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## Differential $\lambda$-calculus

A function $f: E \rightarrow F$ is differentiable in $x$ if

$$
f(x+u) \simeq f(x)+f^{\prime}(x) \cdot u
$$

With $f^{\prime}(x): E \rightarrow F$ a linear map.
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substitute one occurrence of $x$ by $Q$ in $P$.
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With $f^{\prime}(x): E \rightarrow F$ a linear map.
Differential in terms
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$$
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substitute one occurrence of $x$ by $Q$ in $P$.
Taylor Expansion

$$
(\lambda x . P) Q \mapsto \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!}(\frac{\partial^{n} P}{\partial x^{n}} \cdot(\underbrace{Q, \ldots, Q}_{\mathrm{n} \text { times }}))[0 / x]
$$
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## Differential Categories and Cartesian Differential Categories

Recall: $\mathcal{L}_{!}(X, Y):=\mathcal{L}(!X, Y)$ is a CCC

> | Cartesian Differential |
| :--- |
| Category ${ }^{2} \mathcal{C}$ |
| (first order $\lambda$-calculus) |

- Compatibility with the CCC struture: models of differential $\lambda$-calculus Bucciarelli, Ehrhard, and Manzonetto 2010
- Models for Taylor expansion (qualitative setting) Manzonetto 2012 Example: relation model
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Models of a first order calculus with differentiation (subject reduction)
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## Comparison with tangeant category



- Tangeant Category: distinguish point/vector, total sum on vectors
- Coherent Differential Category: no distinction point/vector, but restricted sum
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- Sum $\sigma \in \mathcal{C}(\widetilde{\mathrm{D}} X, X) \sigma:\left\langle\left\langle x_{0}, x_{1}\right\rangle \mapsto x_{0}+x_{1}\right.$
$f_{0}, f_{1} \in \mathcal{C}(X, Y)$ summable: $\exists\left\langle\left\langle f_{0}, f_{1}\right\rangle\right\rangle \mathcal{C}(X, \widetilde{D} Y)$ s.t. $\pi_{i} \circ\left\langle\left\langle f_{0}, f_{1}\right\rangle=f_{i}\right.$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x \mapsto\left\langle\left\langle f_{0}(x), f_{1}(x)\right\rangle\right\rangle \\
& X \xrightarrow{\left\langle f_{0}, f_{1}\right\rangle} \widetilde{\mathrm{D}} Y \\
& \begin{array}{cl}
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&
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$$
\widetilde{\mathrm{D}} X=X \& X(=X \times X) \Longleftrightarrow \text { Cartesian Left Additive Category }
$$
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Compatibility with composition
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$\triangleright 0 \circ f=0$ and $\left(g_{0}+g_{1}\right) \circ f=g_{0} \circ f+g_{1} \circ f$ (left additive)
$-h \circ 0 \equiv 0$ and $h \circ\left(f_{0}+f_{1}\right) \equiv h \circ f_{0} \perp h \circ f_{1}$
Left summability structure
Axioms that endows $\mathcal{C}(X, Y)$ with the structure of a partially additive monoid, see Arbib and Manes 1980
$\widetilde{D}$ is not a functor (yet)!
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Define $f^{\prime}=\pi_{1} \circ \widetilde{\mathrm{D}} f \in \mathcal{C}(\widetilde{\mathrm{D}} X, Y)$
Axioms of differentiation: very structural properties

- $\widetilde{\mathrm{D}}$ is a functor (Chain rule)
- $\pi_{0}, \pi_{1}$ are linear ( $h$ linear if $h^{\prime}(x) \cdot u=h(u)$ )
- $\sigma$ is linear $\left((f+g)^{\prime}=f^{\prime}+g^{\prime}\right)$
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Define $\iota_{0}, \theta, \mathrm{c}$ and I
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\begin{aligned}
\iota_{0} \circ x & =\langle\langle x, 0\rangle\rangle \\
\theta \circ\langle\langle\langle\langle x, u\rangle,,\langle\langle v, w\rangle\rangle\rangle & =\langle\langle x, u+v\rangle\rangle \\
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- c is natural (Schwarz)
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Cartesian Differential Categories
Naturality equations $\Longleftrightarrow$ equations on the differential $f^{\prime}$. They are exactly the equations of Cartesian Differential Categories.
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\text { Cartesian Differential Category } \Longleftrightarrow \widetilde{\mathrm{D}} X=X \& X
$$
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\begin{aligned}
& \Phi^{0}: \widetilde{\mathrm{D}} X_{0} \& X_{1} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathrm{D}} X_{0} \& \widetilde{\mathrm{D}} X_{1} \simeq \widetilde{\mathrm{D}}\left(X_{0} \& X_{1}\right) \\
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Partial derivative of $f \in \mathcal{C}\left(X_{0} \& X_{1}, Y\right): \widetilde{\mathrm{D}}_{0} f \in \mathcal{C}\left(\widetilde{\mathrm{D}} X_{0} \& X_{1}, \widetilde{\mathrm{D}} Y\right)$

$$
\widetilde{\mathrm{D}} X_{0} \& X_{1} \xrightarrow{\Phi^{0}} \widetilde{\mathrm{D}}\left(X_{0} \& X_{1}\right) \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\mathrm{D}} f} \widetilde{\mathrm{D}} Y
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## Leibniz and Schwarz

Leibniz
In analysis:

$$
f^{\prime}(x, y) \cdot(u, v)=\partial_{0} f(x, y) \cdot u+\partial_{1} f(x, y) \cdot v
$$

In Cartesian Coherent Differential Categories

$$
\widetilde{\mathrm{D}} f \circ \mathrm{c}_{\&}^{-1}=\theta \circ \widetilde{\mathrm{D}}_{0} \widetilde{\mathrm{D}}_{1} f=\theta \circ \widetilde{\mathrm{D}}_{1} \widetilde{\mathrm{D}}_{0} f
$$

## Plan
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## Takeaway

- Axiomatization of differentiation with partial sums
- Axioms of differentiation: functoriality and naturality
- Nice theory of partial derivatives


## TODO list

- Introduce closure to interpret a deterministic differential $\lambda$-calculus
- Deal with fixpoints to interpret the Coherent Differential PCF of Ehrhard
- Revisit syntactical Taylor expansion in a coherent setting
- It should provide generic denotational proofs of important results on syntactical Taylor expansion
- Is this construction insigthful for traditional analysis ?
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