The immaculate basis of the non-commutative symmetric functions

Chris Berg^{2,3,4} Nantel Bergeron^{1,5} Franco Saliola^{3,4} Luis Serrano^{3,4} Mike Zabrocki^{1,5}

Fields Institute¹ Google Inc.² Laboratoire de combinatoire et d'informatique mathématique³ Université du Québec à Montréal⁴ York University⁵

> Papers: 1208:5191, 1304:1224, 1305:4700 Fpsac Abstract: 1303:4801



Outline



- Quasi-symmetric functions
- 3 Non-commutative symmetric functions
- 4 The immaculate basis

5 Applications

Symmetric Functions



Symmetric functions

Definition: Symmetric Function

A function $f = f(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, ..., x_n]$ is symmetric if for every collection of positive integers $[\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_k]$ the coefficient of $x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_k^{\alpha_k}$ is equal to the coefficient of $x_{i_1}^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_{i_k}^{\alpha_k}$ for all $i_1, i_2, ..., i_k$.



Symmetric functions

Definition: Symmetric Function

A function $f = f(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, ..., x_n]$ is symmetric if for every collection of positive integers $[\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_k]$ the coefficient of $x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_k^{\alpha_k}$ is equal to the coefficient of $x_{i_1}^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_{i_k}^{\alpha_k}$ for all $i_1, i_2, ..., i_k$.

Graded Hopf algebra

Sym is a graded Hopf algebra, graded by the degree of the polynomial. The dimension of the graded component of Sym_n is the number of partitions of *n*.

Monomial symmetric functions

Definition: Monomial Symmetric Function

The monomial symmetric function indexed by λ is:

$$m_{\lambda} = \sum_{\alpha} x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n},$$

where α runs over all distinct rearrangements of λ .



Monomial symmetric functions

Definition: Monomial Symmetric Function

The monomial symmetric function indexed by λ is:

$$m_{\lambda} = \sum_{\alpha} x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n},$$

where α runs over all distinct rearrangements of λ .

Definition: Homogeneous symmetric functions

Let $h_i = \sum_{\lambda \vdash i} m_{\lambda}$. The complete homogenous functions are:

$$h_{\lambda} := h_{\lambda_1} h_{\lambda_2} \cdots h_{\lambda_m}.$$



Monomial symmetric functions

Definition: Monomial Symmetric Function

The monomial symmetric function indexed by λ is:

$$m_{\lambda} = \sum_{\alpha} x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n},$$

where α runs over all distinct rearrangements of λ .

Definition: Homogeneous symmetric functions

Let $h_i = \sum_{\lambda \vdash i} m_{\lambda}$. The complete homogenous functions are:

$$h_{\lambda} := h_{\lambda_1} h_{\lambda_2} \cdots h_{\lambda_m}.$$

Definition: Scalar product

The scalar product on Sym_n is defined by $\langle m_{\lambda}, h_{\mu} \rangle = \delta_{\lambda,\mu}$.



The most important basis of Sym is the basis of Schur functions. The Schur basis s_{λ} is defined by any of the following:

The most important basis of Sym is the basis of Schur functions. The Schur basis s_{λ} is defined by any of the following:

The Jacobi-Trudi rule:

$$s_{\lambda} := \det \begin{bmatrix} h_{\lambda_{1}} & h_{\lambda_{1}+1} & \cdots & h_{\lambda_{1}+\ell-1} \\ h_{\lambda_{2}-1} & h_{\lambda_{2}} & \cdots & h_{\lambda_{2}+\ell-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ h_{\lambda_{\ell}-\ell+1} & h_{\lambda_{\ell}-\ell+2} & \cdots & h_{\lambda_{\ell}} \end{bmatrix} = \det |h_{\lambda_{i}+j-i}|_{1 \le i,j \le \ell}$$

where we use the convention that $h_0 = 1$ and $h_{-m} = 0$ for m > 0.



The most important basis of Sym is the basis of Schur functions. The Schur basis s_{λ} is defined by any of the following:

The Jacobi-Trudi rule:

$$s_{\lambda} := \det \begin{bmatrix} h_{\lambda_1} & h_{\lambda_1+1} & \cdots & h_{\lambda_1+\ell-1} \\ h_{\lambda_2-1} & h_{\lambda_2} & \cdots & h_{\lambda_2+\ell-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ h_{\lambda_\ell-\ell+1} & h_{\lambda_\ell-\ell+2} & \cdots & h_{\lambda_\ell} \end{bmatrix} = \det \left| h_{\lambda_i+j-i} \right|_{1 \le i,j \le \ell}$$

where we use the convention that $h_0 = 1$ and $h_{-m} = 0$ for m > 0.

Example: $\lambda = (2, 1)$

$$s_{2,1} = \left| egin{array}{cc} h_2 & h_3 \ h_0 & h_1 \end{array}
ight| = h_2 h_1 - h_3$$



The most important basis of Sym is the basis of Schur functions. The Schur basis s_{λ} is defined by any of the following:

The Pieri rule:

$$s_{\lambda}h_i=\sum_{\mu}s_{\mu},$$

the sum over all μ for which μ/λ is a horizontal strip of size i



The most important basis of Sym is the basis of Schur functions. The Schur basis s_{λ} is defined by any of the following:

The Pieri rule:

$$s_{\lambda}h_i=\sum_{\mu}s_{\mu},$$

the sum over all μ for which μ/λ is a horizontal strip of size i

Example: $\lambda = (2, 1)$ and i = 3

$$s_{2,1}h_3 = s_{3,2,1} + s_{4,1,1} + s_{4,2} + s_{5,1}$$





The most important basis of Sym is the basis of Schur functions. The Schur basis s_{λ} is defined by any of the following:

Semi-standard tableaux: $s_{\lambda} = \sum_{T \in SST(\lambda)} x^{T}$

The most important basis of Sym is the basis of Schur functions. The Schur basis s_{λ} is defined by any of the following:

$$s_{\lambda} = \sum_{T \in SST(\lambda)} x^{T}$$

Example: $\lambda = (2, 1)$ in three variables



The most important basis of Sym is the basis of Schur functions. The Schur basis s_{λ} is defined by any of the following:

Structure coefficients (the Littlewood Richardson rule):

$$s_{\lambda}s_{\mu}=\sum_{
u}c_{\lambda,\mu}^{
u}s_{
u},$$

 $c_{\lambda,\mu}^{\nu}$ is the Yamanouchi tableaux of shape ν/λ and content μ .



The most important basis of Sym is the basis of Schur functions. The Schur basis s_{λ} is defined by any of the following:

Structure coefficients (the Littlewood Richardson rule):

$$s_{\lambda}s_{\mu}=\sum_{
u}c_{\lambda,\mu}^{
u}s_{
u},$$

 $c_{\lambda,\mu}^{\nu}$ is the Yamanouchi tableaux of shape ν/λ and content μ .



The most important basis of Sym is the basis of Schur functions. The Schur basis s_{λ} is defined by any of the following:

Representation Theory of S_n

Via the Frobenius transformation, the Schur functions correspond to the irreducible representations of the symmetric group.



The most important basis of Sym is the basis of Schur functions. The Schur basis s_{λ} is defined by any of the following:

Representation Theory of S_n

Via the Frobenius transformation, the Schur functions correspond to the irreducible representations of the symmetric group.

Representation Theory of GL_n

The Schur functions are the characters of the irreducible representations of the general linear group.

Quasi-symmetric functions



Quasi-symmetric functions

Definition: Quasi-symmetric function

A function $f = f(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, ..., x_n]$ is quasi-symmetric if for every collection of positive integers $(\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_k)$, the coefficient of $x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_k^{\alpha_k}$ is equal to the coefficient of $x_{i_1}^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_{i_k}^{\alpha_k}$ for all $i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k$.



Quasi-symmetric functions

Definition: Quasi-symmetric function

A function $f = f(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, ..., x_n]$ is quasi-symmetric if for every collection of positive integers $(\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_k)$, the coefficient of $x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_k^{\alpha_k}$ is equal to the coefficient of $x_{i_1}^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_{i_k}^{\alpha_k}$ for all $i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k$.

Hopf Algebra

QSym is a graded Hopf algebra, graded by the degree of the polynomial. The dimension of the graded component $QSym_n$ is the number of compositions of *n*.

Monomial quasi-symmetric functions

Definition: Monomial quasi-symmetric function

The monomial quasi-symmetric function indexed by a composition $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ is defined as

$$M_{lpha} = \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_m} x_{i_1}^{lpha_1} x_{i_2}^{lpha_2} \cdots x_{i_m}^{lpha_m}.$$



Monomial quasi-symmetric functions

Definition: Monomial quasi-symmetric function

The monomial quasi-symmetric function indexed by a composition $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ is defined as

$$M_{lpha} = \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_m} x_{i_1}^{lpha_1} x_{i_2}^{lpha_2} \cdots x_{i_m}^{lpha_m}.$$

Positive expansion of monomials

It is clear that

$$m_{\lambda} = \sum_{\alpha} M_{\alpha},$$

the sum over all compositions which re-arrange to form the partition λ . This implies that Sym is a subalgebra of QSym.



Fundamental quasi-symmetric functions

Definition: Fundamental basis

The fundamental basis of QSym is defined by:

$$F_{\alpha} = \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} M_{\beta}.$$



Fundamental quasi-symmetric functions

Definition: Fundamental basis

The fundamental basis of QSym is defined by:

$$F_{\alpha} = \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} M_{\beta}.$$

Positive expansion of Schur functions

The Schur functions expand positively in the Fundamental basis nicely:

$$s_{\lambda} = \sum_{T \in SYT(\lambda)} F_{D(T)}$$





Definition: Non-commutative symmetric functions

NSym is the algebra generated by the non-commuting elements H_i of degree *i*, i.e. NSym = $\mathbb{Q}\langle H_1, H_2, ... \rangle$.



Definition: Non-commutative symmetric functions

NSym is the algebra generated by the non-commuting elements H_i of degree *i*, i.e. NSym = $\mathbb{Q}\langle H_1, H_2, ... \rangle$.

Complete homogenous functions

A basis for NSym_n are the non-commutative complete homogenous functions

$$H_{\alpha}:=H_{\alpha_1}\cdots H_{\alpha_m}.$$

Definition: Non-commutative symmetric functions

NSym is the algebra generated by the non-commuting elements H_i of degree *i*, i.e. NSym = $\mathbb{Q}\langle H_1, H_2, ... \rangle$.

Complete homogenous functions

A basis for NSym_n are the non-commutative complete homogenous functions

$$H_{\alpha}:=H_{\alpha_1}\cdots H_{\alpha_m}.$$

Sym is a quotient of NSym

 $\chi : \mathsf{NSym} \to \mathsf{Sym}$ is defined by $\chi(H_{\alpha}) = h_{\lambda(\alpha)}$.

Representation theory behind NSym

Dual Hopf algebras

NSym and QSym are in fact dual Hopf algebras. There is a scalar product between the two algebras by viewing M_{α} and H_{β} as dual bases:

$$\langle M_{\alpha}, H_{\beta} \rangle = \delta_{\alpha,\beta}$$



Representation theory behind NSym

Dual Hopf algebras

NSym and QSym are in fact dual Hopf algebras. There is a scalar product between the two algebras by viewing M_{α} and H_{β} as dual bases:

$$\langle M_{\alpha}, H_{\beta} \rangle = \delta_{\alpha,\beta}$$

Representation theory

NSym and QSym are isomorphic to $K_0(H_n(0))$ and $G_0(H_n(0))$ respectively, where $H_n(0)$ denotes the 0-Hecke algebra.



Definition: Immaculate tableaux



Definition: Immaculate tableaux

An *immaculate tableau* of shape α and content β is a labeling of the boxes of the diagram of α by positive integers in such a way that:

• the number of boxes labelled by *i* is β_i ;



Definition: Immaculate tableaux

- the number of boxes labelled by *i* is β_i ;
- the sequence of entries in each row, from left to right, is weakly increasing;



Definition: Immaculate tableaux

- the number of boxes labelled by *i* is β_i ;
- the sequence of entries in each row, from left to right, is weakly increasing;
- the sequence of entries in the *first* column, from top to bottom, is increasing.

Definition: Immaculate tableaux

- the number of boxes labelled by *i* is β_i ;
- the sequence of entries in each row, from left to right, is weakly increasing;
- the sequence of entries in the *first* column, from top to bottom, is increasing.



The immaculate basis of NSym can be defined by any of the following:

Jacobi-Trudi type rule:

$$\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha} := \det \begin{bmatrix} H_{\alpha_{1}} & H_{\alpha_{1}+1} & \cdots & H_{\alpha_{1}+\ell-1} \\ H_{\alpha_{2}-1} & H_{\alpha_{2}} & \cdots & H_{\alpha_{2}+\ell-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ H_{\alpha_{\ell}-\ell+1} & H_{\alpha_{\ell}-\ell+2} & \cdots & H_{\alpha_{\ell}} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \sum_{\sigma \in S_{\ell}} (-1)^{\sigma} H_{\alpha_{1}+\sigma_{1}-1,\alpha_{2}+\sigma_{2}-2,\dots,\alpha_{\ell}+\sigma_{\ell}-\ell}$$

where $H_0 = 1$ and $H_{-m} = 0$ for m > 0.



The immaculate basis of NSym can be defined by any of the following:

Jacobi-Trudi type rule:

$$\mathfrak{S}_{lpha} := \det egin{bmatrix} H_{lpha_1} & H_{lpha_1+1} & \cdots & H_{lpha_1+\ell-1} \ H_{lpha_2-1} & H_{lpha_2} & \cdots & H_{lpha_2+\ell-2} \ dots & dots & \ddots & dots \ H_{lpha_\ell-\ell+1} & H_{lpha_\ell-\ell+2} & \cdots & H_{lpha_\ell} \end{bmatrix} \ = \sum_{\sigma \in S_\ell} (-1)^\sigma H_{lpha_1+\sigma_1-1,lpha_2+\sigma_2-2,\dots,lpha_\ell+\sigma_\ell-\ell}$$

where $H_0 = 1$ and $H_{-m} = 0$ for m > 0.

Example: $\overline{\alpha = (1, 2)}$

$$\mathfrak{S}_{1,2} = \left| \begin{array}{cc} H_1 & H_2 \\ H_1 & H_2 \end{array} \right| = H_1 H_2 - H_2 H_1$$

The immaculate basis of NSym can be defined by any of the following:

Pieri type rule:

$$\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha}H_{i}=\sum_{\beta}\mathfrak{S}_{\beta},$$

the sum over all β for which $\alpha_i \leq \beta_i$ and $len(\beta) \leq len(\alpha) + 1$.



The immaculate basis of NSym can be defined by any of the following:

Pieri type rule:

$$\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha}H_{i}=\sum_{\beta}\mathfrak{S}_{\beta},$$

the sum over all β for which $\alpha_i \leq \beta_i$ and $len(\beta) \leq len(\alpha) + 1$.

Example: $\alpha = (1, 2)$ and i = 2

$$\mathfrak{S}_{1,2}H_2 = \mathfrak{S}_{1,2,2} + \mathfrak{S}_{1,3,1} + \mathfrak{S}_{1,4} + \mathfrak{S}_{2,2,1} + \mathfrak{S}_{2,3} + \mathfrak{S}_{3,2}$$





The immaculate basis of NSym can be defined by any of the following:

Littlewood Richardson type rule:

$$\mathfrak{S}_{lpha}\mathfrak{S}_{\lambda}=\sum_{eta}oldsymbol{\mathcal{C}}_{lpha,\lambda}^{eta}\mathfrak{S}_{eta},$$

 $C_{\alpha,\lambda}^{\beta}$ is the number of Yamanouchi tableau of shape β/α and content λ .



The immaculate basis of NSym can be defined by any of the following:

Littlewood Richardson type rule:

$$\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha}\mathfrak{S}_{\lambda}=\sum_{\beta} \boldsymbol{C}_{\alpha,\lambda}^{\beta}\mathfrak{S}_{\beta},$$

 $C^{\beta}_{\alpha\lambda}$ is the number of Yamanouchi tableau of shape β/α and content λ .



The immaculate basis of NSym can be defined by any of the following:

A tableaux expansion:

$$\mathfrak{S}^*_{\alpha} = \sum_{T \in IT(\alpha)} x^T,$$

the sum over immaculate tableaux, where \mathfrak{S}^*_α is the basis of QSym which is dual to the immaculate basis.



The immaculate basis of NSym can be defined by any of the following:

A tableaux expansion:

$$\mathfrak{S}^*_{\alpha} = \sum_{T \in IT(\alpha)} x^T,$$

the sum over immaculate tableaux, where \mathfrak{S}^*_{α} is the basis of QSym which is dual to the immaculate basis.

Example: $\alpha = (2, 2)$ in three variables



The immaculate basis of NSym can be defined by any of the following:

A fundamental expansion:

$$\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha}^* = \sum_{T \in S \mid T(\alpha)} F_{D(T)},$$

the sum over standard immaculate tableaux.



The immaculate basis of NSym can be defined by any of the following:

A fundamental expansion:

$$\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha}^{*} = \sum_{T \in S \mid T(\alpha)} F_{D(T)},$$

the sum over standard immaculate tableaux.

Example: $\alpha = [2, 1, 3]$

$$\mathfrak{S}_{213}^* = F_{1122} + F_{1131} + F_{114} + F_{123} + F_{213}$$



Applications



A new computation of Littlewood-Richardson coefficients

Relationship amongst coefficients

For compositions α, β, ν and a partition λ such that $\ell(\nu) \leq \ell(\alpha)$,

$$\textit{\textit{C}}_{lpha,\lambda}^eta = \textit{\textit{C}}_{lpha+
u,\lambda}^{eta+
u}$$



A new computation of Littlewood-Richardson coefficients

Relationship amongst coefficients

For compositions α, β, ν and a partition λ such that $\ell(\nu) \leq \ell(\alpha)$,

$$\mathcal{C}^{eta}_{lpha,\lambda} = \mathcal{C}^{eta+
u}_{lpha+
u,\lambda}$$

Example: $\lambda = (2, 1)$ and $\alpha = (1, 1)$

$$\mathfrak{S}_{1,1}\mathfrak{S}_{2,1} = \mathfrak{S}_{1,1,2,1} + \mathfrak{S}_{1,2,1,1} + \mathfrak{S}_{1,2,2} + \mathfrak{S}_{1,3,1} + \mathfrak{S}_{2,1,1,1} + \mathfrak{S}_{2,1,2}$$

$$+2\mathfrak{S}_{2,2,1}+\mathfrak{S}_{2,3}+\mathfrak{S}_{3,1,1}+\mathfrak{S}_{3,2}.$$



A new computation of Littlewood-Richardson coefficients

Relationship amongst coefficients

For compositions α, β, ν and a partition λ such that $\ell(\nu) \leq \ell(\alpha)$,

$$\mathcal{C}^{eta}_{lpha,\lambda} = \mathcal{C}^{eta+
u}_{lpha+
u,\lambda}$$

Example: $\lambda = (2, 1)$ and $\alpha = (1, 1)$

$$\begin{split} \mathfrak{S}_{1,1}\mathfrak{S}_{2,1} &= \mathfrak{S}_{1,1,2,1} + \mathfrak{S}_{1,2,1,1} + \mathfrak{S}_{1,2,2} + \mathfrak{S}_{1,3,1} + \mathfrak{S}_{2,1,1,1} + \mathfrak{S}_{2,1,2} \\ &+ 2\mathfrak{S}_{2,2,1} + \mathfrak{S}_{2,3} + \mathfrak{S}_{3,1,1} + \mathfrak{S}_{3,2}. \end{split}$$

Therefore:

 $s_{3,2}s_{2,1} = s_{3,2,2,1} + s_{3,3,1,1} + s_{3,3,2} + s_{3,4,1} + s_{4,2,1,1} + s_{4,2,2}$

 $+2s_{4,3,1}+s_{4,4}+s_{5,2,1}+s_{5,3}$

Modules for the immaculate basis

Representation Theory of 0-Hecke algebra

The irreducible representations of $H_n(0)$ are all one dimensional. Under the identification of QSym with $G_0(H_n(0))$, an irreducible indexed by α corresponds to the fundamental quasi-symmetric function F_{α} .



Modules for the immaculate basis

Representation Theory of 0-Hecke algebra

The irreducible representations of $H_n(0)$ are all one dimensional. Under the identification of QSym with $G_0(H_n(0))$, an irreducible indexed by α corresponds to the fundamental quasi-symmetric function F_{α} .

Construction of modules

There exists a combinatorially defined, indecomposable, finite dimensional, representation V_{α} of $H_n(0)$ whose characteristic is \mathfrak{S}^*_{α} .





The hook length formula by example

Proposition: Hook length formula

If $\alpha \models n$, the number of standard immaculate tableaux of shape α is equal to

$$\frac{n!}{\prod_{\boldsymbol{c}\in\alpha}\boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{c})}$$

where $c \in \alpha$ indicates c = (i, j) with $1 \le i \le \ell(\alpha)$ and $1 \le j \le \alpha_i$.



The hook length formula by example

Proposition: Hook length formula

If $\alpha \models n$, the number of standard immaculate tableaux of shape α is equal to n!

$$\overline{\prod_{\boldsymbol{c}\inlpha}\boldsymbol{h}_{lpha}(\boldsymbol{c})}$$

where $c \in \alpha$ indicates c = (i, j) with $1 \le i \le \ell(\alpha)$ and $1 \le j \le \alpha_i$.

Example: $\alpha = [4, 2, 3]$
9321 51 321
The number of standard immaculate tableaux of shape [4, 2, 3] is equal
to $\frac{9!}{9 \cdot 3 \cdot 2 \cdot 1 \cdot 5 \cdot 1 \cdot 3 \cdot 2 \cdot 1} = 224$.



THANKS!

Slides to be available on the web. Immaculate basis and their duals available in Sage! Papers: 1208:5191, 1304:1224, 1305:4700 FPSAC Abstract: 1303:4801



