

# Finite $\beta$ -expansions and bounded remainder sets

Maria Rita Iacò  
(joint work with W. Steiner and R.F. Tichy)

Graz University of Technology

FAN workshop Fractals and Numeration  
Admont 10.06.2015

Let  $\beta > 1$  be a real number. The  $\beta$ -transformation is defined by

$$T_\beta : x \mapsto \beta x - \lfloor \beta x \rfloor ,$$

where  $\lfloor x \rfloor$  is the largest integer not exceeding  $x$ . By iterating this map and taking  $\epsilon_i = \lfloor \beta T_\beta^{i-1}(x) \rfloor$ , we obtain the greedy expansion of  $x$ :

$$x = \frac{\epsilon_1}{\beta} + \frac{\epsilon_2}{\beta^2} + \cdots = 0.\epsilon_1\epsilon_2\epsilon_3 \dots .$$

Let  $\beta > 1$  be a real number. The  $\beta$ -transformation is defined by

$$T_\beta : x \mapsto \beta x - \lfloor \beta x \rfloor ,$$

where  $\lfloor x \rfloor$  is the largest integer not exceeding  $x$ . By iterating this map and taking  $\epsilon_i = \lfloor \beta T_\beta^{i-1}(x) \rfloor$ , we obtain the greedy expansion of  $x$ :

$$x = \frac{\epsilon_1}{\beta} + \frac{\epsilon_2}{\beta^2} + \cdots = 0.\epsilon_1\epsilon_2\epsilon_3 \dots$$

- $\text{Fin}(\beta)$  denotes the set consisting of all finite  $\beta$ -expansions.

- $\beta$  has the finiteness property (F) if

$$\text{Fin}(\beta) = \mathbb{Z}[\beta^{-1}] \cap \mathbb{R}_+$$

- $\beta$  has the finiteness property (F) if

$$\text{Fin}(\beta) = \mathbb{Z}[\beta^{-1}] \cap \mathbb{R}_+$$

- Frougny and Solomyak in 1992 proved that if  $\beta$  is the positive root of the polynomial

$$p(x) = x^m - a_1x^{m-1} - \dots - a_m ,$$

where  $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \dots \geq a_m > 0$ , then  $\beta$  is Pisot and (F) holds.

- $\beta$  has the finiteness property (F) if

$$\text{Fin}(\beta) = \mathbb{Z}[\beta^{-1}] \cap \mathbb{R}_+$$

- Frougny and Solomyak in 1992 proved that if  $\beta$  is the positive root of the polynomial

$$p(x) = x^m - a_1x^{m-1} - \dots - a_m ,$$

where  $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \dots \geq a_m > 0$ , then  $\beta$  is Pisot and (F) holds.

- Hollander in 1996 proved that if

$$a_1 > a_2 + \dots + a_m$$

then  $\beta$  is Pisot and (F) holds.

- Akiyama in 2000 proved that if  $\beta$  is a cubic Pisot number. Then  $\beta$  has property (F) if and only if it is a root of

$$x^3 - ax^2 - bx - 1 = 0;$$

$$a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, a \geq 0 \text{ and } -1 \leq b \leq a + 1$$

- Akiyama in 2000 proved that if  $\beta$  is a cubic Pisot number. Then  $\beta$  has property (F) if and only if it is a root of

$$x^3 - ax^2 - bx - 1 = 0;$$

$$a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, a \geq 0 \text{ and } -1 \leq b \leq a + 1$$

- In general,  $\beta$  Pisot  $\not\Rightarrow$  (F)



- Akiyama in 2000 proved that if  $\beta$  is a cubic Pisot number. Then  $\beta$  has property (F) if and only if it is a root of

$$x^3 - ax^2 - bx - 1 = 0;$$

$$a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, a \geq 0 \text{ and } -1 \leq b \leq a + 1$$

- In general,  $\beta$  Pisot  $\not\Rightarrow$  (F)
- GOAL: Give a sufficient condition for (F)

Let  $G = (G_n)_{n \geq 0}$  be an increasing sequence of positive integers with  $G_0 = 1$ . Then every positive integer can be expanded in the following way

$$n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon_k(n) G_k ,$$

where  $\varepsilon_k(n) \in \{0, \dots, \lceil G_{k+1}/G_k \rceil - 1\}$  and  $\lceil x \rceil$  denotes the smallest integer not less than  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Let  $G = (G_n)_{n \geq 0}$  be an increasing sequence of positive integers with  $G_0 = 1$ . Then every positive integer can be expanded in the following way

$$n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon_k(n) G_k ,$$

where  $\varepsilon_k(n) \in \{0, \dots, \lceil G_{k+1}/G_k \rceil - 1\}$  and  $\lceil x \rceil$  denotes the smallest integer not less than  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . This expansion is uniquely determined and finite, provided that for every  $K$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \varepsilon_k(n) G_k < G_K. \quad (1)$$

Let  $G = (G_n)_{n \geq 0}$  be an increasing sequence of positive integers with  $G_0 = 1$ . Then every positive integer can be expanded in the following way

$$n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon_k(n) G_k ,$$

where  $\varepsilon_k(n) \in \{0, \dots, \lceil G_{k+1}/G_k \rceil - 1\}$  and  $\lceil x \rceil$  denotes the smallest integer not less than  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . This expansion is uniquely determined and finite, provided that for every  $K$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \varepsilon_k(n) G_k < G_K. \quad (1)$$

$\mathcal{K}_G$  the subset of sequences satisfying (1) and its elements are called  $G$ -admissible.

Let  $(G_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be generated by a finite linear recurrence of order  $d + 1$

$$G_{n+d+1} = a_0 G_{n+d} + a_1 G_{n+d-1} + \cdots + (a_d + 1) G_n, \quad n \geq 0,$$

with positive coefficients and initial values

$$G_0 = 1, \quad G_{n+1} = \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n-k} G_k + 1.$$

Let  $(G_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be generated by a finite linear recurrence of order  $d + 1$

$$G_{n+d+1} = a_0 G_{n+d} + a_1 G_{n+d-1} + \cdots + (a_d + 1) G_n, \quad n \geq 0,$$

with positive coefficients and initial values

$$G_0 = 1, \quad G_{n+1} = \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n-k} G_k + 1.$$

## Hypothesis B (Grabner-Liardet-Tichy 1995)

*There exists an integer  $b > 0$  such that for all  $k$  and*

$$N = \sum_{i=0}^k \epsilon_i(N) G_i + \sum_{j=k+b+2}^{\infty} \epsilon_j(N) G_j,$$

*the addition of  $G_m$  to  $N$ , where  $m \geq k + b + 2$ , does not change the first  $k + 1$  digits in the greedy representation i.e.*

$$N + G_m = \sum_{i=0}^k \epsilon_i(N) G_i + \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} \epsilon_j(N + G_m) G_j.$$

We want to show that Hypothesis B implies (F).

We want to show that Hypothesis B implies (F). Let

$$n = \sum_{j \geq 0} \epsilon_j(n) G_j$$

be the  $G$ -expansion of an integer  $n$ . We define the  $\beta$ -adic Monna map  $\phi_\beta: \mathcal{K}_G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  as

$$\phi_\beta(n) = \phi_\beta \left( \sum_{j \geq 0} \epsilon_j(n) G_j \right) = \sum_{j \geq 0} \epsilon_j(n) \beta^{-j-1} ,$$

where  $\beta$  is the Perron root of the characteristic polynomial

$$x^d = a_0 x^{d-1} + \dots + a_{d-1}$$

associated to the numeration system  $G$ .



## Theorem

*Hypothesis B*  $\implies (F)$

## Theorem

*Hypothesis*  $B \implies (F)$

Sketch of the proof.

## Theorem

*Hypothesis*  $B \implies (F)$

Sketch of the proof.

Assume that

$$\mathbb{Z}[\beta^{-1}] \cap \mathbb{R}_+ \not\subseteq \text{Fin}(\beta) .$$

## Theorem

*Hypothesis B*  $\implies (F)$

Sketch of the proof.

Assume that

$$\mathbb{Z}[\beta^{-1}] \cap \mathbb{R}_+ \not\subseteq \text{Fin}(\beta) .$$

It can be proved that this is equivalent to  $\mathbb{Z}_+[\beta^{-1}] \not\subseteq \text{Fin}(\beta)$ .

## Theorem

*Hypothesis B*  $\implies (F)$

Sketch of the proof.

Assume that

$$\mathbb{Z}[\beta^{-1}] \cap \mathbb{R}_+ \not\subseteq \text{Fin}(\beta) .$$

It can be proved that this is equivalent to  $\mathbb{Z}_+[\beta^{-1}] \not\subseteq \text{Fin}(\beta)$ . Thus there exists an  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_+[\beta^{-1}] \cap \text{Fin}(\beta)$  such that  $x + 1 \notin \text{Fin}(\beta)$ .

## Theorem

Hypothesis  $B \implies (F)$

Sketch of the proof.

Assume that

$$\mathbb{Z}[\beta^{-1}] \cap \mathbb{R}_+ \not\subseteq \text{Fin}(\beta) .$$

It can be proved that this is equivalent to  $\mathbb{Z}_+[\beta^{-1}] \not\subseteq \text{Fin}(\beta)$ . Thus there exists an  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_+[\beta^{-1}] \cap \text{Fin}(\beta)$  such that  $x + 1 \notin \text{Fin}(\beta)$ . Let

$$y = \epsilon_{-n}\beta^{-n} + \cdots + \epsilon_0 + \epsilon_1 + \cdots + \epsilon_k\beta^k , \quad \epsilon_i \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \epsilon_{-n} \neq 0$$

be the minimal element in  $\mathbb{Z}_+[\beta^{-1}]$  such that  $y \notin \text{Fin}(\beta)$ . This implies

$$\begin{aligned} x = y - 1 &= \epsilon_{-n}\beta^{-n} + \cdots + (\epsilon_0 - 1) + \epsilon_1 + \cdots + \epsilon_k\beta^k \\ &= \delta_{-m}\beta^{-m} + \cdots + \delta_0 + \cdots + \delta_l\beta^l \in \text{Fin}(\beta) . \end{aligned}$$

Take  $N = \beta^m x$ . Then  $N = (\eta_0 \dots \eta_m \dots \eta_{m+l})$ .

Wlog, we can assume that there exists  $b > 0$  such that

$$N = (\eta_0 \dots \eta_k 0^{(b+1)} \eta_{k+b+2} \dots \eta_{m+l}) .$$

Then for Hypothesis B the addition by  $G_m$  does not affects the first  $k$  digits of  $N$ , but

$$N + G_m = \beta^m x + \beta^m = \beta^m ((\eta_0 + 1) \eta_1 \dots \eta_k 0^{(b+1)} \eta_{k+b+2} \dots \eta_{m+l}) ,$$

leading to a contradiction.

## Theorem (Hofer-I.-Tichy)

Let  $G^1, \dots, G^s$  be numeration systems given by

$$G_{n+d}^1 = b_1(G_{n+d-1} + \dots + G_n), \quad n \geq d,$$

$$G_{n+d}^2 = b_2(G_{n+d-1} + \dots + G_n), \quad n \geq d,$$

$$\vdots$$

$$G_{n+d}^s = b_s(G_{n+d-1} + \dots + G_n), \quad n \geq d,$$

with pairwise coprime, positive integers  $b_i$ . Furthermore let  $\frac{\beta_i^k}{\beta_j^l} \notin \mathbb{Q}$ , for all  $i, k \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_s$  denote the characteristic roots of the numerations systems. Then

$$((\mathcal{K}_{G^1}, \tau_1) \times \dots \times (\mathcal{K}_{G^s}, \tau_s)),$$

is uniquely ergodic.



- The  $\beta$ -adic Halton sequence is given as

$$(\phi_{\beta}(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = (\phi_{\beta_1}(n), \dots, \phi_{\beta_s}(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}} ,$$

where  $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_s)$  and it is u.d. in  $[0, 1]^s$

- The  $\beta$ -adic Halton sequence is given as

$$(\phi_{\beta}(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = (\phi_{\beta_1}(n), \dots, \phi_{\beta_s}(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}} ,$$

where  $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_s)$  and it is u.d. in  $[0, 1]^s$

- A sequence  $(\mathbf{x}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $[0, 1]^s$  is u. d. mod 1 if

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbf{1}_I(\mathbf{x}_n) = \lambda_s(I)$$

for all  $s$ -dimensional intervals  $I \subseteq [0, 1]^s$ .

- The  $\beta$ -adic Halton sequence is given as

$$(\phi_{\beta}(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = (\phi_{\beta_1}(n), \dots, \phi_{\beta_s}(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}},$$

where  $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_s)$  and it is u.d. in  $[0, 1]^s$

- A sequence  $(\mathbf{x}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $[0, 1]^s$  is u. d. mod 1 if

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbf{1}_I(\mathbf{x}_n) = \lambda_s(I)$$

for all  $s$ -dimensional intervals  $I \subseteq [0, 1]^s$ .

- A natural measure of the uniformity of a finite sequence  $(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N)$  is the discrepancy, defined by

$$D_N = D_N(\mathbf{x}_n) = D_N(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N) = \sup_{I \subseteq [0, 1]^s} \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbf{1}_I(\mathbf{x}_n) - \lambda_s(I) \right|.$$

- W. M. Schmidt in 1974 showed that, for any sequence, the discrepancy can never remain bounded as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ .

- W. M. Schmidt in 1974 showed that, for any sequence, the discrepancy can never remain bounded as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ .
- Steiner in 2006 proved that if  $\beta$  is a Pisot number with irreducible  $\beta$ -polynomial, then  $D(N, [0, y))$  is bounded (in  $N$ ) for  $y \in [0, 1)$  if and only if the  $\beta$ -expansion of  $y$  is finite or its tail is the same as that of the expansion of 1 with respect to  $\beta$ .

## Theorem (I.-Steiner-Tichy)

*The  $s$ -dimensional box anchored at the origin  $I = \prod_{i=1}^s [0, y_i)$  is a BRS for the  $\beta$ -adic Halton sequence  $(\phi_\beta(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  if and only if every  $y_i$  is a  $\beta$ -adic rational.*

## Theorem (I.-Steiner-Tichy)

*The  $s$ -dimensional box anchored at the origin  $I = \prod_{i=1}^s [0, y_i)$  is a BRS for the  $\beta$ -adic Halton sequence  $(\phi_\beta(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  if and only if every  $y_i$  is a  $\beta$ -adic rational.*

## Theorem

*Let  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  be a probability space and let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a measure preserving transformation. Then, for any  $f \in L^2(\mu)$ ,*

$$\sup_N \left\| \left( \sum_{n=1}^N f \circ T^n \right) \right\|_2 < \infty \iff \exists g \in L^2(\mu) : f = g - g \circ T \in L^2(\mu) .$$