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## Infinitely sorted tree algebras

The free tree algebra has as carrier $\left(T_{X}\right)_{X}$ finite where the $X$ 's are finite sets of variables.
$T_{X}=\{$ trees in which all the variables of $X$ appear on the leaves $\}$
Objects

A tree algebra $\mathcal{A}=\left(A_{X}\right)_{X}$ finite is finite if all the sorts $A_{X}$ are finite.

## Infinitely sorted tree algebras

The free tree algebra has as carrier $\left(T_{X}\right)_{X}$ finite where the $X$ 's are finite sets of variables.
$T_{X}=\{$ trees in which all the variables of $X$ appear on the leaves $\}$

Objects

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{x}^{/ \backslash}{ }_{y}^{a} \in T_{\{x, y\}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Languages and the size of the algebra

## Definition (Language recognized by an algebra)

A language $L$ of finite trees over $\Sigma$ is recognized by a finite tree algebra $\mathcal{A}$ if it is the inverse image of a subset of $A_{\emptyset}$ under a morphism from the free tree algebra to $\mathcal{A}$.

Example $L=$ trees without $b$ 's on the leftmost branch

## Languages and the size of the algebra

## Definition (Language recognized by an algebra)

A language $L$ of finite trees over $\Sigma$ is recognized by a finite tree algebra $\mathcal{A}$ if it is the inverse image of a subset of $A_{\emptyset}$ under a morphism from the free tree algebra to $\mathcal{A}$.

Example $L=$ trees without $b$ 's on the leftmost branch


## Languages and the size of the algebra

## Definition (Language recognized by an algebra)

A language $L$ of finite trees over $\Sigma$ is recognized by a finite tree algebra $\mathcal{A}$ if it is the inverse image of a subset of $A_{\emptyset}$ under a morphism from the free tree algebra to $\mathcal{A}$.

Example $L=$ trees without $b$ 's on the leftmost branch


## Languages and the size of the algebra

## Definition (Language recognized by an algebra)

A language $L$ of finite trees over $\Sigma$ is recognized by a finite tree algebra $\mathcal{A}$ if it is the inverse image of a subset of $A_{\emptyset}$ under a morphism from the free tree algebra to $\mathcal{A}$.

Example $L=$ trees without $b$ 's on the leftmost branch

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{X}=2^{\Sigma} \uplus\left(2^{\Sigma} \times X\right) \quad\left|A_{X}\right|=2^{|\Sigma|}+2^{|\Sigma|}|X| \text { is linear in }|X| .
\end{aligned}
$$
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The objective is to identify new classes of languages and to gain a better understanding of tree algebras.
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Same expressive power as algebras of polynomial complexity.

## Theorem on permutation groups

Theorem 5.2A. Let $A:=\operatorname{Alt}(\Omega)$ where $n:=|\Omega| \geq 5$, , and let $r$ be an integer with $1 \leq r \leq n / 2$. Suppose that $G \leq A$ has index $|A: G|<\binom{n}{r}$. Then one of the following holds:
(i) for some $\Delta \subseteq \Omega$ with $|\Delta|<r$ we have $A_{(\Delta)} \leq G \leq A_{\{\Delta\}}$;
(ii) $n=2 m$ is even, $G$ is imprimitive with two blocks of size $m$, and $|A: G|=\frac{1}{2}\binom{n}{m}$; or
(iii) one of six exceptional cases hold where:
(a) $G$ is imprimitive on $\Omega$ and $(n, r,|A: G|)=(6,3,15)$;
(b) $G$ is primitive on $\Omega$ and $(n, r,|A: G|, G)=(5,2,6,5: 2)$, $\left(6,2,6, P S L_{2}(5)\right),\left(7,2,15, P S L_{3}(2)\right),\left(8,2,15, A G L_{3}(2)\right)$, or (9,4,120, $\left.P \Gamma L_{2}(8)\right)$.
[DIXON, MORTIMER, Permutation groups. Springer Science \& Business Media, 1996]
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## Corollary: existence of small pseudo-support

Fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $\operatorname{Sym}(n)$ act transitively on $A$ with $|A| \leq n^{k}$. If $n$ is large enough then, for every $a \in A$, there is some $\Delta \subseteq n$ with $|\Delta| \leq k$ such that $\operatorname{Alt}(n \backslash \Delta) \subseteq \operatorname{Stabilizer}(a)$

