

# Corrigendum: Decidable Bounded Quantification

Giuseppe Castagna\*

Benjamin C. Pierce †

At POPL 1994, we presented a paper on “Decidable Bounded Quantification” [CP94]. Sadly, subsequent discussion on the *Types* mailing list [G94,P94] has revealed that, while strictly speaking our stated results were correct, the central idea was fundamentally flawed.

System  $F_{\leq}$ , the second-order  $\lambda$ -calculus of bounded quantification, was invented by Cardelli and Wegner (see [CP94] for background and references). The standard formulation, due to Curien and Ghelli, includes the following rule for comparing polymorphic types:

$$(\forall\text{-orig}) \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash T_1 \leq S_1 \quad \Gamma, X \leq T_1 \vdash S_2 \leq T_2}{\Gamma \vdash \forall(X \leq S_1)S_2 \leq \forall(X \leq T_1)T_2}$$

This rule turns out to be responsible for the loss of numerous desirable syntactic properties, including the decidability of the subtyping relation. In [CP94], we proposed to replace it by the following simpler rule,

$$(\forall\text{-top}) \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash T_1 \leq S_1 \quad \Gamma, X \leq \text{Top} \vdash S_2 \leq T_2}{\Gamma \vdash \forall(X \leq S_1)S_2 \leq \forall(X \leq T_1)T_2}$$

and proved that the resulting subtyping relation satisfies many desirable properties. Since difficulties with  $F_{\leq}$  are traditionally associated with the subtyping relation, we assumed that the usual, straightforward treatment of the typing relation would carry over. It does not.

The new system lacks the *minimal typing* property, i.e., the set of all types inhabited by a given term may not contain a least element. This can be seen by the following example, due to Ghelli. Let  $a$  be the term  $\equiv \Lambda X \leq Y. \lambda x:X. x$ . One can prove that  $a$  has both the type  $\forall(X \leq Y)X \rightarrow X$  and the type  $\forall(X \leq Y)X \rightarrow Y$ . But these types are incomparable and have no common lower bound. An immediate consequence is that the “standard” typing algorithm for the new calculus (the same as for  $F_{\leq}$ , but using the new subtype relation)

\*LIENS(CNRS) 45, rue d’Ulm Paris, France

†LFCS, Univ. of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, U.K.

Permission of copy all or part of this material is granted provided that the copies are not made or distributed for direct commercial advantage, the ACM copyright notice and the title of the publication and its date appear, and notice is given that copying is by permission of the Association for Computing Machinery. To copy otherwise, or to republish requires a fee and/or specific permission

is correct but not complete, since, for example, it will deduce the first type for  $a$ , but not the second one. One might also consider redefining the typechecking relation so that only the first type for  $a$  is allowed — effectively establishing completeness of the typeing algorithm by fiat; but this loses subject reduction.

The decidability of typechecking for  $F_{\leq}$  with  $\forall$ -top remains an open problem. But even if the system were decidable (for example, by an algorithm computing finite sets of minimal types), it seems unlikely that such an algorithm could be acceptably efficient. Consider the contexts  $\Gamma = \dots, D \leq C \rightarrow C, B \leq C, A \leq B$  and  $\Gamma' = \dots, D \leq C \rightarrow C, B \leq C, A \leq \text{Top}$ . The subtyping statement  $D \leq A \rightarrow C$  is provable under  $\Gamma$ , but not under  $\Gamma'$ . It follows that an algorithm that computes a complete set of minimal types for a term like  $\Lambda A \leq B. \lambda x:D. x$  by “breaking the link” between  $A$  and its bound  $B$  must consider promoting  $D$  to  $C \rightarrow C$  and then further promoting the result, even though  $D$  appears quite some distance from  $A$  in the context and, worse yet, even though the binding of  $D$  is before  $A$ ’s binding. This example suggests that the “sets of minimal types” of a term may be very large.

At the moment, we see two choices for calculi with bounded quantification: (1) to embrace  $F_{\leq}$  and learn to live with its difficulties; or (2) to return to Cardelli and Wegner’s original formulation, sometimes called Kernel Fun, which used another, slightly odd-looking but better behaved restriction of the quantifier rule:

$$(\forall\text{-Fun}) \quad \frac{\Gamma, X \leq U \vdash S_2 \leq T_2}{\Gamma \vdash \forall(X \leq U)S_2 \leq \forall(X \leq U)T_2}$$

[CP94] Giuseppe Castagna and Benjamin Pierce. Decidable bounded quantification. *Principles of Programming Languages*, Jan. 1994.

[G94] Giorgio Ghelli. Fsubtop does not enjoy the minimum type property. Message to *Types* list, 28 Jan. 1994.

[G94] Benjamin C. Pierce. Re: Fsubtop and minimal typing. Message to *Types* list, 28 Jan. 1994.