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Abstract

Let $\beta$ be a real number $> 1$. The digit set conversion between real numbers represented in fixed base $\beta$ is shown to be computable by an on-line algorithm, and thus is a continuous function. When $\beta$ is a Pisot number the digit set conversion is computable by an on-line finite automaton.

1 Introduction

In computer arithmetic, on-line computation consists of performing arithmetic operations in Most Significant Digit First (MSDF) mode, digit serially after a certain latency delay [8]. This allows the pipelining of different operations such as addition, multiplication and division. It is also appropriate for the processing of real numbers having infinite expansions: it is well known that when multiplying two real numbers, only the left part of the result is significant. To be able to perform on-line addition, it is necessary to use a redundant number system (see [19], [8]).

On the other hand, a function is computable by a finite automaton if it needs only a finite auxiliary storage memory, independent of the size of the data. In that setting, it is known that addition of two integers in the classical $b$-ary system is computable by a finite automaton, but that squaring is not (see [7]). Actually, the natural finite automaton one designs to perform addition is a sequential one, processing numbers in the Least Significant Digit First (LSDF) mode.

On-line finite automata have been introduced by Muller [16]. They are sequential finite automata processing data in MSDF mode. In integral base $b$ on the canonical digit set $\{0, \ldots, b-1\}$, addition is not on-line computable, but with a balanced alphabet of signed digits of the form $\{-a, \ldots, a\}$ with $b/2 \leq a \leq b-1$, using the algorithms of Avizienis [1] and Chow and Robertson [6], addition is computable by an on-line finite automaton (see [16], [14]). In the same spirit we have shown that, in a complex base of the form $\sqrt[b]{b}$, where $b$ is a relative integer such that $|b| \geq 2$, and with digit set $\{-a, \ldots, a\}$ with $|b|/2 \leq a \leq |b|-1$, addition is computable by an on-line finite automaton as well [12].
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In this paper we consider a base $\beta$ which is a real number $> 1$, generally not an integer. For any $\beta > 1$, by the greedy algorithm of Rényi [18], one can compute a representation in base $\beta$ of any real number belonging to the interval $[0, 1]$, called its $\beta$-expansion, and where the digits are elements of the canonical digit set $A = \{0, \ldots, [\beta]\}$ if $\beta$ is not an integer, or of $A = \{0, \ldots, \beta - 1\}$ if $\beta$ is an integer. In such a representation, not all the patterns of digits are allowed (see [17] for instance). Recall that a Pisot number is an algebraic integer such that all its algebraic conjugates have modulus less than 1. The natural integers and the golden ratio are Pisot numbers. In a previous work, we have shown that the function of normalization which maps a representation in real base $\beta$ of a number on any digit set onto its $\beta$-expansion is computable by a finite automaton if and only if $\beta$ is a Pisot number [2], but the automaton is not sequential, that is to say, data are not processed deterministically in MSDF nor in LSDF mode. It is known that it is not possible to find a sequential finite automaton realizing the normalization [10].

A digit set conversion in base $\beta$ is a function from a digit set $D$ onto the canonical digit set $A$ which transforms the $\beta$-representation of a number $x$ with digits in $D$ onto a representation of $x$ with digits in the canonical digit set $A$. In general, the conversion is nonnormalized, that is to say, the result is expressed with digits in $A$, but need not be the greedy $\beta$-expansion. Nonnormalized addition and multiplication by a fixed positive integer are particular cases of digit set conversions.

For some Pisot bases of a special kind, nonnormalized conversion was known to be computable by an on-line finite automaton, namely for bases $\beta > 1$ where $\beta$ is the dominant root of an equation of the form

$$X^m - aX^{m-1} - aX^{m-2} - \cdots - aX - b$$

where $a \geq b \geq 1$ are integers, and $m \geq 2$. The most well-known case is the golden ratio $(1 + \sqrt{5})/2$, with $m = 2$, $a = b = 1$ (see [12]).

In this work we generalize this result to any Pisot number.

The paper is organized as follows. First we show that for any real base $\beta > 1$ and any set of nonnegative digits $D \supseteq A = \{0, \ldots, [\beta]\}$, the (nonnormalized) conversion from $D$ to $A$ is computable by an on-line algorithm (Theorem 1). We then show that such a function is continuous for the product topology on the set $D^\mathbb{N}$, and the function induced on real numbers is continuous as well.

When $\beta$ is a Pisot number, we show that this algorithm can be realized by an on-line finite automaton (Theorem 2). Note that this result applies to the case where $\beta$ is an integer and the alphabet $A$ is equal to $\{0, \ldots, \beta\}$. The case $\beta = 2$ and $A = \{0, 1, 2\}$ is the well-known “Carry-Save” representation used in computer arithmetic.

Conversely, if for any digit set $D$, this conversion from $D$ to $A$ is realizable by an on-line finite automaton, then the base must be an algebraic integer. We give an example of a Perron number which is not a Pisot number such that the conversion is not realizable by an on-line finite automaton.

In the case where $\beta$ is a Pisot number, one can define linear numeration systems associated with $\beta$, like the Fibonacci numeration system associated with the golden ratio. In these systems, any natural number has a greedy representation by an algorithm of Fraenkel [9]. As a corollary of the previous results, the digit set conversion in a linear numeration system associated with a Pisot number is computable by an on-line finite automaton.
2 Preliminaries

An alphabet $A$ is a finite set. A finite sequence of elements of $A$ is called a word, and the set of words on $A$ is the free monoid $A^*$. The empty word is denoted by $\varepsilon$. The set of infinite sequences or infinite words on $A$ is denoted by $A^\infty$. Let $v$ be a word of $A^*$, denote by $v^n$ the concatenation of $v$ to itself $n$ times, and by $v^\omega$ the infinite concatenation $vvv\cdots$.

2.1 Beta-representations

A survey on numeration systems can be found in [11]. Let $\beta > 1$ be a real number and let $D$ be an alphabet of digits. A $\beta$-representation on $D$ of a number $x$ of $[0,1]$ is an infinite sequence $(d_j)_{j\geq1}$ of $D^\infty$ such that $\sum_{j\geq1}d_j\beta^{-j} = x$.

Any real number $x \in [0,1]$ can be represented in base $\beta$ by the following greedy algorithm [18]:

Denote by $[.]$ and by $\{\cdot\}$ the integral part and the fractional part of a number. Let $x_1 = [\beta x]$ and let $r_1 = \{\beta x\}$. Then iterate for $j \geq 2$, $x_j = [\beta r_{j-1}]$ and $r_j = \{\beta r_{j-1}\}$.

Thus $x = \sum_{j\geq1}x_j\beta^{-j}$, where the digits $x_j$ are elements of the canonical alphabet $A = \{0, \ldots, \lfloor \beta \rfloor \}$ if $\beta \notin \mathbb{N}$, $A = \{0, \ldots, \beta - 1\}$ otherwise. The sequence $(x_j)_{j\geq1}$ of $A^\infty$ is called the $\beta$-expansion of $x$. When $\beta$ is not an integer, a number $x$ may have several different $\beta$-representations on $A$: this system is naturally redundant. The $\beta$-expansion obtained by the greedy algorithm is the greatest one in the lexicographic order. When a $\beta$-representation ends with infinitely many zeroes, it is said to be finite, and the 0’s are omitted.

Let $d_\beta(1) = (t_j)_{j\geq1}$ be the $\beta$-expansion of 1. If $d_\beta(1)$ is finite, $d_\beta(1) = t_1 \cdots t_N$, set $d_\beta^*(1) = (t_1 \cdots t_{N-1}(t_N - 1))^\omega$, otherwise set $d_\beta^*(1) = d_\beta(1)$. We recall the following result of Parry [17]. An infinite word $s = (s_j)_{j\geq1}$ is the $\beta$-expansion of a number $x$ of $[0,1]$ if and only if for every $p \geq 1$, $s_p s_{p+1} \cdots$ is smaller in the lexicographic order than $d_\beta(1)^*$.

Let $D$ be a digit set. The numerical value in base $\beta$ on $D$ is the function $\pi_\beta : D^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\pi_\beta((d_j)_{j\geq1}) = \sum_{j\geq1}d_j\beta^{-j}$. The normalization on $D$ is the function $\nu_D : D^\infty \rightarrow A^N$ which maps any sequence $(d_j)_{j\geq1} \in D^\infty$ where $x = \pi_\beta((d_j)_{j\geq1})$ belongs to $[0,1]$ onto the $\beta$-expansion of $x$.

A digit set conversion in base $\beta$ from $D$ to $A$ is a function $\chi : D^\infty \rightarrow A^N$ such that for each sequence $(d_j)_{j\geq1} \in D^\infty$ where $x = \pi_\beta((d_j)_{j\geq1})$ belongs to $[0,1]$, there exists a sequence $(a_j)_{j\geq1} \in A^N$ such that $x = \pi_\beta((a_j)_{j\geq1})$. Note that apriori the result of a conversion is not unique, but all the processes we shall consider later on are deterministic, and thus compute functions. Remark that the image $\chi((d_j)_{j\geq1})$ belongs to $A^N$, but need not be the $\beta$-expansion of $x$ as computed by the greedy algorithm.

To perform addition in base $\beta$ the process is the following one: take two numbers $v = \sum_{j\geq1}v_j\beta^{-j}$ and $y = \sum_{j\geq1}y_j\beta^{-j}$ with $v_j$ and $y_j$ in $A$, such that $v + y \in [0,1]$. Set $z_j = v_j + y_j$. Then $z_j$ is an element of $B = [0, \ldots, 2\lfloor \beta \rfloor]$, and $v + y = \sum_{j\geq1}z_j\beta^{-j}$. Addition consists of transforming the representation $(z_j)_{j\geq1}$ of $v + y$ on $B$ into an equivalent one $(s_j)_{j\geq1}$, such that $v + y = \sum_{j\geq1}s_j\beta^{-j}$, with $s_j \in A$. Multiplication by a fixed integer $m \geq 1$ is analogous: multiply by $m$ each digit of the $\beta$-expansion. This gives a sequence on the alphabet $\{0, \ldots, m\lfloor \beta \rfloor\}$, to be converted into an equivalent $\beta$-representation on $A$. 
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Non-normalized addition and multiplication by a fixed positive integer are thus special cases of digit set conversion.

2.2 On-line computability

Let $X$ and $Y$ be two finite digit sets, $X$ is the input alphabet, and $Y$ is the output alphabet. Let

$$
\varphi : X^\mathbb{N} \to Y^\mathbb{N}
$$

$$(x_j)_{j \geq 1} \mapsto (y_j)_{j \geq 1}
$$

The function $\varphi$ is said to be on-line computable with delay $\delta$ if there exists a natural number $\delta$ such that, for each $j \geq 1$ there exists a function $\Phi_j : X^{j+\delta} \to Y$ such that $y_j = \Phi_j(x_1 \cdots x_{j+\delta})$.

It is well known that some functions are not on-line computable, like addition in the binary system with canonical digit set $\{0, 1\}$. Addition is considered as a conversion $\chi$ from $\{0, 1, 2\}$ to $\{0, 1\}$. Since $\chi(0^n 2^\omega) = 10^\omega$ and $\chi(0^n 0^\omega) = 01^n 0^\omega$ for any $n \geq 1$, one sees that the most significant digit of the result depends on the least significant digits of the input.

2.3 Automata

We refer the reader to [7]. An automaton over $A, \mathcal{A} = (Q, A, E, I, T)$, is a directed graph labelled by elements of $A$. The set of vertices, traditionally called states, is denoted by $Q$, $I \subset Q$ is the set of initial states, $T \subset Q$ is the set of terminal states and $E \subset Q \times A \times Q$ is the set of labelled edges. If $(p, a, q) \in E$, we note $p \xrightarrow{a} q$. The automaton is finite if $Q$ is finite. The automaton $\mathcal{A}$ is deterministic if $E$ is the graph of a (partial) function from $Q$ into $Q$, and if there is a unique initial state. A subset $H$ of $A^*$ is said to be recognizable by a finite automaton if there exists a finite automaton $\mathcal{A}$ such that $H$ is equal to the set of labels of paths starting in an initial state and ending in a terminal state. A subset $K$ of $A^\mathbb{N}$ is said to be recognizable by a finite automaton if there exists a finite automaton $\mathcal{A}$ such that $K$ is equal to the set of labels of infinite paths starting in an initial state and going infinitely often through a terminal state.

In this paper we are interested in 2-tape automata, see [3]. Let $X$ and $Y$ be two alphabets. A 2-tape automaton is an automaton over the non-free monoid $X^* \times Y^*$: $\mathcal{A} = (Q, X^* \times Y^*, E, I, T)$ is a directed graph the edges of which are labelled by elements of $X^* \times Y^*$. Words of $X^*$ are referred to as input words, as words of $Y^*$ are referred to as output words. If $(p, (f, g), q) \in E$, we note $p \xrightarrow{(f,g)} q$. The automaton is finite if $Q$ and $E$ are finite. The finite 2-tape automata are also known as transducers. A relation $R$ of $X^* \times Y^*$ is said to be computable by a finite 2-tape automaton if there exists a finite 2-tape automaton $\mathcal{A}$ such that $R$ is equal to the set of labels of paths starting in an initial state and ending in a terminal state. A function is computable by a finite 2-tape automaton if its graph is computable by a finite 2-tape automaton. These definitions extend to relations and functions of infinite words as above.

A sequential automaton is a 2-tape automaton where edges are labelled by elements of $X \times Y^*$, and such that the underlying input automaton obtained by taking the projection over $X$ of the label of every edge is deterministic. An on-line automaton with delay $\delta$,
\( \mathcal{A} = (Q, X \times (Y \cup \varepsilon), E, \{q_0\}, \omega) \) is a sequential automaton composed of a transient part and of a synchronous part (see [16], [14]). The set of states is equal to \( Q = Q_t \cup Q_s \), where \( Q_t \) is the set of transient states and \( Q_s \) is the set of synchronous states. In the transient part, every path of length \( \delta \) starting in the initial state \( q_0 \) is of the form

\[
q_0 \xrightarrow{x_1/\varepsilon} q_1 \xrightarrow{x_2/\varepsilon} \cdots \xrightarrow{x_\delta/\varepsilon} q_\delta
\]

where \( q_0, \ldots, q_{\delta-1} \) are in \( Q_t \), \( x_j \) in \( X \), for \( 1 \leq j \leq \delta \), and the only edge arriving in a state of \( Q_t \) is as above. In the synchronous part, edges are labelled by elements of \( X \times Y \). This means that the automaton starts reading words of length \( \leq \delta \) and outputting nothing, and after that delay, outputs serially one digit for each input digit. If the set of states \( Q \) and the set of edges \( E \) are finite, the on-line automaton is said to be finite.

For finite words, there is a terminal function \( \omega : Q_s \rightarrow Y^* \), whose value is concatenated to the output word corresponding to a computation in \( \mathcal{A} \). The same definition works for functions of infinite words, considering infinite paths in \( \mathcal{A} \), but there is no terminal function \( \omega \) in that case.

### 3 On-line digit set conversion in real base

Let \( A = \{0, \ldots, [\beta]\} \) be the canonical alphabet associated with \( \beta \), and let \( D = \{0, \ldots, d\} \) be a digit set containing \( A \), that is, \( d \geq [\beta] \). We show that the conversion from \( D \) to \( A \) is always on-line computable.

**Theorem 1.** There exists a digit set conversion \( \chi : D^\mathbb{N} \rightarrow A^\mathbb{N} \) in base \( \beta \) which is on-line computable with delay \( \delta \), where \( \delta \) is the smallest positive integer such that

\[
\beta^{\delta+1} + d \leq \beta^\delta ([\beta] + 1)
\]

(*)

**Proof.** Clearly a number \( \delta \) satisfying (*) exists. Let \( k \) be the smallest nonnegative integer such that \( d/\beta^k (\beta - 1) \leq 1 \). In order to avoid overflow, all input words are supposed to begin with a run of \( k \) zeroes.

**On-line algorithm.**

**Input:** a sequence \( (d_j)_{j \geq 1} \in D^{\mathbb{N}} \) such that \( d_1 = \cdots = d_k = 0 \).

**Output:** a sequence \( (a_j)_{j \geq 1} \in A^{\mathbb{N}} \) such that \( \sum_{j \geq 1} a_j \beta^{-j} = \sum_{j \geq 1} d_j \beta^{-j} \).

**begin**

\[
q_0 \leftarrow 0
\]

**for** \( j \leftarrow 1 \) to \( \delta \) **do**

\[
q_j \leftarrow \beta q_{j-1} + d_j
\]

\[
j \leftarrow 1
\]

**while** \( j \geq 1 \) **do**

\[
z_{\delta+j} \leftarrow \beta q_{\delta+j-1} + d_{\delta+j}
\]

**if** \( z_{\delta+j} < \beta^{\delta+1} \)

**then** \( a_j \leftarrow \lfloor z_{\delta+j}/\beta^\delta \rfloor \)

**else** \( a_j \leftarrow \lfloor z_{\delta+j}/\beta \rfloor \)

**end**

\(^1\)Then \( k \leq \delta \) for any \( \beta \geq 3/2 \).
\[
q_{\delta+j} \leftarrow z_{\delta+j} - \beta^\delta a_j \\
\]
\[
j \leftarrow j + 1 \\
\]
end

**Proof of the algorithm.**

Claim: For all \( j \geq 1 \), one has \( 0 \leq q_j < \beta^\delta \) and \( a_j \in A \).

1. For \( 1 \leq j \leq \delta \), we get

\[
q_j = \beta^{j-1}d_1 + \cdots + d_j
\]

Then \( q_j \leq q_\delta < \beta^\delta \) by hypothesis on the input \((d_j)_{j\geq1}\).

2. Suppose that for some \( j \geq 1 \)

\[
0 \leq q_{\delta+j-1} < \beta^\delta \qquad \text{(H)}
\]

- If \( z_{\delta+j} < \beta^{\delta+1} \) then \( a_j = \lfloor z_{\delta+j}/\beta^\delta \rfloor \). Thus \( 0 \leq a_j < \beta \). Then \( q_{\delta+j} = \beta^\delta\lfloor z_{\delta+j}/\beta^\delta \rfloor < \beta^\delta \).
- If \( z_{\delta+j} \geq \beta^{\delta+1} \) then \( a_j = [\beta] \) and \( q_{\delta+j} = z_{\delta+j} - [\beta]\beta^\delta \). Then \( q_{\delta+j} \geq \beta^{\delta+1} - [\beta]\beta^\delta \geq 0 \).

On the other hand, \( q_{\delta+j} = \beta q_{\delta+j-1} + d_{\delta+j} - [\beta]\beta^\delta < \beta^{\delta+1} + d - [\beta]\beta^\delta \) by Hypothesis (H), thus by Condition \((*)\), \( q_{\delta+j} < \beta^\delta \). Hence the claim is proved.

We then get, for all \( j \geq 1 \),

\[
\frac{d_1}{\beta} + \cdots + \frac{d_{\delta+j}}{\beta^{\delta+j}} = \frac{a_1}{\beta} + \cdots + \frac{a_j}{\beta^j} + \frac{q_{\delta+j}}{\beta^{\delta+j}}.
\]

Since \( q_{\delta+j} < \beta^\delta \), when \( j \) tends towards infinity, \( \sum_{j\geq1} d_j \beta^{-j} = \sum_{j\geq1} a_j \beta^{-j} \), with the digits \( a_j \) in \( A \), thus \( \lambda((d_j)_{j\geq1}) = (a_j)_{j\geq1} \).

**Remark 1.** If \( \delta \) satisfies \((*)\), then any natural \( \gamma > \delta \) satisfies \((*)\) as well.

### 4 Continuity

Let \( D \) be a finite alphabet. One defines a distance \( \rho \) on \( D^\mathbb{N} \) as follows: let \( v = (v_j)_{j\geq1} \) and \( w = (w_j)_{j\geq1} \) be in \( D^\mathbb{N} \), \( \rho(v, w) = 2^{-r} \) where \( r = \min\{j \mid v_j \neq w_j\} \). The set \( D^\mathbb{N} \) is then a compact metric space. This topology is equivalent to the product topology. We first prove a general result, not related to the base.

**Proposition 1.** Let \( D \) and \( A \) be two finite alphabets. Any function \( \varphi : D^\mathbb{N} \longrightarrow A^\mathbb{N} \) which is on-line computable with delay \( \delta \) is \( 2^\delta \)-Lipschitz, and is thus uniformly continuous.

**Proof.** Suppose that \( \varphi \) is on-line computable with delay \( \delta \). Let \( v \) and \( w \) in \( D^\mathbb{N} \) such that \( \rho(v, w) = 2^{-r} \). Then \( v = v_1 \cdots v_{r-1}v_r v_{r+1} \cdots \) and \( w = v_1 \cdots v_{r-1}w_r w_{r+1} \cdots \) with \( v_r \neq w_r \). Thus \( \varphi(v) = y_1 \cdots y_{r-\delta-1}y_r \delta y_{r+\delta-1} \cdots \) and \( \varphi(w) = y_1 \cdots y_{r-\delta-1}y_r \delta y_{r+\delta-1} \cdots \).

Thus \( \rho(\varphi(v), \varphi(w)) \leq 2^\delta \rho(v, w) \).

As a corollary we get the following result.

**Proposition 2.** Let \( D \) be a set of nonnegative digits containing \( A \). The digit set conversion \( \chi : D^\mathbb{N} \longrightarrow A^\mathbb{N} \) in base \( \beta \) defined in Theorem 1 is uniformly continuous.

The results presented below are a straightforward generalization of those proved by Eilenberg [7] in the case where \( \beta \) is an integer.
Proposition 3. Let $D$ be a finite alphabet of digits. The function numerical value $\pi_\beta : D^\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous.

Proof. Let $v$ and $w$ in $D^\mathbb{N}$ such that $\rho(v, w) = 2^{-r}$. Then $v = v_1 \cdots v_{r-1}v_rw_{r+1} \cdots$ and $w = v_1 \cdots v_{r-1}w_rw_{r+1} \cdots$ with $v_r \neq w_r$. Let $m(D)$ be the maximum of the absolute values of the elements of $D$. Thus

$$|\pi_\beta(v) - \pi_\beta(w)| = \left| \sum_{j \geq r} v_j \beta^{-j} - \sum_{j \geq r} w_j \beta^{-j} \right| \leq \frac{2m(D)}{\beta^{r-1}(\beta - 1)}$$

thus $\pi_\beta$ is continuous. ■

We now consider functions taking their values in base $\beta$ into the unit interval $[0, 1]$. Let $A = \{0, \ldots, \lfloor \beta \rfloor\}$ and $D = \{0, \ldots, d\}$, $d \geq \lfloor \beta \rfloor$. A function $\chi : D^\mathbb{N} \rightarrow A^\mathbb{N}$ is said to be $\beta$-consistent if there exists a function $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
D^\mathbb{N} & \xrightarrow{\chi} & A^\mathbb{N} \\
\pi_\beta \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_\beta \\
[0, 1] & \xrightarrow{f} & [0, 1]
\end{array}$$

commutes.

Proposition 4. Let $f$ and $\chi$ as above. If $\chi$ is on-line computable then $f$ is continuous.

Proof. Since $\chi$ and $\pi_\beta$ are continuous, so is $\pi_\beta \circ f$. Since $\pi_\beta$ is surjective and continuous, and $D^\mathbb{N}$ and $[0, 1]$ are compact metric spaces, the continuity of $f$ follows. ■

5 The Pisot case

An algebraic integer is a root of a monic polynomial with integral coefficients. A Pisot number is an algebraic integer $> 1$ such that all its algebraic conjugates are smaller than 1 in modulus. In this section we show that if the base $\beta$ is a Pisot number, the on-line algorithm described above can be realized by an on-line finite automaton. Examples are presented in Section 8.

Theorem 2. Let $\beta$ be a Pisot number, let $A = \{0, \ldots, \lfloor \beta \rfloor\}$, and let $D = \{0, \ldots, d\}$ such that $d \geq \lfloor \beta \rfloor$. There exists an on-line finite automaton with delay $\delta$, where $\delta$ satisfies (*) , which realizes a digit set conversion $\chi : D^\mathbb{N} \rightarrow A^\mathbb{N}$ in base $\beta$.

Proof. Let $M(X)$ be the minimal polynomial of $\beta$, of degree $m$, and let $\beta_1 = \beta, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_m$ be its roots. For $2 \leq i \leq m$, $|\beta_i| < 1$. Recall that $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}[X]/(M(X))$ is a discrete lattice of rank $m$. Define

$$\Lambda_\delta = \left\{ \Lambda \text{ if } m \leq \delta \right\} \left\{ \{q(X) = z_{\delta-1}X^{\delta-1} + \cdots + z_0 + z_{-1}X^{-1} + \cdots + z_{-m}X^{\delta-m} \mid X^{m-\delta}q(X) \in \Lambda \} \right\}$$

otherwise
The norm of an element $q$ of $\Lambda_\delta$ is taken as $\|q\| = \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} |q(\beta_i)|$. For $2 \leq i \leq m$ set

$$\gamma_i = \sup\{|c - a_i\beta_i^j| \mid c \in D, a \in A\}.$$ 

We define an on-line automaton $A = (Q, D \times (A \cup \varepsilon), E, \{q_0\})$ as follows. The set of synchronous states is equal to

$$Q_s = \{q(X) \in \Lambda_\delta \mid 0 \leq q(\beta) < \beta^\delta \text{ and for } 2 \leq i \leq m, |q(\beta_i)| < \frac{\gamma_i}{1 - |\beta_i|}\},$$

Since for any $q$ in $Q_s$, $\|q\|$ is bounded, $Q_s$ is a finite set. The set of transient states $Q_t$ is defined by

$$Q_t = \{q_j(X) = d_1X^{j-1} + \cdots + d_j \mod M \mid 1 \leq j \leq \delta - 1, d_1, \ldots, d_j \in D\} \cup \{q_0\}.$$ 

Note that if $q_j \in Q_t$ then $q_j(\beta) < \beta^\delta$; and, for $2 \leq i \leq m$, $|q_j(\beta_i)| < d_j(1 - |\beta_i|) \leq \gamma_i(1 - |\beta_i|)$ since $|\beta_i| < 1$. Hence transient states satisfy the same bound inequalities as synchronous states. For $1 \leq j \leq \delta$, transient edges are defined by

$$q_{j-1}(X) \xrightarrow{d_j/\varepsilon} q_j(X)$$

with $q_0(X) = 0$ and $q_j(X) = Xq_{j-1}(X) + d_j$.

The synchronous edges are defined by: for $j \geq 1$ and $q_{\delta+j-1}(X) \in Q$, set an edge

$$q_{\delta+j-1}(X) \xrightarrow{d_{\delta+j}/a_j} q_{\delta+j}(X)$$

such that

$$Xq_{\delta+j-1}(X) + d_{\delta+j} = X^\delta a_j + q_{\delta+j}(X) \mod M(X)$$

with $a_j$ in $A$. For the choice of $a_j$ we process as in the on-line algorithm given in Theorem 1, replacing $X$ by $\beta$. Hence for all $j \geq 0$, $0 \leq q_{\delta+j}(\beta) < \beta^\delta$.

For $2 \leq i \leq m$, we get

$$|q_{\delta+j}(\beta)| = |\delta q_{\delta+j-1}(\beta) + d_{\delta+j} - a_j\beta_i^j| < |\beta|\frac{\gamma_i}{1 - |\beta_i|} + \gamma_i = \frac{\gamma_i}{1 - |\beta_i|}.$$ 

Thus, for all $j \geq 0$, $q_{\delta+j}$ belongs to $Q_s$. As in Theorem 1, there is an infinite path in the automaton $A$ starting in $q_0$ and labelled by

$$q_0 \xrightarrow{d_1/\varepsilon} q_1 \cdots \xrightarrow{d_{\delta-1}/a_1} q_{\delta-1} \xrightarrow{d_{\delta}a_1/\varepsilon} q_{\delta+1} \cdots$$

iff $\sum_{j \geq 1} d_j \beta^{-j} = \sum_{j \geq 1} a_j \beta^{-j}$, with the digits $a_j$ in $A$, and $\chi((d_j)_{j \geq 1}) = (a_j)_{j \geq 1}$.

**Corollary 1.** If $\beta$ is a Pisot number, nonnormalized addition and multiplication by a fixed positive integer are computable by on-line finite automata.
6 The inverse problem

We now set up the inverse problem: if the conversion is supposed to be computable by an on-line finite automaton, what kind of number \( \beta \) must be? Although we conjecture that \( \beta \) must be a Pisot number, we are only able to prove the following results.

**Proposition 5.** If the conversion \( \chi \) in base \( \beta \) from an alphabet \( D = \{0, \cdots, d\} \), \( d \geq |\beta| \), to \( A \) is realized by the on-line finite automaton of Theorem 2, then \( \beta \) must be an algebraic integer.

**Proof.** Let \( \delta \) be the delay of the automaton \( \mathcal{A} \). Set \( d_\beta^*(1) = (e_i)_{i \geq 1} \) and let \( s = 0e_1 \cdots e_{\delta-1}(e_\delta + 1)0^\omega \). Then \( \beta^{-1} < \pi_\beta(s) < \beta^{-1} + \beta^{-\delta-1} \). We feed the automaton with \( s \) (in case that \( d = |\beta| \), and \( s \) is not an element of \( A^\mathbb{N} \); it is always possible to choose for \( s \) the word \( s = 0e_1^\delta(e_{\delta+1})0^\omega \in A^\mathbb{N} \) and \( \delta = 1 \)). The automaton arrives in state \( q_0 = e_1 \beta^{-2} + \cdots + e_{\delta-1} \beta^{2-\delta} \) and has output nothing. Then it reads \( (e_\delta + 1) \) and outputs \( \lfloor (\beta q_0 + e_\delta + 1)/\beta^{\delta+1} \rfloor = 1 \). Thus the image of \( s \) in the automaton is of the form \( 1b_2b_3 \cdots \), that is, there is an infinite path starting in \( q_0 \) and labelled by

\[
q_0 \xrightarrow{0/e} q_1 \xrightarrow{e_1/e} q_2 \cdots \xrightarrow{e_{\delta-1}/e} q_\delta \xrightarrow{e_\delta+1/1} q_{\delta+1} \xrightarrow{0/b_2} q_{\delta+2} \cdots
\]

Now we use the fact that the automaton is finite. This implies that there exist two states which are the same, i.e. there exist \( n \geq \delta \) and \( p \geq 1 \) such that \( q_n = q_{n+p} \). Then

\[
q_n = e_1 \beta^{n-2} + \cdots + e_{\delta-1} \beta^{n-\delta} + (e_\delta + 1)\beta^{n-\delta-1} - \beta^{n-1} - b_2 \beta^{n-2} - \cdots - b_{n-\delta} \beta^\delta.
\]

Analogously,

\[
q_{n+p} = e_1 \beta^{n+p-2} + \cdots + e_{\delta-1} \beta^{n+p-\delta} + (e_\delta + 1)\beta^{n+p-\delta-1} - \beta^{n+p-1} - b_2 \beta^{n+p-2} - \cdots - b_{n+p-\delta} \beta^\delta.
\]

Thus if \( q_n = q_{n+p} \), \( \beta \) is an algebraic integer.

**Proposition 6.** Let \( \beta \) be an algebraic integer of degree \( m \), and let \( \beta_1 = \beta, \beta_2, \cdots, \beta_n \) be its algebraic conjugates. If the conversion \( \chi \) in base \( \beta \) from an alphabet \( D = \{0, \cdots, d\} \), \( d \geq |\beta| \), to \( A \) is realized by the on-line finite automaton \( \mathcal{A} \) of Theorem 2, then for every state \( q \) and for every \( i \), \( 1 \leq i \leq m \),

\[
|q(\beta^i)| \leq \frac{\gamma_i}{|\beta^i| - 1} \quad \text{if} \quad |\beta^i| > 1
\]

\[
|q(\beta^i)| \leq \frac{\gamma_i}{1 - |\beta^i|} \quad \text{if} \quad |\beta^i| < 1
\]

**Proof.** Suppose that there is a path in \( \mathcal{A} \)

\[
q_0 \xrightarrow{d_1 \cdots d_n / a_1 \cdots a_{n-\delta}} q_n
\]

with \( n > \delta \). Then \( q_n(X) = d_1 X^{n-1} + \cdots + d_n - a_1 X^{n-1} - \cdots - a_{n-\delta} X^\delta \) mod \( M \). If \( \mathcal{A} \) is finite there exists a \( p \geq 1 \) such that \( q_n(X) = q_{n+p}(X) \). Hence

\[
q_n(X) = X^p q_n(X) + (d_{n+1} - a_{n-\delta+1} X^\delta) X^{p-1} + \cdots + (d_{n+p} - a_{n-\delta+p} X^\delta) \mod M
\]
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Thus for every $1 \leq i \leq m$, 

$$q_n(\beta_i) = \beta_i^n q_0(\beta_i) + (d_{n+1} - a_{n-\delta+1} \beta_i^\delta) \beta_i^{n-1} + \cdots + (d_{n+p} - a_{n-\delta+p} \beta_i^\delta)$$

Therefore, if $|\beta_i| > 1$

$$|q_n(\beta_i)| \leq \frac{\gamma_i}{|\beta_i| - 1}$$

and if $|\beta_i| < 1$

$$|q_n(\beta_i)| \leq \frac{\gamma_i}{1 - |\beta_i|}.$$

\[\square\]

**Corollary 2.** If there exists a state $q$ of $\mathcal{A}$ accessible from the initial state satisfying

$$|q(\beta_i)| > \frac{\gamma_i}{|\beta_i| - 1}$$

for some conjugate $\beta_i$, $i \geq 2$, such that $|\beta_i| > 1$, then $\mathcal{A}$ cannot be finite.

Now we make a connexion with the problem of normalization.

**Corollary 3.** If the automaton $\mathcal{A}$ realizing the conversion from $D$ to $A$ is infinite then the normalization $\nu_D : D^N \to A^N$ is not computable by a finite automaton.

**Proof.** By a result from [10], the set

$$Z(\beta, D) = \{(c_j)_{j \geq 1} \mid \sum_{j \geq 1} c_j \beta^{-j} = 0, c_j \in \bar{D} = \{-d, \ldots, 0, \ldots, d\}\}$$

is recognizable by a finite automaton if and only if the number of remainders modulo $M$ of polynomials of the form $c_1 X^{n-1} + \cdots + c_n$ for some $(c_j)_{j \geq 1}$ in $Z(\beta, D)$ is finite.

But if there is in $\mathcal{A}$ a path from $q_0$ to $q_n$, labelled by $(d_1 \cdots d_n, a_1 \cdots a_{n-\delta})$, then $q_n(X)$ is the remainder of the division of $d_1 X^{n-1} + \cdots + d_n - a_1 X^{n-1} - \cdots a_{n-\delta} X^\delta$ by $M$. Consider an infinite path in $\mathcal{A}$, with an infinite number of different states. This implies that $Z(\beta, D)$ is not recognizable by a finite automaton.

In fact, this result implies that if $Z(\beta, D)$ is recognizable by a finite automaton, then the automaton $\mathcal{A}$ realizing the conversion from $D$ to $A$ is computable by a finite automaton, and it is known that this is the case when $\beta$ is a Pisot number [10]. But the proof given in Theorem 2 is more direct.

Now we give an example for which the automaton realizing the conversion is not finite.

**Example 1.** Recall that a Perron number is a real $\beta > 1$ such that its algebraic conjugates are less than $\beta$ in modulus. Let us consider the polynomial $M(X) = X^4 - 2X^3 - 2X^2 - 2$. The dominant root of $M$ is $\beta \sim 2.803$. There is a conjugate $\beta_2 \sim -1.134$, thus $\beta$ is a Perron number which is not a Pisot number. We have $d_\beta(1) = 2202$ and $A = \{0, 1, 2\}$. Let $D = A$, then the delay $\delta$ computed by (*) is equal to $\delta = 3$. Suppose that the conversion is realized by the finite automaton $\mathcal{A}$. There is a path

$$q_0 \xrightarrow{d_1 \cdots d_n / a_1 \cdots a_{n-\delta}} q_n$$
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with \( n = 17, d_1 \cdots d_n = 0002210102010010, a_1 \cdots a_{n-3} = 00100010200020 \) and \( q_0(X) = -4X^2 + 15X - 8 + 8X^{-1} \). Here \( \gamma_2/(|\beta_2| - 1) \sim 36.650 \) and \( q_0(\beta_2) \sim -37.211 \), thus the automaton \( A \) cannot be finite.

As a consequence the normalization \( \nu_A \) on \( A \) is not computable by a finite automaton. Note that since \( \beta \) is not a Pisot number, then for any alphabet \( D \supseteq \{0, \ldots, |\beta| + 1\} = \{0, 1, 2, 3\} \), the normalization \( \nu_D \) on \( D \) is not computable by a finite automaton (see [2, 15]), but up to now nothing was known for the normalization \( \nu_A \) on the canonical alphabet. \( \square \)

### 7 Numeration systems for the integers

Let us first recall some definitions. Let \( U = (u_j)_{j \geq 1} \) be a strictly increasing sequence of integers with \( u_0 = 1 \). Every positive integer \( N \) has a representation in the system \( U \) by the following greedy algorithm (see [9]): Let \( n \) such that \( u_n \leq N < u_{n+1} \); let \( s_n \) be the quotient of the Euclidean division of \( N \) by \( u_n \), and let \( r_n \) be the remainder: \( s_n = q(N, u_n) \) and \( r_n = r(N, u_n) \). Then iterate \( s_j = q(r_{j+1}, u_j) \) and \( r_j = r(r_{j+1}, u_j) \) for \( n - 1 \geq j \geq 0 \). Then \( s = s_n u_n + \cdots + s_0 u_0 \). The digits \( s_j \) are such that \( 0 \leq s_j < u_{j+1}/u_j \). The word \( s_n \cdots s_0 \) is the normal \( U_\beta \)-representation of \( s \).

Let \( d_\beta(1) = (e_j)_{j \geq 1} \), see Subsect. 2.1. A sequence \( U_\beta = (u_j)_{j \geq 0} \) of integers can be canonically associated with \( \beta \) as follows. Let \( u_0 = 1 \) and for \( j \geq 1 \) let

\[
u_j = e_1 u_{j-1} + \cdots + e_j u_0 + 1.
\]

The following result holds true [5]: the finite factors of \( \beta \)-expansions of real numbers of \([0, 1]\) and the normal \( U_\beta \)-representations of positive integers are the same. In particular, normal \( U_\beta \)-representations of the integers are words on the alphabet \( A = \{0, \ldots, |\beta|\} \). The system \( U_\beta \) is the numeration system associated with \( \beta \).

Let \( \chi : D^* \rightarrow A^* \). The prolongation of \( \chi \) is a function \( \hat{\chi} : D^*0^\omega \rightarrow A^*0^\omega \) defined by: let \( v \) and \( w \) be in \( D^* \) such that \( \chi(v) = w \), then \( \hat{\chi}(v0^\omega) = w0^\omega \). The function \( \chi \) will be said to be continuous if its prolongation is continuous. By Theorem 1 and Proposition 2 we have the following result.

**Corollary 4.** Let \( U_\beta \) be the numeration system associated with a number \( \beta > 1 \). Let \( D \) be a set of nonnegative digits containing \( A \). Then there exists a digit set conversion \( \chi : D^* \rightarrow A^* \) in the system \( U_\beta \) which is on-line computable and continuous.

**Proof.** The algorithm presented in Theorem 1 can be used again. Let \( d_1 \cdots d_n \in D^* \) be an input word satisfying \( N = d_1 u_{n-1} + \cdots + d_n u_0 < u_n \). Suppose that \( n > \delta \). So the word \( a_1 \cdots a_{n-\delta} \) is output, and the algorithm stops in a state \( q_n \), the “value” of which is equal to \( q_n = N - (a_1 u_{n-1} + \cdots + a_{n-\delta} u_\delta) \). For every state \( q_n \) define \( \omega(q_n) \) as a \( U_\beta \)-representation of \( q_n \) on the alphabet \( A \) and of length \( \delta \) (this is possible because \( q_n < u_\delta \)). Thus we get that \( \chi(d_1 \cdots d_n) = a_1 \cdots a_{n-\delta} \omega(q_n) \in A^* \).

If \( n \leq \delta \), then \( \chi(d_1 \cdots d_n) = \omega(q_n) \), \( q_n \) being the state where the algorithm stops. \( \square \)

If \( \beta \) is a Pisot number then \( d_\beta(1) \) is eventually periodic [4]. In that case, the sequence \( U_\beta \) is linearly recurrent.

As a direct consequence of Theorem 2 we get
Corollary 5. Let \( U_\beta \) be the linear numeration system associated with a Pisot number \( \beta \). Let \( D \) be a set of nonnegative digits containing \( A \). Then there exists a digit set conversion \( \chi : D^* \to A^* \) in the system \( U_\beta \) which is computable by an on-line finite automaton with delay \( \delta \), where \( \delta \) satisfies (*)

Proof. We can use the construction given in Theorem 2. The terminal function \( \omega \) is defined as in Corollary 4.

8 Examples

We illustrate the previous results on well-known Pisot numbers.

Example 2. Let \( \beta \) be an integer \( \geq 2 \). On the alphabet \( A = \{0, \cdots, \beta\} \), the representation of numbers is redundant. Addition on \( A \) is computable by an on-line finite automaton, with delay 2.

For \( \beta = 2 \), this representation is the well-known Carry-Save representation. We give below the on-line finite automaton for addition in base \( \beta = 2 \). Let \( A = (Q, \{0, \ldots, 4\} \times (\{0, 1, 2\} \cup \epsilon), E, q_0) \), with \( Q = Q_t \cup Q_s \). All input words begin with 00. The set of transient states is \( Q_t = \{q_0, q_1\} \). The set of synchronous states is

\[ Q_s = \{q(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]/(X - 2) \mid 0 \leq q(2) < 4\} = \{0, 1, 2, 3\} \]

Transient edges are

\[ q_0 \xrightarrow{0/\epsilon} q_1 \xrightarrow{0/\epsilon} 0. \]

The transition matrix of the synchronous part of \( A \) is given in the array below: the entry \( (i, j) \) contains the label of edges from state \( i \) to state \( j \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0/0</td>
<td>4/1</td>
<td>1/0</td>
<td>2/0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2/1</td>
<td>3/1</td>
<td>0/0</td>
<td>4/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4/2</td>
<td>0/1</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>2/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2/2</td>
<td>3/2</td>
<td>4/2</td>
<td>0/1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For integers, that is to say for finite representations, the terminal function is defined by \( \omega(0) = 00, \omega(1) = 01, \omega(2) = 10, \omega(3) = 11 \). □

Example 3. Let \( \beta = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2 \) be the golden ratio, the associated linear numeration system is the Fibonacci numeration system, with \( u_0 = 1, u_1 = 2 \) and for \( n \geq 2 \), \( u_n = u_{n-1} + u_{n-2} \). The canonical alphabet is \( A = \{0, 1\} \). Formula (*) gives \( \delta = 4 \) for addition, with \( D = \{0, 1, 2\} \). This value is not optimal: I have given in [12] an on-line finite automaton for addition with delay 3. The automaton constructed with delay 4 by the method above is not minimal in the number of states, but it is equivalent to the automaton with delay 3. In fact, the construction given in Theorem 1 and in Theorem 2 works with delay \( \delta' = 3 \), the bound on the states becoming

\[ 0 \leq q(\beta) \leq \mu \]

with \( \mu = \beta + 2 \), and Condition (*) being replaced by

\[ \beta \mu + d \leq [\beta]\beta^{\delta'} + \mu \]

(**)
The on-line finite automaton with delay 3 is described below. Let \( \mathcal{A} = (Q, \{0, 1, 2\} \times \{0, 1\} \cup \varepsilon, E, \{q_0\}) \). Input words begin with 00. The set of transient states is \( \{q_0, q_1, q_2\} \). The elements of \( \Lambda \) are denoted by words of length 2, with \( d_1d_2 \) representing the polynomial \( d_1X + d_2 \), and the signed digit \(-1\) being denoted by \( I \).

The transient part of \( \mathcal{A} \) is of the form
\[
q_0 \xrightarrow{0/\varepsilon} q_1 \xrightarrow{0/\varepsilon} q_2 \quad \text{and} \quad q_2 \xrightarrow{0/\varepsilon} 00; \quad q_2 \xrightarrow{1/\varepsilon} 01; \quad q_2 \xrightarrow{2/\varepsilon} 02.
\]
In the synchronous part of \( \mathcal{A} \) edges are the following ones:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>00</th>
<th>01</th>
<th>02</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>( \bar{\lambda} )</th>
<th>03</th>
<th>1( \bar{\lambda} )</th>
<th>20</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>00</td>
<td>0/0</td>
<td>1/0</td>
<td>2/0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>1/0</td>
<td>2/0</td>
<td>0/0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>2/1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0/1</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>2/1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>2/1</td>
<td>0/1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0/0</td>
<td>1/0</td>
<td>2/1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \bar{\lambda} )</td>
<td>2/0</td>
<td>1/0</td>
<td></td>
<td>0/0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03</td>
<td>2/1</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td></td>
<td>0/1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1( \bar{\lambda} )</td>
<td>0/0</td>
<td>1/0</td>
<td></td>
<td>2/0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>0/1</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td></td>
<td>2/1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For the Fibonacci numeration system the terminal function is defined by \( \omega(00) = 000 \), \( \omega(01) = 001 \), \( \omega(02) = 010 \), \( \omega(11) = 100 \), \( \omega(12) = 101 \), \( \omega(10) = 010 \), \( \omega(\bar{\lambda}) = 000 \), \( \omega(03) = 100 \), \( \omega(1\bar{\lambda}) = 001 \), \( \omega(20) = 101 \).

**Example 4**. Let \( \beta = (3 + \sqrt{5})/2 \). Here \( A = \{0, 1, 2\} \). For addition the delay computed by (*) is 3, which is minimal.
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