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## Context of the talk

Two different kinds of automata:

- Deterministic automata (in FinSet)
- Non-deterministic automata (in FinRel)

Profinite methods are well established for words using finite monoids.
Contribution: definition of profinite $\lambda$-terms in any model and proof that
Profinite words are in bijection with deterministic profinite $\lambda$-terms
using the Church encoding of words and Reynolds parametricity.
This leads to a notion of non-deterministic profinite $\lambda$-term in FinRel.

Interpreting words as $\lambda$-terms

## Simply typed $\lambda$-terms

$\lambda$-terms are defined by the grammar

$$
M, N \quad::=\quad x|\lambda x . M| M N
$$

Simple types are generated by the grammar

$$
A, B \quad::=\quad \odot \mid A \Rightarrow B
$$

For simple types, typing derivations are generated by the following three rules:

$$
\overline{\Gamma, x: A \vdash x: A} \operatorname{Var} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash M: A \Rightarrow B \quad \Gamma \vdash N: A}{\Gamma \vdash M N: B} \text { App } \quad \frac{\Gamma, x: A \vdash M: B}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x \cdot M: A \Rightarrow B} \text { Abs }
$$

## The Church encoding for words

Any natural number $n$ can be encoded in the simply typed $\lambda$-calculus as

$$
S: \odot \Rightarrow \mathbb{©}, z: \odot \vdash \underbrace{S(\ldots(S z))}_{n \text { applications }}: \mathbb{\oplus} .
$$

A natural number is just a word over a one-letter alphabet.
For example, the word $a b b a$ over the two-letter alphabet $\{a, b\}$

$$
a: \odot \Rightarrow \odot, b: \odot \Rightarrow \odot, c: \odot \vdash a(b(b(a c))): \odot .
$$

is encoded as the closed $\lambda$-term

$$
\lambda a \cdot \lambda b \cdot \lambda c \cdot a(b(b(a c))): \underbrace{(\odot \Rightarrow \infty)}_{\text {letter } a} \Rightarrow \underbrace{(\odot \Rightarrow \odot)}_{\text {letter } b} \Rightarrow \underbrace{\infty}_{\text {input }} \Rightarrow \underbrace{\infty}_{\text {output }} \text {. }
$$

## Categorical interpretation

Let C be a cartesian closed category.
In order to interpret the simply typed $\lambda$-calculus in $\mathbf{C}$, we pick an object $Q$ of $\mathbf{C}$ in order to interpret the base type © and define, for any simple type $A$, the object

$$
\llbracket A \rrbracket_{Q}
$$

by induction, as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\llbracket \oplus \rrbracket_{Q} & :=Q \\
\llbracket A \Rightarrow B \rrbracket_{Q} & :=\llbracket A \rrbracket_{Q} \Rightarrow \llbracket B \rrbracket_{Q} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The simply typed $\lambda$-terms are then interpreted by structural induction on their type derivation using the cartesian closed structure of C .

## The category FinSet

Fact. The category FinSet is cartesian closed: there is a bijection

$$
\operatorname{FinSet}(A \times B, C) \cong \operatorname{FinSet}(B, A \Rightarrow C)
$$

natural in $A$ and $C$, where $A \Rightarrow C$ is the set of functions from $A$ to $C$.
In particular, given a finite set $Q$ used to interpret $\odot$, every word wover the alphabet $\Sigma=\{a, b\}$ seen as a $\lambda$-term

$$
\vdash w: \underbrace{(\mathbb{O} \Rightarrow \odot)}_{\text {letter } a} \Rightarrow \underbrace{(\odot \Rightarrow \odot)}_{\text {letter } b} \Rightarrow \underbrace{\mathbb{O}}_{\text {input }} \Rightarrow \underbrace{0}_{\text {output }}
$$

can be interpreted in FinSet as

$$
\llbracket w \rrbracket_{Q} \in(Q \Rightarrow Q) \Rightarrow(Q \Rightarrow Q) \Rightarrow Q \Rightarrow Q
$$

which describes how the word will interact with a deterministic automaton.

Entering the profinite world

## Profinite words

Definition. A profinite word is a family of maps
$u_{M}: \operatorname{Hom}\left(\Sigma^{*}, M\right) \longrightarrow M \quad$ where $M$ ranges over all finite monoids such that for every pair of homomorphisms $p: \Sigma^{*} \rightarrow M$ and $f: M \rightarrow N$, with $M$ and $N$ finite monoids, we have $u_{N}(f \circ p)=f\left(u_{M}(p)\right)$, i.e. the following diagram commutes:


Remark. Any word $w \in \Sigma^{*}$ induces a profinite word $u$ whose components are

$$
u_{M}: \quad p \longmapsto p(w) \quad \text { where } M \text { ranges over all finite monoids. }
$$

## A profinite word which is not a word

In any finite monoid $M$, all elements $m \in M$ have a unique power $m^{n}$ (for $n \geq 1$ ) which is idempotent, i.e. such that $m^{n} m^{n}=m^{n}$. It is obtained for $n=|M|$ !. Let $w$ be any word over $\Sigma$. The family of maps

where $M$ ranges over all finite monoids
is an profinite word written $w^{\omega}$ which is not a finite word.
The set of profinite words is endowed with a monoid structure computed pointwise. In that setting, $w^{\omega}$ is idempotent.

## Key property: parametricity of profinite words

Definition. Given $M, N$ two finite monoids and $R \subseteq M \times N$, we say that $R$ is a monoidal relation $M \rightarrow N$ if it is a submonoid of $M \times N$. This means that

$$
\left(e_{M}, e_{N}\right) \in R \quad \text { and } \quad \text { for all }(m, n) \text { and }\left(m^{\prime}, n^{\prime}\right) \text { in } R \text {, we have }\left(m m^{\prime}, n n^{\prime}\right) \in R .
$$

Proposition. Let $u=\left(u_{M}\right)$ be a family of maps. The following are equivalent:

- $u$ is profinite
- for every pair of homomorphisms $p: \Sigma^{*} \rightarrow M$ and $q: \Sigma^{*} \rightarrow N$ with $M$ and $N$ finite monoids, and for any monoidal relation $R: M \rightarrow N$,

$$
\text { if for all } w \in \Sigma^{*} \text { we have }(p(w), q(w)) \in R, \quad \text { then }\left(u_{M}(p), u_{N}(q)\right) \in R
$$

## Parametric $\lambda$-terms

## Definition of logical relations

Recall that for any set $Q$ we have defined the set

$$
\llbracket A \rrbracket_{0}
$$

by structural induction on the type $A$.
We extend the construction to set-theoretic relations $R: P \leftrightarrows Q$, giving a relation

$$
\llbracket A \rrbracket_{R}: \quad \llbracket A \rrbracket_{P} \rightarrow \llbracket A \rrbracket_{Q} .
$$

by structural induction on the type $A$ :

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\llbracket \odot \rrbracket_{R}: & := & R \\
\llbracket A \Rightarrow B \rrbracket_{R}:= & \left\{(f, g) \in \llbracket A \Rightarrow B \rrbracket_{P} \times \llbracket A \Rightarrow B \rrbracket_{Q} \mid\right. \\
& & \quad \text { for all } x \in \llbracket A \rrbracket_{P} \text { and } y \in \llbracket A \rrbracket_{Q}, \\
& & \left.\quad \text { if }(x, y) \in \llbracket A \rrbracket_{R} \text { then }(f(x), g(y)) \in \llbracket B \rrbracket_{R}\right\} .
\end{array}
$$

## Double categories and main example

A double category is given by the data of objects together with

- 1-cells: vertical $(\rightarrow)$ and horizontal ( $\rightarrow$ ) arrows,
- 2-cells: squares $(\Rightarrow)$ between pairs of vertical and horizontal arrows which can be composed both horizontally or vertically.

Example. the category whose objects are finite sets, vertical arrows are functions, horizontal arrows are relations and whose squares are unique and exist when:


## Double categories as internal categories

The category Cat of categories has pullbacks.
Definition. A double category is a diagram

$$
\begin{gathered}
D_{1} \\
s\left(\uparrow_{i}\right)_{t} t \\
D_{0}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $s \circ i=\operatorname{ld}_{D_{0}}=t \circ i$, together with $m: D_{1} \times_{D_{0}} D_{1} \rightarrow D_{1}$ such that $s \circ m=s \circ \pi_{1}$ and $t \circ m=t \circ \pi_{2}$ such that the following monoidal identities hold:

$$
\begin{gathered}
D_{1} \times \times_{D_{0}} D_{1} \times \times_{D_{0}} D_{1} \xrightarrow{\operatorname{ld}_{D_{1} \times m}} D_{1} \times \times_{D_{0}} D_{1} \\
\begin{array}{c}
m \times \operatorname{ld}_{D_{1}} \downarrow \\
D_{1} \\
D_{D_{0}} D_{1} \xrightarrow[m]{m} \\
D_{1}
\end{array}
\end{gathered}
$$



## FinSet as an internal category

Example. We can endow FinSet with a structure of double category:

- the category $D_{0}$ is FinSet
- the category $D_{1}$ is the category whose objects are relations $R: X \rightarrow Y$ and a morphism $f:(R: X \rightarrow Y) \rightarrow\left(R^{\prime}: X^{\prime} \rightarrow Y^{\prime}\right)$ is a pair of functions $f_{1}: X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ and $f_{2}: Y \rightarrow Y^{\prime}$ such that

$$
\text { if } \quad(x, y) \in R \quad \text { then } \quad\left(f_{1}(x), f_{2}(y)\right) \in R^{\prime}
$$

We take $s(R: X \rightarrow Y)=X$ and $t(R: X \rightarrow Y)=Y$. If $R: X \rightarrow Y$ and $R^{\prime}: Y \leftrightarrow Z$, we let

$$
m\left(R, R^{\prime}\right)=R \circ R^{\prime}=\left\{(x, z) \in X \times Z \mid \exists y \in Y,(x, y) \in R,(y, z) \in R^{\prime}\right\}
$$

## Cartesian double categories

A double category $D$ is cartesian if the pairs of squares

is in bijection with the set of squares

and the horizontal morphism $\mathrm{Id}_{1}: 1 \rightarrow 1$ is terminal.
Internally: $D_{0}$ and $D_{1}$ are cartesian and $s$ and $t$ strictly respect the cartesian

## Cartesian closed double categories

A cartesian double category $D$ is closed if the set of squares

is in bijection with the set of squares


Internally: $D_{0}$ and $D_{1}$ are CCCs and $s$ and $t$ strictly respect the CCC structure.
Fact. The double category of finite sets is cartesian closed.

## Parametric $\lambda$-terms

Let us consider a cartesian closed double category.
Definition. Let A be a simple type. A parametric $\lambda$-term of type $A$ is the data

- a family of vertical maps $\theta_{Q}: 1 \rightarrow \llbracket A \rrbracket_{Q}$ where $Q$ ranges over all objects
- a family of squares $\theta_{R}: \operatorname{ld}_{1} \Rightarrow \llbracket A \rrbracket_{R}$ where $R$ ranges over all horizontal arrows such that the horizontal source and target of a square $\theta_{R}$ for $R: P \rightarrow Q$ are the maps $\theta_{P}$ and $\theta_{Q}$, which we can represent as



## Parametric $\lambda$-terms and profinite words

In the case of FinSet, a parametric $\lambda$-term of type $A$ amounts to a family

$$
\theta_{Q} \in \llbracket A \rrbracket_{Q} \quad \text { where } Q \text { ranges over all finite sets, }
$$

such that, for every binary relation $R: P \nrightarrow Q$, we have

$$
\left(\theta_{P}, \theta_{Q}\right) \in \llbracket A \rrbracket_{R} .
$$

Theorem. Parametric $\lambda$-terms define a cartesian closed category, and the parametric $\lambda$-terms of type

$$
\text { Church }_{\Sigma}:=\underbrace{(\odot \Rightarrow \odot) \Rightarrow \ldots \Rightarrow(\odot \Rightarrow \odot)}_{|\Sigma| \text { times }} \Rightarrow(\odot \Rightarrow \odot)
$$

are in bijection with the profinite words on $\Sigma$.

## Conclusion

Current \& future work:

- find a syntax for parametric $\lambda$-terms of any type in the deterministic model;
- determine the parametric $\lambda$-terms of type Church $_{\Sigma}$ in the model associated to nondeterministic automata;
- investigate a generalization of logic on words with MSO to a logic on $\lambda$-terms.


## Conclusion

Current \& future work:

- find a syntax for parametric $\lambda$-terms of any type in the deterministic model;
- determine the parametric $\lambda$-terms of type Church $_{\Sigma}$ in the model associated to nondeterministic automata;
- investigate a generalization of logic on words with MSO to a logic on $\lambda$-terms.

Thank you for your attention! Any questions?
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## Cartesian closed categories

The $\lambda$-calculus is about applying functions to arguments.
The simply typed $\lambda$-calculus is interpreted using cartesian closed categories.
A cartesian closed category C is a category:

- with finite products
- such that for every object $A$, the functor

$$
A \times-: C \rightarrow C
$$

has a right adjoint

$$
A \Rightarrow-: C \rightarrow C .
$$

This is the categorified version of an implicative $\wedge$-semilattice.

## Proof that profinite words are parametric

Para $\Longrightarrow$ Pro. Let $p: \Sigma^{*} \rightarrow M$. Any morphism $u: M \rightarrow N$ induces a monoidal relation $R: M \rightarrow N$ which is its graph. By parametricity, $u_{N}(f \circ p)=f\left(u_{M}(p)\right)$.
Pro $\Longrightarrow$ Para. Let $p: \Sigma^{*} \rightarrow M$ and $q: \Sigma^{*} \rightarrow N$ be homomorphisms and $R: M \rightarrow N$ be a monoidal relation such that

$$
\text { for all } w \in \Sigma^{*}, \quad(p(w), q(w)) \in R .
$$

The monoidal relation $R$ induces a submonoid $i: S \hookrightarrow M \times N$. Because of the above-stated property, there is $h: \Sigma^{*} \rightarrow S$ such that $i \circ h=\langle p, q\rangle$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(u_{M}(p), u_{N}(q)\right) & =\left(\pi_{1}\left(u_{M \times N}(\langle p, q\rangle)\right), \pi_{2}\left(u_{M \times N}(\langle p, q\rangle)\right)\right) \\
& =u_{M \times N}(\langle p, q\rangle) \\
& =i\left(u_{S}(h)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We obtain that $\left(u_{m}(p), u_{N}(q)\right) \in R$, so $u$ is parametric.

## The inverse bijections $T$ and $W$

Pro $\rightarrow$ Para. Every profinite word $u$ induces a parafinite term with components

$$
T(u)_{Q}: \begin{array}{clc}
\Sigma \Rightarrow(Q \Rightarrow Q) & \longrightarrow \quad Q \Rightarrow Q \\
p & \longmapsto \quad u_{Q \Rightarrow Q}(p)
\end{array}
$$

given the fact that $Q \Rightarrow Q$ is a monoid for the function composition.
Para $\rightarrow$ Pro. Every parametric term $\theta$ induces a profinite word with components

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W(\theta)_{M} \quad: \begin{array}{ccc}
\Sigma \Rightarrow M & \longrightarrow & M \\
p & \longmapsto & \theta_{M}\left(i_{M} \circ p\right)\left(e_{M}\right)
\end{array} \\
& \begin{array}{c}
\Sigma \underset{\substack{\text { imo- } \uparrow}}{\Rightarrow}(M \Rightarrow M) \xrightarrow{\theta_{M}} M \underset{\downarrow-\left(e_{M}\right)}{\Rightarrow} M
\end{array} \\
& \Sigma \Rightarrow M \underset{W}{W}(\theta)_{M} \cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

where $i_{M}: M \rightarrow(M \Rightarrow M)$ is the Cayley embedding.
These are bijections between profinite words and parametric $\lambda$-terms.

Let $u$ be a profinite word. Recall that $u_{M}:(\Sigma \Rightarrow M) \rightarrow M$.
Its associated parametric $\lambda$-term $T(u)$ has components

$$
T(u)_{Q}=u_{(Q \Rightarrow Q)}
$$

Its associated profinite word $W(T(u))$, for $p: \Sigma \rightarrow M$, is equal to

$$
W(T(u))_{M}(p)=T(u)_{M}\left(i_{M} \circ p\right)\left(e_{M}\right)=u_{(M \Rightarrow M)}\left(i_{M} \circ p\right)\left(e_{M}\right)
$$

In order to show that $W(T(u))$ is $u$, we use the parametricity of profinite words. We consider the moinoidal logical relation $R \subseteq(M \Rightarrow M) \times M$ defined as

$$
R:=\quad\{(f, m) \in(M \Rightarrow M) \times M \mid \forall n \in M, f(n)=m \cdot n\}
$$

We have that $\left(i_{M} \circ p, p\right) \in \llbracket \mathbb{O} \times \cdots \times \odot \rrbracket_{R}$ because for all $a \in \Sigma$,

$$
\text { for all } m \in I, \quad\left(i_{M} \circ p\right)(a)(m)=p(a) \cdot m \text {. }
$$

By parametricity of $u$ applied to $R$, we have that

$$
\left(u_{(M \Rightarrow M)}\left(i_{M} \circ p\right), u_{M}(p)\right) \quad \in \quad \llbracket \odot \Rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \rrbracket_{R}
$$

which means, by definition of $\llbracket \odot \Rightarrow \Phi \rrbracket_{R}$, that

$$
\text { for all }(f, m) \in R \text {, we have }\left(u_{(M \Rightarrow M)}\left(i_{M} \circ p\right)(f), u_{M}(p)(m)\right) \in R
$$

which gives the desired result:

$$
W(T(u))=u_{(M \Rightarrow M)}\left(i_{M} \circ p\right)\left(e_{M}\right)=u_{M}(p)(m)
$$

## Para $\rightarrow$ Pro $\rightarrow$ Para

Let $\theta$ be a parafinite term. Recall that $\theta_{Q} \in(\Sigma \Rightarrow(Q \Rightarrow Q)) \Rightarrow(Q \Rightarrow Q)$. Its associated profinite word $W(\theta)$ is equal, for $p: \Sigma \rightarrow M$, to

$$
W(\theta)_{M}(p)=\theta_{M}\left(i_{M} \circ p\right)\left(e_{M}\right)
$$

Its reassociated parametric $\lambda$-term $T(W(\theta))$ has components

$$
T(W(\theta))_{Q}=W_{(Q \Rightarrow Q)}
$$

We want to show that, for all $p: \Sigma \rightarrow(Q \Rightarrow Q)$, we have $\theta_{Q}(p)=T(W(\theta))_{Q}(p)$, i.e.

$$
\text { for all } q_{0} \in Q, \quad \theta_{(Q \Rightarrow Q)}\left(i_{(Q \Rightarrow Q)} \circ p\right)\left(\operatorname{Id}_{Q}\right)\left(q_{0}\right)=\theta_{Q}(p)\left(q_{0}\right)
$$

To show that, we introduce, for any $q_{0} \in Q$, the logical relation

$$
R_{q_{0}}:=\quad\left\{(f, q) \in(Q \Rightarrow Q) \times Q \mid f\left(q_{0}\right)=q\right\}
$$

First, we have $\left(i_{( }(\rho \rightarrow Q) \circ p, p\right) \in \llbracket(\odot \Rightarrow \odot) \times \cdots \times(\odot \Rightarrow \odot) \rrbracket_{R_{q_{0}}}$ because for all $a \in \Sigma$,

$$
\text { for all }(f, q) \in R \text {, we have }\left(i_{(Q \Rightarrow Q)} \circ p\right)(a)(f)\left(q_{0}\right)=p(a)\left(f\left(q_{0}\right)\right)=p(a)(q)
$$

By parametricity of $\theta$, we obtain that $\left(\theta_{(Q \Rightarrow Q)}\left({ }_{( }(Q \Rightarrow Q) \circ p\right), \theta_{Q}(p)\right) \in \llbracket \odot \Rightarrow \Phi \rrbracket_{R_{q_{0}}}$. Given the fact that $\left(I_{Q}, q_{0}\right) \in R R_{q_{0}}$ and by definition of $\llbracket \mathbb{Q} \Rightarrow \odot \rrbracket_{R_{q_{0}}}$, we obtain that

$$
\theta_{(Q \Rightarrow Q)}\left(i_{(Q \Rightarrow Q)} \circ p\right)\left(\operatorname{Id}_{Q}\right)\left(q_{0}\right)=\theta_{Q}(p)\left(q_{0}\right)
$$

which concludes the proof. $\square$

