

# Strong Normalization (SN) of Simply Typed Lambda Calculus

**[Strong Normalization]** Every simply typed term is normalising:  
if  $\Gamma \vdash_{\lambda} t : A$ , then  $t \in SN_{\beta}$ .

# Defining Strongly Normalizing Terms

- **Non-inductive** definition:

$t \in SN_\beta$  iff there is no infinite  $\beta$ -reduction sequence starting at  $t$ .

- Equivalent **non-inductive** definition:

$t \in SN_\beta$  iff every  $\beta$ -reduction sequence starting at  $t$  is finite.

- **First inductive** alternative definition of  $SN_\beta$  (set  $SN_1$ ):

- If  $t$  is a  $\beta$ -normal form, then  $t \in SN_1$

- If  $\forall t' [(t \rightarrow_\beta t') \text{ implies } t' \in SN_1]$ , then  $t \in SN_1$

(the first line is a special case of the second one)

- **Second inductive** alternative definition of  $SN_\beta$  (set  $SN_2$ ):

- $t_1, \dots, t_n \in SN_2$  implies  $x\vec{t} = x t_1 \dots t_n \in SN_2$ .

- $t \in SN_2$  implies  $\lambda x.t \in SN_2$ .

- $t\{x \setminus u\}\vec{t} \in SN_2$  and  $u \in SN_2$  implies  $(\lambda x.t)u\vec{t} \in SN_2$ .

- All these notions are equivalent:  $t \in SN_1$  iff  $t \in SN_2$  iff  $t \in SN_\beta$ .

## Definition (Measuring $SN_\beta$ -terms)

Given  $t \in SN_\beta$ , we define the **measure**  $\mu_\beta(t)$  as  $\max\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid t \rightarrow_\beta^n t'\}$ .

Note that  $t \rightarrow_\beta t'$  implies  $\mu_\beta(t') < \mu_\beta(t)$ , so that  $t \in SN_\beta$  and  $t \rightarrow_\beta t'$  implies  $t' \in SN_\beta$ .

## Some General Remarks About $SN_\beta$ -Terms

- $u \in SN_\beta$  iff  $\lambda y.u \in SN_\beta$ .
- $u_1, \dots, u_n \in SN_\beta$  iff  $x u_1 \dots u_n \in SN_\beta$ .
- In general, if  $t \in SN_\beta$ , then every subterm of  $t$  is also  $SN_\beta$ . but the converse is not true, e.g.  $(\lambda x x.x)(\lambda x x.x)$ .
- This is because  $SN_\beta$  is not stable by substitution. Example:  $x x \in SN_\beta$ ,  $\lambda y.y y \in SN_\beta$ , but  $(x x)\{x \backslash \lambda y.y y\} = \Delta \Delta \notin SN_\beta$ .

## First Proof of the SN property

- This first proof is due to Tait.
- Uses the **first inductive** definition of  $SN_\beta$  ( $SN_\beta = SN_1$ )
- It is based on a predicate  $SC$  characterizing *strong computable* terms.

### Definition

Let  $t$  be of type  $A = A_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow A_n \rightarrow \tau$ . Then  $t \in SC$  iff  
for all  $u_i \in SC$  of type  $A_i$  we have  $t \vec{u} = t u_1 \dots u_n \in SN_\beta$ .

The previous definition implies

- 1  $SC \subseteq SN_\beta$ .
- 2  $SC$  is closed under  $\beta$  (i.e.  $t \in SC$  and  $t \rightarrow_\beta t'$  implies  $t' \in SC$ ).
- 3  $x \in SC$  for every variable  $x$  (using 1).

## Lemma

If  $u, u_1, \dots, u_n$  ( $n \geq 1$ )  $\in SN_\beta$  and  $u\{x \setminus u_1\}u_2 \dots u_n \in SN_\beta$ , then  $t = (\lambda x.u)u_1u_2 \dots u_n \in SN_\beta$ .

## Proof.

By the first inductive definition of  $SN_\beta$ , to show  $t \in SN_\beta$  it is sufficient to show that **all** the reducts of  $t = (\lambda x.u)u_1 \dots u_n$  are in  $SN_\beta$ . By the first hypothesis of the lemma we can proceed by **induction** on  $\mu_\beta(u) + \sum_i \mu_\beta(u_i)$ . We reason by case analysis on the reducts of  $t$ , which are:

- $(\lambda x.u')u_1 \dots u_n$ , where  $u \rightarrow u'$ . Then  $\mu_\beta(u') < \mu_\beta(u)$ , we conclude by the **i.h.**
- $(\lambda x.u)u_1 \dots u'_i \dots u_n$ , where  $u_i \rightarrow u'_i$ . Then  $\mu_\beta(u'_i) < \mu_\beta(u_i)$ , we conclude by the **i.h.**
- $u\{x \setminus u_1\}u_2 \dots u_n$ . We conclude by the second hypothesis.

□

**Remark:** The base case of the induction is when  $\mu_\beta(u) + \sum_i \mu_\beta(u_i) = 0$ , i.e. when  $u, u_1, \dots, u_n$  are  $\beta$ -normal forms. The only reduct of  $t$  in this case is of the form  $u\{x \setminus u_1\}u_2 \dots u_n$ , as in the third item.

## Lemma

Let  $t$  be a typed term and let  $\sigma$  be a type preserving substitution mapping all the free variables of  $t$  to terms in  $SC$ . Then  $t\sigma \in SC$ .

## Proof.

We proceed by **induction** on the typed term  $t$ .

- $t = x$ . Then  $x\sigma = \sigma(x) \in SC$  by the second hypothesis.
- $t = uv$ . Then  $v\sigma$  in  $SC$  by the **i.h.** Consider  $r_i \in SC$  so that  $v\sigma, r_1, \dots, r_n \in SC$ . Then  $(uv)\sigma \vec{r} = u\sigma v\sigma \vec{r} \in SN_\beta$  by definition of  $u\sigma \in SC$ , which also holds by the **i.h.**
- $t = \lambda x.u$ , then  $(\lambda x.u)\sigma =_\alpha \lambda x.u\sigma$ . Since  $\sigma \cup \{x \setminus x\}$  verifies the second hypothesis of the lemma, then by the **i.h.**  $u(\sigma \cup \{x \setminus x\}) = u\sigma \in SC$ . To show  $\lambda x.u\sigma \in SC$  we consider  $r_1, \dots, r_n \in SC$  and we show  $(\lambda x.u\sigma)r_1 \dots r_n \in SN_\beta$ . This follows from the previous lemma since
  - 1  $u\sigma \in SN_\beta$ : since  $u\sigma \in SC$  and  $SC \subseteq SN_\beta$ .
  - 2  $r_1, \dots, r_n \in SN_\beta$ : since  $r_1, \dots, r_n \in SC$  and  $SC \subseteq SN_\beta$ .
  - 3  $(u\sigma)\{x \setminus r_1\}r_2 \dots r_n \in SN_\beta$ : since  $(u\sigma)\{x \setminus r_1\} = u(\sigma \cup \{x \setminus r_1\})$  and  $\sigma \cup \{x \setminus r_1\}$  verifies the second hypothesis of the lemma, then  $(u\sigma)\{x \setminus r_1\} \in SC$  holds by the **i.h.**, and thus  $(u\sigma)\{x \setminus r_1\}r_2 \dots r_n \in SN_\beta$  holds by definition of  $SC$ .



## Lemma

*Every typed term is in  $SC$ .*

## Proof.

Using the previous lemma with the identity substitution **id** defined by  $\mathbf{id}(x) = x$  for all  $x$ . Remark that **id** is a type preserving substitution and maps variables to variables, which are terms in  $SC$  as previously remarked. □



## Theorem

Every typed term is in  $SN_\beta$ .

## Proof.

Using the previous lemma and the fact the  $SC \subseteq SN_\beta$ . □

## Second proof of the SN property

- Can be found in Femke van Raamsdonk's Thesis.
  - Uses the **second inductive** definition of  $SN_B$  ( $SN_B = SN_2$ )
- 1 Define  $\Lambda_A$  (terms of type  $A$ ) inductively:
    - If  $x$  is a variable of type  $A$ , then  $x \in \Lambda_A$ .
    - If  $t \in \Lambda_C$  and  $x$  is a variable of type  $B$ , then  $\lambda x.t \in \Lambda_{B \rightarrow C}$ .
    - If  $t \in \Lambda_{B \rightarrow A}$  and  $u \in \Lambda_B$ , then  $t u \in \Lambda_A$ .
  - 2 Define  $SN_A := SN_2 \cap \Lambda_A$ .
  - 3 Define  $X \Rightarrow Y := \{t \mid \forall u.(u \in X \text{ implies } tu \in Y)\}$ .
  - 4 Show  $\Lambda_{A \rightarrow B} = \Lambda_A \Rightarrow \Lambda_B$ .
  - 5 Show  $SN_A \Rightarrow SN_B \subseteq SN_{A \rightarrow B}$  (easy).
  - 6 If  $u \in SN_{A_1} \Rightarrow SN_{A_2} \Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow SN_{A_m}$  with  $A_m$  a base type and  $t \in SN_B$ , then  $t\{x \setminus u\} \in SN_B$  (induction on SN using 5).
  - 7 Show  $SN_{A \rightarrow B} \subseteq SN_A \Rightarrow SN_B$  (using 6).
  - 8 Show that  $\Lambda_A \subseteq SN_A$  (by induction using 7).
  - 9 Since  $SN_A \subseteq SN_2 = SN_B$  we conclude.

## Third Proof of the SN property

- This first proof is due to Gandy, later rediscovered by René David.
- Uses the **first inductive** definition of  $SN_\beta$  ( $SN_\beta = SN_1$ )

### Lemma

If  $t$  and  $u$  are typed and belong to  $SN_\beta$ , then  $t\{x\backslash u\} \in SN_\beta$ .

### Proof.

By **induction** on  $\langle type(u), \mu_\beta(t), size(t) \rangle$ , using the standard lexicographic order. We reason by case analysis on  $t$ .

- $t = z$ . If  $t = x$ , then  $x\{x\backslash u\} = u \in SN_\beta$  by hypothesis, whereas  $t = z \neq x$  implies  $z\{x\backslash u\} = z$  which is trivially in  $SN_\beta$ .
- $t = z c_1 \dots c_n$  ( $z \neq x$ ). By the **i.h.** on  $c_i$  ( $type(u)$  is equal,  $\mu_\beta(-)$  decreases and  $size(-)$  strictly decreases.).
- $t = x c_1 \dots c_n$ . By the **i.h.**  $C_i = c_i\{x\backslash u\} \in SN_\beta$ . It is sufficient to show that all the reducts of  $T = t\{x\backslash u\} = u C_1 \dots C_n$  are in  $SN_\beta$ . We reason by **induction** on  $\mu_\beta(u) + \sum_i \mu_\beta(C_i)$ . The reducts of  $T$  are:
  - $u' C_1 \dots C_n$ , where  $u \rightarrow u'$ . Apply the **i.h.**
  - $u C_1 \dots C'_i \dots C_n$ , where  $C_i \rightarrow C'_i$ . Apply the **i.h.**
  - $v\{y\backslash C_1\} C_2 \dots C_n$ , where  $u = \lambda y.v$ . But  $v\{y\backslash C_1\} C_2 \dots C_n = (z C_2 \dots C_n)\{z\backslash v\{y\backslash C_1\}\}$  and  $type(v\{y\backslash C_1\}) < type(u)$ . We thus conclude by the **i.h.** since  $z C_2 \dots C_n$  and  $v\{y\backslash C_1\}$  are typed and belong to  $SN_\beta$  by the **i.h.**

- $t = \lambda y.v$ . By the **i.h.** on  $v$  ( $type(u)$  and  $\mu_\beta(-)$  are equal,  $size(-)$  strictly decreases).
- $t = (\lambda y.b) c_1 \dots c_n$ . By the **i.h.**  $B = b\{x\backslash u\}$ , and  $C_i = c_i\{x\backslash u\}$  are in  $SN_\beta$ . It is sufficient to show that all the reducts of  $T = t\{x\backslash u\} = (\lambda y.B) C_1 \dots C_n$  are in  $SN_\beta$ . We proceed by **induction** on  $\mu_\beta(u) + \mu_\beta(B) + \sum_i \mu_\beta(C_i)$ . The reducts of  $T$  are:
  - $(\lambda y.B') C_1 \dots C_n$ , where  $B \rightarrow B'$ . Apply the **i.h.**
  - $(\lambda y.B) C_1 \dots C'_i \dots C_n$ , where  $C_i \rightarrow C'_i$ . Apply the **i.h.**
  - $B\{y\backslash C_1\}C_2 \dots C_n$ . But  $B\{y\backslash C_1\}C_2 \dots C_n = (b\{y\backslash c_1\}c_2 \dots c_n)\{x\backslash u\}$  and  $\mu_\beta(b\{y\backslash c_1\}c_2 \dots c_n) < \mu_\beta(t)$ . Thus  $B\{y\backslash C_1\}C_2 \dots C_n \in SN_\beta$  by the **i.h.**

**Remark:** The base case  $\langle \text{base type}, 0, 1 \rangle$  necessarily corresponds to a variable of base type, which is a particular case of the already detailed case  $t = z$ .

## Theorem

If  $t$  is typable, then  $t \in SN_\beta$ .

## Proof.

By induction on the typed term  $t$ .

- Case  $t = x$  is trivial.
- Case  $t = \lambda y.u$  holds by the i.h.
- For the case  $t = u v$ , we use the fact that  $t = (z v)\{z \setminus u\}$ , where  $z$  is a fresh variable, and then apply previous lemma (verification of the hypothesis is easy).



## Fourth proof of the SN property

See for example Gandy's proof by Alexandre Miquel.

A combinatorial proof of strong normalisation for the simply typed lambda-calculus.

<http://www.pps.univ-paris-diderot.fr/~miquel/publis/sn1am.pdf>

# Strong Normalization of Girard's System F

## Reducibility Candidates

- **Remind the relation**  $\rightarrow_F$  :

$$(\lambda x : A.t)u \rightarrow t\{x \setminus u\}$$

$$(\Lambda \alpha t)[A] \rightarrow t\{\alpha \setminus A\}$$

- **Strongly Normalizing Terms:**  $t \in SN_F$  iff there is no infinite  $\rightarrow_F$  reduction sequence starting at  $t$ .
- **Neutral Terms:** Terms that are not abstractions.

### Definition

A **reducibility candidate** of type  $A$  is a set  $\mathcal{R}$  of terms of type  $A$  such that

(CR1) If  $t \in \mathcal{R}$ , then  $t \in SN_F$

(CR2) If  $t \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $t \rightarrow_F t'$ , then  $t' \in \mathcal{R}$

(CR3) If  $t$  is a neutral term and  $(t \rightarrow_F t' \text{ implies } t' \in \mathcal{R})$ , then  $t \in \mathcal{R}$ .

### Definition

If  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $\mathcal{S}$  are reducibility candidates of type  $A$  and  $B$  respectively, then  $\mathcal{R} \Rightarrow \mathcal{S}$  is a set of terms of type  $A \rightarrow B$  defined by

$$t \in \mathcal{R} \Rightarrow \mathcal{S} \text{ iff } \forall u. (u \in \mathcal{R} \text{ implies } tu \in \mathcal{S})$$



- A consequence of (CR3): If  $t$  is a neutral and  $F$ -normal term, then  $t \in \mathcal{R}$ .
- $\mathcal{R}$  of type  $A$  is never empty, it contains at least the variables of type  $A$ .
- The set  $\{t \in SN_F \text{ and } t \text{ of type } A\}$  is a reducibility candidate.
- The set  $\mathcal{R} \Rightarrow \mathcal{S}$  is a reducibility candidate.

## Definition

Let  $T$  be a type where  $\text{t.fv}(T) \subseteq \vec{\alpha}$ . We write  $T\{\vec{\alpha}\backslash\vec{A}\}$  for the simultaneous substitution of  $\vec{\alpha}$  by  $\vec{A}$ . Given  $\vec{\mathcal{R}}$  a sequence of reducibility candidates, we define a set  $\text{RED}_T(\vec{\alpha}, \vec{\mathcal{R}})$  of terms of type  $T\{\vec{\alpha}\backslash\vec{A}\}$ .

- If  $T = \alpha_i$ , then  $\text{RED}_{\alpha_i}(\vec{\alpha}, \vec{\mathcal{R}})$  is  $\mathcal{R}_i$
- If  $T = A \rightarrow B$ , then  $\text{RED}_{A \rightarrow B}(\vec{\alpha}, \vec{\mathcal{R}})$  is  $\text{RED}_A(\vec{\alpha}, \vec{\mathcal{R}}) \Rightarrow \text{RED}_B(\vec{\alpha}, \vec{\mathcal{R}})$
- If  $T = \forall\gamma.B$ , then  $\text{RED}_{\forall\gamma.B}(\vec{\alpha}, \vec{\mathcal{R}})$  is the set of terms  $t$  of type  $T\{\vec{\alpha}\backslash\vec{A}\}$  such that for every type  $C$  and reducibility candidate  $S$  of this type, then  $t[C] \in \text{RED}_B(\vec{\alpha}\gamma, \vec{\mathcal{R}}S)$

## Lemma

$\text{RED}_T(\vec{\alpha}, \vec{\mathcal{R}})$  is a reducibility candidate of type  $T\{\vec{\alpha} \setminus \vec{A}\}$ .

## Lemma

$\text{RED}_{T\{\gamma \setminus B\}}(\vec{\alpha}, \vec{\mathcal{R}}) = \text{RED}_T(\vec{\alpha}\gamma, \vec{\mathcal{R}} \text{RED}_B(\vec{\alpha}, \vec{\mathcal{R}}))$ .

## Lemma

If for every type  $B$  and candidate  $S$ ,  $t\{\gamma \setminus B\} \in \text{RED}_A(\vec{\alpha}\gamma, \vec{\mathcal{R}}S)$ , then  $\Lambda\gamma t \in \text{RED}_{\forall\gamma.A}(\vec{\alpha}, \vec{\mathcal{R}})$ .

## Lemma

If  $t \in \text{RED}_{\forall\gamma.A}(\vec{\alpha}, \vec{\mathcal{R}})$ ,  $t[B] \in \text{RED}_{A\{\gamma \setminus B\}}(\vec{\alpha}, \vec{\mathcal{R}})$ . for every type  $B$ .

### Definition

A term  $t$  of type  $A$  is **reducible** if  $t \in \text{RED}_A(\vec{\alpha}, \vec{SN})$  where  $\vec{\alpha} = \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n$  are the free type variables of  $A$ , and  $\vec{SN}$  is  $SN_1 \dots SN_n$ , where  $SN_i$  is the set of terms of  $SN_F$  of type  $\alpha_i$ .

## Theorem

*All typed terms of system  $F$  are reducible.*

Corollary (by CR1)

## Corollary

*All typed terms of system  $F$  are in  $SN_F$ .*

## Lemma

*Let  $t$  be a term of type  $A$ . Suppose  $\text{fv}(t) \subseteq \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  and  $x_i$  is of type  $B_i$ . Suppose  $\text{tfv}(A, B_1, \dots, B_n) \subseteq \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m\}$ . If  $\{\mathcal{R}_1, \dots, \mathcal{R}_m\}$  are reducibility candidates of types  $\{C_1, \dots, C_m\}$ , and  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  are terms of types  $B_1\{\vec{\alpha}\vec{C}\}, \dots, B_n\{\vec{\alpha}\vec{C}\}$  which are in  $\text{RED}_{B_1}(\vec{\alpha}, \vec{\mathcal{R}}), \dots, \text{RED}_{B_n}(\vec{\alpha}, \vec{\mathcal{R}})$  resp., then  $t\{\vec{\alpha}\vec{C}\}\{\vec{x}\vec{v}\} \in \text{RED}_A(\vec{\alpha}, \vec{\mathcal{R}})$ .*