On (m,n)-absolute clique number of planar graphs

Susobhan Bandopadhyay Joint work with Sandip Das Sagnik Sen & Soumen Nandi

NISER, Bhubaneswar

04/05/2021



This work

Based on the paper:

This work

Based on the paper:

Analogues of Cliques for (m, n)-Colored Mixed Graphs. Julien Bensmail, Christopher Duffy and Sagnik Sen. Graphs and Combinatorics (2017) 33(4): 735-750.



• Type1 ARC



- Type1 ARC
- Typez ARC



• Type1 ARC

• Typez ARC

• Type1 EDGE -----



- Type1 ARC
- Typez ARC

• Type1 EDGE

- 2 types of arcs & 2 types of edges ...

• Type2 EDGE ······



- Type1 ARC
- Typez ARC
- Type1 EDGE -----
- Type2 EDGE ······

(2,2)-colored mixed graph



• Type1 ARC

• Typez ARC

(2,2)-mixed graph

• Type2 EDGE ······

• Type1 EDGE -----



(m,n)-mixed graph [generalization by Nešetřil and Raspaud, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B(2000)]

- m types of arcs
- n types of edges

(m,n)-mixed graph [generalization by Nešetřil and Raspaud, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B(2000)]

• Typez ARC

• Type2 EDGE

• Type1 EDGE

• Typez ARC

• Type1 EDGE -----

• Type2 EDGE ······

homomorphism: a vertex mapping f such that an edge/arc uv implies that f(u)f(v)is an edge/arc of the same type.

• uv, vw different types of edges

- uv, vw different types of edges
- uv, vw are arcs (maybe same type)

- uv, vw different types of edges
- uv, vw are arcs (maybe same type)
- uv, wv are different types of arcs

- uv, vw different types of edges
- uv, vw are arcs (maybe same type)
- uv, wv are different types of arcs
- vu, vw are different types of arcs

- uv, vw different types of edges
- uv, vw are arcs (maybe same type)
- uv, wv are different types of arcs
- vu, vw are different types of arcs
- in uv, vw exactly one is an edge

Absolute (m,n)-clique

Absolute (m,n)-clique

(m,n)-mixed graph whose non-adjacent vertices are connected by a special 2-path

Absolute (m,n)-clique

(m,n)-mixed graph whose non-adjacent vertices are connected by a special 2-path

 $\omega_{a(m,n)}(F) = \max_{C \in F} \{ \text{ wertices in } C: C \text{ is } (m,n) - clique \}$

Theorem (Bensmail, Duffy and Sen, Graphs Combin., 2017): For the family O of outerplanar graphs

$$\omega_{a(m,n)}(0) = 3(2m+n)+1$$
, for all $(m,n)\neq (0,1)$.

Theorem (Bensmail, Duffy and Sen, Graphs Combin., 2017): For the family 0 of outerplanar graphs $\omega_{a(m,n)}(0) = 3(2m+n)+1$, for all $(m,n)\neq(0,1)$.

Theorem (Bensmail, Duffy and Sen, Graphs Combin., 2017): For the family P of planar graphs

$$3(2m+n)^{2}+(2m+n)+1 \le \omega_{a(m,n)}(P) \le 9(2m+n)^{2}+2(2m+n)+2,$$

for all $(m,n)\neq (0,1)$.

Conjecture (Bensmail, Duffy and Sen, Graphs Combin., 2017): For the family P of planar graphs $\omega_{a(m,n)}(P) = 3(2m+n)^2+(2m+n)+1$, for all $(m,n)\neq(0,1)$.

Our result (Bandopadhyay, Das, Naandi and Sen)

Here we settle the conjecture.

Our result (Bandopadhyay, Das, Nandi and Sen)

Theorem: For the family P of planar graphs $\omega_{a(m,n)}(P) = 3(2m+n)^2 + (2m+n) + 1$, for all $(m,n) \neq (0,1)$.

time for proof...

Proof (sketch)

Proof (sketch)

• We will use the notion of Dominating Set(D) and Domination Number in this proof.

Proof (sketch)

- We will use the notion of Dominating Set(D) and Domination Number in this proof.
- Goddard and Henning(J. Graph. Th., 2002) showed that any planar graph with at least 10 vertices and diameter 2 has domination number at most 2.

Proof (sketch)

- We will use the notion of Dominating Set(D) and Domination Number in this proof.
- Goddard and Henning(J. Graph. Th., 2002) showed that any planar graph with at least 10 vertices and diameter 2 has domination number at most 2.
- We have to proof the theorem for domination number 1 and 2.

Proof (sketch)

• We adapt the technique of Nandy, Sen and Sopena(J. Graph Th., 2016) for our proof.

Proof (sketch)

• We adapt the technique of Nandy, Sen and Sopena(J. Graph Th., 2016) for our proof.

Lemma: For triangulated planar (m, n)-absolute clique G with domination number 1 $\omega_{a(m,n)}(G) \leq 3(2m+n)^2+(2m+n)+1$, for all $(m,n)\neq(0,1)$.

3pt)

Proof (sketch)

Proof (sketch)

For triangulated planar (m, n)-absolute clique G with domination number 2,

Proof (sketch)

For triangulated planar (m, n)-absolute clique G with domination number 2,

Lemma: $2 \le |C| \le 3(2m+n)^2$, where C is the common neighbor of x, y and $D=\{x, y\}$.

Proof (sketch)

For triangulated planar (m, n)-absolute clique G with domination number 2,

Lemma: $2 \le |C| \le 3(2m+n)^2$, where C is the common neighbor of x, y and $D=\{x, y\}$.

Lemma: If $3 \le |C| \le 3(2m+n)^2$ then, $\omega_{a(m,n)}(G) \le 3(2m+n)^2 + (2m+n) + 1$, for all $(m,n) \ne (0,1)$.

Proof (sketch)

For triangulated planar (m, n)-absolute clique G with domination number 2,

Lemma: $2 \le |C| \le 3(2m+n)^2$, where C is the common neighbor of x, y and $D=\{x, y\}$.

Lemma: If
$$3 \le |C| \le 3(2m+n)^2$$
 then,
 $\omega_{a(m,n)}(G) \le 3(2m+n)^2 + (2m+n) + 1$,
for all $(m,n) \ne (0,1)$.

Lemma: If
$$|C| = 2$$
 then,
 $\omega_{a(m,n)}(G) \le 3(2m+n)^2 + (2m+n) + 1$,
for all $(m,n) \ne (0,1)$.

Proof (sketch)

Lemma: For triangulated planar (m, n)-absolute clique G with domination number 2 $(G) = \frac{1}{2} (2mm)^2 h (2mm) h$

$$\omega_{a(m,n)}(G) \le 3(2m+n)^2 + (2m+n) + 1$$
,
for all $(m,n) \ne (0,1)$.

Proof (sketch)

Lemma: For triangulated planar (m, n)-absolute clique G with domination number 1 $\omega_{a(m,n)}(G) \leq 3(2m+n)^2+(2m+n)+1$, for all $(m,n)\neq(0,1)$.

Proof (sketch)

Lemma: For triangulated planar (m, n)-absolute clique G with domination number 1 $\omega_{a(m,n)}(G) \leq 3(2m+n)^2+(2m+n)+1$, for all $(m,n)\neq(0,1)$.

Lemma: For triangulated planar (m, n)-absolute clique G with domination number 2 $\omega_{a(m,n)}(G) \leq 3(2m+n)^2+(2m+n)+1$, for all $(m,n)\neq(0,1)$.

Proof (sketch)

Lemma: For triangulated planar (m, n)-absolute clique G $\omega_{a(m,n)}(G) \leq 3(2m+n)^2+(2m+n)+1$, for all (m,n) \neq (0,1).

Proof (sketch)

Lemma: For triangulated planar (m, n)-absolute clique G $\omega_{a(m,n)}(G) \leq 3(2m+n)^2+(2m+n)+1$, for all (m,n)≠(0,1).

Theorem (Bensmail, Duffy and Sen, Graphs Combin., 2017): For the family P of planar graphs $3(2m+n)^2+(2m+n)+1 \leq \omega_{a(m,n)}(P)$, for all $(m,n)\neq(0,1)$.

Thank You