Jack polynomials as generating series of non-oriented bipartite maps
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## Symmetric functions

We consider the space of symmetric functions on an alphabet $\mathbf{x}:=x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots$

For $k \geq 0$, the power sum function $p_{k}$ is defined by

$$
p_{k}(\mathbf{x}):=\sum_{i \geq 1} x_{i}^{k},
$$

and if $\lambda=\left[\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{\ell}\right]$, then

$$
p_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x})=p_{\lambda_{1}}(\mathbf{x}) p_{\lambda_{2}}(\mathbf{x}) \cdots p_{\lambda_{\ell}}(\mathbf{x}) .
$$
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The expansion of Schur functions on the power-sum basis is given by

$$
s_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x})=\sum_{\mu \vdash n} \frac{\chi^{\lambda}(\mu)}{z_{\mu}} p_{\mu}(\mathbf{x}) \quad \text { where } z_{\lambda}:=\frac{|\mu|!}{\left|\mathcal{C}_{\mu}\right|}
$$

## Jack polynomials

Jack polynomials $J_{\lambda}^{(\alpha)}$ are symmetric functions which depend on a deformation parameter $\alpha$.

- They can be obtained from Macdonald polynomials $J_{\lambda}^{(q, t)}$ by taking $q=t^{\alpha}$ and the limit $t \rightarrow 1$.
- When we take $\alpha=1$ we obtain Schur functions.


## Jack polynomials

Jack polynomials $J_{\lambda}^{(\alpha)}$ are symmetric functions which depend on a deformation parameter $\alpha$.

- They can be obtained from Macdonald polynomials $J_{\lambda}^{(q, t)}$ by taking $q=t^{\alpha}$ and the limit $t \rightarrow 1$.
- When we take $\alpha=1$ we obtain Schur functions.


## Main result

A combinatorial interpretation of the power-sum expansion of Jack polynomials in terms of bipartite maps (oriented or not).

## Jack polynomials

Jack polynomials $J_{\lambda}^{(\alpha)}$ are symmetric functions which depend on a deformation parameter $\alpha$.

- They can be obtained from Macdonald polynomials $J_{\lambda}^{(q, t)}$ by taking $q=t^{\alpha}$ and the limit $t \rightarrow 1$.
- When we take $\alpha=1$ we obtain Schur functions.


## Main result

A combinatorial interpretation of the power-sum expansion of Jack polynomials in terms of bipartite maps (oriented or not).

- generalizes a known interpretation of Schur functions in terms of pairs of permutations/oriented bipartite maps.


## Jack polynomials

Jack polynomials $J_{\lambda}^{(\alpha)}$ are symmetric functions which depend on a deformation parameter $\alpha$.

- They can be obtained from Macdonald polynomials $J_{\lambda}^{(q, t)}$ by taking $q=t^{\alpha}$ and the limit $t \rightarrow 1$.
- When we take $\alpha=1$ we obtain Schur functions.


## Main result

A combinatorial interpretation of the power-sum expansion of Jack polynomials in terms of bipartite maps (oriented or not).

- generalizes a known interpretation of Schur functions in terms of pairs of permutations/oriented bipartite maps.
- answers a positivity conjecture of Lassalle 2008.


## Jack polynomials

Jack polynomials $J_{\lambda}^{(\alpha)}$ are symmetric functions which depend on a deformation parameter $\alpha$.

- They can be obtained from Macdonald polynomials $J_{\lambda}^{(q, t)}$ by taking $q=t^{\alpha}$ and the limit $t \rightarrow 1$.
- When we take $\alpha=1$ we obtain Schur functions.


## Main result

A combinatorial interpretation of the power-sum expansion of Jack polynomials in terms of bipartite maps (oriented or not).

- generalizes a known interpretation of Schur functions in terms of pairs of permutations/oriented bipartite maps.
- answers a positivity conjecture of Lassalle 2008.
- gives an answer in some sense to a conjecture of Hanlon 1988.
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- A connected map is an embedding of a connected graph into a surface, oriented or not, which cuts the surface into simply connected regions (the faces of the map).
- A map is a collection of connected maps.
- A map is oriented if each one of its connected components is embedded into an orientable surface.
- A map is bipartite if its vertices are colored in white and black, and each edge connects two vertices of different colors.
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We consider generating series of bipartite maps with a weight $p_{i}$ for each face of degree $2 i$. Hence each map $M$ has a face-weight $p_{\diamond(M)}$.
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## Definition (Goulden-Jackson '96)

A statistic of non-orientability (on $k$-layered maps) is a statistic which associates to each $k$-layered map $M$ a non-negative integer such that $\vartheta(M)=0$ if and only if $M$ is oriented.

Maps will be counted with a weight $b^{\vartheta(M)}$, where $b:=\alpha-1$ is the shifted Jack parameter.

## Jack polynomials in the power-sum basis

## Theorem (BD-Dołęga '23)

There exists an explicit statistic of non-orientability $\vartheta$, such that

$$
J_{\lambda}^{(\alpha)}=(-1)^{|\lambda|} \sum_{\begin{array}{c}
\ell(\lambda)-\text { layered } \\
\text { maps } M \text { of size }|\lambda|
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- $\left|\mathcal{V}_{o}^{(i)}(M)\right|$ is the number of white vertices of $M$ labelled by $i$.
- $c c(M)$ is the number of connected components of $M$.
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Well known for $\alpha=1$ (Young symmetrizers) and for $\alpha=2$ (Féray-Śniady's 2010).

## Jack characters (a dual approach)

Fix a partition $\mu$.

$$
\theta_{\mu}^{(\alpha)}(\lambda):= \begin{cases}0, & \text { if }|\lambda|<|\mu| . \\ \left(\underset{m_{1}(\mu)}{|\lambda|-|\mu|+m_{1}(\mu)}\right)\left[p_{\mu, 1|\lambda|-|\mu|}\right] J_{\lambda}^{(\alpha)}, & \text { if }|\lambda| \geq|\mu| .\end{cases}
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## Theorem (BD-Dołęga '23)

There exists a statistic of non-orientability $\vartheta$ on layered maps, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{\mu}^{(\alpha)}(\lambda)=(-1)^{|\mu|} \sum_{\substack{\text { layered mapsM} \\ \text { of face-type } \mu}} \frac{b^{\vartheta(M)}}{2^{\left|\mathcal{V}_{\bullet}(M)\right|-c c(M)} \alpha^{c c(M)}} \prod_{i \geq 1} \frac{\left(-\alpha \lambda_{i}\right)^{\left|\mathcal{V}_{o}^{(i)}(M)\right|}}{z_{\nu_{\bullet}(i)}(M)}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

- For $\alpha=1$ : Stanley-Féray formula 2010 .
- For $\alpha=$ 2: Féray-Śniady formula for zonal characters 2010.
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We prove that this generating series satisfies the three conditions of the chracterization theorem.
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(1) For each edge pair ( $M, e$ ) where $e$ is an edge of $M$, we choose

$$
\vartheta(M, e) \in\{0,1\} .
$$

(2) Let $M$ be a map of size $n$. We choose a decomposition algorithm, by fixing an order on the edges of $M: e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{n}$. We denote $M_{i}:=M \backslash\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{i-1}\right\}$. We take

$$
\vartheta(M)=\sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \vartheta\left(M_{i}, e_{i}\right) .
$$
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where

$$
B_{n}\left(\mathbf{p},-\alpha s_{1}\right):=\Theta_{Y}\left(\Gamma_{Y}-\alpha s_{1} Y_{+}\right)^{n} \frac{y_{0}}{1+b}
$$

is an operator which adds a black vertex of degree $n$ with label 1 . $Y:=\left(y_{0}, y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots,\right)$ is a catalytic variable, and

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\Theta_{Y}:=\sum_{i \geq 1} p_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{i}}, \quad Y_{+}=\sum_{i \geq 0} y_{i+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{i}}, \\
\Gamma_{Y}=(1+b) \cdot \sum_{i, j \geq 1} y_{i+j} \frac{i \partial^{2}}{\partial p_{i} \partial y_{j-1}} \\
\quad+\sum_{i, j \geq 1} y_{i} p_{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{i+j-1}}+b \cdot \sum_{i \geq 1} y_{i+1} \frac{i \partial}{\partial y_{i}} .
\end{array}\right\} \text { Chapuy-Dołegga operators. }
$$

## Idea of the proof

- For a well-chosen statistic of non-orientability $\vartheta$, this generating series can be constructed inductively using differential operators (Tutte decomposition):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F^{(k)}\left(t, \mathbf{p}, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{k}\right) \\
& \qquad \quad=\exp \left(\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{(-t)^{n}}{n} B_{n}\left(\mathbf{p},-\alpha s_{1}\right)\right) \cdot F^{(k-1)}\left(t, \mathbf{p}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

A key step of the proof: Two commutation relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[B_{n}(\mathbf{p}, u), B_{m}(\mathbf{p}, u)\right]=0, \text { for } n, m \geq 1,} \\
& {\left[\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{t^{n}}{n} B_{n}^{>}(\mathbf{p}, u), \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{t^{n}}{n} B_{n}^{>}(\mathbf{p}, v)\right]=0,}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
B_{n}^{>}(\mathbf{p}, u):=B_{n}(\mathbf{p}, u)-B_{n}(\mathbf{p}, 0) .
$$

## Application 1: Creation operators for Jack polynomials

## Theorem

$$
J_{\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{\ell}\right)}^{(\alpha)}=\mathcal{B}_{\lambda_{1}}^{(+)} \cdot \mathcal{B}_{\lambda_{2}}^{(+)} \cdots \mathcal{B}_{\lambda_{\ell}}^{(+)} \cdot 1
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{B}_{n}^{(+)}:=\left[t^{n}\right] \exp \left(\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{(-t)^{n}}{n} B_{n}(\mathbf{p},-\alpha n)\right)
$$

## Application 2: Lassalle's conjecture 2008

 Stanley's coordinates of a Young diagram

The Young diagram of the partition $[4,3,3,3,1]$, with $\mathbf{s}=(4,3,3,1)$ and $\mathbf{r}=(1,1,2,1)$ as Stanley coordinates.

## Theorem (Lassalle's conjecture on Jack characters)

The normalized Jack character $(-1)^{|\mu|} z_{\mu} \theta_{\mu}^{(\alpha)}$ is a polynomial in Stanley's coordinates $r_{1}, r_{2} \ldots,-s_{1},-s_{2} \ldots$, and $b$ with non-negative integer coefficients.

Proof:

- Non-negativity: the combinatorial interpretation in terms of maps.
- Integrality: (a different approach) the integrable system of Nazarov-Sklyanin.

