### Typed Parsing and Unparsing for Untyped Regular Expression Engines

Gabriel RADANNE

PEPM 2019

Some people, when confronted with a problem, think "I know, I'll use regular expressions."

Now they have two problems.

Jamie Zawinski

I want to search my logs to find domain names!

```
[0-9a-zA-Z.-]+|\[[0-9A-Fa-f:.]+\]
(:[0-9]+)?
```

It recognizes things like foo.bar:8080.

Now, I want to list domains that made a request on registered ports.

I want to search my logs to find domain names!

```
[0-9a-zA-Z.-]+|\[[0-9A-Fa-f:.]+\]
(:[0-9]+)?
```

It recognizes things like foo.bar:8080.

Now, I want to list domains that made a request on registered ports.

```
[0-9a-zA-Z.-]+|\[[0-9A-Fa-f:.]+\]
(:[0-9]+)?
```

```
([0-9a-zA-Z.-]+|\[[0-9A-Fa-f:.]+\])
(:([0-9]+))?
```

I add capture groups

```
([0-9a-zA-Z.-]+|\[[0-9A-Fa-f:.]+\])
(:([0-9]+))?
```

I add capture groups

And then I write a small program:

```
result = match(regex,s)
domain = result[1]
port = int(result[3])
if port < 49152:
    print(domain)</pre>
```

```
([0-9a-zA-Z.-]+|\[[0-9A-Fa-f:.]+\])
(:([0-9]+))?
```

```
domain = result[1]
port = int(result[3])
```

```
(([a-zA-Z]*)://)?
([0-9a-zA-Z.-]+|\[[0-9A-Fa-f:.]+\])
(:([0-9]+))?
((/[^/?]+)*)
```

```
domain = result[1]
port = int(result[3])
```

```
(([a-zA-Z]*)://)?
([0-9a-zA-Z.-]+|\[[0-9A-Fa-f:.]+\])
(:([0-9]+))?
((/[^/?]+)*)
```

```
scheme = result[2]
domain = result[3]
port = int(result[5])
path = result[7].split("/")
```

```
(([a-zA-Z]*)://)?
([0-9a-zA-Z.-]+|\[[0-9A-Fa-f:.]+\])
(:([0-9]+))?
((/[^/?]+)*)
```

```
scheme = result[2]
domain = result[3]
port = int(result[5])
path = result[7].split("/")
```

What if I want to differentiate domain names and IP addresses ?

#### Pros:

- Composition of *recognition* is good(-ish)
- Linear time (mostly, ...)

- Composition of *extraction* is completely broken
- Extracting things under star/alternative is painful

## Meh, Just use parser combinators

Pros:

- Everything composes
- Processing/extraction integrated into the parser (Applicative,...)
- Star/Alternative works well (Alternative,...)

Cons:

• It's slow (not linear time)

## Meh, Just use parser combinators

Pros:

- Everything composes
- Processing/extraction integrated into the parser (Applicative,...)
- Star/Alternative works well (Alternative,...)

Cons:

• It's slow (not linear time)

## Meh, Just use parser combinators

Pros:

- Everything composes
- Processing/extraction integrated into the parser (Applicative,...)
- Star/Alternative works well (Alternative,...)

Cons:

• It's slow (not linear time)

Pros:

- Everything composes
- Typed interpretation of regular expressions with ADTs
- Linear time

- Can I use Greedy and POSIX semantics?
- Does it support charsets?
- Please let me use Re2 instead. ©

Pros:

- Everything composes
- Typed interpretation of regular expressions with ADTs
- Linear time

- Can I use Greedy and POSIX semantics?
- Does it support charsets?
- Please let me use Re2 instead. ©

Pros:

- Everything composes
- Typed interpretation of regular expressions with ADTs
- Linear time

- Can I use Greedy and POSIX semantics?
- Does it support charsets?
- Please let me use Re2 instead. 😊

Pros:

- Everything composes
- Typed interpretation of regular expressions with ADTs
- Linear time

- Can I use Greedy and POSIX semantics?
- Does it support charsets?
- Please let me use Re2 instead. 🙁

Pros:

- Everything composes
- Typed interpretation of regular expressions with ADTs
- Linear time

- Can I use Greedy and POSIX semantics?
- Does it support charsets, word boundaries?
- Please let me use Re2 instead. 🙁

Pros:

- Everything composes
- Typed interpretation of regular expressions with ADTs
- Linear time

- Can I use Greedy and POSIX semantics?
- Does it support charsets, word boundaries, lookaround operators?
- Please let me use Re2 instead. 🙁

Pros:

- Everything composes
- Typed interpretation of regular expressions with ADTs
- Linear time

- Can I use Greedy and POSIX semantics?
- Does it support charsets, word boundaries, lookaround operators, streaming?
- Please let me use Re2 instead. S

Pros:

- Everything composes
- Typed interpretation of regular expressions with ADTs
- Linear time

- Can I use Greedy and POSIX semantics?
- Does it support charsets, word boundaries, lookaround operators, streaming, online/lazy determinization?
- Please let me use Re2 instead. ©

Pros:

- Everything composes
- Typed interpretation of regular expressions with ADTs
- Linear time

- Can I use Greedy and POSIX semantics?
- Does it support charsets, word boundaries, lookaround operators, streaming, online/lazy determinization, ...?
- Please let me use Re2 instead. ©

Pros:

- Everything composes
- Typed interpretation of regular expressions with ADTs
- Linear time

- Can I use Greedy and POSIX semantics?
- Does it support charsets, word boundaries, lookaround operators, streaming, online/lazy determinization, ...?
- Please let me use Re2 instead. ③

# Retrofit regex parsing on existing engine



type 'a t (\* A regular expression that captures 'a \*)

```
(** Applicative-like *)
val conv : ('a -> 'b) -> ('b -> 'a) -> 'a t -> 'b t
val (*>) : _ t -> 'a t -> 'a t
val (<\delta>) : 'a t -> 'b t -> ('a * 'b) t
(* Alternative-like *)
val (<|>) : 'a t -> 'b t -> ['Left of 'a | 'Right of 'b] t
val list : 'a t -> 'a list t
val opt : 'a t -> 'a option t
```

#### type 'a t

```
(* Base element *)
val regex : regex -> string t
```

let int : int t =
 conv string\_of\_int int\_of\_string (regex "[0-9]+")

#### type 'a t

```
(* Base element *)
val regex : regex -> string t
```

let int : int t =
 conv string\_of\_int int\_of\_string (regex "[0-9]+")

let schm: string t = regex "[^/:?#]\*" <\* str "://"
let host: string t = regex "[^/:?#]+"
let port: int option t = opt (char ':' \*> int)
let path: string list t = list (char '/' \*> regex "[^/?#]\*")

let url : url t =
 conv to\_url from\_url (schm <&> host <&> port <&> path)

```
let schm: string t = regex "[^/:?#]*" <* str "://"
let host: string t = regex "[^/:?#]+"
let port: int option t = opt (char ':' *> int)
let path: string list t = list (char '/' *> regex "[^/?#]*")
```

```
let url : url t =
   conv to_url from_url (schm <&> host <&> port <&> path)
```

```
let schm: string t = [%tyre "(?<schm>:[^/:?#]*)://"]
let host: string t = [%tyre "[^/:?#]+" ]
let port: int option t = [%tyre "(:(?&int))?"]
let path: string list t = [%tyre "(/(?:[^/?#]*))*"]
```

let url =
 [%tyre "(?&schm)(?&host)(?&port)(?&path)"]

#### # let c = compile url

```
# eval url myurl ;;
```

- : string = "ftp://myserver.net"

```
# let c = compile url
```

```
# exec c "http://foo.com:80/some/path"
```

```
# eval url myurl ;;
```

- : string = "ftp://myserver.net"

```
# let c = compile url
# exec c "http://foo.com:80/some/path"
- : (url, url error) result =
 Result.0k { scheme = "http" ; host = "foo.com";
             port = Some 80 ; path = ["some"; "path"] }
# let myurl = { scheme = "ftp" : host = "myserver.net" :
# eval url myurl ;;
```

- : string = "ftp://myserver.net
```
# let c = compile url
# exec c "http://foo.com:80/some/path"
- : (url, url error) result =
 Result.0k { scheme = "http" ; host = "foo.com";
             port = Some 80 ; path = ["some"; "path"] }
# let myurl = { scheme = "ftp" ; host = "myserver.net" ;
                 port = None ; path = []}
# eval url myurl ;;
```

```
# let c = compile url
# exec c "http://foo.com:80/some/path"
- : (url, url error) result =
 Result.0k { scheme = "http" ; host = "foo.com";
             port = Some 80 ; path = ["some"; "path"] }
# let myurl = { scheme = "ftp" : host = "myserver.net" :
                 port = None ; path = []}
# eval url myurl ;;
- : string = "ftp://myserver.net"
```































Two thorny issues remains:

- Alternatives
- Repetitions

Two thorny issues remains:

• Alternatives

 $\Rightarrow$ : Similar to option: abuse groups for branching

• Repetitions

Let's take a concrete example:

```
let r = str "numbers:" *> rep (int <* char ';')
let cr = compile r
exec cr "numbers:1;2;345;6;"
> Result.0k [1; 2; 345; 6]
```

Repetitions



Repetitions





- Pay a linear cost (proportional to the star height)
- Only problematic in the typed part! ... (regex "abc+")... is fine.
- Top-level repetitions are not costly

# **Experimentations**

Experimentations:

• Implemented a spec-compliant URI parser.

 $\Rightarrow$  faster and safer than original ocaml-uri, passes all the tests

• Primitive HTTP parser

 $\Rightarrow$  2.5 times faster than the equivalent parser-combinator implementation

• Various uses in the wild

See the paper for details

# Conclusion

- Regular expression parsing doesn't really compose
  - $\Rightarrow$  You have to enrich them with extraction info
- Implementing a fast and featureful regex engine is a non-trivial undertaking
   ⇒ Try to reuse the existing work as much as possible
- Parsing combinators provide a nice API, but sometimes you want a tagged representation
- Syntax extensions really help adoption (see the paper)

#### Conclusion

I presented a method to have typed regex parsing on top of untyped engines

- Work on top of many engines
  - $\Rightarrow$  Can be used with various regex languages (but not backrefences . . . )
- Various optimisations in the paper:
  - $\Rightarrow$  Use marks to avoid groups in alternatives
  - $\Rightarrow$  Extraction code can be staged too!
- Implement alternatives and repetitions
- Not perfect, but sufficient in practice

Implemented in OCaml and distributed:

- Library: tyre in opam
- Syntax extension: ppx\_tyre in opam

#### Conclusion

I presented a method to have typed regex parsing on top of untyped engines

- Work on top of many engines
  - $\Rightarrow$  Can be used with various regex languages (but not backrefences . . . )
- Various optimisations in the paper:
  - $\Rightarrow$  Use marks to avoid groups in alternatives
  - $\Rightarrow$  Extraction code can be staged too!
- Implement alternatives and repetitions
- Not perfect, but sufficient in practice

Implemented in OCaml and distributed:

- Library: tyre in opam
- Syntax extension: ppx\_tyre in opam

Future Work and questions

- Better scheme for repetitions ?
- Make sure exactly which extensions of regexes are compatible.
- Compatibility with the Javascript Regex API ....

### **Questions?**

## type 'a re (\*\* A compiled typed regular expression of type 'a \*)

```
val compile : 'a t -> 'a re
val exec : 'a re -> string -> ('a, error) result
```

(\* Unparsing/Printing a value using a regex \*)
val eval : 'a t -> 'a -> string

(\* Routing: pattern matching for regexs \*)
val route : 'a route list -> 'a re

## type 'a re (\*\* A compiled typed regular expression of type 'a \*)

```
val compile : 'a t -> 'a re
val exec : 'a re -> string -> ('a, error) result
```

(\* Unparsing/Printing a value using a regex \*)
val eval : 'a t -> 'a -> string

(\* Routing: pattern matching for regexs \*)
val route : 'a route list -> 'a re

## type 'a re (\*\* A compiled typed regular expression of type 'a \*)

```
val compile : 'a t -> 'a re
val exec : 'a re -> string -> ('a, error) result
```

(\* Unparsing/Printing a value using a regex \*)
val eval : 'a t -> 'a -> string

(\* Routing: pattern matching for regexs \*)
val route : 'a route list -> 'a re




- Need to insert many additional groups
- Can be improved by using marks (see the paper)

## AngstromTyreTyre, test-only $28.3 \pm 1.8 ms$ $11.6 \pm 0.13 ms$ $7.6 \pm 0.013 ms$

Figure 1: Parsing 100 HTTP requests with various parsers

## Performances of URI parsing



```
small: http://foo.com
ipv6: http://%5Bdead%3Abeef%3A%3Adead%3A0%3Abeaf%5D
complete: https://user:pass@foo.com:123/a/b/c?foo=1&bar=5#5
query: //domain?f+1=bar&+f2=bar%212
path: http://a/b/c/g;x?y#s
urn: urn:uuid:f81d4fae-7dec-11d0-a765-00a0c91e6bf6
```