Omega Regularity with Bounds

Mikolaj Bojańczyk
Warsaw university

Thomas Colcombet
Cnrs, Irisa

OMEGA REGULAR LANGUAGES

An ω-word is an infinite word indexed by ω: $a_1 a_2 a_3 \ldots$

OMEGA REGULAR LANGUAGES

An ω-word is an infinite word indexed by ω: $a_1 a_2 a_3 \ldots$

THM(Buchi,. . .)The following property of a language of ω-words L are equivalent:

- L is the evaluation of an ω-regular expression $(a^* b)^\omega$

OMEGA REGULAR LANGUAGES

An ω-word is an infinite word indexed by ω: $a_1 a_2 a_3 \ldots$

THM(Buchi,. . .)The following property of a language of ω-words L are equivalent:

- L is the evaluation of an ω-regular expression $(a^* b)^\omega$
- L is accepted by a nondeterministic Buchi automaton

OMEGA REGULAR LANGUAGES

An ω-word is an infinite word indexed by ω: $a_1 a_2 a_3 \ldots$

THM(Buchi,. . .)The following property of a language of ω-words L are equivalent:

- L is the evaluation of an ω-regular expression
  $(a^* b)^\omega$
- L is accepted by a nondeterministic Buchi automaton
- L is the set of ω-words models of an MSOL-formula
  $\forall x.\ \exists y.\ x < y \ \wedge \ b(y)$

OMEGA REGULAR LANGUAGES

An ω-word is an infinite word indexed by ω: $a_1 a_2 a_3 \ldots$

THM(Buchi,. . .)The following property of a language of ω-words L are equivalent:

- L is the evaluation of an ω-regular expression $(a^*b)^\omega$
- L is accepted by a nondeterministic Buchi automaton
- L is the set of ω-words models of an MSOL-formula $\forall x.\ \exists y.\ x < y\ \wedge\ b(y)$
- L is recognized by an ω-semigroup morphism
- L is accepted by a Rabin/Street/Muller/Parity automaton (deterministic or not).

OMEGA REGULAR LANGUAGES

An ω-word is an infinite word indexed by ω: $a_1 a_2 a_3 \ldots$

THM(Buchi,. . .)The following property of a language of ω-words L are equivalent:

- L is the evaluation of an ω-regular expression
- L is accepted by a nondeterministic Buchi automaton
- L is the set of ω-words models of an MSOL-formula
- L is recognized by an ω-semigroup morphism
- L is accepted by a Rabin/Street/Muller/Parity automaton (deterministic or not).

THM(Buchi) ω-regular languages are closed under union, intersection, complementation, projection, residual.

OMEGA REGULAR LANGUAGES

An ω-word is an infinite word indexed by ω: $a_1 a_2 a_3 \ldots$

THM(Buchi,. . .)The following property of a language of ω-words L are equivalent:

- L is the evaluation of an ω-regular expression
- L is accepted by a nondeterministic Buchi automaton
- L is the set of ω-words models of an MSOL-formula
- L is recognized by an ω-semigroup morphism
- L is accepted by a Rabin/Street/Muller/Parity automaton (deterministic or not).

THM(Buchi) ω-regular languages are closed under union, intersection, complementation, projection, residual.

ω-regularity seems to be the notion corresponding to regularity on words.

OMEGA REGULAR LANGUAGES

THM(Buchi,. . .)The following property of a language of ω-words L are equivalent:

- L is the evaluation of an ω-regular expression
- L is accepted by a nondeterministic Buchi automaton
- L is the set of ω-words models of an MSOL-formula
- L is recognized by an ω-semigroup morphism
- L is accepted by a Rabin/Street/Muller/Parity automaton (deterministic or not).

THM(Buchi) ω-regular languages are closed under union, intersection, complementation, projection, residual.

ω-regularity seems to be the notion corresponding to regularity on words.

GOAL: Consider another notion — more expressive — of regularity

EXTENDING ω-REGULAR EXPRESSIONS

Syntax of ω-regular expressions:

$$R = \emptyset \mid \varepsilon \mid a \mid R + R \mid R.R \mid R^* \qquad O = R^\omega \mid R.O \mid O + O$$

EXTENDING ω-REGULAR EXPRESSIONS

Syntax of ωBS-regular expressions:

$$R = \emptyset \mid \varepsilon \mid a \mid R + R \mid R.R \mid R^* \mid R^B \mid R^S \qquad O = R^\omega \mid R.O \mid O + O$$

EXTENDING ω-REGULAR EXPRESSIONS

Syntax of ωBS-regular expressions:

$$R = \emptyset \mid \varepsilon \mid a \mid R + R \mid R.R \mid R^* \mid R^B \mid R^S \qquad O = R^\omega \mid R.O \mid O + O$$

Intuitive meaning:

- without B, S, ωBS-regular coincides with ω-regular

EXTENDING ω-REGULAR EXPRESSIONS

Syntax of ωBS-regular expressions:

$$R = \emptyset \mid \varepsilon \mid a \mid R + R \mid R.R \mid R^* \mid R^B \mid R^S \qquad O = R^\omega \mid R.O \mid O + O$$

Intuitive meaning:

- without B, S, ωBS-regular coincides with ω-regular
- B and S are variants of the Kleene-star $*$

EXTENDING ω-REGULAR EXPRESSIONS

Syntax of ωBS-regular expressions:

$$R = \emptyset \mid \varepsilon \mid a \mid R + R \mid R.R \mid R^* \mid R^B \mid R^S \qquad O = R^\omega \mid R.O \mid O + O$$

Intuitive meaning:

- without B, S, ωBS-regular coincides with ω-regular
- B and S are variants of the Kleene-star $*$
- B constrains the number of iteration to be bounded (B stands for 'bounded')

  $(a^B b)^\omega$ there is an infinite number of b's and,
  the number of a's between consecutive b's is bounded

$$abababab\ldots \in (a^B b)^\omega$$
$$aba^2baba^3baba^4b\ldots \notin (a^B b)^\omega$$
$$aba^2ba^3ba^4b\ldots \notin (a^B b)^\omega$$

EXTENDING ω-REGULAR EXPRESSIONS

Syntax of ωBS-regular expressions:

$$R = \emptyset \mid \varepsilon \mid a \mid R + R \mid R.R \mid R^* \mid R^B \mid R^S \qquad O = R^\omega \mid R.O \mid O + O$$

Intuitive meaning:

- without B, S, ωBS-regular coincides with ω-regular
- B and S are variants of the Kleene-star $*$
- B constrains the number of iteration to be bounded (B stands for 'bounded')
- S constrains the number of iterations to tend toward the infinite (S stands for 'strictly unbounded')

  $(a^S b)^\omega$ there is an infinite number of b's and,
  number of a's between consecutive b's tends toward the infinite

$$ababab\ldots \notin (a^S b)^\omega \qquad aba^2baba^3baba^4b\cdots \notin (a^S b)^\omega$$

$$aba^2ba^3ba^4b\ldots \in (a^S b)^\omega$$

SEMANTIC OF ωBS-REGULAR LANGUAGES

$$R = \emptyset \mid \varepsilon \mid a \mid R + R \mid R.R \mid R^* \mid R^B \mid R^S \qquad O = R^\omega \mid R.O \mid O + O$$

SEMANTIC OF ωBS-REGULAR LANGUAGES

$$R = \emptyset \mid \varepsilon \mid a \mid R + R \mid R.R \mid R^* \mid R^B \mid R^S \qquad O = R^\omega \mid R.O \mid O + O$$

Rq: Standard evaluation of R as a language of finite words.
This cannot capture the semantic of B and S exponents.

SEMANTIC OF ωBS-REGULAR LANGUAGES

$$R = \emptyset \mid \varepsilon \mid a \mid R + R \mid R.R \mid R^* \mid R^B \mid R^S \qquad O = R^\omega \mid R.O \mid O + O$$

Rq: Standard evaluation of R as a language of finite words.
This cannot capture the semantic of B and S exponents.

DEF: R is evaluated into a language of sequence of finite words.
I.e. a subset of $(\Sigma^*)^\omega$

SEMANTIC OF ωBS-REGULAR LANGUAGES

$$R = \emptyset \mid \varepsilon \mid a \mid R + R \mid R.R \mid R^* \mid R^B \mid R^S \qquad O = R^\omega \mid R.O \mid O + O$$

Rq: Standard evaluation of R as a language of finite words.
This cannot capture the semantic of B and S exponents.

DEF: R is evaluated into a language of sequence of finite words.
I.e. a subset of $(\Sigma^*)^\omega$

- $a \mapsto \{(a, a, a, a, a, \dots)\}$

SEMANTIC OF ωBS-REGULAR LANGUAGES

$$R = \emptyset \mid \varepsilon \mid a \mid R + R \mid R.R \mid R^* \mid R^B \mid R^S \qquad O = R^\omega \mid R.O \mid O + O$$

Rq: Standard evaluation of R as a language of finite words.
This cannot capture the semantic of B and S exponents.

DEF: R is evaluated into a language of sequence of finite words.
I.e. a subset of $(\Sigma^*)^\omega$

- $a \mapsto \{(a, a, a, a, a, \dots)\}$
- $U.V \mapsto \{(u_1 v_1, u_2 v_2, \dots) \ : \ \vec{u} \in U, \ \ \vec{v} \in V\}$

SEMANTIC OF ωBS-REGULAR LANGUAGES

$$R = \emptyset \mid \varepsilon \mid a \mid R + R \mid R.R \mid R^* \mid R^B \mid R^S \qquad O = R^\omega \mid R.O \mid O + O$$

Rq: Standard evaluation of R as a language of finite words.
This cannot capture the semantic of B and S exponents.

DEF: R is evaluated into a language of sequence of finite words.
I.e. a subset of $(\Sigma^*)^\omega$

- $a \mapsto \{(a, a, a, a, a, \dots)\}$
- $U.V \mapsto \{(u_1 v_1, u_2 v_2, \dots) \ : \ \vec{u} \in U, \ \ \vec{v} \in V\}$
- $U + V \mapsto \{\vec{w} \ : \ \vec{u}, \vec{v} \in U \cup V, \ \forall i.\ w_i \in \{u_i, v_i\})$

SEMANTIC OF ωBS-REGULAR LANGUAGES

$$R = \emptyset \mid \varepsilon \mid a \mid R + R \mid R.R \mid R^* \mid R^B \mid R^S \qquad O = R^\omega \mid R.O \mid O + O$$

Rq: Standard evaluation of R as a language of finite words.
This cannot capture the semantic of B and S exponents.

DEF: R is evaluated into a language of sequence of finite words.
I.e. a subset of $(\Sigma^*)^\omega$

- $a \mapsto \{(a, a, a, a, a, \dots)\}$
- $U.V \mapsto \{(u_1 v_1, u_2 v_2, \dots) \ : \ \vec{u} \in U, \ \ \vec{v} \in V\}$
- $U + V \mapsto \{\vec{w} \ : \ \vec{u}, \vec{v} \in U \cup V, \ \forall i.\ w_i \in \{u_i, v_i\})$
- $U^* \mapsto \{(u_0 u_1 \dots u_{\pi(1)-1}, u_{\pi(1)} \dots u_{\pi(2)} - 1, \dots) \ : \ \vec{u} \in U, \ \pi \ nondecreasing\}$

SEMANTIC OF ωBS-REGULAR LANGUAGES

$$R = \emptyset \mid \varepsilon \mid a \mid R + R \mid R.R \mid R^* \mid R^B \mid R^S \qquad O = R^\omega \mid R.O \mid O + O$$

Rq: Standard evaluation of R as a language of finite words.
This cannot capture the semantic of B and S exponents.

DEF: R is evaluated into a language of sequence of finite words.
I.e. a subset of $(\Sigma^*)^\omega$

- $a \mapsto \{(a, a, a, a, a, \dots)\}$
- $U.V \mapsto \{(u_1v_1, u_2v_2, \dots) \ : \ \vec{u} \in U, \ \ \vec{v} \in V\}$
- $U + V \mapsto \{\vec{w} \ : \ \vec{u}, \vec{v} \in U \cup V, \ \forall i.\ w_i \in \{u_i, v_i\})$
- $U^* \mapsto \{(u_0u_1 \dots u_{\pi(1)-1}, u_{\pi(1)} \dots u_{\pi(2)} - 1, \dots) \ : \ \vec{u} \in U, \ \pi \ nondecreasing\}$
- $U^B \mapsto$ idem + $(\pi(i+1) - \pi(i))$ is bounded

SEMANTIC OF ωBS-REGULAR LANGUAGES

$$R = \emptyset \mid \varepsilon \mid a \mid R + R \mid R.R \mid R^* \mid R^B \mid R^S \qquad O = R^\omega \mid R.O \mid O + O$$

Rq: Standard evaluation of R as a language of finite words.
This cannot capture the semantic of B and S exponents.

DEF: R is evaluated into a language of sequence of finite words.
I.e. a subset of $(\Sigma^*)^\omega$

- $a \mapsto \{(a, a, a, a, a, \dots)\}$
- $U.V \mapsto \{(u_1 v_1, u_2 v_2, \dots) \ : \ \vec{u} \in U, \ \ \vec{v} \in V\}$
- $U + V \mapsto \{\vec{w} \ : \ \vec{u}, \vec{v} \in U \cup V, \ \forall i.\ w_i \in \{u_i, v_i\})$
- $U^* \mapsto \{(u_0 u_1 \dots u_{\pi(1)-1}, u_{\pi(1)} \dots u_{\pi(2)} - 1, \dots) \ : \ \vec{u} \in U, \ \pi \ nondecreasing\}$
- $U^B \mapsto$ idem + $(\pi(i+1) - \pi(i))$ is bounded
- $U^S \mapsto$ idem + $(\pi(i+1) - \pi(i)) \to +\infty$

SEMANTIC OF ωBS-REGULAR LANGUAGES

$$R = \emptyset \mid \varepsilon \mid a \mid R + R \mid R.R \mid R^* \mid R^B \mid R^S \qquad O = R^\omega \mid R.O \mid O + O$$

Rq: Standard evaluation of R as a language of finite words.
This cannot capture the semantic of B and S exponents.

DEF: R is evaluated into a language of sequence of finite words.
I.e. a subset of $(\Sigma^*)^\omega$

- $a \mapsto \{(a, a, a, a, a, \dots)\}$
- $U.V \mapsto \{(u_1v_1, u_2v_2, \dots) \ : \ \vec{u} \in U, \ \ \vec{v} \in V\}$
- $U + V \mapsto \{\vec{w} \ : \ \vec{u}, \vec{v} \in U \cup V, \ \forall i.\ w_i \in \{u_i, v_i\})$
- $U^* \mapsto \{(u_0u_1 \dots u_{\pi(1)-1}, u_{\pi(1)} \dots u_{\pi(2)} - 1, \dots) \ : \ \vec{u} \in U, \ \pi \ nondecreasing\}$
- $U^B \mapsto$ idem + $(\pi(i+1) - \pi(i))$ is bounded
- $U^S \mapsto$ idem + $(\pi(i+1) - \pi(i)) \to +\infty$
- $U^\omega \mapsto \{u_1u_2 \dots \ : \ \vec{u} \in U\}$

OTHER EXAMPLES

$$((a^*b)^*a^Sb)^\omega$$

The languages with an infintie number of b's such that the size of segments of a is not bounded.
E.g. $aba^2baba^3baba^4b\cdots \in ((a^*b)a^Sb)^\omega$

OTHER EXAMPLES

$$((a^*b)^*a^Sb)^\omega$$

The languages with an infintie number of b's such that the size of segments of a is not bounded.
E.g. $aba^2baba^3baba^4b\cdots \in ((a^*b)a^Sb)^\omega$

$$((a^Sb)^Sa^Sc)^\omega$$

There is an infinite number of c's. The number of $b's$ between two c's tends toward the infinite. The number of a's between two b or c's tends toward the infinite.

THE DIAMOND


ωBS-regular expressions
e.g. $(a^B b^S c)^\omega$
ωS-regular expressions
e.g. $(a^S b)^\omega$
ωB-regular expressions
e.g. $(a^B b)^\omega$
ω-regular expressions
e.g. $(a^* b)^\omega$

THE DIAMOND



PROP: Emptyness of ωBS-regular languages is decidable

THE DIAMOND



PROP: Emptyness of ωBS-regular languages is decidable

PROP: The inclusions in the diamond are strict.

AUTOMATA

DEF: One can define $\omega B, \omega S, \omega BS$-automata.
Essentially: finite state automata with modified accepting condition (more expressive than Buchi).
They come in two variants hierarchical or not.

AUTOMATA

DEF: One can define $\omega B, \omega S, \omega BS$-automata.
Essentially: finite state automata with modified accepting condition (more expressive than Buchi).
They come in two variants hierarchical or not.

THM: The following are equivalent:

- L is evaluation of an ωBS-regular expression
- L is accepted by an ωBS-automaton
- L is accepted by a hierarchical ωBS-automaton

And the same holds for ωB and ωS regular languages.

THE DIAMOND (2:AUTOMATA)



CLOSURE

THM: The ωBS-regular languages are closed under union, intersection, projection.

CLOSURE

THM: The ωBS-regular languages are closed under union, intersection, projection.
Proof: Union and projection are syntactic on ωBS-regular expressions. Intersection is obtained by product of ωBS-automata.

CLOSURE

THM: The ωBS-regular languages are closed under union, intersection, projection.
Proof: Union and projection are syntactic on ωBS-regular expressions. Intersection is obtained by product of ωBS-automata.

Example of intersections:

$$((b^*ab^*)^B\sharp)^\omega \cap ((a^*ba^*)^B\sharp)^\omega = ((a+b)^B\sharp)^\omega$$

CLOSURE

THM: The ωBS-regular languages are closed under union, intersection, projection.
Proof: Union and projection are syntactic on ωBS-regular expressions. Intersection is obtained by product of ωBS-automata.

Example of intersections:

$$((b^*ab^*)^B\,\sharp)^\omega \cap ((a^*ba^*)^B\,\sharp)^\omega = ((a+b)^B\,\sharp)^\omega$$

$$((b^*ab^*)^S\,\sharp)^\omega \cap ((a^*ba^*)^B\,\sharp)^\omega = ((a^*ba^*)^B\,a^S\,(a^*ba^*)^B\,\sharp)^\omega$$

CLOSURE

THM: The ωBS-regular languages are closed under union, intersection, projection.
Proof: Union and projection are syntactic on ωBS-regular expressions. Intersection is obtained by product of ωBS-automata.

Example of intersections:

$$((b^*ab^*)^B\sharp)^\omega \cap ((a^*ba^*)^B\sharp)^\omega = ((a+b)^B\sharp)^\omega$$

$$((b^*ab^*)^S\sharp)^\omega \cap ((a^*ba^*)^B\sharp)^\omega = ((a^*ba^*)^B a^S (a^*ba^*)^B\sharp)^\omega$$

$$((b^*ab^*)^S\sharp)^\omega \cap ((a^*ba^*)^S\sharp)^\omega = \left(\left(\begin{array}{ccc} & (a+b)^*a^S(a+b)^*b^S(a+b)^* & \\ + & (a+b)^*b^S(a+b)^*a^S(a+b)^* & \\ + & b^*(a^+b^+)^Sa^* & \end{array}\right)\sharp\right)^\omega$$

CLOSURE

THM: The ωBS-regular languages are closed under union, intersection, projection.

PROP: ωBS-regular languages are not closed under complementation.

CLOSURE

THM: The ωBS-regular languages are closed under union, intersection, projection.

PROP: ωBS-regular languages are not closed under complementation.

Mp: The complement of $L = ((a^B + a^S)\sharp)^\omega$ is not ωBS-regular.

The language $\overline{L}$ contains $a^{f(1)}ba^{f(2)}b\cdots$ iff there exists infinitely many values appearing infinitely often in f.

E.g: $a^1b \;\; a^1ba^2b \;\; a^1ba^2ba^3b \;\; \cdots \in \overline{L}$

CLOSURE

THM: The ωBS-regular languages are closed under union, intersection, projection.

PROP: ωBS-regular languages are not closed under complementation.

THM: The complement of an ωB-regular language is ωS-regular
The complement of an ωS-regular language is ωB-regular

CLOSURE

THM: The ωBS-regular languages are closed under union, intersection, projection.

PROP: ωBS-regular languages are not closed under complementation.

THM: The complement of an ωB-regular language is ωS-regular
The complement of an ωS-regular language is ωB-regular

Example:

$$\overline{(a^B b)^\omega} = (a+b)^* a^\omega + ((a^* b)^* a^S b)^\omega$$

CLOSURE

THM: The ωBS-regular languages are closed under union, intersection, projection.

PROP: ωBS-regular languages are not closed under complementation.

THM: The complement of an ωB-regular language is ωS-regular
The complement of an ωS-regular language is ωB-regular

Example:

$$\overline{(a^B b)^\omega} = (a+b)^* a^\omega + ((a^* b)^* a^S b)^\omega$$
$$\overline{(a^S b)^\omega} = (a+b)^* a^\omega + ((a^* b)^* a^B b)^\omega$$

THE DIAMOND (3:CLOSURE)



THE LOGIC MSOLB

The logic MSOL :

$$\phi = \phi \vee \phi \mid \phi \wedge \phi \mid \neg\phi \mid \exists X.\phi \mid \exists x.\phi \mid x \in X \mid x = S(y) \mid a(x)$$

THE LOGIC MSOLB

The logic **MSOLB** (Bojanczyk05) :

$$\phi = \phi \vee \phi \mid \phi \wedge \phi \mid \neg\phi \mid \exists X.\phi \mid \exists x.\phi \mid x \in X \mid x = S(y) \mid a(x) \mid \mathbb{U}X.\phi$$

With $\mathbb{U}X.\phi \quad \equiv \quad \forall n.\, \exists X.\, (|X| > n) \wedge \phi$

"there exists a set as big as I want"

THE LOGIC MSOLB

The logic **MSOLB** (Bojanczyk05) :

$$\phi = \phi \vee \phi \mid \phi \wedge \phi \mid \neg\phi \mid \exists X.\phi \mid \exists x.\phi \mid x \in X \mid x = S(y) \mid a(x) \mid \mathbb{U}X.\phi$$

With $\mathbb{U}X.\phi \equiv \forall n.\, \exists X.\, (|X| > n) \wedge \phi$

"there exists a set as big as I want"

And also $\mathbb{A}X.\phi \equiv \neg\mathbb{U}X.\neg\phi \equiv \exists n.\, \forall X.\, (|X| > n) \rightarrow \phi$

"for all sets above a certain size"

THE LOGIC MSOLB

The logic **MSOLB** (Bojanczyk05) :

$$\phi = \phi \vee \phi \mid \phi \wedge \phi \mid \neg\phi \mid \exists X.\phi \mid \exists x.\phi \mid x \in X \mid x = S(y) \mid a(x) \mid \mathbb{U}X.\phi$$

With $\mathbb{U}X.\phi \equiv \forall n.\, \exists X.\, (|X| > n) \wedge \phi$

"there exists a set as big as I want"

And also $\mathbb{A}X.\phi \equiv \neg\mathbb{U}X.\neg\phi \equiv \exists n.\, \forall X.\, (|X| > n) \rightarrow \phi$

"for all sets above a certain size"

And also $\mathbb{B}X.\phi \equiv \neg\mathbb{U}X.\phi \equiv \exists n.\, \forall X.\, \phi \rightarrow (|X| < n)$

"there is a bound on the size of sets satisfying"

THE LOGIC MSOLB

The logic **MSOLB** (Bojanczyk05) :

$$\phi = \phi \vee \phi \mid \phi \wedge \phi \mid \neg\phi \mid \exists X.\phi \mid \exists x.\phi \mid x \in X \mid x = S(y) \mid a(x) \mid \mathbb{U}X.\phi$$

With $\mathbb{U}X.\phi \equiv \forall n.\, \exists X.\, (|X| > n) \wedge \phi$

"there exists a set as big as I want"

And also $\mathbb{A}X.\phi \equiv \neg\mathbb{U}X.\neg\phi \equiv \exists n.\, \forall X.\, (|X| > n) \rightarrow \phi$

"for all sets above a certain size"

And also $\mathbb{B}X.\phi \equiv \neg\mathbb{U}X.\phi \equiv \exists n.\, \forall X.\, \phi \rightarrow (|X| < n)$

"there is a bound on the size of sets satisfying"

THM(Buchi): SAT of MSOL is decidable over infinite words.

THE LOGIC MSOLB

The logic **MSOLB** (Bojanczyk05) :

$$\phi = \phi \vee \phi \mid \phi \wedge \phi \mid \neg\phi \mid \exists X.\phi \mid \exists x.\phi \mid x \in X \mid x = S(y) \mid a(x) \mid \mathbb{U}X.\phi$$

With $\mathbb{U}X.\phi \equiv \forall n.\, \exists X.\, (|X| > n) \wedge \phi$

"there exists a set as big as I want"

And also $\mathbb{A}X.\phi \equiv \neg\mathbb{U}X.\neg\phi \equiv \exists n.\, \forall X.\, (|X| > n) \rightarrow \phi$

"for all sets above a certain size"

And also $\mathbb{B}X.\phi \equiv \neg\mathbb{U}X.\phi \equiv \exists n.\, \forall X.\, \phi \rightarrow (|X| < n)$

"there is a bound on the size of sets satisfying"

THM(Buchi): SAT of MSOL is decidable over infinite words.

Rq: Adding equality/comparison of cardinality to MSOL leads to undecidability of SAT (already for finite words).

THE LOGIC MSOLB

The logic **MSOLB** (Bojanczyk05) :

$$\phi = \phi \vee \phi \mid \phi \wedge \phi \mid \neg\phi \mid \exists X.\phi \mid \exists x.\phi \mid x \in X \mid x = S(y) \mid a(x) \mid \mathbb{U}X.\phi$$

With $\mathbb{U}X.\phi \equiv \forall n.\, \exists X.\, (|X| > n) \wedge \phi$

"there exists a set as big as I want"

And also $\mathbb{A}X.\phi \equiv \neg\mathbb{U}X.\neg\phi \equiv \exists n.\, \forall X.\, (|X| > n) \rightarrow \phi$

"for all sets above a certain size"

And also $\mathbb{B}X.\phi \equiv \neg\mathbb{U}X.\phi \equiv \exists n.\, \forall X.\, \phi \rightarrow (|X| < n)$

"there is a bound on the size of sets satisfying"

THM(Buchi): SAT of MSOL is decidable over infinite words.

Rq: Adding equality/comparison of cardinality to MSOL leads to undecidability of SAT (already for finite words).

QESTION: Is SAT of MSOLB decidable over ω-words?

THE LOGIC MSOLB

The logic **MSOLB** (Bojanczyk05) :

$$\phi = \phi \vee \phi \mid \phi \wedge \phi \mid \neg\phi \mid \exists X.\phi \mid \exists x.\phi \mid x \in X \mid x = S(y) \mid a(x) \mid \mathbb{U}X.\phi$$

With $\mathbb{U}X.\phi \equiv \forall n.\, \exists X.\, (|X| > n) \wedge \phi$

"there exists a set as big as I want"

And also $\mathbb{A}X.\phi \equiv \neg\mathbb{U}X.\neg\phi \equiv \exists n.\, \forall X.\, (|X| > n) \rightarrow \phi$

"for all sets above a certain size"

And also $\mathbb{B}X.\phi \equiv \neg\mathbb{U}X.\phi \equiv \exists n.\, \forall X.\, \phi \rightarrow (|X| < n)$

"there is a bound on the size of sets satisfying"

THM(Buchi): SAT of MSOL is decidable over infinite words.

Rq: Adding equality/comparison of cardinality to MSOL leads to undecidability of SAT (already for finite words).

QESTION: Is SAT of MSOLB decidable over ω-words? The question is open.

But ωBS-regularity provides a partial answer.

THE DIAMOND (3:LOGIC)



THE DIAMOND (3:LOGIC)



THE DIAMOND (3:LOGIC)



THE DIAMOND (3:LOGIC)



THE DIAMOND (3:LOGIC)



PROP: ωS and ωBS-regular languges are closed under $\mathbb{U}$.

THE DIAMOND (3:LOGIC)



PROP: ωS and ωBS-regular languges are closed under $\mathbb{U}$.

SAT OF MSOLB

DEF: MSOLB+ = MSOLB where $\mathbb{U}$ appears only positively

MSOLB- = MSOLB where $\mathbb{U}$ appears only negatively

SAT OF MSOLB

DEF: MSOLB+ = MSOLB where $\mathbb{U}$ appears only positively
MSOLB- = MSOLB where $\mathbb{U}$ appears only negatively

COR: MSOL is equivalent to ω-regular languages
MSOLB+ is equivalent to ωS-regular languages
MSOLB- is equivalent to ωB-regular languages
Boolean comb. of MSOLB+ are contained in ωBS-regular languages
$\Rightarrow$ **SAT is decidable for those fragments of MSOLB**

CONCLUSION

We have:

- Introduced an extension of ω-regular expressions.

CONCLUSION

We have:

- Introduced an extension of ω-regular expressions.
- Introduced corresponding class of automata.

CONCLUSION

We have:

- Introduced an extension of ω-regular expressions.
- Introduced corresponding class of automata.
- Shown decidability and closure properties.

CONCLUSION

We have:

- Introduced an extension of ω-regular expressions.
- Introduced corresponding class of automata.
- Shown decidability and closure properties.
- Used it for solving a fragment of the logic MSOLB over ω-words.

CONCLUSION

We have:

- Introduced an extension of ω-regular expressions.
- Introduced corresponding class of automata.
- Shown decidability and closure properties.
- Used it for solving a fragment of the logic MSOLB over ω-words.

Two main open questions.

- Solve the full logic MSOLB over ω-words.

CONCLUSION

We have:

- Introduced an extension of ω-regular expressions.
- Introduced corresponding class of automata.
- Shown decidability and closure properties.
- Used it for solving a fragment of the logic MSOLB over ω-words.

Two main open questions.

- Solve the full logic MSOLB over ω-words.
- Find equivalent class of languages over trees.