# **GADTs** gone mild

Code at https://gabriel.radanne.net/talks

Gabriel RADANNE

# **Definition: Generalized Algebraic Data Types (GADT)**

The least maintainable way of writing interpreters<sup>1</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Except maybe dependent types

#### **ADT: Algebraic Data Types**

Types with sum and products:

```
type list =
    | Nil
    | Cons of int * list
```

#### **Parametrized Algebraic Data Types**

Parametrized types with sum and products:

#### **Parametrized Algebraic Data Types**

Parametrized types with sum and products:

#### **Generalized Algebraic Data Types**

Types with sum and products where we can change the return type:



#### **Compact arrays**

```
Let's say we want to have compact arrays<sup>2</sup>:
type 'a t =
   | Array of 'a array
    String of string (* This is more compact! *)
  | Array a -> Array.get a i
```

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Example courtesy of Yaron Minsky "Why GADTs matter for performance"

#### **Compact arrays**

```
Let's say we want to have compact arrays<sup>2</sup>:
type 'a t =
  | Array of 'a array
    String of string (* This is more compact! *)
let get x i = match \times with
  | Array a -> Array.get a i
    String s -> String.get s i
```

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Example courtesy of Yaron Minsky "Why GADTs matter for performance"

#### **Compact arrays**

```
Let's say we want to have compact arrays<sup>2</sup>:
type 'a t =
   | Array of 'a array
    String of string (* This is more compact! *)
let get x i = match \times with
  | Array a -> Array.get a i
    String s -> String.get s i
You get the following type signature:
val get : char t -> int -> char
This is too specific!
```

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Example courtesy of Yaron Minsky "Why GADTs matter for performance"

Let's say we want to have compact arrays:

```
type 'a t =
    | Array : 'a array -> 'a t
    | String : string -> char t
```

Let's say we want to have compact arrays:

```
tvpe 'a t =
   | Array : 'a array -> 'a t
   | String : string -> char t
let get
: type a. a t -> int -> a (* \forall \alpha.\alpha \ t \rightarrow int \rightarrow \alpha \ *)
= fun x i -> match x with
   | Array a -> Array.get a i
    String s -> String.get s i
val get : 'a t -> int -> 'a
The type annotation is necessary!
```

```
# let x = String "Topinambour!" ;;
val x : char t
# get x 3 ;;
- : char = 'i'
# let y = Array [|1;2|] ;;
val y : int t
# get y 0 ;;
- : int = 1
```

```
# let x = String "Topinambour!" ;;
val x : char t
# get x 3 ;;
- : char = 'i'
# let y = Array [|1;2|] ;;
val y : int t
# get y 0 ;;
- : int = 1
```

# Do you want to build an

interpreter?

#### **Expressions**

Let's write a small interpreter!

Our language will have:

- Boolean and integers constants
- If expressions
- Addition
- Equality test

# Expressions – Type definition

```
type expr =
  | Int of int (* 42 *)
  | Bool of bool (* true *)
  | Add of expr*expr (* e + e *)
  If of expr*expr*expr (* if b then e else e*)
  | Equal of expr*expr (* e = e *)
(* if 1 = 2 then 3 else 4 *)
If (Equal (Int 1, Int 2), Int 3, Int 4)
```

# type value = $\mathbf{I}$ of $\mathbf{int}$ | $\mathbf{B}$ of $\mathbf{bool}$

```
let rec eval e = match e with
  | Int i -> I i
    Bool b -> B b
    | I i1, I i2 -> I (i1 + i2)
```

```
type value = I of int | B of bool
let rec eval e = match e with
  | Int i -> I i
    Bool b \rightarrow B b
  | Add (e1.e2) ->
    let v1 = eval e1 and v2 = eval e2 in
```

```
type value = I of int | B of bool
let rec eval e = match e with
  | Int i -> I i
    Bool b \rightarrow B b
  | Add (e1.e2) ->
    let v1 = eval e1 and v2 = eval e2 in
    (match v1, v2 with
    | I i1, I i2 -> I (i1 + i2)
    | _ -> failwith "Moule a gaufres!")
```

```
type value = I of int | B of bool
let rec eval e = match e with
  | Int i -> I i
    Bool b -> B b
  | Add (e1.e2) ->
    let v1 = eval e1 and v2 = eval e2 in
    (match v1, v2 with
    | I i1, I i2 -> I (i1 + i2)
    | _ -> failwith "Moule a gaufres!")
  | If (b. e1. e2) ->
    (match eval b with
    | B true -> eval e1
    | B false -> eval e2
    I I -> failwith "Anacoluthe!")
```

# 

```
| Add (e1.e2) ->
 let v1 = eval e1 and v2 = eval e2 in
  (match v1. v2 with
  | I i1, I i2 -> I (i1 + i2)
  | _ -> failwith "Moule a gaufres!")
| If (b. e1. e2) ->
  (match eval b with
  | B true -> eval e1
  | B false -> eval e2
```

I I -> failwith "Anacoluthe!")

**Equal** \_ -> (\* ,,, \*)

## **Expressions – problems**

#### Problems:

- · It's annoying to write
- · It scales poorly to many different values
- The OCAML type system doesn't help us

Enter GADTs!

## **Expressions – problems**

#### Problems:

- · It's annoying to write
- · It scales poorly to many different values
- The OCAML type system doesn't help us

Enter GADTs!

## Expressions – the GADT way

We add a new type parameter

```
type 'a expr =
  | Int: int -> int expr
  | Bool: bool -> bool expr
  | Add: int expr * int expr -> int expr
  | If: bool expr * 'a expr * 'a expr -> 'a expr
  | Equal: 'a expr * 'a expr -> bool expr
(* if 1 = 2 then 3 else 4 *)
let e : int expr =
 If (Equal (Int 1, Int 2), Int 3, Int 4)
```

```
let rec eval
: type a. a expr -> a (* \forall \alpha. \alpha \ expr \rightarrow \alpha \ *)
  | Int i -> i
  | If (b, e1, e2) ->
```

```
let rec eval
: type a. a expr -> a (* \forall \alpha. \alpha \ expr \rightarrow \alpha \ *)
= fun e -> match e with
   | Int i -> i
     Bool b -> b
  | If (b, e1, e2) ->
```

```
let rec eval
: type a. a expr -> a (* \forall \alpha. \alpha \ expr \rightarrow \alpha \ *)
= fun e -> match e with
  | Int i -> i
    Bool b -> b
  | Add (e1,e2) ->
    let v1 = eval e1 and v2 = eval e2 in
  | If (b, e1, e2) ->
```

```
let rec eval
: type a. a expr -> a (* \forall \alpha. \alpha \ expr \rightarrow \alpha \ *)
= fun e -> match e with
  | Int i -> i
    Bool b -> b
  | Add (e1,e2) ->
    let v1 = eval e1 and v2 = eval e2 in
    v1 + v2
  | If (b, e1, e2) ->
```

```
let rec eval
: type a. a expr -> a (* \forall \alpha. \alpha \ expr \rightarrow \alpha \ *)
= fun e -> match e with
  | Int i -> i
    Bool b -> b
  | Add (e1.e2) ->
    let v1 = eval e1 and v2 = eval e2 in
    v1 + v2
  | If (b, e1, e2) ->
    if eval b then eval el else eval e2
  | Equal (e1, e2) \rightarrow (eval e1 = eval e2)
```

```
let rec eval
: type a. a expr -> a (* \forall \alpha. \alpha expr \rightarrow \alpha *)
= fun e -> match e with
  | Int i -> i
   Bool b -> b
  | Add (e1.e2) ->
    let v1 = eval e1 and v2 = eval e2 in
    v1 + v2
  | If (b, e1, e2) ->
    if eval b then eval el else eval e2
  | Equal (e1, e2) -> (eval e1 = eval e2)
# eval e ::
-: int = 4
```

```
let rec eval
: type a. a expr -> a (* \forall \alpha. \alpha expr \rightarrow \alpha *)
= fun e -> match e with
  | Int i -> i
   Bool b -> b
  | Add (e1.e2) ->
    let v1 = eval e1 and v2 = eval e2 in
    v1 + v2
  | If (b, e1, e2) ->
    if eval b then eval el else eval e2
  | Equal (e1, e2) -> (eval e1 = eval e2)
# eval e ::
-: int = 4
```

Tada!

## **Expressions with GADTs**

This is usually called HOAS (High Order Abstract Syntax).

#### Pros:

- It's so cool.
- The type system checks that your evaluation function is correct.
- Validity of expressions is encoded in the type system.

#### Cons

- You can only express things that are valid in the host type system.
- Moving from the untyped world to the typed world is difficult.

```
parse : string -> ? expr
```

- Transformations must be type preserving.
- It doesn't scale at all with the complexity of the domain
- $\Rightarrow$  Almost only usable for toy languages. Otherwise, it creates an unmaintainable mess.

#### **Expressions with GADTs**

This is usually called HOAS (High Order Abstract Syntax).

#### Pros:

- It's so cool.
- The type system checks that your evaluation function is correct.
- Validity of expressions is encoded in the type system.

#### Cons:

- You can only express things that are valid in the host type system.
- Moving from the untyped world to the typed world is difficult.

```
parse : string -> ? expr
```

- Transformations must be type preserving.
- It doesn't scale at all with the complexity of the domain.
- $\Rightarrow$  Almost only usable for toy languages. Otherwise, it creates an unmaintainable mess.

### **Expressions with GADTs**

This is usually called HOAS (High Order Abstract Syntax).

### Pros:

- · It's so cool.
- The type system checks that your evaluation function is correct.
- Validity of expressions is encoded in the type system.

### Cons:

- You can only express things that are valid in the host type system.
- Moving from the untyped world to the typed world is difficult.

```
parse : string -> ? expr
```

- Transformations must be type preserving.
- It doesn't scale **at all** with the complexity of the domain.
- $\Rightarrow$  Almost only usable for toy languages. Otherwise, it creates an unmaintainable mess.

### Results on GADTs, aka. Poor man's dependent types

### Invented by 3 different groups:

- Augustsson & Petersson (1994): Silly Type Families
- Cheney & Hinze (2003): First-Class Phantom Types.
- Xi, Chen & Chen (2003): Guarded Recursive Datatype Constructors.

Type *inference* is undecidable.

Checking of exhaustiveness in pattern matching is undecidable (Garrigue and Le Normand (2015): GADTs and Exhaustiveness: Looking for the Impossible).

Interaction with subtyping is a mess (Scherer, Rémy (2013) GADTs Meet Subtyping).

Type error messages become quite baroque.

### **Examples of use for GADTs**

There is a large body of literature with examples of use for GADTs:

- How to program toy interpreters with GADTs in the most unreadable way
- How to encode unary numbers in types in the most verbose way
- Some far and few attempts at doing something actually useful (usually not in publications, amusingly)<sup>3</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>This critique does not apply to the literature on dependent types.

# But what can we actually do with

**GADTs?** 

```
type t = Exists : 'a -> t (* \exists \alpha. \alpha *)
```

- Type level (Unary) Natural numbers
- Type level lists
- · Type level finite sets
- · Type level tree-like inclusion hierarchies
- · Small Typed DSLs
- ...
- Any property expressible by a context free language

```
type t = Exists : 'a -> t (* \exists \alpha. \alpha *)
```

- Type level (Unary) Natural numbers
- Type level lists
- · Type level finite sets
- · Type level tree-like inclusion hierarchies
- · Small Typed DSLs
- ...
- Any property expressible by a context free language

```
type t = Exists : 'a -> t (* \exists \alpha. \alpha *)
```

- Type level (Unary) Natural numbers
- Type level lists
- Type level finite sets
- Type level tree-like inclusion hierarchies
- Small Typed DSLs
- ...
- Any property expressible by a context free language by encoding a pushdown automaton.

```
type t = Exists : 'a -> t (* \exists \alpha. \alpha *)
```

- Type level (Unary) Natural numbers
- Type level lists
- · Type level finite sets
- · Type level tree-like inclusion hierarchies
- · Small Typed DSLs
- ...
- Any property expressible by a context free language by encoding a pushdown automaton.
  - And some contextual grammars (a<sup>n</sup>b<sup>n</sup>c<sup>n</sup>)
  - Or worse (solutions to PCP)

```
type t = Exists : 'a -> t (* \exists \alpha. \alpha *)
```

- Type level (Unary) Natural numbers
- Type level lists
- · Type level finite sets
- · Type level tree-like inclusion hierarchies
- · Small Typed DSLs
- ...
- Any property expressible by a context free language by encoding a pushdown automaton.
  - And some contextual grammars (a<sup>n</sup>b<sup>n</sup>c<sup>n</sup>)
  - Or worse (solutions to PCP)

```
type t = Exists : 'a -> t (* \exists \alpha. \alpha *)
```

- · Type level (Unary) Natural numbers
- Type level lists
- · Type level finite sets
- · Type level tree-like inclusion hierarchies
- · Small Typed DSLs
- ...
- Any property expressible by a context free language by encoding a pushdown automaton.
  - And some contextual grammars (a<sup>n</sup>b<sup>n</sup>c<sup>n</sup>)
  - Or worse (solutions to PCP)

# Printf

### Printf – The best bad idea in the C standard library

```
printf(
   "We have %d potatoes which weight %f kg.",
   5, 1.2);
```

First argument is a string with holes

- %d is an integer hole
- %f is a floating point hole

Then, takes as many arguments as there are holes.

### Printf - In OCAML

In OCAML, we also have printf:

```
Format.printf
  "We have %d potatoes which weight %f kg."
  5 1.2
```

This is statically checked.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>We use the Format module here. The Printf module is best avoided.

```
# printf ;;
- : ('a, formatter, unit) format -> 'a
```

```
# printf ;;
- : ('a, formatter, unit) format -> 'a
# printf "%d sabords!" 1000;;
1000 sabords!
```

```
# printf ;;
- : ('a, formatter, unit) format -> 'a
# printf "%d sabords!" 1000;;
1000 sabords!
# printf "%d sabords!" 10.5;;
Error: This expression has type float but
an expression was expected of type int
```

```
# printf ::
- : ('a, formatter, unit) format -> 'a
# printf "%d sabords!" 1000;;
1000 sabords!
# printf "%d sabords!" 10.5;;
Error: This expression has type float but
an expression was expected of type int
# printf "%d sabords!";;
- : int -> unit
```

```
# printf ::
- : ('a, formatter, unit) format -> 'a
# printf "%d sabords!" 1000;;
1000 sabords!
# printf "%d sabords!" 10.5;;
Error: This expression has type float but
an expression was expected of type int
# printf "%d sabords!";;
- : int -> unit
# fun s -> printf s 1000;;
- : (int -> 'a, formatter, unit) format -> 'a
```

```
# printf ::
- : ('a, formatter, unit) format -> 'a
# printf "%d sabords!" 1000;;
1000 sabords!
# printf "%d sabords!" 10.5;;
Error: This expression has type float but
an expression was expected of type int
# printf "%d sabords!";;
- : int -> unit
# fun s -> printf s 1000;;
- : (int -> 'a, formatter, unit) format -> 'a
                                Wat.
```

An interlude in Prolog

```
?- length([1, 3, 6], L).
L = 3.
```

```
?- length([1, 3, 6], L).
L = 3.
?- append([3], [2, 1], Z).
Z = [3, 2, 1].
```

```
?- length([1, 3, 6], L).
L = 3.
?- append([3], [2, 1], Z).
Z = [3, 2, 1].
?- append([3], X, [3, 4, 5]).
X = [4, 5].
```

```
?- length([1, 3, 6], L).
L = 3.
?- append([3], [2, 1], Z).
Z = [3, 2, 1].
?- append([3], X, [3, 4, 5]).
X = [4, 5].
?- append([H], T, Z).
Z = [H|T].
```

### **Difference lists**

You can keep the tail of a list as a variable: [a,b,c,d|T]

Then, appending is easy: you just need to unify T.

?- L = 
$$[a,b,c,d|T]$$
, T =  $[1,2,3]$ .  
L =  $[a, b, c, d, 1, 2, 3]$ 

With difference lists, concatenation is O(1).

A difference list is a pair or a list and its tail: [a,b,c,d|T]-T.

### Unification

Prolog shows us that we can compute on lists with unification. Hindley-Milner type systems are great at doing unification.

### Unification

Prolog shows us that we can compute on lists with unification.

Hindley-Milner type systems are great at doing unification.

### **Greenspun's Tenth Rule**

Any sufficiently complicated C or Fortran program contains an ad hoc informally-specified bug-ridden slow implementation of half of Common Lisp.

### Unification

Prolog shows us that we can compute on lists with unification.

Hindley-Milner type systems are great at doing unification.

### The prolog rule of type systems

Any sufficiently complicated type system contains an ad hoc slow implementation of half of prolog.

# Prolog in the OCAML type system

```
'ty is the type level list.
```

'var is the unification variable at the tail.

```
type ('ty, 'var) t =
   | Nil : ('var, 'var) t
   | Cons :
      'a * ('ty, 'var) t -> ('a -> 'ty, 'var) t
```

We count with the number of arrows!

```
# Cons(1,Nil);;
- : (int -> 'v, 'v) t
# Cons("foo", Cons(false,Nil));;
- : (string -> bool -> 'v, 'v) t
```

```
'ty is the type level list.
```

'var is the unification variable at the tail.

```
type ('ty, 'var) t =
   | Nil : ('var, 'var) t
   | Cons :
        'a * ('ty, 'var) t -> ('a -> 'ty, 'var) t
```

We count with the number of arrows!

```
# Cons(1,Nil);;
- : (int -> 'v, 'v) t
# Cons("foo", Cons(false,Nil));;
- : (string -> bool -> 'v, 'v) t
```

```
'ty is the type level list.
```

'var is the unification variable at the tail.

```
type ('ty, 'var) t =
   | Nil : ('var, 'var) t
   | Cons :
      'a * ('ty, 'var) t -> ('a -> 'ty, 'var) t
```

We count with the number of arrows!

```
# Cons(1,Nil);;
- : (int -> 'v, 'v) t
# Cons("foo", Cons(false,Nil));;
- : (string -> bool -> 'v, 'v) t
```

```
'ty is the type level list.
'var is the unification variable at the tail.
type ('ty, 'var) t =
  | Nil : ('var, 'var) t
  | Cons :
    'a * ('ty, 'var) t -> ('a -> 'ty, 'var) t
We count with the number of arrows!
# Cons(1,Nil)::
- : (int -> 'v, 'v) t
# Cons("foo", Cons(false,Nil))::
- : (string -> bool -> 'v, 'v) t
```

## Terrible arithmetic for apprentice type magicians

```
# let one x = Cons (x, Nil) ;;
val one : 'a -> ('a -> 'v, 'v) t
```

$${}^{\prime}$$
ty  $=lpha
ightarrow{}^{\prime}$ v ${}^{\prime}$ ty  ${}^{\prime}$ v  $=lpha$ 

## Terrible arithmetic for apprentice type magicians

```
# let one x = Cons (x, Nil) ;;
val one : 'a -> ('a -> 'v, 'v) t
```

$$'$$
ty =  $lpha$   $\rightarrow$  'v $'$ ty - ' $\lor$  =  $lpha$ 

# Terrible arithmetic for apprentice type magicians

```
# let one x = Cons (x, Nil) ;;
val one : 'a -> ('a -> 'v, 'v) t
```

#### Append for difference lists

```
# Cons("foo", Cons(false,Nil));;
- : (string -> bool -> 'v1, 'v1) t
# Cons(1, Nil);;
- : (int -> 'v2, 'v2) t
# Cons("foo", Cons(false, Cons(1.Nil)))::
- : (string -> bool -> int -> 'v3, 'v3) t
We replace 'v1 in string -> bool -> 'v1 by int -> 'v2.
```

#### Append for difference lists

```
# Cons("foo", Cons(false,Nil));;
- : (string -> bool -> 'v1, 'v1) t
# Cons(1.Nil)::
- : (int -> 'v2, 'v2) t
# Cons("foo", Cons(false, Cons(1.Nil)))::
- : (string -> bool -> int -> 'v3. 'v3) t
We replace 'v1 in string -> bool -> 'v1 by int -> 'v2.
We can deduce the type for append:
val append:
  ('ty1,'ty2) t -> ('ty2,'v) t -> ('ty1,'v) t
```

# Append for difference lists

# Append for difference lists – Implementation

```
let rec append
  : type ty1 ty2 v.
     (ty1, ty2) t ->
     (ty2, v ) t ->
     (ty1, v ) t
  = fun l1 l2 -> match l1 with
     | Nil -> l2
     | Cons (h, t) -> Cons (h, append t l2)
```

The other lists functions are left as an exercise for the audience

# Append for difference lists – Implementation

```
let rec append
  : type ty1 ty2 v.
     (ty1, ty2) t ->
     (ty2, v ) t ->
     (ty1, v ) t
  = fun l1 l2 -> match l1 with
     | Nil -> l2
     | Cons (h, t) -> Cons (h, append t l2)
```

The other lists functions are left as an exercise for the audience.

# Back to printf

#### What is a format

There is a bit of compiler magic in OCAML to recognize formats:

```
# ("%s | %s" : _ format) ;;
- : (string -> string -> 'a, 'b, 'a) format
```

This type looks like our new list datatype!

```
type ('ty,'v) t =
| End : ('v,'v) t
| Constant : string * ('ty,'v) t -> ('ty,'v) t
| Hole : ('ty, 'v) t -> (string -> 'ty, 'v) t
# Hole (Constant (" | ", Hole End)) ;;
- : (string -> string -> 'v, 'v) format
```

```
type ('ty,'v) t =
| End : ('v,'v) t
| Constant : string * ('ty,'v) t -> ('ty,'v) t
| Hole : ('ty, 'v) t -> (string -> 'ty, 'v) t
# Hole (Constant (" | ", Hole End)) ;;
- : (string -> string -> 'v, 'v) format
```

```
type ('ty,'v) t =
| End : ('v,'v) t
| Constant : string * ('ty,'v) t -> ('ty,'v) t
| Hole : ('ty, 'v) t -> (string -> 'ty, 'v) t
# Hole (Constant (" | ", Hole End)) ;;
- : (string -> string -> 'v, 'v) format
```

```
type ('ty,'v) t =
| End : ('v,'v) t
| Constant : string * ('ty,'v) t -> ('ty,'v) t
| Hole : ('ty, 'v) t -> (string -> 'ty, 'v) t
# Hole (Constant (" | ", Hole End)) ;;
- : (string -> string -> 'v, 'v) format
```

We want to implement printf

```
val printf: ('ty, string) t -> 'ty}
But the number of argument could be arbitrary!
Instead, we implement first by continuation:
val kprintf: (string -> 'v) -> ('ty, 'v) format -> 'ty
This is easy to write, you can try it:)
```

The whole implementation is included in the supported code.

You might be wondering: is this *really* how printf works?

```
# ("%s | %s" : _ format) ;;
- : (string -> string -> 'a, 'b, 'a) format =
CamlinternalFormatBasics.(Format(
   String (No_padding, String_literal (" | ",
        String (No_padding, End_of_format))),
   "%s | %s"))
```

Rewritten in 2014 by Benoit Vaugon using GADTs. The actual implementation is a lot recomplex than our toy example.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>It was full of Obj.magic

You might be wondering: is this *really* how printf works?

Originally written in 1996 by Pierre Weis (GADT didn't even existed!?)\*

Rewritten in 2014 by Benoit Vaugon using GADTs. The actual implementation is a lot more complex than our toy example.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>It was full of Obj.magic

You might be wondering: is this *really* how printf works?

Originally written in 1996 by Pierre Weis (GADT didn't even existed!?)<sup>4</sup>

Rewritten in 2014 by Benoit Vaugon using GADTs. The actual implementation is a lot more complex than our toy example.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>It was full of Obi.magic

#### Wrapping up

- We can use unification to compute in types.
- GADTs allow us to define such datatype relatively easily.
- · Prolog is fun.
- We can use GADT for useful things.
- You will only understand this by practice.

#### Wrapping up

- We can use unification to compute in types.
- GADTs allow us to define such datatype relatively easily.
- · Prolog is fun.
- · We can use GADT for useful things.
- You will only understand this by practice.

#### Real World GADTs

Bigarray Controlling memory layout

Format Type level lists for Printf

Hmap Heterogeneous maps

SLAP Linear algebra with statically checked sizes

Many type-safe DSLs:

URL routing, GraphQL APIs, Typed regular expressions, SMT terms,

Organize devices for unikernels

. . .

#### Commit e0b000527 by Gabriel Scherer about Printf

[..] The short summary is [...] that proving things by writing GADT functions in OCaml reveals that Coq's Ltac is a miracle of usability.

# Questions?

Code at https://gabriel.radanne.net/talks
Detailed blog post on https://drup.github.io/2016/08/02/difflists/

#### **Troubles in GADT paradise**

For technical reasons, our GADT type is not covariant, which mean we don't enjoy the relaxed value restriction.

```
# append
  (Cons (1, Cons ("bla", Nil)))
  (Cons (2., Nil))
- : (int -> string -> float -> '_v, '_v) t
```

This means formats are a bit annoying to use in a functional way.

We want to implement printf: ('ty, string) t -> 'ty.

```
We want to implement printf: ('ty, string) t -> 'ty.
# let x = Hole (Constant (" | ", Hole End)) ;;
val x : (string -> string -> 'v, 'v) format
# printf x;;
- : string -> string -> string
```

```
We want to implement printf: ('ty, string) t -> 'ty.
let rec printf
: type ty v. (ty,v) t -> ty
= fun k -> function
  | End -> ""
    Constant (const, fmt) ->
    const ^ printf fmt (* oups *)
  | Hole fmt ->
    fun x -> x ^ printf fmt (* oups *)
```

Recursive calls to printf might have more arguments. That doesn't work.

```
We want to implement printf: ('ty, string) t -> 'ty.
Instead, we are going to implement by continuation:
val kprintf:
  (string -> 'v) -> ('ty, 'v) format -> 'ty
```

```
We want to implement printf: ('ty, string) t -> 'ty.
let rec kprintf
: type ty v. (string -> v) -> (ty,v) t -> ty
= fun k -> function
  | End -> k ""
   Constant (const, fmt) ->
    kprintf (fun str -> k (const ^ str)) fmt
   Hole fmt ->
    let f s =
      kprintf (fun str -> k (s ^ str)) fmt
    in f
```

```
We want to implement printf: ('ty, string) t -> 'ty.
let rec kprintf
: type ty v. (string -> v) -> (ty,v) t -> ty
= fun k -> function
  | End -> k ""
  | Constant (const, fmt) ->
    kprintf (fun str -> k (const ^ str)) fmt
  | Hole fmt ->
    let f s =
      kprintf (fun str -> k (s ^ str)) fmt
let printf fmt = kprintf (fun x -> x) fmt
```

#### **Balanced parens**

```
type zero = Zero
type 'a succ = Succ
type _ t =
  | End : zero t
  | R : 'a t -> 'a succ t
  | L : 'a succ t -> 'a t
type start = Start of zero t
(* (()()) *)
let x = Start (L (L (R (L (R End))))));
```

We can encode any FSA with an arbitrary (finite) number of registers.

Note: not a minsky machine: no conditional jumps.