Module 2.2: Models of Programming Languages

Exam, parts II and III

There are 5 exercises gathered in 3 parts which are independent of each other. Part (a) and (b) will be corrected by Thomas Ehrhard, Part (c) will be corrected by Michele Pagani. Try to answer some questions in the three parts, but you are free to invest more time in one part than in the others, depending on your feeling and strengths.

We expect from you a personal work. You can use all the documents provided during the lecture (lecture notes, slides, exercise sheets). You can write in French and in English.

You must submit your solutions in an electronic format (pdf, jpeg, png etc) by email to both ehrhard@irif.fr and pagani@irif.fr, strictly before 11 :50 am this morning (Tue Mar 9th, 2021). The email must have as object "MPRI EXAM : MODULE 2-02 [yourname]".

a) Lists in the relational model of linear logic

Exercise 1 :

Remember that Rel is the category of sets and relations, which is a model of linear logic. All the objects and morphisms in this exercise are in Rel.

Let \( L \) be the set \( \mathbb{N}^{<\omega} \) of finite sequences of integers and \( N = \mathbb{N} \), considered as objects of Rel (the category of sets and relations). We write \( (n_1, \ldots, n_k) \) for an empty sequence of length \( k \), \( \langle \rangle \) for the empty sequence and we set \( n@\langle n_1, \ldots, n_k \rangle = \langle n, n_1, \ldots, n_k \rangle \). Remember that, in Rel, the object \( 1 \) is the set \( \{ * \} \).

1. Let \( \theta \in \text{Rel}(L, 1 \oplus (N \otimes L)) \) be defined as

\[
\theta = \{ (\langle \rangle, (1, *)) \} \cup \{ (n@s, (2, (n, s))) \mid n \in N \text{ and } s \in L \}.
\]

Prove that \( \theta \) is an isomorphism in Rel, that is, that \( \theta \) is (the graph of) a bijection.

2. Let \( E \) be a set and let \( f \in \text{Rel}(1 \oplus (N \otimes E), E) \). We define a sequence \( f_k \) of elements of \( \text{Rel}(L, E) \) by induction on \( k \) as follows

\[
f_0 = \emptyset
\]

\[
f_{k+1} = \{ (\langle \rangle, e) \mid (1, *), e) \in f \} \cup \{ (n@s, e) \mid \exists e' \in E \text{ such that } ((2, (n, e')), e) \in f \text{ and } (s, e') \in f_k \}
\]

Prove that \( \forall k \in \mathbb{N} \; f_k \subseteq f_{k+1} \). We set \( \tilde{f} = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} f_k \in \text{Rel}(L, E) \).

3. Prove that the following diagram is commutative in Rel

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
L & \xrightarrow{f} & E \\
\downarrow{\theta} & & \downarrow{f} \\
1 \oplus (N \otimes L) & \xrightarrow{f' = 1 \oplus (N \otimes \tilde{f})} & 1 \oplus (N \otimes E)
\end{array}
\]

where \( f' \) is obtained by applying the functor \( 1 \oplus (N \otimes -) \) to \( \tilde{f} \), that is

\[
f' = \{ (1, *), (1, *) \} \cup \{ ((2, (n, s)), (2, (n, e))) \mid n \in N \text{ and } (s, e) \in \tilde{f} \}.
\]

4. Prove that \( \tilde{f} \) is the only element of \( \text{Rel}(L, E) \) such that the diagram above is commutative. In other word, prove that if \( g \in \text{Rel}(L, E) \) satisfies

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
L & \xrightarrow{g} & E \\
\downarrow{\theta} & & \downarrow{f} \\
1 \oplus (N \otimes L) & \xrightarrow{1 \oplus (N \otimes g)} & 1 \oplus (N \otimes E)
\end{array}
\]

then \( g = \tilde{f} \). [Hint : assuming the commutation above, prove by induction on (the length of) \( s \in L \) that, for any \( e \in E \), one has \( (s, e) \in g \) iff \( (s, e) \in \tilde{f} \).]
5. If \( m \) is a multiset and \( k \in \mathbb{N} \), we set \( km = \underbrace{m + \cdots + m}_k \). We define a morphism \( a \in \text{Rel}(1 \oplus (\mathbb{N} \otimes !L), !L) \) by

\[
a = \{((1, s), k[s]) \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\}
\]

\[
\cup \{((2, (n, [s_1, \ldots, s_k])), [n@s_1, \ldots, n@s_k]) \mid n, k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } s_1, \ldots, s_k \in L\}.
\]

By the construction above, there is a unique \( h_L = \hat{a} \in \text{Rel}(L, !L) \) such that

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
1 \oplus (\mathbb{N} \otimes L) & \xrightarrow{1 \oplus (\mathbb{N} \otimes h_n)} & 1 \oplus (\mathbb{N} \otimes !L) \\
\rotatebox{90}{$\scriptstyle a$} & & \rotatebox{90}{$\scriptstyle h_L$} \\
\end{array}
\]

Prove that

\[
h_L = \{(s, k[s]) \mid k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } s \in L\}.
\]

b) **Computing the denotation of a probabilistic term**

In this section, we consider the category \( \text{Pcoh} \) of probabilistic coherence spaces (PCS) and analytic maps between PCS. We recall that \( \text{Pcoh} \) is a model of probabilistic PCF and it is the Kleisli category associated with the ! comonad of the category \( \text{Pcoh} \) of PCS and linear morphisms between PCS.

**Exercise 2:**

Consider the following PCF terms:

\[
T = \text{if}(x, \text{if}(x, y, z \cdot \mathbf{0}), z \cdot \text{if}(x, 1, w \cdot y))
\]

\[
U = \lambda x. \text{fix}(\lambda y. T)
\]

1. Give a type derivation of \( \vdash U : \iota \Rightarrow \iota \).
2. Suppose \( v, u \in \mathbb{PN} \), compute the value of \( \overline{T}_{x,y,z}(v, u) \). (It can be convenient to use the notation \( v_{>0} \) for the scalar \( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} v_n \).)
3. Let \( \varphi_v = \overline{\mathcal{U}}(v) \). Prove that \( \varphi_v = \overline{T}_{x,y,z}(v, \varphi_v) \).
4. Suppose \( v_0 + v_{>0} = 1 \) and \( v_0 v_{>0} > 0 \). By using the recursive equation above, compute \( \overline{U}(v) \).
5. In the case \( v_0 = 1 \) or \( v_{>0} = 1 \) what is the value of \( \overline{U}(v) \)?
6. Deduce a specification for the operational behaviour of the term \( U \).

c) **Extending pPCF with a type for lists**

We recall that \( \mathbb{N}^{<\omega} \) is the set of finite sequences of natural numbers, the writing \( \langle n_1, \ldots, n_k \rangle \) denoting a sequence of length \( k \), and \( \langle \rangle \) being the empty sequence. The metavariable \( s \) will always range over \( \mathbb{N}^{<\omega} \).

Given \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( \langle n_1, \ldots, n_k \rangle \in \mathbb{N}^{<\omega} \), we denote by \( n@\langle n_1, \ldots, n_k \rangle \) the sequence \( \langle n, n_1, \ldots, n_k \rangle \).

Consider the extension of pPCF with the ground type List for the set of finite sequences of natural numbers and the new operators presented in Figure 1 with the associated typing rules 1a and operational semantics 1b. In particular, \( \_ \) is the constant of pPCF associated with a sequence \( s \), the writing \( :: \) denotes the append operation over pPCF terms of suitable type and a further conditional \( \text{iff} \) is introduced, allowing a pattern matching and a decomposition for non-empty sequences. Notice that the definition of the stochastic matrix \( \text{Red} \) (and hence of \( \text{Red}^{\infty} \)) can be also extended to encompass these new operations by following the rules of Figure 1b.
\[ s \in \mathbb{N}_{<\omega} \]
\[ \Gamma \vdash s : \text{List} \quad \Gamma \vdash M : t \quad \Gamma \vdash N : \text{List} \]
\[ \Gamma \vdash (M :: N) : \text{List} \]
\[ \Gamma \vdash P : \text{List} \quad \Gamma \vdash Q : A \quad \Gamma, x : t, y : \text{List} \vdash R : A \]
\[ \Gamma \vdash \text{ifl}(P, Q, x \cdot y \cdot R) : A \]

(a) The new typing rules: notice that \( x \cdot y \cdot R \) is a binder in \( \text{ifl}(P, Q, x \cdot y \cdot R) \) for the free variables \( x, y \) of \( R \).

\[ \begin{array}{c}
\frac{(n :: s)}{M \to N} \\
(M :: P) \to (n :: P) \\
\frac{M \to P}{N} \\
(n :: M) \to (n :: N)
\end{array} \]

\[ \begin{array}{c}
\frac{\text{ifl}((\langle \rangle, P, x \cdot y \cdot R))}{P} \\
\frac{\text{ifl}(n \circ s, P, x \cdot y \cdot R) \to R[n/x, s/y]}{(n :: s) \to n \circ s}
\end{array} \]

(b) The new reduction rules extending the \( pPCF \) reduction relation.

\[ [\text{List}] = ([|\cdot|, PL] \]
\[ \hat{[s]}_{\Gamma}(\bar{v}) = e_s \]
\[ \hat{[M :: N]}_{\Gamma}(\bar{v}) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}, s \in \mathbb{N}_{<\omega}} [M]_{\Gamma}(\bar{v})_n [N]_{\Gamma}(\bar{v})_s e_n s \]
\[ \hat{[\text{ifl}(P, Q, x \cdot y \cdot R)]}_{\Gamma}(\bar{v}) = \hat{[P]}_{\Gamma}((\bar{v})_n) \hat{[Q]}_{\Gamma}(\bar{v}) + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}, s \in \mathbb{N}_{<\omega}} [P]_{\Gamma}(\bar{v})_n [R]_{\Gamma}(\bar{v})_{x \cdot y \cdot \text{List}}(\bar{v}, e_n, e_s) \]

(c) The extension of the \( \text{Pcoh} \) denotational model of \( pPCF \) for modelling the new primitives, where \( \bar{v} \in P[\Gamma] \).

Figure 1 – The extension of \( pPCF \) with new primitives manipulating finite sequences of natural numbers.
The new ground type List is interpreted in \( \text{Pcoh} \) by endowing the \( \text{Rel} \) object \( L \) of finite sequences of natural numbers with the PCS of subprobability distributions, that is:

\[
|L| = \mathbb{N}^{<\omega},\quad \text{PL} = \left\{ u \in [0,1]^{\mathbb{N}^{<\omega}}; \sum_{s \in \mathbb{N}^{<\omega}} u_s \leq 1 \right\}
\]

Figure 1c gives the functional characterisation of the denotation of the new primitives of \( \text{pPCF} \) in \( \text{Pcoh} \), where we recall that, for any sequence \( s \in \mathbb{N}^{<\omega} \), \( e_s \) is the vector in PL giving 1 to \( s \) and zero to any other sequence.

**Exercise 3**:
Consider the hom-set \( \text{Pcoh}(L, 1 \oplus (N \otimes L)) \) of linear morphisms between the PCSs \( L \) and \( 1 \oplus (N \otimes L) \). Prove that the matrix \( \text{mat}(\theta) \) generated by the relational isomorphism \( \theta \) discussed in Exercise 1 is an isomorphism in \( \text{Pcoh}(L, 1 \oplus (N \otimes L)) \).

The goal of the next exercises is to prove the adequacy Theorem of \( \text{Pcoh} \) for this extension of \( \text{pPCF} \) with List. The idea is to adapt the technique of logical relations for standard \( \text{pPCF} \). We first extend the definition of logical relation we have considered in the lecture notes with the relation \( R_{\text{List}} \subseteq \text{PL} \times \Lambda_{\text{List}}^\infty \) :

\[
u R_{\text{List}} M \text{ iff } \forall s \in \mathbb{N}^{<\omega}, u_s \leq \text{Red}(\text{List})_{M,s}^\infty
\]

**Exercise 4**:
An auxiliary lemma convenient for the logical relation technique is the following statement \(^1\):

\((*)\) For every closed terms \( M \) of type \( i \) and \( P \) of type List, we have:

\[
\text{Red}(i)_M \subseteq \text{Red}(\text{List})_{P,i}^\infty \leq \text{Red}(\text{List})_{(M:P),n \in \mathbb{N}}^\infty
\]

Prove the above inequality. [Hint: one can prove that for any \( k, h \in \mathbb{N} \), \( \text{Red}(i)_M^k \subseteq \text{Red}(\text{List})_{P,i}^h \leq \text{Red}(\text{List})_{(M:P),n \in \mathbb{N}}^\infty \). The proof can be developed by induction on \( k + h \).]

**Exercise 5**:
The key lemma of a logical relation is the so-called interpretation Lemma, stating that for all \( \Gamma \vdash M : A \) with \( \Gamma = x_1 : A_1, \ldots, x_k : A_k \), for all closed terms \( N_i \) of type \( A_i \), for all vectors \( u_i \in \text{P}(\mathbb{N}) \) such that \( u_i \mathcal{R}_{A_i} N_i \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, k \), one has:

\[
\overline{[M]^{\Gamma}(u_1, \ldots, u_k)} \mathcal{R}_{A} M[N_1/x_1, \ldots, N_k/x_k]. \tag{1}
\]

The proof of this lemma is by structural induction on the type derivation of \( \Gamma \vdash M : A \). Detail the cases of this inductive proof for the three new typing rules of Figure 1a.

In addition to the inequality \((*)\) of Exercise 4 you can also use (without proving it) the following inequality, for any type judgments \( \vdash M : \text{List}, \vdash P : A \) and \( x : i, y : \text{List} \vdash R : A \):

\((**)\) for all closed value \( V \) of type \( A \),

\[
\text{Red}(\text{List})_{(M,P),n \in \mathbb{N}}^\infty \subseteq \text{Red}(A)_{R_{[x/y],V}}^\infty + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{s \in \mathbb{N}^{<\omega}} \text{Red}(\text{List})_{M,n \in \mathbb{N}}^\infty \text{Red}(A)_{R_{[s/x,y]}}^\infty \leq \text{Red}(A)_{R_{[M,P,x/y,R],V}}^\infty
\]

\(^1\) Actually also the inverse inequality of \((*)\) holds, but it is not necessary for the proof of the adequacy.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rule</th>
<th>Derivation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( x: \epsilon, y: \epsilon \vdash x: \epsilon )</td>
<td>( x: \epsilon )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( x: \epsilon, y: \epsilon \vdash y: \epsilon )</td>
<td>( x: \epsilon )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( x: \epsilon, y: \epsilon, z: \epsilon \vdash \Omega: \epsilon )</td>
<td>( x: \epsilon, y: \epsilon, z: \epsilon \vdash \Omega: \epsilon )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( x: \epsilon, y: \epsilon, z: \epsilon \vdash \text{if}(x, y, z \cdot \Omega): \epsilon )</td>
<td>( x: \epsilon, y: \epsilon, z: \epsilon \vdash \text{if}(x, y, z \cdot \Omega): \epsilon )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( x: \epsilon, y: \epsilon \vdash \text{if}(x, y, z \cdot \Omega): \epsilon )</td>
<td>( x: \epsilon, y: \epsilon \vdash \text{if}(x, y, z \cdot \Omega): \epsilon )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( x: \epsilon, y: \epsilon, z: \epsilon \vdash \text{if}(x, y, z \cdot \Omega): \epsilon )</td>
<td>( x: \epsilon, y: \epsilon, z: \epsilon \vdash \text{if}(x, y, z \cdot \Omega): \epsilon )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( x: \epsilon, y: \epsilon \vdash T: \epsilon \)

\( x: \epsilon \vdash \lambda y^1 T: \epsilon \Rightarrow \epsilon \)

\( x: \epsilon \vdash \text{fix}(\lambda y^1 T): \epsilon \)

\( \vdash U: \epsilon \Rightarrow \epsilon \)