# Bamboo Garden Trimming Problem

#### Ralf Klasing, CNRS - LaBRI - Univ. of Bordeaux

Joint work with Leszek A Gąsieniec, Christos Levcopoulos,

Andrzej Lingas, Jie Min, and Tomasz Radzik

### Bamboo Garden Trimming

• Given a set *B* of *n* bamboos  $b_1$ ,  $b_2$ ,..., $b_n$  with the respective (daily) growth rates  $h_1 \ge h_2 \ge \cdots \ge h_n$ , where the initial heights of all bamboos are set to zero.

#### Discrete BGT

 During each round/day every bamboo b<sub>i</sub> grows an extra height h<sub>i</sub> and on the conclusion of the round the height of exactly one bamboo is reduced to zero.

- It requires time  $t_{ij} > 0$  for the gardener to move from  $b_i$  to  $b_j$  and the travel distances are symmetric.
- When attended the bamboos are cut instantly.
- Note: Discrete BGT is a special case of Continuous BGT when  $t_{ij} = 1$  for all i, j
  - Metric TSP is a special case of Continuous BGT when  $h_i = h_j$  for all i, j
- The **main task** in **BGT Problem** is to find a perpetual schedule of cuts with the goal of keeping the height of the bamboo garden as low as possible.





## The main results

Algorithms	Approximation ratio	Time complexity in #steps	
Discrete BGT			
Reduce-Max (Greedy approach)	$O(\log_2 n)$	$O(n \log n)$ + each round in $O(\log^2 n)$	
Reduce-Fastest	4	O(n log n) + each round in O(log n)	
Reduce-to-Pinwheel	2 1+δ, balanced instances	O(n) + each round in $O(1)O(n \log n) + each round in O(\log n)$	
Continuous BGT			
Algorithm 1	$h_1$	Generation of MST $O(n^2)$	
	$O(\frac{1}{h_n})$	+ each round in O(1)	
Algorithm 2	$O(\log_2 \frac{h_1}{h_n})$	Partition $O(n)$ + Generation of MST $O(n^2)$	
Algorithm 3	$O(\log_2 n)$	Partition $O(n)$ + Generation of MST $O(n^2)$	

**Note:** We do not know whether BGT is tractable, similarly to closely related Pinwheel scheduling studied for years

#### Research context

#### • The Art Gallery Problem





- K-watchman Problem
- Boundary (Fence) Patrolling Problem
- Cloud computing
  - Symptom discovery, origin of our problem
- Pinwheel problem (to be discussed later)

#### Discrete BGT: Important lower bound H

• Max height cannot be kept below  $H = h_1 + h_2 + \cdots + h_n$ , i.e., a single **round contribution** [The argument is based on the total height which keeps increasing when the cuts are < H.]



#### Discrete BGT

• Online: memoryless, flexible (fault-tolerant, self-stabilising), harder to analyse



• Approximation ratio  $\mu$  defined as *Maxheight / H* 

• Until recently  $\mu = O(\log n)$  for Reduce-Max and  $\mu = O(1)$  for Reduce-Fastest

#### Discrete BGT

• Offline: less flexible (vulnerable to changes), better (more accurate) approximation  $\mu$ 

• Strong relation to the **Pinwheel Problem** (classical scheduling problem)

frequency density



**Density** of the instance **D**=1/2+1/4+1/7=**25/28** 

**Basic facts**: D>1, not schedulable D $\leq$ 1/2, easy to schedule D $\leq$ 3/4, sometimes hard to schedule D=1, easy to schedule if all fs are powers of 2 D>5/6, not schedulable for, e.g., f=2,3, large int. N



#### Discrete BGT ( $\mu$ = 1+ $\delta$ , balanced instances)

• <u>Idea</u>:

- derive an appropriate powers-of two instance by gradual transformations of the Pinwheel instances
- start with greater granularity of frequencies than powers of two

#### <u>Observation1</u>:

- Given instance V of Pinwheel with two equal frequencies  $f_i = f_j = 2f$  (f integer).
- If the instance V' obtained from V by replacing these two frequencies with one frequency f is feasible, then so is instance V.

#### <u>Observation 2</u>:

- Given instance V with m equal frequencies  $f_{i,1} = f_{i,2} = \dots = f_{i,m} = mf$  (f integer).
- If the instance V' obtained from V by replacing these m frequencies with one frequency f is feasible, then so is instance V.

- Differences to discrete BGT:
  - Bamboos are located in a (geo)metric space of diameter *D*.
  - For any pair of bamboos b<sub>i</sub> and b<sub>j</sub>, the gardener needs time t<sub>ij</sub> > 0 to travel from b<sub>i</sub> to b<sub>j</sub> and the travel distances are symmetric.
  - Each bamboo is cut instantly.
- Two natural lower bounds:
  - $D h_{max}(V)$
  - $h_{min}(V) MST(V)$



- Algorithm 1
  - Calculate a minimum spanning tree T of the bamboos.
  - Repeatedly perform an Euler-tour traversal of T.



• Upper bound: O(*h<sub>max</sub>(V*) *MST(V*))

- Algorithm 1
  - Calculate a minimum spanning tree T of the bamboos.
  - Repeatedly perform an Euler-tour traversal of T.
- Upper bound: O(*h<sub>max</sub>(V*) *MST(V*))
- Approximation ratio:  $O(h_{max}(V) / h_{min}(V))$



- Algorithm 2
  - Partition bamboos into sets according to their growth rates.
    - V<sub>1</sub>:  $h_{min} \le h_i < 2h_{min}$
    - V<sub>2</sub>:  $2h_{min} \le h_i < 4h_{min}$
    - ... • At most  $\left[\log_2 \frac{h_{max}}{h_{min}}\right]$  sets
  - Calculate a minimum spanning tree T of the bamboo sets.



- Algorithm 2
  - Partition bamboos into sets according to their growth rates.
    - V<sub>1</sub>:  $h_{min} \le h_i < 2h_{min}$
    - V<sub>2</sub>:  $2h_{min} \le h_i < 4h_{min}$
    - ... • At most  $\left[\log_2 \frac{h_{max}}{h_{min}}\right]$  sets
  - Calculate a minimum spanning tree T of the bamboo sets.



- Algorithm 2
  - Partition bamboos into sets according to their growth rates.
    - $V_1: h_{min} \le h_i < 2h_{min}$
    - V<sub>2</sub>:  $2h_{min} \le h_i < 4h_{min}$
    - ... • At most  $\left[\log_2 \frac{h_{max}}{h_{min}}\right]$  sets
  - Calculate a minimum spanning tree T of the bamboo sets.



- Algorithm 2
  - Partition bamboos into sets according to their growth rates.
    - $V_1: h_{min} \le h_i < 2h_{min}$
    - V<sub>2</sub>:  $2h_{min} \le h_i < 4h_{min}$
    - ... • At most  $\left[\log_2 \frac{h_{max}}{h_{min}}\right]$  sets
  - Calculate a minimum spanning tree T of the bamboo sets.



- Algorithm 2
  - Partition bamboos into sets according to their growth rates.
    - $V_1: h_{min} \le h_i < 2h_{min}$
    - V<sub>2</sub>:  $2h_{min} \le h_i < 4h_{min}$
    - ... • At most  $\left[\log_2 \frac{h_{max}}{h_{min}}\right]$  sets
  - Calculate a minimum spanning tree T of the bamboo sets.



- Algorithm 2
  - Partition bamboos into sets according to their growth rates.
    - V<sub>1</sub>:  $h_{min} \le h_i < 2h_{min}$
    - V<sub>2</sub>:  $2h_{min} \le h_i < 4h_{min}$
    - At most  $\left[\log_2 \frac{h_{max}}{h_{min}}\right]$  sets
  - Calculate a minimum spanning tree T of the bamboo sets.



- Algorithm 2
  - Partition bamboos into sets according to their growth rates.
    - V<sub>1</sub>:  $h_{min} \le h_i < 2h_{min}$
    - $V_2$ :  $2h_{min} \le h_i < 4h_{min}$
    - ... • At most  $\left[\log_2 \frac{h_{max}}{h_{min}}\right]$  sets
  - Calculate a minimum spanning tree T of the bamboo sets.



- Algorithm 2
  - Partition bamboos into sets according to their growth rates.
    - V<sub>1</sub>:  $h_{min} \le h_i < 2h_{min}$
    - $V_2$ :  $2h_{min} \le h_i < 4h_{min}$
    - ... • At most  $\left[\log_2 \frac{h_{max}}{h_{min}}\right]$  sets
  - Calculate a minimum spanning tree T of the bamboo sets.
- Upper bound:  $O(\log_2 \frac{h_{max}}{h_{min}} h_{max}(V_i) \max\{D, MST(V_i)\})$
- Approximation ratio:  $O(\log_2 \frac{h_{max}}{h_{min}})$



- Algorithm 3
  - Different partitions.

• 
$$V_0$$
:  $h_i \leq n^{-2}$ 

- $V_1$ :  $n^{-2} < h_i \le 2n^{-2}$
- $V_2$ :  $2n^{-2} < h_i \le 4n^{-2}$
- ...
- At most  $[2 \log_2 n]$  sets



- Algorithm 3
  - Different partitions.

• 
$$V_0: h_i \le n^{-2}$$
  
•  $V_1: n^{-2} < h_i \le 2n^{-2}$ 

• V<sub>2</sub>: 
$$2n^{-2} < h_i \le 4n^{-2}$$

- ...
- At most  $\lceil 2 \log_2 n \rceil$  sets
- Upper bound:  $O(\log_2 n h_{max}(V_i)\max\{D, MST(V_i)\})$

2

• Upper bound of V<sub>0</sub>:  $O\left(\frac{1}{n}D\log_2 n\right) = O(h_{max}D\log_2 n)$ 

• Approximation ratio:  $O(\log_2 n)$ 



## The main results

Algorithms	Approximation ratio	Time complexity in #steps	
Discrete BGT			
Reduce-Max (Greedy approach)	$O(\log_2 n)$	$O(n \log n) + each round in O(log2 n)$	
Reduce-Fastest	4	$O(n \log n) + each round in O(log n)$	
Reduce-to-Pinwheel	$2$ 1+ $\delta$ , balanced instances	O(n) + each round in $O(1)O(n \log n) + each round in O(\log n)$	
Continuous BGT			
Algorithm 1	$O(\frac{h_1}{h_n})$	Generation of MST <i>O(n²)</i> + each round in <i>O(1)</i>	
Algorithm 2	$O(\log_2 \frac{h_1}{h_n})$	Partition $O(n)$ + Generation of MST $O(n^2)$	
Algorithm 3	$O(\log_2 n)$	Partition $O(n)$ + Generation of MST $O(n^2)$	

**Note:** We do not know whether BGT is tractable, similarly to closely related Pinwheel scheduling studied for years

## Open Questions (Discrete BGT)

- Exact approximation ratios of *Reduce-Max* and *Reduce-Fastest* <u>Bilò et al. (2021)</u>:
  - first constant upper bound of 9 for *Reduce-Max*
  - improved upper bound of  $\approx$ 2.62 for *Reduce-Fastest*
  - Simple examples: approximation ratios cannot be better than 2
- Improving the approximation ratio for arbitrary instances
  <u>Van Ee (2021)</u>:
  - 2-approximation improved to 12/7-approximation

## Open Questions (Discrete BGT)

 Designing efficient algorithms, easy to implement, avoiding reduction to Pinwheel

<u>Bilò et al. (2021)</u>:

- 2-approximation
- $O(n \log n)$  + each round in  $O(\log n)$  amortized time
- Lower bounds on approximability
- Show hardness of discrete BGT problem + Pinwheel problem
- Improving the approximation ratio for balanced instances

## **Open Questions (Continuous BGT)**

- Does Algorithm 3 have approximation ratio *o(log n)*?
- Is there any other algorithm with approximation ratio o(log n)?
  - discrete BGT and metric TSP (as two special cases of continuous BGT) are both approximable with approximation ratio O(1).
  - It is NP-hard to approximate continuous BGT with a factor better than 123/122 (as Metric TSP is a subproblem of continuous BGT).
  - approximation ratio for geometric / Euclidean BGT instances?
- Multiple gardeners