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## Shared Memory Model



Single Writer / Multiple Reader registers

## Wait-Free Computing

Code of process $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ with input $x_{i}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{i} \leftarrow x_{i} \\
& \text { For } r=1 \text { to } t \text { do } \\
& \begin{array}{c}
\text { Atomic } \\
\text { operations } \\
\\
\text { write } \\
\left(V_{i}\right) \text { in register } M[i]
\end{array} \\
& \text { for } j=1 \text { to } n \text { do } v_{j} \leftarrow \operatorname{read}(M[j]) \\
& \text { Full } \\
& \text { Information } \\
& \longrightarrow V_{i} \leftarrow\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right) \\
& \text { protocol }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Read/Write Interleaving

Assume $n=3$


## Read/Write Interleaving

- process 1
- process 2
- process 3




## French Grammar

- First Group: all verbs with infinitive form -er (but aller).
- Second group: all verbs with infinitive form -ir and gerundive form -issant.
- Third group: all the rest!


## Snapshots

## and Immediate Snapshots

IMMEDIATE SNAPSHOTS


SNAPSHOTS


## Immediate Snapshots

- process 1
- process 2
- process 3



## (Non-Immediate) Snapshots

- process 1
- process 2
- process 3



## The Rest...

- process 1
- process 2
- process 3

\{3\}


## System Configuration

Configuration $\sigma=\left\{p_{1}\right.$ in state $x_{1}, p_{2}$ in state $x_{2}, p_{3}$ in state $\left.x_{3}\right\}$

- process 1
- process 2
- process 3



## One Round Starting from $\sigma$



## Iterated Model



For every $i=1,2, \ldots$ the $i$-th write of each process, as well as all the $n-1$ reads performed after that write are performed in the $\boldsymbol{i}$-th level of the memory.

## Iterated Wait-Free Computing

Code of process $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ with input $x_{i}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{i} \leftarrow x_{i} \\
& \text { For } r=1 \text { to } t \text { do } \\
& \quad \text { write }\left(V_{i}\right) \text { in register } M_{r}[i] \\
& \quad \text { for } j=1 \text { to } n \text { do } v_{j} \leftarrow \operatorname{read}\left(M_{r}[j]\right) \\
& \qquad V_{i} \leftarrow\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right) \\
& \text { decide } y_{i}=f\left(V_{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Multi-Round Computation with Immediate Snapshots



## Simplicial Complex

- A (simplicial) complex $\mathscr{K}$ over a set $V$ is a collection of non-empty subsets of $V$ closed by inclusion

$$
(\sigma \in \mathscr{K} \text { and } \emptyset \neq \tau \subseteq \sigma) \Longrightarrow \tau \in \mathscr{K}
$$

- Any set $\sigma \in \mathscr{K}$ is called a simplex.
- Any element of $V$ that is in $\mathscr{K}$ is called a vertex.
- Example: A graph $G=(V, E)$ is the complex over $V$ with simplices $V \cup E$


## Task $\Pi=(\mathscr{F}, \mathscr{O}, \Delta)$



## Protocol Complex



Input complex $\mathscr{J}$


Protocol complex $\mathscr{P}^{(t)}$

## Output Computation



Protocol complex $\mathscr{P}^{(t)}$


Output complex $\mathcal{O}$

A simplicial map from $\mathscr{K}$ to $\mathscr{K}^{\prime}$ is a function $f: V(\mathscr{K}) \rightarrow V\left(\mathscr{K}^{\prime}\right)$ such that, for every $\sigma \in \mathscr{K}, f(\sigma) \in \mathscr{K}^{\prime}$.

The decision map is a simplicial map from $\mathscr{P}^{(t)}$ to $\mathcal{O}$ that is chromatic (it preserves the IDs of the processes), and agrees with $\Delta$, i.e., $\forall \sigma \in \mathscr{F}$, $f(\mathscr{P}(\sigma)) \subseteq \Delta(\sigma)$.

## Wait-Free Solvability

## Theorem [Herlihy, Shavit (1999)]

A task $(\mathscr{F}, \mathcal{O}, \Delta)$ is solvable wait-free if and only if there is a simplicial map $f: \mathscr{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ from a chromatic subdivision $\mathscr{P}$ of $\mathscr{J}$ to $\mathcal{O}$ that agrees with $\Delta$.

## Topology



## Impossibility of Consensus



There are no simplicial maps

$$
f: \mathscr{P}^{(t)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}
$$

that agree with $\Delta$


Output complex $\mathcal{O}$

## Beyond Wait-Free

- Other kinds of adversarial models (e.g., $t$-resilient)
- Stronger forms of failures (e.g., Byzantine)
- Message-passing

DUCAT: Extension of the theory to network computing

## Protocol Complex

Example 1

Input
complex


Protocol
complex for $\mathrm{C}_{3}$

$\bigcirc$

## Protocol Complex

## Example 2

Input
complex


Protocol
complex for $\mathrm{S}_{3}$

$\bigcirc$

## Consensus Solvability 1



## Consensus Solvability 2



## Path-Connectivity



## LOCAL Model

LOCAL model: synchronous rounds in a fixed graph $G$, no failures

Theorem For any $k \geq 1$, $k$-set-agreement in network $G$ requires at least $r$ rounds, where $r$ is the smallest integer such that $\gamma\left(G^{r}\right) \leq k$.

## Dynamic Networks

DYNAMIC networks: synchronous, no failure; A sequence of labeled digraphs $\mathscr{G}=\left(G_{t}\right)_{t \geq 1}$


Round 1: $G_{1}$


Round 2: $G_{2}$

Corollary 2 For any $k \geq 1$, $k$-set-agreement in dynamic network $\mathscr{G}=\left(G_{t}\right)_{t \geq 1}$ requires at least $r$ rounds, where $r$ is the smallest integer such that $\mathscr{G}$ has temporal domination number $\leq k$
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## Context and Objectives

- Algorithms design and analysis: establishing lower bounds or impossibility results is extremely difficult.
- Combinatorial topology: extensively used in the context of crash-prone asynchronous shared-memory (or messagepassing).
- Objective of DUCAT: Extending these results to other models
- Network computing
- Dynamic networks
- Beyond full-information protocols


## Expected Outcomes

1. Complexity results: New lower bounds, but also new upper bounds
2. Better understanding of the nature of distributed computing
3. Unified framework for distributed computing
