

Hybrid Intersection Types for PCF

Pablo Barenbaum¹ Delia Kesner² Mariana Milicich^{2*}

¹UNQ (CONICET) and ICC, UBA, Argentina

²Université Paris-Cité, CNRS, IRIF, France

Logic for Programming, Artificial Intelligence and Reasoning
May 2024



* *This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No 945332*

Evaluation strategies

Let $f\ x = f\ x$ and $g\ y = 42$

Call-by-Name	Call-by-Value
> g (f id)	> g (f id)
> 42	> Infinite loop!

Evaluation strategies govern the behavior of programs.

Evaluation strategies

Let $f\ x = f\ x$ and $g\ y = 42$

Call-by-Name	Call-by-Value
> $g\ (f\ id)$	> $g\ (f\ id)$
> 42	> Infinite loop!

Evaluation strategies govern the behavior of programs.

May/Must termination

P **may terminate** if there is a computation from P to NF.

P **(must) terminate** if there is no infinite computation from P .

Intersection types

Syntax of types

$$\tau, \sigma ::= \alpha \mid \tau \rightarrow \sigma \mid \tau \cap \sigma$$

Intersection types

Syntax of types

$$\tau, \sigma ::= \alpha \mid \tau \rightarrow \sigma \mid \tau \cap \sigma$$

Theorem (Intersection types characterize termination)

Type systems

$$\vdash P : \tau \iff P \text{ terminates}$$

Programming languages

- *CBN* (CoppoDezani80, Gardner94)
- *CBV* (Ehrhard12)

We generalize this result to an **explicitly hybrid** evaluation strategy, characterizing termination in **PCFH** through the **type system** $\mathcal{H}$.

Syntax

Terms: $t, s, u ::= x \mid \lambda x. t \mid t s \mid \mathbf{0} \mid \mathbf{S}(t) \mid \text{if}(t, s, x. u) \mid \text{fix } x. t$
Values: $v ::= \lambda x. t \mid k \quad k ::= \mathbf{0} \mid \mathbf{S}(k)$

Semantics

$$\begin{array}{lll}
 (\lambda x. t) v & \rightarrow_B & t\{x := v\} \quad (\text{CBV}) \\
 \text{if}(\mathbf{0}, t, x. s) & \rightarrow_{\text{IO}} & t \\
 \text{if}(\mathbf{S}(k), t, x. s) & \rightarrow_{\text{IS}} & s\{x := k\} \quad (\text{CBV}) \\
 \text{fix } x. t & \rightarrow_F & t\{x := \text{fix } x. t\} \quad (\text{CBN})
 \end{array}$$

B, IO, IS, and F are **names**.

PCF_H

Example

Let $\text{double} := \text{fix rec. } \lambda n. \text{if}(n, \mathbf{0}, m. \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{S}(\text{rec } m)))$

$\text{double } \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0})$

PCF_H

Example

Let $\text{double} := \text{fix rec. } \lambda n. \text{if}(n, \mathbf{0}, m. \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{S}(\text{rec } m)))$

$\text{double } \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0})$

PCF_H

Example

Let $\text{double} := \text{fix rec. } \lambda n. \text{if}(n, \mathbf{0}, m. \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{S}(\text{rec } m)))$

(*CBN*) $\text{double } \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0}) \rightarrow_{\text{F}}$

$(\lambda n. \text{if}(n, \mathbf{0}, m. \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{S}(\text{double } m)))) \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0})$

PCF_H

Example

Let $\text{double} := \text{fix rec. } \lambda n. \text{if}(n, \mathbf{0}, m. \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{S}(\text{rec } m)))$

(*CBN*) $\text{double } \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0}) \rightarrow_{\mathbf{F}}$

$(\lambda n. \text{if}(n, \mathbf{0}, m. \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{S}(\text{double } m)))) \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0})$

PCF_H

Example

Let $\text{double} := \text{fix rec. } \lambda n. \text{if}(n, \mathbf{0}, m. \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{S}(\text{rec } m)))$

(*CBN*) $\text{double } \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0}) \rightarrow_{\text{F}}$

(*CBV*) $(\lambda n. \text{if}(n, \mathbf{0}, m. \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{S}(\text{double } m)))) \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0}) \rightarrow_{\text{B}}$

$\text{if}(\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0}), \mathbf{0}, m. \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{S}(\text{double } m)))$

PCF_H

Example

Let $\text{double} := \text{fix rec. } \lambda n. \text{if}(n, \mathbf{0}, m. \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{S}(\text{rec } m)))$

(*CBN*) $\text{double } \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0}) \rightarrow_{\mathbf{F}}$

(*CBV*) $(\lambda n. \text{if}(n, \mathbf{0}, m. \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{S}(\text{double } m)))) \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0}) \rightarrow_{\mathbf{B}}$

$\text{if}(\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0}), \mathbf{0}, m. \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{S}(\text{double } m)))$

PCF_H

Example

Let $\text{double} := \text{fix rec. } \lambda n. \text{if}(n, \mathbf{0}, m. \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{S}(\text{rec } m)))$

(*CBN*) $\text{double } \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0}) \rightarrow_{\mathbf{F}}$

(*CBV*) $(\lambda n. \text{if}(n, \mathbf{0}, m. \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{S}(\text{double } m)))) \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0}) \rightarrow_{\mathbf{B}}$

(*CBV*) $\text{if}(\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0}), \mathbf{0}, m. \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{S}(\text{double } m))) \rightarrow_{\mathbf{IS}}$
 $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{S}(\text{double } \mathbf{0}))$

PCF_H

Example

Let $\text{double} := \text{fix rec. } \lambda n. \text{if}(n, \mathbf{0}, m. \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{S}(\text{rec } m)))$

(*CBN*) $\text{double } \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0})$ $\rightarrow_F$

(*CBV*) $(\lambda n. \text{if}(n, \mathbf{0}, m. \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{S}(\text{double } m)))) \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0})$ $\rightarrow_B$

(*CBV*) $\text{if}(\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0}), \mathbf{0}, m. \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{S}(\text{double } m)))$ $\rightarrow_{IS}$

(*CBN*) $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{S}(\text{double } \mathbf{0}))$ $\rightarrow_F$

... $\rightarrow^*$

PCF_H

Example

Let $\text{double} := \text{fix rec. } \lambda n. \text{if}(n, \mathbf{0}, m. \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{S}(\text{rec } m)))$

(*CBN*) $\text{double } \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0}) \rightarrow_{\mathbf{F}}$

(*CBV*) $(\lambda n. \text{if}(n, \mathbf{0}, m. \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{S}(\text{double } m)))) \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0}) \rightarrow_{\mathbf{B}}$

(*CBV*) $\text{if}(\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0}), \mathbf{0}, m. \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{S}(\text{double } m))) \rightarrow_{\text{IS}}$

(*CBN*) $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{S}(\text{double } \mathbf{0})) \rightarrow_{\mathbf{F}}$

$\dots \rightarrow^*$

$\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0}))$

$\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0}))$ is reached after **6 steps**.

Type system $\mathcal{H}$

Definitions

Typing judgments: $\Phi; \Gamma \vdash^{\mathfrak{m}} t : \mathcal{S}$

- Φ : variables bound by fixed-point operators (CBN)
- Γ : variables bound by abstractions and conditionals (CBV)
- $\mathfrak{m}$: multiset of names (*aka* multi-counter)

Type system $\mathcal{H}$

Definitions

Typing judgments: $\Phi; \Gamma \vdash^{\mathfrak{m}} t : \mathcal{S}$

- Φ : variables bound by fixed-point operators (CBN)
- Γ : variables bound by abstractions and conditionals (CBV)
- $\mathfrak{m}$: multiset of names (*aka* multi-counter)

Key details

- One typing context (Φ/Γ) for each (CBN/CBV) behavior.
- Axioms for typing variables:

$$\frac{}{x : \{\{\mathcal{T}\}\}; \emptyset \vdash^{[]} x : \mathcal{T}} \quad \frac{}{\emptyset; x : \mathcal{T} \vdash^{[]} x : \mathcal{T}}$$

Type system $\mathcal{H}$

Results

$$\boxed{\vdash P : \tau \iff P \text{ terminates}}$$

Theorem (Upper bounds)

There exists $\Phi, \Gamma, \mathcal{S}$ such that $\Phi; \Gamma \vdash^{\mathfrak{m}} t : \mathcal{S}$



There exists a reduction sequence $t = t_0 \rightarrow t_1 \dots \rightarrow t_n$ where t_n is in normal form and $n \leq \#(\mathfrak{m})$

Type system $\mathcal{H}$

Results

$$\boxed{\vdash P : \tau \iff P \text{ terminates}}$$

Theorem (Upper bounds)

There exists $\Phi, \Gamma, \mathcal{S}$ such that $\Phi; \Gamma \vdash^{\mathfrak{m}} t : \mathcal{S}$



There exists a reduction sequence $t = t_0 \rightarrow t_1 \dots \rightarrow t_n$ where t_n is in normal form and $n \leq \#(\mathfrak{m})$

Corollary (Exact measures)

$$\emptyset; \emptyset \vdash^{\mathfrak{m}} t : []$$



There exists a reduction sequence $t = t_0 \rightarrow_{\rho_1} t_1 \dots \rightarrow_{\rho_n} t_n$ where t_n is in normal form and $[\rho_1, \dots, \rho_n] = \mathfrak{m}$

Type system $\mathcal{H}$

Results

Standard techniques suffice the results:

- Subject reduction
- Subject expansion
- **Two** substitution lemmas, one for **CBN** and another for **CBV**.

Type system $\mathcal{H}$

Results

Standard techniques suffice the results:

- Subject reduction
- Subject expansion
- **Two** substitution lemmas, one for **CBN** and another for **CBV**.

System $\mathcal{H}$ characterizes **must termination** of PCFH:

- 1 Our previous Thm. and Cor. shows *may* termination.
- 2 PCFH evaluation satisfies the **diamond property**,
so **all** normalization sequences have the same length.

Tightness

The notion of emptiness is related to **tightness** (AccattoliGraham-LengrandKesner18).

- **Exact** information from *minimal* typing derivations.
- $\emptyset; \emptyset \vdash^{\mathfrak{m}} t : []$ is tight
- $\emptyset; \emptyset \vdash^{\mathfrak{n}} t : [Nat \rightarrow Nat]$ is not

Type system $\mathcal{H}$

Example

Recall $\text{double} := \text{fix rec. } \lambda n. \text{if}(n, \mathbf{0}, m. \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{S}(\text{rec } m)))$

For typing $\text{double } \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0})$,

consider π_1 deriving $\emptyset; \emptyset \vdash [] \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0}) : \mathcal{N}_1$ and

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \pi_{\text{tree}_{\text{base}}} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \frac{\emptyset; \emptyset \vdash [\dots] \lambda n. \text{if}(n, \mathbf{0}, m. \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{S}(\text{rec } m))) : [\mathcal{M}_0 \rightarrow []]}{\emptyset; \emptyset \vdash [\dots] \text{double} : [\mathcal{M}_0 \rightarrow []]} \end{array} \right. \\
 \\
 \frac{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \text{rec} : \{[\mathcal{M}_0 \rightarrow []]\}; \emptyset \vdash [\dots] \lambda n. \text{if}(n, \mathbf{0}, m. \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{S}(\text{rec } m))) : [\mathcal{N}_1 \rightarrow []] \end{array} \quad \pi_{\text{tree}_{\text{base}}} \quad \pi_1}{\frac{\emptyset; \emptyset \vdash [\dots] \text{double} : [\mathcal{N}_1 \rightarrow []]}{\emptyset; \emptyset \vdash [\text{B}, \text{F}, \text{IS}, \text{B}, \text{F}, \text{IO}] \text{double } \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{0}) : []}}
 \end{array}$$

The multi-counter has cardinality **6**.

Conclusions:

- System $\mathcal{H}$ provides a **quantitative interpretation** for PCFH:
 - **Upper** bounds for the number of steps required to reach normal form (Thm. 2).
 - **Exact** measures by restricting typing derivations (Cor. 3).
- We generalize standard proof techniques.

Future work:

- Extend our results to other hybrid evaluation strategies.
- Solve the *inhabitation problem* in a hybrid-type setting.
- Embed PCFH into the *Bang Calculus* (unifying framework).



Programming Computable Functions (PCF)

G. Plotkin (1977)

Syntax:

$$t, s, u ::= \dots \mid \underline{n} \mid \mathbf{S}(t) \mid \text{if}(t, s, x. u) \mid \text{fix } x. t$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Semantics:

$$\frac{}{(\lambda x. t) s \rightarrow t\{x := s\}} \quad \frac{}{\text{fix } x. t \rightarrow t\{x := \text{fix } x. t\}} \quad \frac{}{\mathbf{S}(\underline{n}) \rightarrow \underline{n+1}}$$

$$\frac{}{\text{if}(\underline{0}, t, x. s) \rightarrow t} \quad \frac{}{\text{if}(\underline{n+1}, t, x. s) \rightarrow s\{x := \underline{n}\}}$$
$$\frac{t \rightarrow t'}{t s \rightarrow t' s} \quad \frac{t \rightarrow t'}{\mathbf{S}(t) \rightarrow \mathbf{S}(t')} \quad \frac{t \rightarrow t'}{\text{if}(t, s, x. u) \rightarrow \text{if}(t', s, x. u)}$$

Evaluation rules for PCF_H

Let $\rho \in \{\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{IO}, \mathbf{IS}, \mathbf{F}\}$ be a **name**.

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{}{(\lambda x. t) \mathbf{v} \rightarrow_{\mathbf{B}} t\{x := \mathbf{v}\}} \quad \frac{}{\text{if}(\mathbf{0}, t, x. s) \rightarrow_{\mathbf{IO}} t} \\
 \\
 \frac{}{\text{if}(\mathbf{S}(k), t, x. s) \rightarrow_{\mathbf{IS}} s\{x := \mathbf{k}\}} \quad \frac{}{\text{fix } x. t \rightarrow_{\mathbf{F}} t\{x := \text{fix } x. t\}} \\
 \\
 \frac{t \rightarrow_{\rho} t'}{t s \rightarrow_{\rho} t' s} \quad \frac{s \rightarrow_{\rho} s'}{t s \rightarrow_{\rho} t s'} \\
 \\
 \frac{t \rightarrow_{\rho} t'}{\mathbf{S}(t) \rightarrow_{\rho} \mathbf{S}(t')} \quad \frac{t \rightarrow_{\rho} t'}{\text{if}(t, s, x. u) \rightarrow_{\rho} \text{if}(t', s, x. u)}
 \end{array}$$

System $\mathcal{H}$

Typing rules (1)

$$\frac{\overline{\emptyset; x : \mathcal{T} \vdash^{[]} x : \mathcal{T}} \quad \overline{x : \{\{\mathcal{T}\}\}; \emptyset \vdash^{[]} x : \mathcal{T}}}{\frac{(\Phi_i; \Gamma_i, x : \mathcal{T}_i^? \vdash^{m_i} t : \mathcal{S}_i)_{i \in I}}{+_{i \in I} \Phi_i; +_{i \in I} \Gamma_i \vdash^{+_{i \in I} m_i} \lambda x. t : [\mathcal{T}_i^? \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_i]_{i \in I}^{abs}}}$$
$$\frac{\Phi_1; \Gamma_1 \vdash^{m_1} t : [\mathcal{T}^? \rightarrow \mathcal{S}]^{abs} \quad \mathcal{T}^? \triangleleft \mathcal{T} \quad \Phi_2; \Gamma_2 \vdash^{m_2} s : \mathcal{T}}{\Phi_1 + \Phi_2; \Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2 \vdash^{[B]+m_1+m_2} t s : \mathcal{S}}$$
$$\frac{\Phi, x : \{\{\mathcal{T}_i\}\}_{i \in I}; \Gamma \vdash^m t : \mathcal{S} \quad (\Phi_i; \Gamma_i \vdash^{m_i} \text{fix } x. t : \mathcal{T}_i)_{i \in I}}{\Phi +_{i \in I} \Phi_i; \Gamma +_{i \in I} \Gamma_i \vdash^{[F]+m+_{i \in I} m_i} \text{fix } x. t : \mathcal{S}}$$

System $\mathcal{H}$

Typing rules (2)

$$\frac{}{\emptyset; \emptyset \vdash^{[]} \mathbf{0} : [0]_{i \in I}^{\text{nat}}} \quad \frac{\Phi; \Gamma \vdash^{\mathbf{m}} t : \mathcal{N} \quad \mathcal{N} = +_{i \in I} \mathcal{N}_i}{\Phi; \Gamma \vdash^{\mathbf{m}} \mathbf{S}(t) : [\mathbb{S}(\mathcal{N}_i)]_{i \in I}^{\text{nat}}}$$
$$\frac{\Phi_1; \Gamma_1 \vdash^{\mathbf{m}_1} t : [0]^{\text{nat}} \quad \Phi_2; \Gamma_2 \vdash^{\mathbf{m}_2} s : \mathcal{T}}{\Phi_1 + \Phi_2; \Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2 \vdash^{[\mathbf{I0}] + \mathbf{m}_1 + \mathbf{m}_2} \text{if}(t, s, x. u) : \mathcal{T}}$$
$$\frac{\Phi_1; \Gamma_1 \vdash^{\mathbf{m}_1} t : [\mathbb{S}(\mathcal{N})]^{\text{nat}} \quad \mathcal{N}^? \triangleleft \mathcal{N} \quad \Phi_2; \Gamma_2, x : \mathcal{N}^? \vdash^{\mathbf{m}_2} u : \mathcal{T}}{\Phi_1 + \Phi_2; \Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2 \vdash^{[\mathbf{IS}] + \mathbf{m}_1 + \mathbf{m}_2} \text{if}(t, s, x. u) : \mathcal{T}}$$