [10^k 1]$. Each word in $\mathcal{M}_\leq \cap [10^k 1]$ is a concatenation of blocks 10^j and 10^k , thus $\mathcal{M}_\leq \cap [10^k 1] \subseteq \tau_{j,k}([1])$. This proves that $\mathbf{m}_\leq = \tau_{j,k}(\mathbf{m}_\preceq)$, where $\mathbf{a} \preceq \mathbf{b}$ if $\tau_{j,k}(\mathbf{a}) \leq \tau_{j,k}(\mathbf{b})$; note that $\mathbf{m}_\preceq \in [1]$ because $[0] \prec [1]$. Since $\tau_{j,k}([w0]) \subseteq [\tau_{j,k}(w0)1]$ and $\tau_{j,k}([w1]) \subseteq [\tau_{j,k}(w0)0]$ for all $w \in \{0, 1\}^*$, \preceq is a cylinder order. If $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{M}_\preceq$ with $\mathbf{a} < \mathbf{m}_\preceq$, then $\mathbf{a} = 0^\infty$ or $\mathbf{a} = (10^j)^\infty = \tau_{j,k}(0^\infty)$ or $\mathbf{a} = \tau_{j,k}(\mathbf{a}')$ with $\mathbf{a}' \in \mathcal{M}_\preceq \cap [1]$, $\mathbf{a}' \prec \mathbf{m}_\preceq$. \square

The following lemma is used in the construction of a cylinder order \leq such that $\mathbf{m}_\leq = \mathbf{m}$ for a given word \mathbf{m} . Here, ε denotes the empty word.

Lemma 5. *Let $\boldsymbol{\sigma} = (\sigma_n)_{n \geq 1} \in S^\infty$, $w_n = \sigma_{[1,n]}(0) \cdots \sigma_{[1,1]}(0)$ for $n \geq 0$, with $w_0 = \varepsilon$.*

For all even $n \geq 0$, we have $\sigma_{[1,n]}([0]) \subseteq [w_n 0]$, $\sigma_{[1,n]}([1]) \subseteq [w_n 1]$.

For all odd $n \geq 1$, we have $\sigma_{[1,n]}([0]) \subseteq [w_n 1]$, $\sigma_{[1,n]}([1]) \subseteq [w_n 0]$.

Proof. Since $\sigma_{[1,0]}$ is the identity, the statement is trivial for $n = 0$. Suppose that it is true for all $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \in S^\infty$ for some even $n \geq 0$. Then

$$\sigma_{[1,n+1]}([0]) = \sigma_1 \circ \sigma_{[2,n+1]}([0]) \subseteq \sigma_1([\sigma_{[2,n+1]}(0) \cdots \sigma_{[2,2]}(0)0]) \subseteq [\sigma_{[1,n+1]}(0) \cdots \sigma_{[1,2]}(0)\sigma_1(0)1],$$

$$\sigma_{[1,n+1]}([1]) = \sigma_1 \circ \sigma_{[2,n+1]}([1]) \subseteq \sigma_1([\sigma_{[2,n+1]}(0) \cdots \sigma_{[2,2]}(0)1]) \subseteq [\sigma_{[1,n+1]}(0) \cdots \sigma_{[1,2]}(0)\sigma_1(0)0],$$

thus the statement is true for all $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \in S^\infty$ for $n+1$. The case of odd n is similar. \square

Proof of Theorem 3. Let first \mathbf{m}_\leq be a cylinder order with $[0] < [1]$. By iterating Proposition 4, we obtain a finite sequence $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_h \in S$ such that $\mathbf{m}_\leq = \sigma_{[1,h]}(10^\infty)$ or an infinite sequence $\boldsymbol{\sigma} = (\sigma_n)_{n \geq 1} \in S^\infty$ such that $\mathbf{m}_\leq \in \sigma_{[1,n]}([1])$ for all $n \geq 1$. Since $\sigma_{[1,n+1]}(1)$ starts with $\sigma_{[1,n]}(1)$ and is longer than $\sigma_{[1,n]}(1)$ for all $n \geq 1$, we have $\bigcap_{n \geq 1} \sigma_{[1,n]}([1]) = \{\boldsymbol{\sigma}(1^\infty)\}$. Equations (5) and (6) respectively follow from Proposition 4.

Let now $\sigma = (\sigma_n)_{n \geq 1} = (\tau_{j_n, k_n})_{n \geq 1} \in S^\infty$. By Proposition 4 and Lemma 5, we have $\sigma(1^\infty) = \mathbf{m}_\leq$ for all cylinder orders \leq satisfying

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} [\sigma_{[1,n]}(10^i)w_n 0] &< [\sigma_{[1,n]}(10^i)w_n 1] && \text{for all even } n \geq 0, j_{n+1} \neq i < k_{n+1}, \\ &&& \text{and for all odd } n \geq 1, i \in \{j_{n+1}, k_{n+1}\}, \\ [\sigma_{[1,n]}(10^i)w_n 1] &< [\sigma_{[1,n]}(10^i)w_n 0] && \text{for all odd } n \geq 1, j_{n+1} \neq i < k_{n+1}, \\ &&& \text{and for all even } n \geq 0, i \in \{j_{n+1}, k_{n+1}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Such cylinder orders exist since $\sigma_{[1,n+1]}(1)w_{n+1}$ is longer than $\sigma_{[1,n]}(10^{k_{n+1}})w_n = \sigma_{[1,n+1]}(1)w_n$ for all $n \geq 0$. To obtain $\mathbf{m}_\leq = \sigma_{[1,h]}(10^\infty)$, $h \geq 0$, we use cylinder orders \leq such that (7) holds only for $n < h$ and such that, for all $i \geq 0$, $[\sigma_{[1,h]}(10^i)w_h 0] < [\sigma_{[1,h]}(10^i)w_h 1]$ if h is even, $[\sigma_{[1,h]}(10^i)w_h 1] < [\sigma_{[1,h]}(10^i)w_h 0]$ if h is odd. \square

The sequence \mathbf{m}_\leq is thus either eventually periodic or an S -adic sequence. A word $\mathbf{a} = a_1 a_2 \dots$ is eventually periodic if and only if the factor complexity

$$p_{a_1 a_2 \dots}(n) := \#\{a_{k+1} a_{k+2} \dots a_{k+n} : k \geq 0\}$$

is bounded; see e.g. [Lot02, Theorem 1.3.13]. The smallest complexity for an aperiodic sequence is $p_{\mathbf{a}}(n) = n+1$, which is attained precisely by Sturmian sequences; see e.g. [Lot02, Theorem 2.1.5]. By [CP23, Proposition 2.1], all aperiodic words with $\limsup \frac{p_{\mathbf{a}}(n)}{n} < \frac{4}{3}$ are essentially equal to $\sigma(1^\infty)$ with $\sigma = (\tau_{j_n, k_n})_{n \geq 1} \in S^\infty$ (and $k_n \leq 2j_n + 1$ or $(j_n, k_n) = (0, 2)$). Without conditions on j_n, k_n , we get the following upper bound for $p_{\sigma(1^\infty)}(n)$, which is optimal since $p_{\tau_{0,k}^\infty(1^\infty)}(n) = 3n-2$ for all $k \geq 2$, $2 \leq n \leq k$.

Proposition 6. *Let \leq be a cylinder order. Then $p_{\mathbf{m}_\leq}(n) \leq 3n-2$ for all $n \geq 2$.*

Proof. The proof is similar to that of [Bal06, Theorem 17]; see also [CP23, Proposition 4.1]. Recall that the set of factors of a word $\mathbf{a} = a_1 a_2 \dots \in \{0, 1\}^\infty$ is $\{a_{k+1} a_{k+2} \dots a_{k+n} : k, n \geq 0\}$, a factor v of \mathbf{a} is *strong bispecial* if all four words $0v0, 0v1, 1v0, 1v1$ are factors of \mathbf{a} , *weak bispecial* if $0v1, 1v0$ are factors and $0v0, 1v1$ are not factors of \mathbf{a} . Then v is a strong/weak bispecial factor of $\sigma(1^\infty)$, $\sigma = (\sigma_n)_{n \geq 1} = (\tau_{j_n, k_n})_{n \geq 1} \in S^\infty$, if and only if $v = 0^{j_1}/0^{k_1-1}$, $k_1 \geq j_1+2$, or $v = 0^{j_1}\sigma_1(v'0)$, where v' is a strong/weak bispecial factor of $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{[2,n]}(1^\infty)$. By iterating, we obtain that all strong/weak bispecial factors of $\sigma(1^\infty)$ are of the form

$$w_{\ell, h} = \sigma_{[1,0]}(0^{j_1}) \dots \sigma_{[1,h-1]}(0^{j_h}) \sigma_{[1,h]}(0^\ell) \sigma_{[1,h]}(0) \dots \sigma_{[1,1]}(0),$$

with $h \geq 0$ such that $k_{h+1} \geq j_{h+1}+2$, where $\ell = j_{h+1}$ for a strong bispecial factor, $\ell = k_{h+1}-1$ for a weak one; here, $w_{\ell, 0} = 0^\ell$. For any recurrent word $\mathbf{a} \in \{0, 1\}^\infty$, the difference of $p_{\mathbf{a}}(n+2) - p_{\mathbf{a}}(n+1)$ and $p_{\mathbf{a}}(n+1) - p_{\mathbf{a}}(n)$ equals the difference of the number of strong and weak bispecial factors of \mathbf{a} of length n ; see [Cas97, Proposition 3.2]. By telescoping and since $p_{\mathbf{a}}(1) - p_{\mathbf{a}}(0) = 1$, $p_{\mathbf{a}}(n+2) - p_{\mathbf{a}}(n+1) - 1$ is equal to the difference of the number of strong and weak bispecial factors of \mathbf{a} up to length n . Since $|w_{k_{h+1}-1, h}| < |\sigma_{[1,h+1]}(1)| \leq |\sigma_{[1,h+2]}(0)| < |w_{j_{h+3}, h+2}|$ for all $h \geq 0$, this difference for $\mathbf{a} = \sigma(1^\infty)$ is at most 2 for all $n \geq 0$; note that $\sigma(1^\infty)$ is recurrent since $\sigma_{[n,n+1]}(1)$ starts with $10^{k_n}1$ for all $n \geq 1$. Since $p_{\mathbf{a}}(2) \leq 4$, we have thus $p_{\sigma(1^\infty)} \leq 3n-2$ for all $n \geq 2$. Since $p_{\sigma_{[1,h]}(10^\infty)}(n) \leq p_{\sigma(1^\infty)}(n)$ if k_{h+1} is sufficiently large, we also have $p_{\sigma_{[1,h]}(10^\infty)}(n) \leq 3n-2$ for all $n \geq 2$, which proves the proposition by Theorem 3. \square

Since the factor complexity is bounded by a linear function, we can apply the results of [AB07]. For $\beta > 1$, let

$$\pi_\beta(a_1 a_2 \cdots) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{\beta^n}.$$

Recall that Pisot and Salem numbers are algebraic integers $\beta > 1$ with all Galois conjugates (except β itself) having absolute value ≤ 1 ; β is a Salem number if a conjugate lies on the unit circle, a Pisot number otherwise. In particular, all integers $\beta \geq 2$ are Pisot numbers.

Proposition 7. *Let $\beta \geq 2$ be a Pisot or Salem number, and let \leq be a cylinder order on $\{0, 1\}^\infty$. Then $\pi_\beta(\mathbf{m}_\leq)$ is in $\mathbb{Q}(\beta)$ or transcendental.*

Proof. This is a direct consequence of Proposition 6 and [AB07, Theorem 1A]. \square

4. EXAMPLES

4.1. Lexicographic order. The classical order on the set of infinite words A^∞ with an ordered alphabet (A, \leq) is the lexicographic order, defined by $[wa] <_{\text{lex}} [wb]$ for all $w \in A^*$, $a, b \in A$ with $a < b$. For $A = \{0, 1\}$, we have $\mathbf{m}_{\leq_{\text{lex}}} = 10^\infty = \min \mathcal{M}_{\leq_{\text{lex}}} \setminus \{0^\infty\}$.

By [Par60, §2], a sequence $\mathbf{a} \in \{0, 1\}^\infty$ is the greedy β -expansion of 1 for some $\beta \in (1, 2)$ if and only if $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{M}_{\leq_{\text{lex}}} \setminus \{10^\infty\}$ and \mathbf{a} is not purely periodic; it is the quasi-greedy β -expansion of 1 for some $\beta \in (1, 2]$ if and only if $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{M}_{\leq_{\text{lex}}}$ and \mathbf{a} does not end with 0^∞ . Here, the greedy β -expansion of 1 is the lexicographically largest sequence $\mathbf{a} \in \{0, 1\}^\infty$ with $\pi_\beta(\mathbf{a}) = 1$, the quasi-greedy β -expansion of 1 is the largest such sequence that does not end with 0^∞ . By [HS90, Theorem 1], we also have that $(0^\infty, \mathbf{a})$ is the pair of kneading sequences of a Lorenz map if and only if $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{M}_{\leq_{\text{lex}}}$ does not end with 0^∞ .

4.2. Alternating lexicographic order. The alternating lexicographic order is defined by $[wa] <_{\text{alt}} [wb]$ if $a < b$ and $|w|$ is even, or $a > b$ and $|w|$ is odd, where $|w|$ denotes the length of a word $w \in A^*$. For $A = \{0, 1\}$ with $0 < 1$, we have $[11] <_{\text{alt}} [10]$, $[101] >_{\text{alt}} [100]$, $[1001] <_{\text{alt}} [1000]$, thus $\mathbf{m}_{\leq_{\text{alt}}} = \tau_{0,2}(\mathbf{m}_\leq)$ by Proposition 4, with $\mathbf{a} \preceq \mathbf{b}$ if $\tau_{0,2}(\mathbf{a}) \leq_{\text{alt}} \tau_{0,2}(\mathbf{b})$. Since \preceq is equal to \leq_{alt} , we obtain that $\mathbf{m}_{\leq_{\text{alt}}}$ is the fixed point of $\tau_{0,2}$, i.e.,

$$\mathbf{m}_{\leq_{\text{alt}}} = \tau_{0,2}(\mathbf{m}_{\leq_{\text{alt}}}) = 100111001001001110011 \cdots;$$

see also [All83, AC83, Dub07]. By Theorem 3, we have

$$(8) \quad \{\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{M}_{\leq_{\text{alt}}} : \mathbf{a} <_{\text{alt}} \mathbf{m}_{\leq_{\text{alt}}}\} = \{(\tau_{0,2}^n(0))^\infty : n \geq 0\} = \{0^\infty, 1^\infty, (100)^\infty, (10011)^\infty, \dots\}.$$

According to [IS09], the $(-\beta)$ -expansion of $x \in [\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}, \frac{1}{\beta+1})$, $\beta > 1$, is the sequence $a_1 a_2 \cdots$ with $a_n = \lfloor \frac{\beta}{\beta+1} - \beta T_{-\beta}^{n-1}(x) \rfloor$, given by the $(-\beta)$ -transformation $T_{-\beta}(y) := -\beta y - \lfloor \frac{\beta}{\beta+1} - \beta y \rfloor$, and the set of $(-\beta)$ -expansions is characterized by that of $\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}$. By [Ste13, Theorem 2], a sequence \mathbf{a} is the $(-\beta)$ -expansion of $\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}$ for some $\beta \in (1, 2)$ if and only if $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{M}_{\leq_{\text{alt}}} \setminus \{(10)^\infty\}$, $\mathbf{a} >_{\text{alt}} \mathbf{m}_{\leq_{\text{alt}}}$, and $\mathbf{a} \notin \{w1, w00\}^\infty \setminus \{(w1)^\infty\}$ for all $w \in \{0, 1\}^*$ such that $(w1)^\infty >_{\text{alt}} \mathbf{m}_{\leq_{\text{alt}}}$. Note that continued fractions are also ordered by the alternating lexicographic order on the sequences of partial quotients, and $\mathbf{m}_{\leq_{\text{alt}}}$ occurs e.g. in [KSS12, Remark 11.1].

4.3. **Unimodal maps.** Let $A = \{0, 1\}$ and define the *unimodal order* by $[w0] <_{\text{uni}} [w1]$ if $|w|_1$ is even, $[w0] >_{\text{uni}} [w1]$ if $|w|_1$ is odd, where $|w|_1$ denotes the number of occurrences of 1 in $w \in \{0, 1\}^*$. Then we have $[11] <_{\text{uni}} [10]$, $[101] <_{\text{uni}} [100]$, and

$$\mathbf{m}_{\leq_{\text{uni}}} = \tau_{0,1}(\mathbf{m}_{\leq_{\text{alt}}}) = 10111010101110111011101010111010 \cdots .$$

This is the fixed point of the period-doubling (or Feigenbaum) substitution $0 \mapsto 11$, $1 \mapsto 10$. The set $\mathcal{M}_{\leq_{\text{uni}}}$ is the set of kneading sequences of unimodal maps [CE80, MT88].

We define the *flipped unimodal order* by $[w0] <_{\text{flip}} [w1]$ if $|w|_0$ is even, $[w0] >_{\text{flip}} [w1]$ if $|w|_0$ is odd, where $|w|_0$ denotes the number of occurrences of 0 in $w \in \{0, 1\}^*$. Then we have $[11] >_{\text{flip}} [10]$, $[101] <_{\text{flip}} [100]$, $[1001] >_{\text{flip}} [1000]$, $[10001] >_{\text{flip}} [10000]$, and

$$\mathbf{m}_{\leq_{\text{flip}}} = \tau_{1,3}(\mathbf{m}_{\leq_{\text{alt}}}) = 100010101000100010001010100010101000101010001000 \cdots .$$

Note that $0\mathbf{m}_{\leq_{\text{flip}}} = F(\mathbf{m}_{\leq_{\text{uni}}})$, where $F(a_1a_2 \cdots) := (1-a_1)(1-a_2) \cdots$, and we have

$$\mathcal{M}_{\leq_{\text{uni}}} = 1F(\mathcal{M}_{\leq_{\text{flip}}}) \cup \{0^\infty\}.$$

4.4. **Sturmian sequences.** The set of substitutions $\{\theta_k : k \geq 1\}$ defined by $\theta_k(0) = 0^{k-1}1$, $\theta_k(1) = 0^{k-1}10$, generates the standard Sturmian words; see [Lot02, Corollary 2.2.22]. Since $\tau_{k-1,k}$ is rotationally conjugate to θ_k , more precisely $\theta_k(w)0^{k-1} = 0^{k-1}\tau_{k-1,k}(w)$ for all $w \in \{0, 1\}^*$, the set of substitutions $\{\tau_{k-1,k} : k \geq 1\}$ generates the same shifts as $\{\theta_k : k \geq 0\}$. Therefore, the limit words of sequences in $\{\tau_{k-1,k} : k \geq 1\}^\infty$ provide elements of all Sturmian shifts. For example, the limit word of the sequence $(\tau_{0,1})^\infty$ is the Fibonacci word.

5. SYMMETRIC ALPHABETS

For a real number $q > 1$, the set

$$(9) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_q := \left\{ \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|xq^n\| : x \in \mathbb{R} \right\},$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the distance to the nearest integer, is a multiplicative version of the Lagrange spectrum and was studied in [Dub06, AK21]. If q is an integer, then representing $x = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} a_k q^{-k}$ with $a_k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $a_k \neq 0$ for finitely many $k \leq 0$, $|\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k q^{n-k}| \leq 1/2$, gives that $\|xq^n\| = |\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k q^{n-k}|$; see also Proposition 10 below. This leads us to consider

$$\mathcal{M}_{\leq}^{\text{abs}} = \{s_{\leq}^{\text{abs}}(\mathbf{a}) : \mathbf{a} \in \{0, \pm 1\}^\infty\} \quad \text{with} \quad s_{\leq}^{\text{abs}}(a_1 a_2 \cdots) = \sup_{n \geq 1} \text{abs}(a_n a_{n+1} \cdots),$$

where

$$\text{abs}(\mathbf{a}) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{a} & \text{if } \mathbf{a} \geq_{\text{lex}} 0^\infty, \\ -\mathbf{a} & \text{if } \mathbf{a} \leq_{\text{lex}} 0^\infty, \end{cases} \quad -(a_1 a_2 \cdots) = (-a_1)(-a_2) \cdots .$$

We denote the smallest accumulation point of $\mathcal{M}_{\leq}^{\text{abs}}$ by $\mathbf{m}_{\leq}^{\text{abs}}$.

The same proof as for Theorem 1 shows for all cylinder orders \leq on $\{0, \pm 1\}^\infty$ that

$$\mathcal{L}_{\leq}^{\text{abs}} = \mathcal{M}_{\leq}^{\text{abs}} = \text{cl}\{s_{\leq}^{\text{abs}}(\mathbf{a}) : \mathbf{a} \in \{0, \pm 1\}^\infty \text{ purely periodic}\},$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{\leq}^{\text{abs}} := \{\limsup_{n \geq 1} \text{abs}(a_n a_{n+1} \cdots) : a_1 a_2 \cdots \in \{0, \pm 1\}^\infty\}$.

In the following, we assume that a cylinder order on $\{0, \pm 1\}^\infty$ is *consistent* (with the natural order on $\{0, \pm 1\}$), which means that, for each $w \in \{0, \pm 1\}^*$, we have $[w(-1)] <$

$[w0] < [w1]$ or $[w(-1)] > [w0] > [w1]$. In order to describe $\mathbf{m}_{\leq}^{\text{abs}}$, we define maps $\varrho_0, \varrho_1, \varrho_2$ from $\{0, 1\}^*$ to $\{0, \pm 1\}^*$ by $\varrho_0(\varepsilon) = \varrho_1(\varepsilon) = \varrho_2(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon$ for the empty word ε , and

$$\begin{aligned}\varrho_0(w0) &= \begin{cases} \varrho_0(w)1 & \text{if } |w|_0 \text{ is even,} \\ \varrho_0(w)(-1) & \text{if } |w|_0 \text{ is odd,} \end{cases} & \varrho_0(w1) &= \begin{cases} \varrho_0(w)10 & \text{if } |w|_0 \text{ is even,} \\ \varrho_0(w)(-1)0 & \text{if } |w|_0 \text{ is odd,} \end{cases} \\ \varrho_1(w0) &= \begin{cases} \varrho_1(w)1 & \text{if } |w|_1 \text{ is even,} \\ \varrho_1(w)(-1) & \text{if } |w|_1 \text{ is odd,} \end{cases} & \varrho_1(w1) &= \begin{cases} \varrho_1(w)10 & \text{if } |w|_1 \text{ is even,} \\ \varrho_1(w)(-1)0 & \text{if } |w|_1 \text{ is odd,} \end{cases} \\ \varrho_2(w0) &= \begin{cases} \varrho_2(w)1 & \text{if } |w| \text{ is even,} \\ \varrho_2(w)(-1) & \text{if } |w| \text{ is odd,} \end{cases} & \varrho_2(w1) &= \begin{cases} \varrho_2(w)10 & \text{if } |w| \text{ is even,} \\ \varrho_2(w)(-1)0 & \text{if } |w| \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

for all $w \in \{0, 1\}^*$, where $|w|$ is the length of a word w and $|w|_i$ the number of occurrences of the letter i in w . As for substitutions, the maps ϱ_i are extended naturally to $\{0, 1\}^\infty$.

Theorem 8. *Let $\mathbf{m} \in \{0, \pm 1\}^\infty$. Then $\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{m}_{\leq}^{\text{abs}}$ for some consistent cylinder order \leq on $\{0, \pm 1\}^\infty$ with $0^\infty < 1^\infty$ if and only if $\mathbf{m} = \sigma(\mathbf{m}_{\preceq})$ for some $\sigma \in \{\varrho_0, \varrho_1, \varrho_2, \tau_{0,1}\}$ and some cylinder order \preceq on $\{0, 1\}^\infty$ with $0^\infty \prec 1^\infty$.*

If $\mathbf{m}_{\leq}^{\text{abs}} = \sigma(\mathbf{m}_{\preceq})$, then we can assume that $\mathbf{a} \preceq \mathbf{b}$ if and only if $\sigma(\mathbf{a}) \leq \sigma(\mathbf{b})$, and we have

$$(10) \quad \{\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{M}_{\leq}^{\text{abs}} : \mathbf{a} < \mathbf{m}_{\leq}^{\text{abs}}\} = \{0^\infty\} \cup \{\sigma(\mathbf{a}) : \mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{M}_{\preceq}, \mathbf{a} \prec \mathbf{m}_{\preceq}\}.$$

Proof. Let first \leq be a consistent cylinder order on $\{0, \pm 1\}^\infty$. Then the order \preceq defined by $\mathbf{a} \preceq \mathbf{b}$ if $\sigma(\mathbf{a}) \leq \sigma(\mathbf{b})$ is a cylinder order for all $\sigma \in \{\varrho_0, \varrho_1, \varrho_2, \tau_{0,1}\}$. Indeed, for any $w \in \{0, 1\}^*$, we have $\sigma([w0]) \subset [\sigma(w)xy]$, $\sigma([w1]) \subset [\sigma(w)x0]$, where $x, y \in \{\pm 1\}$.

Assume first that $[1\bar{1}] < [10]$; here and in the following, we use the notation $\bar{1} = -1$. Then $\mathcal{M}_{\leq}^{\text{abs}} \cap [1\bar{1}] = \{(1\bar{1})^\infty\}$, thus $\mathbf{m}_{\leq}^{\text{abs}} \in [10]$. If $[10\bar{1}] < [100]$, then each 1 in a word in $\mathcal{M}_{\leq}^{\text{abs}} \cap [10\bar{1}]$ is followed by $\bar{1}$ or $0\bar{1}$, and each $\bar{1}$ is followed by 1 or $0\bar{1}$, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{M}_{\leq}^{\text{abs}} \cap [10\bar{1}] \subseteq 10(\{\bar{1}, \bar{1}0\}\{1, 10\})^\infty = \varrho_2([1]).$$

Therefore, $\mathbf{m}_{\leq}^{\text{abs}} = \varrho_2(\mathbf{m}_{\preceq})$ for the cylinder order \preceq defined by $\mathbf{a} \preceq \mathbf{b}$ if $\varrho_2(\mathbf{a}) \leq \varrho_2(\mathbf{b})$. If $[101] < [100]$, then each 1 in a word in $\mathcal{M}_{\leq}^{\text{abs}} \cap [101]$ is followed by $\bar{1}$ or $0\bar{1}$, and each $\bar{1}$ is followed by 1 or $0\bar{1}$, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}_{\leq}^{\text{abs}} \cap [101] &\subseteq 10((10)^*1(\bar{1}0)^*\bar{1})^\infty \cup 10((10)^*1(\bar{1}0)^*\bar{1})^*(10)^\infty \cup 10((10)^*1(\bar{1}0)^*\bar{1})^*(10)^*1(\bar{1}0)^\infty \\ &= \varrho_0(1(1^*0\bar{1}^*0)^\infty) \cup \varrho_0(1(1^*0\bar{1}^*0)^*1^\infty) \cup \varrho_0(1(1^*0\bar{1}^*0)^*1^*0\bar{1}^\infty) = \varrho_0([1]).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have $\mathbf{m}_{\leq}^{\text{abs}} = \varrho_0(\mathbf{m}_{\preceq})$ for the cylinder order \preceq defined by $\mathbf{a} \preceq \mathbf{b}$ if $\varrho_0(\mathbf{a}) \leq \varrho_0(\mathbf{b})$. Assume now $[11] < [10]$. Then $\mathcal{M}_{\leq}^{\text{abs}} \cap [11] = \{1^\infty\}$, thus $\mathbf{m}_{\leq}^{\text{abs}} \in [10]$. If $[10\bar{1}] < [100]$, then

$$\mathcal{M}_{\leq}^{\text{abs}} \cap [10\bar{1}] \subseteq 10(\bar{1}^*\bar{1}01^*10)^\infty \cup 10(\bar{1}^*\bar{1}01^*10)^*\bar{1}^\infty \cup 10(\bar{1}^*\bar{1}01^*10)^*\bar{1}^*\bar{1}01^\infty = \varrho_1([1]),$$

thus $\mathbf{m}_{\leq}^{\text{abs}} = \varrho_1(\mathbf{m}_{\preceq})$, with \preceq defined by $\mathbf{a} \preceq \mathbf{b}$ if $\varrho_1(\mathbf{a}) \leq \varrho_1(\mathbf{b})$. If $[101] < [100]$, then

$$\mathcal{M}_{\leq}^{\text{abs}} \cap [101] \subseteq 10\{1, 10\}^\infty = \tau_{0,1}([1]),$$

thus $\mathbf{m}_{\leq}^{\text{abs}} = \tau_{0,1}(\mathbf{m}_{\preceq})$ for the cylinder order \preceq defined by $\mathbf{a} \preceq \mathbf{b}$ if $\tau_{0,1}(\mathbf{a}) \leq \tau_{0,1}(\mathbf{b})$. Since $\varrho_0(0^\infty) = \varrho_2(0^\infty) = (1\bar{1})^\infty$ and $\varrho_1(0^\infty) = \tau_{0,1}(0^\infty) = 1^\infty$, equation (10) holds.

Let now \preceq be a cylinder order on $\{0, 1\}^\infty$ with $0^\infty \prec 1^\infty$ and $\sigma \in \{\varrho_0, \varrho_1, \varrho_2, \tau_{0,1}\}$. Then there exists a consistent cylinder order \leq on $\{0, \pm 1\}^\infty$ satisfying $\sigma(\mathbf{a}) \leq \sigma(\mathbf{b})$ if $\mathbf{a} \preceq \mathbf{b}$ and $0^\infty < 1^\infty$. Indeed, for $w \in \{0, \pm 1\}^*$ and distinct $a, b \in \{0, \pm 1\}$, we set $[wa] < [wb]$ if

$\mathbf{a}' \prec \mathbf{b}'$ for some $\mathbf{a}', \mathbf{b}' \in \{0, 1\}^\infty$ with $\sigma(\mathbf{a}') \in [wa]$, $\sigma(\mathbf{b}') \in [wb]$; by Lemma 2, this does not depend on the choice of \mathbf{a}', \mathbf{b}' . Moreover, since $\sigma(\mathbf{a}) \in [w\bar{1}]$ and $\sigma(\mathbf{b}) \in [w1]$ is impossible, we have no obstruction to a consistent cylinder order. Since $0^\infty \prec 1^\infty$, we have $[1\bar{1}] < [10]$ in case $\sigma \in \{\varrho_0, \varrho_2\}$, $[11] < [10]$ in case $\sigma \in \{\varrho_1, \tau_{0,1}\}$. We can set $[10\bar{1}] < [100]$ in case $\sigma \in \{\varrho_1, \varrho_2\}$ because $([100] \cup [101]) \cap \sigma(\{0, 1\})^\infty = \emptyset$, similarly we can set $[101] < [100]$ in case $\sigma \in \{\varrho_0, \tau_{0,1}\}$. Then we have $\mathbf{m}_{\leq}^{\text{abs}} = \sigma(\mathbf{m}_{\leq})$. \square

Proposition 9. *Let $\beta \geq 3$ be a Pisot or Salem number, and let \leq be a consistent cylinder order on $\{0, \pm 1\}^\infty$. Then $\pi_\beta(\mathbf{m}_{\leq}^{\text{abs}})$ is in $\mathbb{Q}(\beta)$ or transcendental.*

Proof. Let $G(a_1 a_2 \cdots) = |a_1| |a_2| \cdots$. Then $G \circ \varrho_i = \tau_{0,1}$ for all $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, thus $p_{G(\mathbf{m}_{\leq}^{\text{abs}})}(n) \leq 3n-2$ by Proposition 6, Theorems 3 and 8. Moreover, the map G is 2-to-1 from the set of factors of $\mathbf{m}_{\leq}^{\text{abs}}$ to the set of factors of $G(\mathbf{m}_{\leq}^{\text{abs}})$, thus $p_{\mathbf{m}_{\leq}^{\text{abs}}}(n) \leq 6n-4$. By [AB07, Theorem 1A] and by adding 1 to each digit of $\mathbf{m}_{\leq}^{\text{abs}}$, we obtain that $\pi_\beta(\mathbf{m}_{\leq}^{\text{abs}}) + \frac{1}{\beta-1}$ is in $\mathbb{Q}(\beta)$ or transcendental, thus also $\pi_\beta(\mathbf{m}_{\leq}^{\text{abs}})$ is in $\mathbb{Q}(\beta)$ or transcendental. \square

6. EXAMPLES OF ORDERS ON SYMMETRIC SHIFT SPACES

6.1. Lexicographic order. For the lexicographic order on $\{0, \pm 1\}^\infty$ (with $-1 < 0 < 1$), we have $[1\bar{1}] < [10]$, $[10\bar{1}] < [100]$, and we obtain that

$$\mathbf{m}_{\leq_{\text{lex}}}^{\text{abs}} = \varrho_2(\mathbf{m}_{\leq_{\text{alt}}}) = 10\bar{1}1\bar{1}010\bar{1}01\bar{1}10\bar{1}1\bar{1}01\bar{1}10\bar{1}010\bar{1}1\bar{1}010 \cdots.$$

The following proposition relates the Lagrange spectrum $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_q$, defined in (9), and its smallest accumulation point $\tilde{\mathbf{m}}_q$ to $\mathcal{M}_{\leq_{\text{lex}}}^{\text{abs}}$ and $\mathbf{m}_{\leq_{\text{lex}}}^{\text{abs}}$; it slightly improves results of [Dub06, AK21].

Proposition 10. *We have*

$$(11) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_2 = \pi_2(\mathcal{M}_{\leq_{\text{lex}}}^{\text{abs}}) \cap [0, \frac{1}{2}] \neq \pi_2(\mathcal{M}_{\leq_{\text{lex}}}^{\text{abs}}), \quad \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_3 = \pi_3(\mathcal{M}_{\leq_{\text{lex}}}^{\text{abs}}),$$

$$(12) \quad \pi_q(\mathcal{M}_{\leq_{\text{lex}}}^{\text{abs}}) = \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_q \cap [0, \frac{1}{q-1}] \neq \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_q \quad \text{for all integers } q \geq 4.$$

For all integers $q \geq 2$, we have $\tilde{\mathbf{m}}_q = \pi_q(\mathbf{m}_{\leq_{\text{lex}}}^{\text{abs}}) = \pi_q(\varrho_2(\mathbf{m}_{\leq_{\text{alt}}}))$ and

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_q \cap [0, \tilde{\mathbf{m}}_q) = \pi_q(\mathcal{M}_{\leq_{\text{lex}}}^{\text{abs}}) \cap [0, \tilde{\mathbf{m}}_q) = \{0\} \cup \{\pi_q(\varrho_2(\tau_{0,2}^n(0^\infty))) : n \geq 0\}.$$

Proof. As mentioned at the beginning of Section 5, for integer $q \geq 2$, $\|xq^n\|$ can be determined by a symmetric q -expansion of x . We can assume w.l.o.g. $|x| \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Let

$$\mathcal{A}_q := \{a_1 a_2 \cdots \in A_q^\infty : |\pi_q(a_k a_{k+1} \cdots)| \leq \frac{1}{2} \text{ for all } k \geq 1\}, \text{ with } A_q := \{0, \pm 1, \dots, \pm \lfloor q/2 \rfloor\}.$$

For each $x \in [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$, we obtain a sequence $\mathbf{a} = a_1 a_2 \cdots \in \mathcal{A}_q$ satisfying $x = \pi_q(\mathbf{a})$ by taking $a_k = \lfloor q\tilde{T}_q^{k-1}(x) + \frac{1}{2} \rfloor$ where $\tilde{T}_q(y) := qy - \lfloor qy + \frac{1}{2} \rfloor$. Then

$$\|xq^n\| = |\pi_q(a_{n+1} a_{n+2} \cdots)| = \pi_q(\text{abs}(a_{n+1} a_{n+2} \cdots)).$$

Note that $\mathbf{a} \leq_{\text{lex}} \mathbf{b}$ implies $\pi_q(\mathbf{a}) \leq \pi_q(\mathbf{b})$ for all $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{A}_q$. Since $\mathcal{L}_{\leq_{\text{lex}}}^{\text{abs}} = \mathcal{M}_{\leq_{\text{lex}}}^{\text{abs}}$ (and a similar relation holds for larger alphabets), we obtain that

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_q = \{\pi_q(s_{\leq_{\text{lex}}}^{\text{abs}}(\mathbf{a})) : \mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{A}_q\}.$$

For $q \in \{2, 3\}$, we have $A_q = \{0, \pm 1\}$, thus $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_q \subseteq \pi_q(\mathcal{M}_{\leq_{\text{lex}}}^{\text{abs}})$. For $q \geq 3$, we have $\{0, \pm 1\}^\infty \subseteq \mathcal{A}_q$, thus $\pi_q(\mathcal{M}_{\leq_{\text{lex}}}^{\text{abs}}) \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_q$. Since $\pi_2(\mathcal{M}_{\leq_{\text{lex}}}^{\text{abs}}) \cap [0, \frac{1}{2}] \subseteq \mathcal{L}_2$ and $1 = \pi_2(1^\infty) \in \pi_2(\mathcal{M}_{\leq_{\text{lex}}}^{\text{abs}}) \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_2$, this proves (11). For $q \geq 4$, we have $\pi_q(s_{\leq_{\text{lex}}}^{\text{abs}}(\mathbf{a})) \geq \pi_q((2\bar{2})^\infty) = \frac{2}{q+1} > \frac{1}{q-1}$ for all $\mathbf{a} \in A_q^\infty \setminus$

$\{0, \pm 1\}^\infty$, thus $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_q \cap [0, \frac{1}{q-1}] \subseteq \pi_q(\mathcal{M}_{\leq \text{lex}}^{\text{abs}})$. Together with $\frac{2}{q+1} \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_q \setminus \pi_q(\mathcal{M}_{\leq \text{lex}}^{\text{abs}})$, we obtain (12). Since π_q is order-preserving on \mathcal{A}_q , we obtain that $\tilde{\mathbf{m}}_q = \pi_q(\mathbf{m}_{\leq \text{lex}}^{\text{abs}})$ and that $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_q$ and $\pi_q(\mathcal{M}_{\leq \text{lex}}^{\text{abs}})$ agree on $[0, \tilde{\mathbf{m}}_q]$. Since $\{\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{M}_{\leq \text{lex}}^{\text{abs}} : \mathbf{a} < \mathbf{m}_{\leq \text{lex}}^{\text{abs}}\}$ is equal to $\{0^\infty\} \cup \{\varrho_2(\tau_{0,2}^n(0^\infty)) : n \geq 0\}$ by Theorem 8 and (8), this completes the proof of the proposition. \square

6.2. Alternating lexicographic order. For the alternating lexicographic order on $\{0, \pm 1\}^\infty$ (with $-1 < 0 < 1$), we have $[11] <_{\text{alt}} [10]$ and $[10\bar{1}] <_{\text{alt}} [100]$,

$$\mathbf{m}_{\leq \text{alt}}^{\text{abs}} = \varrho_1(\mathbf{m}_{\leq \text{alt}}) = 10\bar{1}\bar{1}\bar{1}010\bar{1}01110\bar{1}\bar{1}\bar{1}01110\bar{1}010\bar{1}\bar{1}\bar{1}010 \dots$$

6.3. Bimodal order. Similarly to the unimodal order, we define the *bimodal order* on $\{0, \pm 1\}^\infty$ by $[wa] <_{\text{bi}} [wb]$ if $a < b$ (with $-1 < 0 < 1$) and $|w|_1 + |w|_{-1}$ is even, or $a > b$ and $|w|_1 + |w|_{-1}$ is odd. Then $\mathbf{m}_{\leq \text{bi}}^{\text{abs}} = \tau_{0,1}(\mathbf{m}_{\leq \text{alt}}) = \mathbf{m}_{\leq \text{uni}}$. We get the same result for the order defined by $[wa] < [wb]$ if $a < b$ and $|w|_1$ is even, or $a > b$ and $|w|_1$ is odd.

We also define the *flipped bimodal order* on $\{0, \pm 1\}^\infty$ by $[wa] <_{\text{biflip}} [wb]$ if $a < b$ and $|w|_0$ is even, or $a > b$ and $|w|_0$ is odd. Then

$$\mathbf{m}_{\leq \text{biflip}}^{\text{abs}} = \varrho_0(\mathbf{m}_{\leq \text{alt}}) = 10\bar{1}\bar{1}010101\bar{1}101\bar{1}101\bar{1}101\bar{1}010101\bar{1}1010 \dots$$

6.4. Other orders. For $\mathbf{e} \in \{\pm 1\}^\infty$, we define a cylinder order $\leq_{\mathbf{e}}$ on $\{0, \pm 1\}^\infty$ by

$$\mathbf{a} \leq_{\mathbf{e}} \mathbf{b} \quad \text{if } \mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{a} \leq_{\text{lex}} \mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{b},$$

where $(e_1 e_2 \dots) \cdot (a_1 a_2 \dots) = (e_1 a_1)(e_2 a_2) \dots$. We know from Proposition 7 that $\pi_\beta(\mathbf{m}_{\leq_{\mathbf{e}}}^{\text{abs}})$ is in $\mathbb{Q}(\beta)$ or transcendental for all Pisot or Salem numbers β . However, here the value of $\pi_\beta(\mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\leq_{\mathbf{e}}}^{\text{abs}})$, which is the smallest accumulation point of $\{\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{e_k a_k}{\beta^{k-n}}| : a_1 a_2 \dots \in \{0, \pm 1\}^\infty\}$ when $e_1 = 1$ and $\beta \geq 3$, is more relevant. If \mathbf{e} is periodic with period k , then $p_{\mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{a}}(n) \leq k p_{\mathbf{a}}(n)$, hence $\pi_\beta(\mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\leq_{\mathbf{e}}}^{\text{abs}})$ is also in $\mathbb{Q}(\beta)$ or transcendental for all Pisot or Salem numbers β . We do not know whether the same result holds when \mathbf{e} is aperiodic.

REFERENCES

- [AB07] Boris Adamczewski and Yann Bugeaud, *On the complexity of algebraic numbers. I. Expansions in integer bases*, Ann. of Math. (2) **165** (2007), no. 2, 547–565.
- [AC83] Jean-Paul Allouche and Michel Cosnard, *Itérations de fonctions unimodales et suites engendrées par automates*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **296** (1983), no. 3, 159–162.
- [AK21] Shigeki Akiyama and Hajime Kaneko, *Multiplicative analogue of Markoff-Lagrange spectrum and Pisot numbers*, Adv. Math. **380** (2021), Paper No. 107547, 39.
- [All83] Jean-Paul Allouche, *Théorie des nombres et automates*, Thèse d'État, Bordeaux, 1983.
- [Bal06] Ľubomíra Balková, *Complexity for infinite words associated with quadratic non-simple Parry numbers*, J. Geom. Symmetry Phys. **7** (2006), 1–11.
- [Cas97] Julien Cassaigne, *Complexité et facteurs spéciaux*, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin **4** (1997), no. 1, 67–88, Journées Montoises (Mons, 1994).
- [CE80] Pierre Collet and Jean-Pierre Eckmann, *Iterated maps on the interval as dynamical systems*, Progress in Physics, vol. 1, Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 1980.
- [CF89] Thomas W. Cusick and Mary E. Flahive, *The Markoff and Lagrange spectra*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 30, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1989.
- [CP23] Darren Creutz and Ronnie Pavlov, *Low complexity subshifts have discrete spectrum*, 2023, arXiv:2302.10336v3.
- [Dub06] Artūras Dubickas, *On the distance from a rational power to the nearest integer*, J. Number Theory **117** (2006), no. 1, 222–239.

- [Dub07] ———, *On a sequence related to that of Thue-Morse and its applications*, Discrete Math. **307** (2007), no. 9-10, 1082–1093.
- [Fre68] Gregory A. Freĭman, *Non-coincidence of the spectra of Markov and of Lagrange*, Mat. Zametki **3** (1968), 195–200.
- [HS90] John H. Hubbard and Colin T. Sparrow, *The classification of topologically expansive Lorenz maps*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **43** (1990), no. 4, 431–443.
- [IS09] Shunji Ito and Taizo Sadahiro, *Beta-expansions with negative bases*, Integers **9** (2009), A22, 239–259.
- [KSS12] Cor Kraaikamp, Thomas A. Schmidt, and Wolfgang Steiner, *Natural extensions and entropy of α -continued fractions*, Nonlinearity **25** (2012), no. 8, 2207–2243.
- [Lot02] M. Lothaire, *Algebraic combinatorics on words*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 90, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.
- [MT88] John Milnor and William Thurston, *On iterated maps of the interval*, Dynamical systems (College Park, MD, 1986–87), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1342, Springer, Berlin, 1988, pp. 465–563.
- [Par60] Walter Parry, *On the β -expansions of real numbers*, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. **11** (1960), 401–416.
- [PZ20] Mickaël Postic and Luca Q. Zamboni, *ω -Lyndon words*, Theoret. Comput. Sci. **809** (2020), 39–44.
- [Reu06] Christophe Reutenauer, *Mots de Lyndon généralisés*, Sém. Lothar. Combin. **54** (2006), Art. B54h, 16.
- [Ste13] Wolfgang Steiner, *Digital expansions with negative real bases*, Acta Math. Hungar. **139** (2013), no. 1-2, 106–119.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS / RESEARCH CORE FOR MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF
TSUKUBA, TSUKUBA, JAPAN

Email address: `kanekoha@math.tsukuba.ac.jp`

UNIVERSITÉ PARIS CITÉ, CNRS, IRIF, F-75006 PARIS, FRANCE

Email address: `steiner@irif.fr`