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Small numbers can jump left (clockwise).
$\ldots j i \ldots \mapsto \ldots i j \ldots$ if $j>i$.
We will study the following process: At each time step chose one of the numbers uniformly at random. If it can jump it will jump.

This is a TASEP (Totally Asymetric Simple Exclussion Process).
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { For } n=4 \text { we get } \\
& p_{4321}=\frac{1}{96}, p_{4312}=\frac{3}{96} \\
& p_{4132}=\frac{3}{96}, p_{4231}=\frac{5}{96} \\
& p_{4213}=\frac{3}{96}, p_{4123}=\frac{9}{96}
\end{aligned}
$$
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Theorem (Aas '12, Conjectured by Lam '11)

$$
p_{\text {id }}=\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{9}, \frac{9}{96}, \frac{96}{2500}, \ldots \frac{\prod_{i}\binom{n-1}{i}}{\prod_{i}\binom{n}{i}}
$$

## Some motivation (Lam)



A reduced random walk in the alcoves of the $\tilde{A}_{2}$ arrangement. The shown walk has reduced word $\cdots s_{1} s_{0} s_{2} s_{0} s_{1} s_{2} s_{0} s_{2} s_{1} s_{0}$. The thick lines divide $V$ into Weyl chambers.
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This gives a map $B: M L Q_{\mathbf{m}} \rightarrow$ Multipermutations with content $\mathbf{m}$.
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Theorem (Ferrari-Martin '07)
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## Corollary

For any permutation $\sigma$ we have

$$
p_{\sigma}=\frac{\#\{q: B(q)=\sigma\}}{Z_{\mathbf{m}}}
$$

## $\mathbf{m}=(1,1,1)$
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OPEN: Find conceptual proof of the independence $1 / n^{2}$. These correlations prove a conjecture of Lam about random $n$-cores.
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An integer partition is called an $n$-core if no hooks have length $n$.
It follows from the work of Lam that determining the limit shape of a random $n$-core is the same as determining the direction $\varphi$ of the reduced random walk in $\tilde{A}_{n-1}$.

## Theorem (Ayyer \& L., Conjectured by Lam)

$\varphi=$ the sum of all positive roots.

## n-cores

A large 4-core and the limiting shape.


## $(\bmod n)$ jump process

Example $n=3$.


## $(\bmod n)$ jump process

Example $n=3$.


## $(\bmod n)$ jump process

Example $n=3$.


## $(\bmod n)$ jump process

Example $n=3$.


## $(\bmod n)$ jump process

Example $n=3$.


## $(\bmod n)$ jump process

Example $n=3$.

$\bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$

## $(\bmod n)$ jump process

Example $n=3$.


## Long distance correlations

Let $d_{i, j}(k):=$ probability that first letter is $i$ and the $k$ :th letter is $j$.

## Long distance correlations

Let $d_{i, j}(k):=$ probability that first letter is $i$ and the $k$ :th letter is $j$.
Note $c_{i, j}=d_{i, j}(2)$.

## Long distance correlations

Let $d_{i, j}(k):=$ probability that first letter is $i$ and the $k$ :th letter is $j$.
Note $c_{i, j}=d_{i, j}(2)$.
Lemma (Ayyer \& L.)
For $1 \leq i<j \leq n$ and $i \leq j-k$ we have

$$
d_{i, j}(k)=\frac{1}{n^{2}}
$$

## Long distance correlations

Let $d_{i, j}(k):=$ probability that first letter is $i$ and the $k$ :th letter is $j$.
Note $c_{i, j}=d_{i, j}(2)$.
Lemma (Ayyer \& L.)
For $1 \leq i<j \leq n$ and $i \leq j-k$ we have

$$
d_{i, j}(k)=\frac{1}{n^{2}}
$$

Wanted: Conceptual proof.

## Three point correlation

Let $c_{i, j, k}=\operatorname{Prob}(\sigma=i j k \ldots)$.

## Three point correlation

Let $c_{i, j, k}=\operatorname{Prob}(\sigma=i j k \ldots)$.
Conjecture (Ayyer \& Linusson)

$$
c_{i, j, k}=\frac{1}{n^{3}}, \quad \text { if } i<j-1<k-2 .
$$
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