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Abstract

We present the first polynomial uniform random sampling algorithm for simple branched coverings of degree $n$ of the sphere by itself. More precisely, our algorithm generates in linear time increasing quadrangulations, which are equivalent combinatorial structures. Our result is based on the identification of some canonical labelled spanning trees, and yields a constructive proof of a celebrated formula of Hurwitz for the number of some factorizations of permutations in transpositions. The previous approaches were either non constructive or lead to exponential time algorithms for the sampling problem.

1 Introduction

Branched coverings of the sphere are 2-dimensional topological structures that have raised a lot of interest ever since the work of Hurwitz at the end of the 19th century. Okounkov and Pandharipande [17] for instance have used these objects to derive an alternative to Kontsevitch’s proof of Witten’s celebrated conjecture. More recently, their relations to intersection numbers of moduli spaces and integrable hierachies as studied in mathematical physics have suggested that large random simple branched coverings provide an alternative model of discrete 2-dimensional pure quantum geometry (see e.g. [21] for a relatively accessible exposition).

Our aim in the present article is to provide means to effectively sample these alternative random geometries. Since our approach is purely combinatorial we trade the topological definition of branched coverings for their combinatorial representation (see however Section 8, and the complete treatment in [12]). Define a factorization in transpositions of the identity permutation $\text{id}_n$ on $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ to be an $m$-tuple of transpositions $\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_m$ such that $\tau_m \cdots \tau_1 = \text{id}_n$. It is transitive if the graph $G_\tau$ on $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ with $m$ edges given by the $\tau_i$ is connected, and minimal if $m = 2n - 2$. It can be checked that indeed this is the minimum number of transpositions in a transitive factorization of $\text{id}_n$.

THEOREM 1.1. (Hurwitz (1891)) Simple branched coverings of the sphere by itself of degree $n$ are encoded up to homeomorphisms of the domain by minimal transitive factorizations in transpositions of the identity of $\Sigma_n$, and their number, called $n$-th Hurwitz number, is $n^{n-3}(2n - 2)!$.

The usual model of quantum geometries is the uniform distribution on fixed size unlabelled planar quadrangulations, which was first studied analytically [3] and via Markov chain simulations [2]. Only later has it become possible to perform rigorous exact simulations via efficient (linear time) perfect random sampling [19, 18, 9]. The algorithmic techniques underlying these samplers, mainly the identification of carefully chosen canonical spanning plane trees, have in turn triggered important progresses in the comprehension of the intrinsic geometries of random unlabelled quadrangulations [7], culminating with the construction of their continuum limit, the Brownian map [13, 15, 14].

We show here that a similar approach can be undertaken for simple branched coverings of the sphere: starting from a variant of the standard representation of factorizations as graphs embedded on surfaces, we first recast the problem in terms of some increasing quadrangulations. We then show that these labelled quadrangulations, which do not fit in the earlier framework, can be decomposed using labelled trees (akin to Cayley trees) instead of plane trees. We so obtain the first constructive proof of Hurwitz formula. Previous proofs were either non constructive (via differential equation hierarchies [16], geometric considerations [11], or matrix integrals [5]) or yield exponential generation algorithms (via cut-and-join decompositions [10, 20], or exclusion/inclusion [6]). We then show that the resulting algorithm can be implemented in linear time.

From an algorithmic perspective our contribution is twofold. On the one hand we give a new and unexpected example of the versatility of the canonical spanning trees that derive from minimal $\alpha$-orientations of plane graphs: these structures appear to underlie a whole chunk of efficient planar algorithmics, from random sampling to graph drawing or optimal coding. On the other hand, we illustrate further the dichotomy be-
between random samplers based on Markov chain simulation and those based on constructive enumeration: while the former, admittedly much easier to design, are expected to perform at best in quadratic or cubic time, the latter lead to extremely efficient algorithms when they apply. Finally, from the probabilistic and quantum gravity perspective, we believe that our construction, apart from the simulations it allows to perform, could provide a starting point to study the intrinsic geometry of increasing quadrangulations, in the same way as the constructive enumeration of unlabelled quadrangulations has led to the Brownian map.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Planar maps and quadrangulations. A planar map is a proper embedding of a connected graph in the sphere, considered up to orientation preserving homeomorphisms of the sphere. The connected components of the complement of the graph in the sphere are called faces and are homeomorphic to discs. A corner is an angular sector between two successive edges around a vertex. The degree of a vertex or of a face is its number of corners. A (bicolored) quadrangulation is a map such that all faces have degree 4 and vertices are bicolored in black and white, with adjacent vertices having different colors. We require moreover that it be simple, that is, without double edges: all faces are real quadrangles with 4 distinct edges and 4 distinct vertices. By Euler’s formula, a planar quadrangulation with \( n \) black and \( \ell \) white vertices has \( m = n + \ell - 2 \) faces.

Define a labelled quadrangulation as a planar quadrangulation whose \( m \) faces have distinct labels \( \{1, \ldots, m\} \). It is indexed if its \( n \) black vertices have distinct labels \( \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\} \). The descent orientation \( \mathcal{D} \) of a labelled quadrangulation is such that each oriented edge has its incident face with larger label on its left, see Figure 1. An edge is a descent if it is oriented from its white to its black end in \( \mathcal{D} \). A labelled quadrangulation is increasing if each vertex is incident to exactly one descent – which implies that descent edges provide a perfect matching of black and white vertices. Equivalently a labelled quadrangulation is increasing if around each white (resp. black) vertex \( v \), the clockwise (resp. counterclockwise) cyclic arrangement of edge labels around \( v \) is increasing, i.e. if it can be written \( (i_1, \ldots, i_p) \) with \( i_1 < \cdots < i_p \).

Figure 1: Labelled quadrangulations and minimal transitive factorizations in transpositions. Quadrangulations in Figures (a) and (b) are endowed with their descent orientation, with descents highlighted.

2.2 Graphical representations of transitive factorizations. Let \( Q \) be an element of \( \mathcal{I}_n \). Then for all \( k \leq 2n - 2 \), let \( \tau_k \) be the transposition \((i,j)\) given by the labels \( x_i \) and \( x_j \) of the two black vertices incident to the unique face of \( Q \) with label \( k \). This correspondence is illustrated by Figure 1(b) and (c).

Proposition 2.1. (Reformulated folklore [12])

The above construction is a one-to-one correspondence between indexed increasing quadrangulations in \( \mathcal{I}_n \) and minimal transitive factorizations of the identity \( \text{id}_n \).
2.3 Plane maps and orientations. A plane map is the representation of a planar map in the plane, considered up to orientation preserving homeomorphisms of the plane. Plane maps are in one-to-one correspondence with planar maps with a distinguished face, that indicates which face of the planar map is taken as outer (unbounded) face in the plane map.

A circuit in an oriented map is an oriented cycle of edges (i.e. a cycle that can be traversed following the orientations of the edges). A simple circuit is a circuit that does not visit the same vertex twice. In a plane map, each simple circuit divides the plane into two components, the left one and right one (w.r.t. the orientation of the circuit), and one of these two components contains the outer face, while the other is bounded. A circuit is clockwise if its right hand side component is bounded, and counterclockwise otherwise.

Similarly, given a spanning tree $T$ of an oriented map and an edge $e$ not in $T$, we say that $e$ turns counterclockwise around $T$ if the bounded region delimited by $e$ and $T$ lies on the left hand side of $e$. Observe that this property is independent of the orientation or rooting of $T$ if any.

3 From increasing quadrangulations to trees

3.1 Properties of the descent orientation. Given an orientation $O$ of a map $M$ and a vertex $v$ of $M$, the in-degree of $v$ in $O$, denoted by $\text{in}_O(v)$, is the number of its incoming edges with respect to $O$. Its out-degree $\text{out}_O(v)$ is defined accordingly. Let us define a 1-1-orientation of a bipartite map as an orientation $O$ such that for any black vertex $v^*$ and any white vertex $v_0$, $\text{in}_O(v^*) = \text{out}_O(v_0) = 1$. Observe that such a 1-1-orientation actually provides a perfect matching of black and white vertices. With this definition, a labelled quadrangulation is increasing if and only if its descent orientation is a 1-1-orientation. Recall that a vertex $v$ is accessible in an orientation if there is an oriented path from any other vertex to $v$, and that an orientation is strongly connected if every vertex is accessible in this
Fig. 1(b) is given in Fig. 2(a). Since circuit reversal which is the minimum of the lattice.

Proposition 3.1. The descent orientation of any labelled quadrangulation is strongly connected.

Proof. Otherwise, let \( v \) be a vertex that is not accessible from all vertices, and let \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \) be the (disjoint) sets of vertices from which \( v \) can (resp., cannot) be accessed. All edges between vertices in \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \) are oriented from \( C_1 \) to \( C_2 \). Extract from these a simple co-circuit, that is a sequence of edges \( e_1, \ldots, e_k \) such that there is a sequence of distinct faces \( f_0, \ldots, f_{k-1} \) such that for all \( i = 0, \ldots, k-1 \), \( e_i \) is incident to \( f_i \) and \( f_{i+1} \) (with \( f_k = f_0 \)). Then for all \( i = 0, \ldots, k-1 \), the label of \( f_i \) is strictly larger than that of \( f_{i-1} \), a contradiction with \( f_k = f_0 \).

### 3.1.1 Minimal \( \alpha \)-orientations of plane maps.

Our 1-1-orientations are actually a special case of so-called \( \alpha \)-orientations that have been introduced by Felsner [8]. This terminology refers to orientations \( \mathcal{O} \) with prescribed \( \text{in}_\mathcal{O} \) and \( \text{out}_\mathcal{O} \) functions, \( \alpha \) usually denoting the \( \text{out}_\mathcal{O} \) prescription. The following theorem reveals the remarkable structure of the set of \( \alpha \)-orientations of a given graph:

Theorem 3.1. (Felsner [8]) Given a plane map \( M \) and a feasible mapping \( \alpha \), the set of all \( \alpha \)-orientations of \( M \) has a lattice structure for the partial order generated by clockwise circuit reversal.

In particular, if \( M \) admits an \( \alpha \)-orientation then it has a unique \( \alpha \)-orientation without clockwise circuit, which is the minimum of the lattice.

The minimal orientation of the quadrangulation in Fig. 1(b) is given in Fig. 2(a). Since circuit reversal does not affect the accessibility, this implies moreover that for a given \( \alpha \), either all \( \alpha \)-orientations of \( M \) are strongly connected, or none of them are. This proves interesting in light of the following theorem:

Theorem 3.2. (Bernardi [4]) Let \( M \) be a plane map, endowed with an orientation \( \mathcal{O} \) without clockwise circuit, in which \( r \) is an accessible vertex. Then the set of edges of \( M \) can be uniquely partitioned into a spanning tree \( T \), oriented towards its root \( r \), and a set \( C \) of edges that turn counterclockwise around \( T \). Moreover, edges in \( C \) are in one-to-one correspondence with inner faces of \( M \), each edge corresponding to the face on its left.

Edges in \( C \) are called closure edges, since each one closes a bounded face of the plane map. The partition of the edges of the quadrangulation in Fig. 2(a) induced by Theorem is given in Fig. 2(b), with \( r \) taken to be the upper right vertex.

### 3.2 Application to increasing quadrangulations.

Let \( Q \) be an increasing quadrangulation of size \( n \), and let us embed \( Q \) in the plane by choosing as outer face its face with the largest label among the ones incident to \( x_n \). Let \( \mathcal{O} \) be its minimal 1-1-orientation. By Proposition 3.1 and Theorem 3.1, \( \mathcal{O} \) is strongly connected, hence Theorem 3.1.1 may be applied to \((Q, \mathcal{O}, x_n)\), so as to obtain an oriented spanning tree \( T \) rooted at \( x_n \), and a set \( C \) of closure edges. \( T \) is a bipartite tree on \( n \) labelled black vertices and \( n \) unlabelled white ones, hence it has \( 2n - 1 \) edges, while \( C \) has cardinality \( 2n - 3 \).

Now let us transfer to each edge the label of the face on its black-to-white left-hand side, as illustrated in Fig. 3(a): in this way each face label in \( \{1, \ldots, 2n - 2\} \) is given to two edges.

Figure 3: Construction of the Hurwitz tree corresponding to the increasing quadrangulation of Figure 1(b).
Lemma 3.1. For any \( i \in \{1, \ldots, 2n - 2\} \), except the label of the root face, exactly one edge of \( C \) and one edge of \( T \) have label \( i \).

Proof. First observe that, since for any white vertex \( v_0 \), \( \text{out}_C(v_0) = 1 \), each white-to-black oriented edge belongs to \( T \) – which implies that edges in \( C \) are all black-to-white oriented, meaning that their black-to-white left-hand side is precisely their left-hand side according to \( \mathcal{O} \). Since edges in \( C \) are in one-to-one correspondence with bounded faces on their left according to \( \mathcal{O} \), the \( 2n - 3 \) distinct labels of the bounded faces are distributed to the \( 2n - 3 \) edges in \( C \). The other \( 2n - 1 \) labels are therefore distributed to the edges of \( T \). Finally observe that the outer face is on the black-to-white left-hand side of two edges of \( T \), one of which is the only in-coming edge at \( x_n \).

Let us define a Hurwitz tree of size \( n \) as any (unrooted) bicolored tree with \( n \) unlabelled white vertices, \( n - 1 \) labelled black vertices of degree 2, and \( 2n - 2 \) labelled edges, and denote by \( \mathcal{H}_n \) the set of such trees. Depending on the context each Hurwitz tree can be considered as a non-embedded tree (i.e. a graph without cycle) or as an embedded tree (i.e. a planar map with one face) such that the clockwise cyclic arrangement of edge labels around each white vertex is increasing; indeed there is a unique way to embed a non-embedded Hurwitz tree so that this condition is satisfied.

The tree \( H \) obtained from \( T \) after the edge labelling and the removal of the black root vertex \( x_n \) is a Hurwitz tree of size \( n \): indeed in view of their in-degrees, black vertices have exactly one child, so that the removal of the black root vertex does not disconnects the tree, and all other black vertices have degree 2. Let \( \Phi \) denote the map from \( \mathcal{I}_n \) to \( \mathcal{H}_n \) that associates with any increasing quadrangulation \( Q \) of size \( n \) the Hurwitz tree \( H \) as above. Fig. 3(b) shows the Hurwitz tree associated to the decomposition of Fig. 3(a).

Theorem 3.3. \( \Phi \) is a bijection between indexed increasing quadrangulations of size \( n \) and Hurwitz trees of size \( n \).

The proof of this theorem will be given in Section 5, once we have described our sampling algorithm, or equivalently, the inverse of \( \Phi \).

4 Sampling Hurwitz trees and mapping them on quadrangulations

4.1 Random Hurwitz trees. A Cayley tree is a spanning tree of the complete graph with vertices \( \{1, \ldots, n\} \). There are \( n^{n-2} \) Cayley trees of size \( n \), see e.g. [1].

Proposition 4.1. There is a \( n \)-to-1 correspondence between pairs \((T, \pi)\) formed of a Cayley tree \( t \) with \( n \) vertices and a permutation \( \pi \) in \( \mathfrak{S}_{2n-2} \), and Hurwitz trees of size \( n \). In particular the number of Hurwitz trees of size \( n \) is the \( n \)-th Hurwitz number \( n^{n-3}(2n - 2)! \).

Proof. Let \( T \) be a Cayley tree on \( n \) white vertices, and \( \pi \) a permutation of \( \mathfrak{S}_{2n-2} \). Let \( y_i \) denote the white vertex with label \( i \). Root \( T \) at \( y_n \), and for all \( i = 1, \ldots, n - 1 \), insert a black vertex with label \( x_i \) on the middle of the first edge on the path from \( y_i \) to \( y_n \), and give the labels \( \pi(2i - 1) \) and \( \pi(2i) \) to the two resulting edges. Upon forgetting the (redundant) labels of white vertices we obtain a rooted Hurwitz tree \( H \) with \( n \) unlabelled white vertices, \( n - 1 \) black vertices of degree 2 with distinct labels in \( \{x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}\} \) and \( 2n - 2 \) edges with distinct labels in \( \{1, \ldots, 2n - 2\} \). This construction is clearly bijective. Upon forgetting \( H \)'s root position, we get a \( n \)-to-1 correspondence with (unrooted) Hurwitz trees.

We construct here Hurwitz as non-embedded trees, but as already observed, there is a unique way to embed a non-embedded Hurwitz tree so that the clockwise arrangement of edge labels around each white vertex is increasing.

Corollary 4.1. Hurwitz trees of size \( n \) can be generated uniformly at random in linear time.

Proof. Sampling permutations uniformly at random in linear time is a classical textbook exercise. For Cayley trees, it can be done e.g. following Joyal’s bijective proof of Cayley’s formula [1].
RandomQuad($n$) uses an initially empty stack $S$ of half-edges, a current pre-map $M$ and a current half-edge $h$.

1. Generate a uniform random Hurwitz tree $T$ of size $n$.
2. Let $M$ be the hairy tree $\bar{T}$ associated to $T$, and $h$ any of its half-edges.
3. Repeat the following loop: (loop invariant: $M$ is a valid pre-map with $m$ more white than black half-edges)
   (a) If $h$ is a white half-edge,
       i. If $h$ is already marked, go to Step 4.
       ii. Otherwise, mark $h$ and insert it in $S$.
   (b) Otherwise, if $S$ is not empty, pop the last half-edge $h_o$ from $S$.
       (h$_o$ and $h$ are consecutive, hence at distance 1 or 3, and have equal labels)
       Let $M$ be the local closure of $h$ and $h_o$, and give their common label to the new face.
   (c) Let $h$ be the next half-edge around $M$ in clockwise direction.
4. $(S$ contains $m$ white half-edges, and the consecutive ones are at distance 0 or 2) Match the $m$ white half-edges in $S$ to the $m$ black half-edges of a new black vertex of degree $m$ in the outer face to get a new pre-map $M$.
5. $(M$ is a valid pre-map without half-edges and with faces of degree 2 and 4) Contract all faces of degree 2 of $M$ and forget the orientation of closure edges to get an indexed labelled quadrangulation $Q$.

Figure 5: The algorithm RandomQuad (assertions leading to the proof of Theorem 4.1 are emphasized)

4.2 A general technique to build planar maps out of trees. In order to describe how to construct a quadrangulation out of a tree, we will consider intermediate objects. A pre-map is a plane bicolored map with some distinguished pending edges in the outer face called half-edges (and whose loose endpoint will not count as a vertex). A half-edge is either black or white according to the vertex it is attached to. We say that a half-edge $h$ is said to be black or white according to the vertex it is attached to. We say that

$\bullet$ A half-edge is either black or white according to the vertex it is attached to. We say that

$\bullet$ $M$ is a valid pre-map if around every half-edge $h$ it has the same label, and their local closure produces a valid pre-map.

4.3 The closure of a Hurwitz tree. Given a Hurwitz tree $T$ of size $n$ (with edges labels $\{1, \ldots, m\}$, for $m = 2n - 2$), let the associated hairy tree $\bar{T}$ be obtained by inserting labelled half-edges at every vertex to complete the cycle of incident labels to be $(1, \ldots, m)$ in clockwise (resp. counterclockwise) direction around every white (resp. black) vertex.

A pre-map $M$ with labelled edges and half-edges is said valid if around every white (resp. black) vertex, incident labels form the clockwise (resp. counterclockwise) cycle $(1, \ldots, m)$, and if for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$, at most one edge with label $i$ has its black-to-white left-hand side incident to the outer face. In the following, we will actually consider that each edge carries its label (in the face) on its black-to-white left-hand side. With this convention, the second condition defining valid pre-maps is that each label occurs at most once in the outer face.

Lemma 4.1. In a valid pre-map, any two consecutive half-edges $h_o$ and $h_*$ are at distance 1 or 3 and have the same label, and their local closure produces a valid pre-map.

Proof. If $h_o$ has label $i$, then edges between $h_o$ and $h_*$ have alternatively label $i + 1$ or $i$ because of the cyclical
labelling rules. Hence \( h \) has label \( i \), and since only one label \( i \) may appear in the outer face, the distance is at most \( 3 \). The local closure of \( h_o \) and \( h \) creates an edge that has the outer face on its black-to-white right-hand side, so that no new label is created in the outer face and the pre-map remains valid. □

From the definitions, the following lemma is immediate:

**Lemma 4.2.** Hairy trees are valid pre-maps.

### 4.4 The first algorithm

We can now state and analyse the first algorithm, given in Figure 5. The first steps of an execution are given in Figure 6.

**Theorem 4.1.** Steps 2–5 of the algorithm RandomQuad describe a mapping from \( \mathcal{H}_n \) to \( \mathcal{I}_n \) which is the inverse of the mapping \( \Phi \) of Theorem 3.3. RandomQuad\(_n\) thus generates indexed increasing quadrangulations of size \( n \) uniformly at random.

**Proof.** Let us first check the emphasized assertions in the algorithm. The first assertion is true at the beginning by a direct counting argument: there is one more white vertex than black ones. A clear loop invariant since the half-edges are only modified in Step 3(b) by a local closure, which preserves validity by Lemma 4.1, and removes simultaneously one black and one white half-edges. Assertion in 3(b) follows from the fact that white half-edges are stored in a (last in, first out) stack, so that \( h_o \) is always the last inserted white half-edge among those that have not yet been matched. Since \( M \) is a valid pre-map, Lemma 4.1 applies. Assertion in 3(a)i follows from the observation that between two visits to the same white half-edge \( h \), a full turn around the pre-map is performed, and all black half-edges are considered. Since the stack contains (at least) \( h \) during this full turn, it is never empty, hence all black half-edges are matched. Assertion in Step 4 follows from the fact that \( M \) is valid. The last assertion follows immediately from the previous ones.

Step 3(b) creates exactly one face of degree 4 for each label \( i \) in \{1, ..., \( m \)\}, since the original hairy tree has exactly one edge with label \( i \), and this label disappears from the outer face at the exact step when the face with label \( i \) is created. As \( M \) is a valid pre-map, the labels of faces around each white (resp. black) vertex in clockwise (resp. counterclockwise) direction form a cyclic subsequence of \{1, ..., \( m \)\}. Hence \( Q \) is an increasing quadrangulation.

This proves the first half of the theorem, namely that the algorithm indeed correctly produces an increasing quadrangulation. The proof that the correspondence is one-to-one and inverse of \( \Phi \) is delayed to the next sections. □

**Proposition 4.3.** The algorithm RandomQuad can be implemented in linear time and space with respect to the number of edges and half-edges of \( T \). Since there are \( n \) white vertices and \( m = 2n - 2 \) half-edges incident to each white vertex, it has quadratic complexity in \( n \).

### 5 The linear complexity algorithm

In this section we give an alternative description of the bijection producing an increasing quadrangulation out of a Hurwitz tree. The idea is to create the half-edges only when they lead to faces of degree 4. In order to do this we analyse more finely the previous algorithm.

Let us consider an edge \( e \) with label \( j \) that has its white-to-black left-hand side in the outer face of a pre-map \( M \) during the execution of the first algorithm. Let \( i \) and \( k \) be the labels of the previous and next edges (not half-edges) \( e^- \) and \( e^+ \) around the outer face (the relative positions of \( i \), \( j \) and \( k \) are illustrated by Figure 9). We wish to understand how the first algorithm deals with white half-edges between \( e^- \) and \( e \), and black half-edges between \( e \) and \( e^+ \). Observe that \( j \) can be equal to \( i \) but not to \( k \) because white vertices can be leaves in a Hurwitz tree while black vertices cannot (they all have degree 2). There are two main cases:

- First suppose \( i = j \) (i.e. the white endpoint of \( e \)
FastRandomQuad(n)

uses a stack \( S \) of half-edges, a current pre-map \( M \) and a current arc \( e' \).

1. Generate a uniform random Hurwitz tree \( T \) of size \( n \); let \( M \leftarrow T \).

2. Let \( e' \) be an arbitrary edge of \( M \). Orient \( e' \) from its white to its black endpoint, and repeat the following loop:
   
   (at this point \( e' \) has the outer face on its left-hand side)

   - If \( e' \) is a white half-edge then go to Step 3. (at this point a white half-edge has been encountered twice).
   - Let \( e^- \) and \( e^+ \) be the previous and next edges around the outer face.
   - Let \( i, j \) and \( k \) be the labels of \( e^- \), \( e' \) and \( e^+ \).
   - If \( i = j \) or the cycle \((i, j, k)\) is a subcycle of \((m, \ldots, 1)\), create a half-edge \( h_o \) with label \( k \) on the white endpoint of \( e' \) and insert \( h_o \) in \( S \). Set \( e' \) to the edge or half-edge following \( e^+ \) around the outer face.
   - Otherwise (i.e. if the cycle \((i, j, k)\) is a subcycle of \((m, \ldots, 1)\)),
     
     (a) If \( S \) is empty then set \( e' \) to the edge or half-edge following \( e^+ \) around the outer face.
     (b) Otherwise pop from \( S \) a half-edge \( h_o \) and create a half-edge \( h_o' \) with label \( k \) on the black endpoint of \( e' \).

   Match \( h_o \) and \( h_o' \) to create a closure edge \( e'' \) enclosing a face of degree 4 with label \( k \), and let \( e' \leftarrow e'' \).

3. (at this point \( S \) contains at least one white half-edge)

Match the \( p \geq 1 \) white half-edges in \( S \) to the \( p \) black half-edges of a new black vertex in the outer face to form \( p \) new edges and \( p \) new faces of degree 4.

Figure 7: The algorithm FastRandomQuad (emphasized texts are again assertions)

has degree 1) or the cycle \((i, j, k)\) is a subcycle of \((m, \ldots, 1)\) (i.e. \( i > j > k, j > k > i \) or \( k > i > j \)). Then there are more white half-edges between \( e^- \) and \( e^+ \) than black half-edges between \( e \) and \( e^+ \). The white half-edge with label \( k \) will be the first of the half-edges between \( e \) and \( e^+ \) to be matched at distance 3.

This case is illustrated with \((i, j, k) = (9, 6, 2)\) in Figure 8(a): half-edges with labels 5, 4, 3 are matched at distance 1 and the half-edge with label 2 is the first to be matched at distance 3.

- Now suppose \((i, j, k)\) is a subcycle of \((1, \ldots, m)\) (i.e. \( i < j < k, j < k < i \), or \( k < i < j \)). Then there are more black half-edges between \( e \) and \( e^+ \) than white ones between \( e^- \) and \( e^+ \). The black half-edge with label \( i \) will be the first not to match a white half-edge at distance 1: it will either remain unmatched or match a half-edge at distance 3.

This case is illustrated with \((i, j, k) = (2, 4, 8)\) in Figure 8(a): the half-edge with label 3 is matched at distance 1, while the half-edge with label 2 gets matched at distance 3.

Upon iterating the above case analysis during the application of the first algorithm, all the closure edges that produce faces of degree 4 can be constructed without constructing those that produce faces of degree 2. Our second algorithm FastRandomQuad, as presented in Figure 7, exactly performs this iteration until all closure edges have been created. The first steps of the execution of this algorithm on the Hurwitz tree of Figure 3(b) are given in Figure 10 in the Appendix.

Proposition 5.1. Steps 2-3 of FastRandomQuad are equivalent to Steps 2-5 of RandomQuad. Moreover FastRandomQuad can be implemented to work in linear time and space with respect to the size \( n \) of the constructed increasing quadrangulation.

Proof. The equivalence is a direct consequence of the previous discussion: FastRandomQuad exactly performs the subset of the stack operations performed by RandomQuad that concern half-edges whose closure yield faces of degree 4. This implies that a white half-edge is indeed encountered twice at some point, and that FastRandomQuad stops and produces an increasing quadrangulation. To check that FastRandomQuad works in linear time we observe that less than \( n \) closure edges are produced, and that Step (a) is performed at most \( 2n \) times because RandomQuad visits at most twice each edge side. \( \square \)
6  End of the proof of Theorem 3.3 and 4.1.

To conclude the proof we only need to understand why the increasing quadrangulation $Q$ produced by $\text{RandomQuad}$ from a tree $T$ is such that $\Phi(Q) = T$. But this follows immediately from the alternative description given by $\text{FastRandomQuad}$. Indeed Step 2 only adds to the tree $T$ closure edges that turn clockwise around $T$ when oriented from their black to their white endpoint: orienting one of the final edges $e$ toward the extra vertex $x_n$, and all the edges of the tree toward $e$, we can apply the uniqueness condition of Theorem 3.1.1 to conclude.

\[ \square \]

**Corollary 6.1.** The numbers of indexed increasing quadrangulations of size $n$, of minimal transitive factorizations of the identity in $S_n$, and of simple branched coverings of degree $n$ of the sphere by itself, are $n^{-3/2}(2n-2)!$, and all these objects can be generated uniformly at random in linear time.

7  Application to the study of large random increasing quadrangulations

From a probabilistic and quantum gravity perspective, the main concern is to understand the geometry of natural discrete models of random surfaces. In order to compare our approach to the existing literature, let $X_n$ (resp. $Y_n$) denote a uniform random increasing (resp. planar) quadrangulation with $2n - 2$ faces and let $d_{X_n}(\cdot, \cdot)$ be the graph distance on vertices of $X_n$.

It is known that the expected distance $\Delta_{Y_n}$ between two uniform random vertices of $Y_n$ is of order $n^{1/4}$. More precisely, as $n$ goes to infinity the random variable $\Delta_{Y_n} n^{-1/4}$ converges in law to a continuous positive random variable $D$. The analog question is unsettled for increasing quadrangulations and numerical simulation was out of reach with previous approaches. Our linear time algorithm makes it possible to check experimentally the hypothesis that the distances $\Delta_{X_n} n^{-1/4}$ converge to the same limit.

In the case of $Y_n$, much more precise results have been obtained in the recent years. In particular upon setting the edge length to $n^{-1/4}$, the random uniform quadrangulation $Y_n$ converges as a metric space to a continuum limit, the Brownian map, which is a random space with the topology of the sphere [13, 15, 14].

**Conjecture 1.** The pair $(X_n, n^{-1/4}d_{X_n})$ converges to the Brownian map in the sense of [13, 15, 14].

In other terms we conjecture that large increasing quadrangulations behave very much like large unlabelled quadrangulations. This should be understood as a statement analogous to the well known statement that both random uniform binary trees and uniform random Cayley trees, although quite different at a discrete level, converge upon rescaling edge length to a same continuum limit, the continuum random tree (CRT), when their size go to infinity.

Proving the above convergence would be a remarkable achievement as it would on the one hand give a strong support to the belief of the community that the Brownian map is a new universal limit object, in the same sense as the Brownian motion or the CRT, and on the other hand it would make more precise the connection between the realm of branched coverings and Hurwitz numbers, and that of quantum gravity.

The bijection between Hurwitz trees and increasing quadrangulations that we propose in the present paper can be seen as labelled counterparts to the bijections between plane trees and families of maps that are the basic building blocks of the approach that culminated with [13, 15, 14]. Hopefully they can lead to a proof of the above conjecture.
Figure 10: Execution of FastRandomQuad on the Hurwitz tree of Figure 3(b). At each step, the current edge is the bold green one, and the created (half-)edge is the thin green one.
8 Branched coverings of the sphere by itself

We give here for completeness a definition of branched coverings, but refer again to [12] for a gentle introduction to the topological and combinatorial aspects of their mathematical theory.

A covering of degree \( n \) of a surface \( I \) by another surface \( D \) is a mapping \( \phi : D \to I \) such that each value \( y \) of \( I \) has \( n \) preimages, and each point \( x \) of \( D \) has a neighborhood \( V_x \) such that \( \phi \) is an homeomorphism from \( V_x \) to \( \phi(V_x) \). A branched covering of degree \( n \) of the sphere by itself is a mapping from \( S^2 \) to itself such that there is a finite set of values \( Y = \{y_1, \ldots, y_m\} \subset S^2 \) such that \( \phi^{-1}(y) \) is a covering of degree \( n \) and for every \( x \) in \( \phi^{-1}(Y) \) there is an integer \( k \), an open neighborhood \( V_x \) of \( x \) and two homeomorphisms \( h : \mathbb{C} \to V_x \) and \( h' : \phi(V_x) \to \mathbb{C} \) such that \( h' \circ \phi \circ h \) is the mapping \( z \to z^k \) of the complex plane. In this case the preimage \( x \) is said to have order \( k \). A preimage with order 1 is a regular point. By continuity the sum of the orders of all the preimages of a value \( y \) by \( \phi \) has to be \( n \), and the multiset of these orders is called the type of the critical value \( y \). A critical value \( y \) is said to be simple if all its preimages but one are regular and the only non regular one has order 2: equivalently a critical value is simple if its type is \( 1^n - 2 \). A simple branched covering is a branched covering whose critical values are all simple.

In order to dispose of symmetry problems we follow the approach of Hurwitz: we fix a regular value and label its preimage with integers 1 to the degree. Finally we consider these coverings up to homeomorphisms of the sphere. The resulting equivalence classes are the simple branched coverings considered by Hurwitz in Theorem 1.1, for which he gave the quoted formula.

In his work Hurwitz also considered more generally the case where one critical value is non simple, of type \( \lambda = \ell_1^{a_1} \ldots \ell_n^{a_n} \) (where \( \ell_i \) denotes the number of preimages of order \( i \)). In terms of permutations, these almost simple coverings correspond to minimal transitive factorizations into transpositions of a permutation with cycle type \( \lambda \). Hurwitz provided also a formula for their number, and our approach extends almost directly to prove this general formula.
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