

Vitaly Bergelson, Ohio State University.

Normal sets in $(\mathbb{N}, +)$ and (\mathbb{N}, \times) .

(the talk is based on joint work with
 Michał Misiurewicz and Tomasz Downarowicz:
 "A fresh look at the notion of normality",
 Annali Scuola Normale Superiore de Pisa, Vol XXI, 2020,
 pp. 27–88)

Introduction.

Let x be an irrational number in $[0, 1]$, let $b \in \mathbb{N}$, $b \geq 2$,
 and consider the expansion $x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_i(x)}{b^i}$.

Identifying x with the sequence $(d_i(x))_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, let us say
that x is normal in base b if any finite word

$w = w_1 w_2 \dots w_k$ with $w_i \in \{0, 1, \dots, b-1\}$ appears in
 the sequence $(d_i(x))_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ with "correct" frequency.

It was Émile Borel who, in 1909, introduced the notion
 of normality and (modulo some gaps which were fixed later)
 proved the following surprising result:

For any fixed $b \in \mathbb{N}$, $b \geq 2$, almost every $x \in [0, 1]$
 is normal in base b .

Corollary: Almost every $x \in [0, 1]$ is base b normal
 for all $b \geq 2$.

For convenience, we will take $B=2$ and deal with the normality of numbers in $[0,1]$ via the binary sequences coming from the expansion $x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x_n}{2^n}$. (2)

It is also natural to identify elements of $\{0,1\}^N$ with subsets of N : $\forall A \subseteq N, I_A \in \{0,1\}^N$.
 (This way, one can talk about normal sets in N).

Given $x = (x_n) \in \{0,1\}^N$ and a finite 0-1 word $w = w_1 w_2 \dots w_k \in \{0,1\}^k$, let $N(w, x, n)$ denote the number of times the word w occurs as a subword of the initial string $x_1 x_2 \dots x_n$ of x :

$$N(w, x, n) := |\{m \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-k+1\} : x_m x_{m+1} \dots x_{m+k-1} = w\}|$$

Definition. A sequence $x \in \{0,1\}^N$ is normal if $\forall k \in N$ and every $w \in \{0,1\}^k$ one has

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(w, x, n)}{n} = \frac{1}{2^k} \quad (*)$$

A sequence $x \in \{0,1\}^N$ is simply normal if formula (*) takes place for any word of length one.

Some equivalent forms of normality:

- (i) Number $x \in [0, 1]$ is base b normal if and only if it is simply normal in all the bases b, b^2, b^3, \dots (Pillai, 1940)
- (ii) Number $x \in [0, 1]$ is base b normal if and only if there exists a set of positive integers $m_1 < m_2 < m_3 < \dots$ such that x is simply normal to base b^{m_i} for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ (but no finite set of m 's will suffice). (Long, 1957)
- (iii) (Borel's original definition; the proof was supplied only in 1951 by Niven and Zuckerman).

A number $x \in [0, 1]$ is normal in base b if and only if $\forall k, m \in \mathbb{N}$ the numbers $b^k x \bmod 1$ are simply normal in base b^k .

- (iv) Number $x \in [0, 1]$ is normal in base b if and only if $(b^n x)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is uniformly distributed mod 1. (Wall, 1949).

Raikov proved in 1936 (without using ergodic theory) that for any $f \in L^1[0, 1]$ and any $B \geq 2, B \in \mathbb{N}$, one has

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f(b^k x \bmod 1) = \int_0^1 f(t) dt$$

for a.e. $x \in [0, 1]$

4

In the definition of normality (formula (*)) we used averaging along the intervals $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

It is natural to replace the intervals $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ by a general Følner sequence $(F_n) \subseteq (\mathbb{N}, +)$. This leads to the notion of (F_n) -normality (which reduces to the classical normality when $F_n = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$).

Reminder: (F_n) is a Følner sequence in $(\mathbb{N}, +)$ if

$$\forall k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ one has } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|F_n \cap (F_n - k)|}{|F_n|} = 1$$

Let $x = (x_n) \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$, let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $w = w_1 w_2 \dots w_k \in \{0, 1\}^k$. Define:

$$N(w, x, F_n) = |\{m \in \mathbb{N} : \{m, m+1, \dots, m+k-1\} \subset F_n \text{ & } x_m x_{m+1} \dots x_{m+k-1} = w\}|$$

Definition. A sequence $x \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is (F_n) -normal if $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $w \in \{0, 1\}^k$ one has:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(w, x, F_n)}{|F_n|} = \frac{1}{2^k}$$

Now, let G be a discrete countable cancellative amenable semigroup. By (carefully) imitating the above approach one can introduce, for any Følner sequence $(F_n) \subseteq G$, the notion of (F_n) -normality for elements $x = (x_g)_{g \in G} \in \{0,1\}^G$.

$(F_n) \subseteq G$ is Følner sequence if $\forall g \in G, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|F_n \cap g^{-1}F_n|}{|F_n|} = 1$

Let λ denote the $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ product measure on $\{0,1\}^G$.

Let $\tau_g, g \in G$, denote the "shift" on the symbolic space $\{0,1\}^G$:

for $g \in G$ and $x = (x_h)_{h \in G}$, $\tau_g(x) := (x_{hg})_{h \in G}$.

Theorem. For any Følner sequence $(F_n) \subseteq G$ with $|F_n| \nearrow \infty$, for any continuous function f on $\{0,1\}^G$ and for λ -almost every $x \in \{0,1\}^G$ we have:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \sum_{g \in F_n} f(\tau_g x) = \int f d\lambda$$

Remark. The general pointwise ergodic theorem for amenable groups (proved by Lindenstrauss in 2001) assumes that the Følner sequence is tempered. In absence of this condition theorem does not work. For example, the sequence of intervals $[n, n+\sqrt{n}]$ in $(\mathbb{N}, +)$ is not tempered and the pointwise ergodic theorem fails along this sequence (Akcoglu & del Junco, 1975). But our situation is rather special: the action is Bernoulli and f is continuous (also $|F_n| \nearrow \infty$).

In total similarity to the classical normality, we have the following theorem:

Theorem. Let G be a countable, cancellative amenable semigroup and let (F_n) be a Følner sequence in G . Then the set of (F_n) -normal elements $x \in \{0, 1\}^G$ is of first Baire category in $\{0, 1\}^G$.

Corollary. Let G be either $(N, +)$ or (N, \times) , and let (F_n) be a Følner sequence in G . Then the set of (F_n) -normal numbers in $[0, 1]$ (i.e. numbers which have (F_n) -normal binary expansions) is of first category.

Some notions of largeness in (N, \times) .

There is an example of a Følner sequence in (N, \times) :
Let (a_n) be an arbitrary sequence in N .

Let $F_n = \{a_n p_1^{i_1} p_2^{i_2} \dots p_n^{i_n} : 0 \leq i_j \leq k_{j,n}; j=1, 2, \dots, n\}$

where $\{p_n\}$ is the set of primes and $\forall j, k_{j,n} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \infty$.

Definition. Let (F_n) be a Følner sequence in (N, \times) . Then the upper density of a set $A \subseteq (N, \times)$ w.r.t. (F_n) is defined as follows:

$$\overline{d}_{(F_n)}^{\times}(A) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|A \cap F_n|}{|F_n|}$$

It is not hard to show that for any $A \subseteq (N, \times)$, any Følner sequence $(F_n) \subseteq (N, \times)$ and any $m \in N$, $\overline{d}_{(F_n)}^{\times}(A) = \overline{d}_{(F_n)}^{\times}(mA) = \overline{d}_{(F_n)}^{\times}(A/m)$

A set $S \subseteq G$ is called thick, if it contains a translate ⁽⁷⁾ of any finite set. In particular:

(i) $S \subseteq (\mathbb{N}, +)$ is thick if and only if S contains arbitrarily long intervals

(ii) $S \subseteq (\mathbb{N}, \times)$ is thick if and only if S contains arbitrarily large sets of the form

$$a_n \{1, 2, \dots, n\} = \{a_n, 2a_n, \dots, na_n\}.$$

Remark. Any (F_n) -normal set is thick.

Combinatorial and Diophantine properties of additively and multiplicatively normal sets in \mathbb{N} .

Convenient abbreviations:

a.n.s. - additively normal set

c.n.s. - "classical" normal set (corresponds to $F_n = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$)

m.n.s. - multiplicatively normal set. $\text{in } (\mathbb{N}, +)$

First (rather cheap) observation: since normal sets are thick, they contain all kinds of configurations which follow from thickness. For example, any a.n.s. contains "finite sums" set $FS(n_i)_{i=1}^{\infty} = \{n_{i_1} + n_{i_2} + \dots + n_{i_k}; i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k; k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and, similarly, any m.n.s. contains sets of the form

$$FP(m_i)_{i=1}^{\infty} = \{m_{i_1} m_{i_2} \dots m_{i_k}; i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k; k \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Somewhat surprisingly, any u.n.s. contains arbitrarily large sets of the form $\text{FS}(n_i)_{i=1}^k$ (but not necessarily the infinite finite sums sets $\text{FS}(n_i)_{i=1}^\infty$).

On the other hand, even if A is a c.n.s. on $(\mathbb{N}, +)$, it does not have to contain triples of the form $\{a, b, ab\}$ (A.Fish, 2005).

Still, the following theorems show that c.n.s. do have rather rich structure.

Theorem. Let $A \subset \mathbb{N}$ be a c.n.s. Then, for any Følner sequence (k_n) in (\mathbb{N}, \times) there exists a set $E \subset \mathbb{N}$ with

$d_{(k_n)}^x(E) = \frac{1}{2}$, such that for any nonempty

finite set $\{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k\} \subset E$, the set

$A_{/n_1} \cap A_{/n_2} \cap \dots \cap A_{/n_k}$ has positive upper density on $(\mathbb{N}, +)$.

Invoking some known results about multiplicatively large sets, one has the following corollary.

Theorem. Any "classical" normal set in $(\mathbb{N}, +)$ contains, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, sets of the form $\{b(a+id)^j; 0 \leq i, j \leq n\}$ for some $a, b, d \in \mathbb{N}$.

Some (hard!) open problems.

1. Let $\Omega(n) :=$ number of prime factors of $n \in \mathbb{N}$
 (with multiplicities)

Let $E = \{n : \Omega(n) \text{ is even}\}; O = \{n : \Omega(n) \text{ is odd}\}$

It is easy to see that for any Følner sequence $(F_n) \subseteq (\mathbb{N}, \times)$

$$d_{(F_n)}^x(E) = d_{(F_n)}^x(O) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

A much deeper result (which is equivalent to PNT) is this:

$$d(E) = d(O) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Conjecture (Well Known). E (and hence O) is c.u.s. in $(\mathbb{N}, +)$.

2. Let (F_n) be a Følner sequence in $(\mathbb{N}, +)$ and let (k_n) be
 a Følner sequence in (\mathbb{N}, \times) . Assume that $|F_n| \nearrow \infty$ and $|k_n| \nearrow \infty$.

Let σ denote the $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ product measure on $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$.

We know that σ -almost every $x \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is both
 additively (F_n) -normal and multiplicatively (k_n) -normal.

Can you give a nice Champernowne-like example of
 such x (for your favorite (F_n) and (k_n))?