Variable binding and substitution for (nameless) dummies

Ambroise LAFONT

Joint work with André & Tom Hirschowitz, Marco Maggesi

November 2021

A (new) theory of syntax for De Bruijn

Main definitions

- Operation of binding arity $\vec{k} \in \mathbb{N}^*$, in a **De Bruijn monad**.
- Model of a binding signature.

Our main characterisations

• Parallel substitution in the term model,

via recursive equations.

Term model,

via Initial Algebra Semantics.



- Named variables.
- \times Involves quotient (α -equivalence).
- × No (built-in) substitution (only injective renamings)

Substitution monoids [Fiore-Plotkin-Turi '99]

Well-scoped syntax = indexed by the number of free variables

 Λ_n = terms with at most n free vars.

Not a mere set of terms.

Our work: De Bruijn monads

- Mere sets: Simple enough to be formalised in HOL light
- Yet, essentially equivalent to the standard substitution monoids [Fiore-Plotkin-Turi '99]

- Binding arities
- Specification of substitution

Initial Algebra Semantics

What is a bound variable?

Scope of the question

Any syntax

- De Bruijn encoded;
- Specified by a binding signature.

Binding signatures

$$\underbrace{\mathsf{app}:(0,0),\quad \mathsf{abs}:(1)}_{\mathbf{Binding\ signature\ for\ }\lambda\text{-calculus}}$$

op :
$$(k_1, \ldots, k_n) \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{op}(t_1, \ldots, t_n)$$
 binds k_i variables in t_i ,

$$T_S \ni t_i ::= n$$
 $(n \in \mathbb{N})$
 $\mid \operatorname{op}(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ for each $\operatorname{op}: (k_1, \dots, k_n) \in S$

What does it mean for op (t_1, \ldots, t_n) to bind k_i variables in t_i ?

Substitution crossing a binder

 $\forall \sigma : \mathbb{N} \to \Lambda$,

$$(\lambda .t)[\sigma] = ?$$

Variables after λ

• 0 is bound:

$$(\lambda.0)[\sigma] = \lambda.0$$

• n + 1 refers to the free variable n:

$$(\lambda.1)[\sigma] = \lambda.(\sigma(0)[k \mapsto k+1])$$

Binding condition for abs : (1)

$$\forall \sigma : \mathbb{N} \to \Lambda$$
,

$$(\lambda.t)[\sigma] = \lambda.(t[\mathring{\mathsf{n}}\sigma])$$

$$\uparrow \sigma : \mathbb{N} \to \Lambda
0 \mapsto 0
n+1 \mapsto \sigma(n)[k \mapsto k+1]$$

Binding condition for op : (k_1, \ldots, k_n)

$$op(t_1,\ldots,t_n)[\sigma] = op(t_1[\uparrow^{k_1}\sigma],\ldots,t_n[\uparrow^{k_n}\sigma])$$

 $0, \ldots, k_i - 1$ are bound in t_i :

- $\uparrow^{k_i} \sigma$ preserves them;
- $\uparrow^{k_i} \sigma(p+k_i) = \sigma(p)[q \mapsto q+k_i].$

Plan

- Specification of substitution

Can you trust your substitution?

Yes, by uniqueness.

Example: λ-calculus

$$\exists ! - [-] : \Lambda \times \Lambda^{\mathbb{N}}$$
 satisfying

left unitality

$$n[\sigma] = \sigma(n),$$

binding conditions for app / abs

$$(t \ u)[\sigma] = t[\sigma] \ u[\sigma] \qquad (\lambda.t)[\sigma] = \lambda.(t[\uparrow \sigma]).$$

Specification of substitution

General case, for any binding signature S

$$\exists ! - [-] : T_S \times (T_S)^{\mathbb{N}} \to T_S$$
 satisfying

left unitality

$$n[\sigma] = \sigma(n),$$

• the binding condition for every op : $(k_1, \dots k_n)$ in S

$$\operatorname{op}(\ldots,t_i,\ldots)[\sigma] = \operatorname{op}(\ldots,t_i[\uparrow^{k_i}\sigma],\ldots).$$

Initial Algebra Semantics

Plan

- Initial Algebra Semantics

Initial Algebra Semantics

A general methodology for specification

X is **specified** by a **signature** $S \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \bullet \ X \text{ has a } S\text{-model structure.} \\ \bullet \text{ This } S\text{-model is initial.} \end{cases}$

Example: N

S = endofunctor on Set:

$$S(Y) = Y + 1$$

- S-model = S-algebra.
- Initial S-algebra:

$$\mathbb{N} + 1 \xrightarrow{[succ,0]} \mathbb{N}$$

Initiality as a characterisation

- Initial object: unique (up to unique iso).
- Initiality ~ recursion principle.

$$\exists ! f: \mathbb{N} \to Y$$

$$\begin{cases} f(0) = f_0 \\ f(n+1) = E(f(n)) \end{cases} Y + 1 \xrightarrow{[E, f_0]} Y.$$

Models = **De Bruijn monads** with *compatible S-operations*. Term model T_S = initial model.

Initial Algebra Semantics

De Bruijn monads: synthetic definitions

- DB monad = monad relative to the functor $1 \to \text{Set picking } \mathbb{N}$.
- DB monad = monoid for a skew monoidal 1 structure on sets.

¹[Altenkirch-Chapman-Uustalu '15] introduces relative monads and relates them to skew monoids.

De Bruijn monads: analytic definition

Components of a DB monad (X, -[-], var)

Set	X
Substitution map	$-[-]: X \times X^{\mathbb{N}} \to X$
Variables map	$var: \mathbb{N} \to X$

Equations satisfied by a DB monad

Left unitality	$var(n)[\sigma] = \sigma(n)$
Right unitality	$t[n \mapsto var(n)] = t$
Associativity	$t[\sigma][\delta] = t[n \mapsto \sigma(n)[\delta]]$

Examples

- λ -calculus (De Bruijn encoding).
- T_S for any binding signature S.
- Restriction of a monad T on Set:

Set	$T(\mathbb{N})$
Substitution	bind: $T(\mathbb{N}) \times T(\mathbb{N})^{\mathbb{N}} \to T(\mathbb{N})$
Variables	$ret: \mathbb{N} \to T(\mathbb{N})$

Monads from DB monads

DB monad
$$X \mapsto \text{monad } \overline{X} : \text{Set} \to \text{Set}$$

$$\overline{X}(\mathbb{N}) = X$$

$$x \in \overline{X}(\{0,\dots,n-1\}) \subset X \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad x \text{ has support } n$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \quad \text{if } \sigma \text{ fixes the first } n \text{ variables,}$$

$$\text{then } x[\sigma] = x.$$

Equivalence with well-behaved monads

$$\text{Monads on Set} \xrightarrow[\overline{X} \longleftrightarrow X]{T \mapsto T \, (\mathbb{N})} \text{DB monads}$$

restricts to an equivalence

Well-behaved monads

Finitary monads T on Set preserving binary intersections

DB monads with finite support

DB monads X s.t. every $x \in X$ has a support n.

A link with substitution monoids [Fiore-Plotkin-Turi '99]

The previous equivalence

Well-behaved monads

□ DB monads with finite support

lifts to

Well-behaved S-monoids

≃ S-models with finite support

Well-behaved monads T with compatible S-operations

Definition of S-models

DB monad + an **operation of binding arity**
$$\vec{k} \in \mathbb{N}^*$$
 for each op : $\vec{k} \in S$.

Operation of binding arity $\vec{k} \in \mathbb{N}^n$ in a DB monad (X, -[-], var)

$$op: X^n \to X$$

satisfying the \vec{k} -binding condition:

$$\operatorname{op}(t_1,\ldots,t_n)[\sigma] = \operatorname{op}(t_1[\uparrow^{k_1}\sigma],\ldots,t_n[\uparrow^{k_n}\sigma]).$$

Example of λ -calculus

Binding signature of λ -calculus

abs:(1)app:(0,0)

Models of λ -calculus

$$(X, -[-], var)$$
 a

(X, -[-], var) app : $X \times X \to X$ abs : $X \to X$

satisfying the binding conditions, i.e.,

$$app(t, u)[\sigma] = app(t[\sigma], u[\sigma])$$

 $abs(t)[\sigma] = abs(t[\uparrow \sigma]).$

Initial Algebra Semantics for a binding signature S

Reminder: specification of substitution

 $\exists ! - [-] : T_S \times T_S^{\mathbb{N}} \to T_S$ compatible with

- variables (left unitality);
- every op : (k_1, \ldots, k_n) in S (binding conditions).

Moreover.

- $(T_S, var, -[-])$ is a DB monad (i.e., right unitality and associativity hold).
- The induced S-model is **initial**.

A simple theory of syntax

- For De Bruijn representation.
- Essentially equivalent to the substitution monoids of [FPT '99].
- Simple enough to be mechanised without dependent types.
- Extends to
 - simply-typed syntax;
 - signatures with equations (e.g., λ -calculus modulo β and η).