

# Representation theorem for System **F**

## There is a total recursive function that cannot be represented in F

Indeed, consider  $g(\_)$  a Gödel numbering of  $\lambda$ -terms:

- $\text{eval}(n) = \begin{cases} g(u) & \text{if } n = g(t) \text{ and } t \longrightarrow^* u \not\rightarrow \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$
- $\text{apply}(m, n) = \begin{cases} g(v) & \text{if } m = g(t), n = g(u) \text{ and } v = (t)u \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$
- $\#(n) = g(\overline{n})$
- $b(n) = \begin{cases} m & \text{if } n = g(\overline{m}) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

$g$ ,  $\text{eval}$ ,  $\text{apply}$ ,  $\#$  and  $b$  are total recursive functions.

Consider  $\text{diag}$  defined as:

$$\text{diag}(n) = b(\text{eval}(\text{apply}(n, \#(n)))) + 1$$

Assume  $d$  is a system F term representing  $\text{diag}$  and let  $n = g(d)$ . Then we have:

- $\text{apply}(n, \#(n)) = g((d)\overline{n})$ ;
- $\text{eval}(\text{apply}(n, \#(n))) = g(u)$  such that  $(d)n \longrightarrow^* u \not\rightarrow$
- $(d)\overline{n} \longrightarrow^* \overline{\text{diag}(n)}$  by definition;
- $\text{eval}(\text{apply}(n, \#(n))) = g(\overline{\text{diag}(n)})$  so
- $\text{diag}(n) = b(g(\overline{\text{diag}(n)}))$  and finally
- $\text{diag}(n) = \text{diag}(n) + 1 \dots$

# 1 Provably total functions

Assuming  $f$  is partial recursive, it is natural to wonder whether it is total and if it is so, what principles are needed to establish this totality.

We consider the usual recursive bijection between  $\mathbb{N}^2$  and  $\mathbb{N}$ :  $\mathfrak{p}(m, n) = (m + n)(m + n + 1)/2 + m$  which is primitive recursive as well as  $l, r$  such that for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n = \mathfrak{p}(l(n), r(n))$ .

## Définition 1.1 (Kleene $\mathsf{T}_k$ predicates)

Assume given an enumeration  $(M_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  of Turing machines. Then  $\mathsf{T}_k(i, n_1, \dots, n_k, m)$  holds if and only if  $M_i$  terminates in  $r(m)$  steps on input  $(n_1, \dots, n_k)$  with output  $l(m)$ .

In particular, if  $f$  is partial recursive of arity  $k$  and represented by  $M_i$ , one writes  $t_f$  for the function such that  $t_f(n_1, \dots, n_k, m) = 0$  iff  $\mathsf{T}_k(i, n_1, \dots, n_k, m)$  does not hold (it is the characteristic function of  $\mathsf{T}_k(i, \cdot, \dots, \cdot)$ ).

## Théorème 1.2

For any  $k$ ,  $\mathsf{T}_k$  is primitive recursive. For any partial recursive function  $f$ ,  $t_f$  is primitive recursive.

## Théorème 1.3

Every  $k$ -ary partial recursive function  $f$  can be written as

$$f(n_1, \dots, n_k) = l(\mu y. [t_f(n_1, \dots, n_k, y) = 0]).$$

The **totality** of  $f$  ( $k$ -ary) can therefore be expressed as

$$\forall x_1 \dots \forall x_k. \exists y. t_f(x_0, \dots, x_k, y) = 0$$

**Définition 1.4 (*Provably total function*)**

A  $k$ -ary partial recursive function  $f$  is provably total in  $\mathsf{T}$  if

$$\mathsf{T} \vdash \forall x_1 \dots \forall x_k. \exists y. t_f(x_0, \dots, x_k, y) = 0.$$

**Remarque 1.5**

Not all total recursive function is provably total in  $\mathsf{PA}_2$ . Indeed, since one can enumerate all formulas  $\forall x_1 \dots \forall x_k. \exists y. t_f(x_0, \dots, x_k, y) = 0$  and their proofs, one can effectively enumerate the provably total function of  $\mathsf{PA}_2$  as  $(f_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ . But then  $f(n) = f_n(n) + 1$  is total but not provably total.

