The asymptotic behaviour of Sudler products

Manuel Hauke

University of Technology Graz

November 29, 2022

Manuel Hauke

University of Technology Graz



2 The almost sure behaviour

 $\fbox{3}$ The case of badly approximable lpha

Manuel Hauke The asymptotic behaviour of Sudler products

Introduction

- For $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}, N \in \mathbb{N}$, we define the Sudler product at stage N as $P_N(\alpha) := \prod_{r=1}^N 2|\sin \pi r \alpha|.$
- This product appears in many different areas of mathematics (restricted partition functions, KAM theory, Padé approximants, almost Mathieu operators, Kashaev invariants of hyperbolic knots, ...).
- $\log P_N(\alpha) = \sum_{r=1}^N \log(2|\sin \pi r \alpha|)$ is a Birkhoff sum for circle rotations with logarithmic singularities at the integers.
- Question: What happens for $P_N(\alpha)$ when $N \to \infty$?
- For rational α, P_N(α) = 0 eventually, 1-periodic in α, so from now on α ∈ [0, 1) \ Q.

Different directions of analysis

- $\lim_{N\to\infty} \sup_{\alpha\in[0,1]} P_N(\alpha)^{1/N} = C \approx 1.22$ (Erdős, Szekeres, Sudler, Wright).
- Pointwise behaviour (Lubinsky, Saff): $\lim_{N\to\infty} P_N(\alpha)^{1/N} = 1$ for almost every α .
- Convergence in measure (Borda): $\frac{\max_{1 \le N \le M} \log P_N(\alpha)}{\log M \log \log M} \xrightarrow[M \to \infty]{12V}{\pi^2}.$
- Topic of the talk is the pointwise behaviour, i.e. α is fixed and N varies.

Directions of this talk

- Erdős + Szekeres proved that lim inf_{N→∞} P_N(α) = 0 for almost all α.
- What is the speed of convergence for typical α ?
- What is the asymptotic density of those *N* where this speed of convergence is attained?
- What can be said about the lim sup?
- Can we characterize those N where P_N(α) is large/resp. small?
- Lubinsky: $\liminf_{N\to\infty} P_N(\alpha) = 0$ for all non-badly approximable numbers.
- What happens for α being a badly approximable number?

Connection with Diophantine approximation

Let α = [0; a₁, a₂,...], a_i ∈ N be the continued fraction expansion of α and p_k/q_k the convergents. Morally, 1 ≪ P_{qk}(α) ≪ 1 since {{nα} : 1 ≤ n ≤ q_k} equidistributes well in [0, 1):

$$\log P_{q_k}(\alpha) = \sum_{r=1}^{q_k} \log \left(|2\sin(\pi(r\underbrace{(\alpha - p_k/q_k)}_{:=d_k \approx 1/a_{k+1}q_k^2} + rp_k/q_k))| \right)$$
$$= \sum_{r \mapsto rp_k^{-1}}^{q_k} \log \left(|2\sin(\pi(rp_k^{-1}d_k + r/q_k))| \right)$$
$$\approx q_k \cdot \int_0^1 \log(2|\sin \pi x|) \, \mathrm{d}x = 0,$$

with the size of the deviatiaton from 0 determined by $(q_k \cdot p_k^{-1})d_k$ (and thus, of a_{k+1}).

Manuel Hauke

Ostrowski decomposition

$$P_{q_k+q_{k-1}}(\alpha) = P_{q_k}(\alpha) \cdot \prod_{r=1}^{q_{k-1}} 2|\sin(\pi(r\alpha + \underbrace{\{q_k\alpha\}}_{\text{small}}))|$$
$$\approx P_{q_k}(\alpha) \cdot P_{q_{k-1}}(\alpha).$$

 Ostrowski numeration with respect to α = [0; a₁, a₂,...]: We can write every integer N < q_{n+1} uniquely as

$$N = \sum_{i=0}^{n} b_i q_i, \quad 0 \le b_i \le a_{i+1}, \text{if } b_i = a_{i+1} \Rightarrow b_{i-1} = 0.$$

We use this numeration for the following decomposition:

Ostrowski decomposition

Proposition (Aistleitner, Technau, Zafeiropoulos)

Write $\delta_i = ||q_i \alpha|| \approx 1/(q_i a_{i+1})$ and let $N = \sum_{i=0}^n b_i q_i$ be in its Ostrowski numeration. Then

$$P_N(\alpha) = \prod_{i=0}^n \prod_{k=0}^{b_i-1} P_{q_i}(\alpha, \varepsilon_{i,k}(N))$$

where

$$P_{q_n}(\alpha,\varepsilon) := \prod_{r=1}^{q_n} 2 \left| \sin \left(\pi \left(r\alpha + (-1)^n \frac{\varepsilon}{q_n} \right) \right) \right|$$

and

$$arepsilon_{i,k}(\mathsf{N}) := q_i \left(k \delta_i + \sum_{j=1}^{n-i} (-1)^j b_{i+j} \delta_{i+j}
ight)$$

Manuel Hauke

University of Technology Graz

Reflection principle

$$P_{q_k-1}(lpha) pprox \prod_{r=1}^{q_k-1} 2|\sin(\pi r/q_k)| = q_k$$
. So for $0 \le N < q_k$, we have

$$P_N(\alpha) = P_{q_k-1}(\alpha) \Big/ \Big(\prod_{\substack{r=N+1\\ q_k-N-1}}^{q_k-1} 2|\sin(\pi r\alpha)| \Big)$$
$$= P_{q_k-1}(\alpha) \Big/ \Big(\prod_{\substack{r=1\\ r=1}}^{q_k-N-1} 2|\sin(\pi(r\alpha - \underbrace{q_k\alpha}_{\text{small}}))| \Big) \approx \frac{q_k}{P_{q_k-N-1}(\alpha)}.$$

Thus,

$$\limsup_{N\to\infty}\frac{P_N(\alpha)}{N}\approx\frac{1}{\liminf_{N\to\infty}P_N(\alpha)}.$$

Manuel Hauke

University of Technology Graz





${}_{\bigcirc}$ The case of badly approximable lpha

Manuel Hauke The asymptotic behaviour of Sudler products

Theorem (Bernstein, 1912)

Let $\psi : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ be an increasing function. Then for almost every $\alpha = [0; a_1, a_2, \ldots]$, $\limsup_{k \to \infty} \frac{a_k}{\psi(k)} > 0 \Leftrightarrow \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\psi(n)} = \infty$.

Theorem (Khintchine, 1924)

Let $\psi : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}$ be such that $q\psi(q)$ is decreasing. Then for a.e. α

$$\#\left\{(p,q)\in\mathbb{Z}:\left|\alpha-\frac{p}{q}\right|<\frac{\psi(q)}{q}\right\}=\infty\Longleftrightarrow\sum_{q=1}^{\infty}\psi(q)=\infty.$$

Manuel Hauke

Theorem (Lubinsky, 1999)

Let $\psi: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a positive increasing function. Then for almost every α we have

 $\limsup_{N\to\infty} \log P_N(\alpha) \ge \psi(\log N), \quad \liminf_{N\to\infty} \log P_N(\alpha) \le -\psi(\log N)$

if and only if $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\psi(n)} = \infty$.

So in particular,

 $\log N \log \log N \ll \max_{M \leq N} \log P_M(\alpha) \ll \log N (\log \log N)^{1+\varepsilon}, \quad N \to \infty.$

Reflection principle log $P_N(\alpha) \approx \log N - \log P_{q_k-N-1}(\alpha)$ shows

$$\max_{1 \le N < q_k} \log P_N(\alpha) \approx -\min_{1 \le N < q_k} \log P_N(\alpha).$$

Upper density

Recall: The upper density of a set $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is defined as

$$\limsup_{M\to\infty}\frac{\#\{N\leq M:N\in A\}}{M}$$

Theorem (Borda)

Let $\psi : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a positive non-decreasing function with $\sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\psi(n)} = \infty$. For almost every α , the sets

$$\{N \in \mathbb{N} : \log P_N(\alpha) \ge \psi(\log N)\}, \\ \{N \in \mathbb{N} : \log P_N(\alpha) \le -\psi(\log N)\}\}$$

both have upper density at least $\pi^2/(1440V^2) \approx 0.2627$.

Manuel Hauke

Theorem (H., 2022+)

Let ψ be an increasing, positive function such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\psi(n)} = \infty$. Then for almost every α , the set

```
\{N \in \mathbb{N} : \log P_N(\alpha) \le -\psi(\log N)\}
```

has upper density 1. The set

 $\{N \in \mathbb{N} : \log P_N(\alpha) \ge \psi(\log N)\}$

has upper density at least 1/2, with equality if $\liminf_{k\to\infty} \frac{\psi(k)}{k\log k} \ge C$ for some absolute constant C > 0.

- Even the union of those two sets has lower density 0.
- The reflection principle suggests symmetry of the densities of the sets this is not the case!

Almost sure continued fraction properties

• (Bernstein, 1912): For any non-negative function $\psi:\mathbb{N}\to [0,\infty)$ we have

$$\#\left\{k\in\mathbb{N}:a_k>\psi(k)\right\} \text{ is } \begin{cases} \text{ infinite } & \text{ if } \sum\limits_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\psi(k)}=\infty,\\ \text{ finite } & \text{ if } \sum\limits_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\psi(k)}<\infty. \end{cases}$$

• (Khintchine and Lévy, 1936):

$$\log q_k \sim rac{\pi^2}{12\log 2}k$$
 as $k o \infty$.

• (Diamond and Vaaler, 1986):

$$\sum_{\ell \leq K} a_{\ell} - \max_{\ell \leq K} a_{\ell} \sim \frac{K \log K}{\log 2}, \quad K \to \infty.$$

Manuel Hauke

Corollary

For almost every α and $\psi : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}$ increasing with $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\psi(k)} = \infty$, there exist infinitely many $K \in \mathbb{N}$ such that: • $\psi(K) < a_K < K^2$. • $\sum_{\ell=1}^{K-1} a_{\ell} \ll K \log K.$ K-1• Assuming $\psi(K) > C \cdot K \log K$, we have $\sum_{\ell=1}^{K-1} a_{\ell} = o(a_K)$. • For most $1 < N < q_K$ $\psi(\log N) \asymp \psi(\log q_{\kappa}) \asymp \psi(K) < a_{\kappa}.$

Proof Sketch

For almost every α , $P_{q_{\ell}}(\alpha, x) \approx |2\sin(\pi x)|$ (technical estimates on cotangent sums by Aistleitner and Borda), hence

$$\log P_N(\alpha) \approx \sum_{\ell=0}^{K-1} \sum_{b=1}^{b_\ell - 1} \log \left| 2\sin\left(\pi \underbrace{bq_\ell \delta_\ell}{\approx b/a_{\ell+1}}\right) \right|$$

$$\lesssim a_K \int_0^{b_{K-1}/a_K} \log \left| 2\sin(\pi x) \right| \, \mathrm{d}x + \mathcal{O}\left(\log(2) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{K-1} a_i\right)$$

$$= \underbrace{a_K}_{>1000 \cdot \psi(\log N)} \left(\int_0^{b_{K-1}/a_K} \log \left| 2\sin(\pi x) \right| \, \mathrm{d}x + o(1) \right).$$

$$\log P_N(\alpha) \le -\psi(\log N) \text{ if } \int_0^{b_{K-1}/a_K} \log |2\sin(\pi x)| \, \mathrm{d}x < -\varepsilon < 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \varepsilon < b_{K-1}(N)/a_K < 1/2 - \varepsilon.$$

Manuel Hauke

$$\frac{\#\{1 \le \mathsf{N} \le q_{\mathsf{K}}/2 : \varepsilon < \mathsf{b}_{\mathsf{K}-1}(\mathsf{N})/\mathsf{a}_{\mathsf{K}} < 1/2 - \varepsilon\}}{q_{\mathsf{k}}/2} \sim 1 - 4\varepsilon.$$

Let $(K_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the sequence of those K where $\sum_{\ell=1}^{K-1} a_\ell = o(a_K)$. Then

$$\limsup_{j\to\infty}\frac{\#\{1\leq N\leq q_{\mathcal{K}_j}/2:\log P_N(\alpha)\leq -\psi(\log N)\}}{q_{\mathcal{K}_j}/2}>1-4\varepsilon\underset{\varepsilon\to 0}{\to}1.$$

By reflection principle: log $P_N(\alpha) \approx -\log P_{q_K-N-1}(\alpha)$, thus

$$\lim_{j\to\infty}\frac{\#\{1\leq N\leq q_{\mathcal{K}_j}:\log \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}(\alpha)\geq\psi(\log\mathcal{N})\}}{q_{\mathcal{K}_j}}=1/2.$$

Manuel Hauke

Let $a_{K_0} := \max_{1 \le \ell \le K} a_K$ and $b_{K_0-1}(N)/a_{K_0} < 1/2$ (happens for at least 50% of all N). Recall $\sum_{\ell \ne K_0} a_\ell \sim \frac{K \log K}{\log 2}$, thus

$$\log P_N(\alpha) \lesssim \log(2) \cdot \sum_{\ell \neq K_0}^{K-1} a_\ell + \underbrace{a_{K_0} \left(\int_0^{b_{K_0-1}/a_{K_0}} \log \left| 2\sin(\pi x) \right| \, \mathrm{d}x \right)}_{\leq 0}$$
$$\leq \frac{K \log K}{2} < \psi(\log N).$$

Manuel Hauke

- How large can we choose ψ such that |log P_N(α)| ≥ ψ(log N) holds on a set of positive lower density?
- What can be said about the distribution/growth of $\log \left(\prod_{r=1}^{N} 2|\sin(\pi(r\alpha + x_0))| \right) \text{ where } x_0 \notin \mathbb{Z}?$
- I expect its behaviour to be similiar if x₀ ∈ Q (probably not with the asymmetry), but much more involved if x₀ ∉ Q (inhomogeneous diophantine approximation).

Characterize N such that P_N is large/small

$$\log P_N(\alpha) \approx \sum_{\ell=0}^{K-1} \sum_{b=1}^{b_\ell - 1} \log \left| 2 \sin \left(\pi \underbrace{bq_\ell \delta_\ell}{\approx b/a_{\ell+1}} \right) \right|$$
$$\approx \sum_{\ell=0}^{K-1} a_\ell \int_0^{b_{\ell-1}/a_\ell} \log \left| 2 \sin(\pi x) \right| \, \mathrm{d}x$$
$$\leq \underbrace{\left(\sum_{\ell=0}^{K-1} a_\ell \right)}_{\text{typically} \frac{12}{\pi^2} \log N \log \log N} \underbrace{\max_{0 \le y \le 1} \int_0^y \log \left| 2 \sin(\pi x) \right| \, \mathrm{d}x}_{=V}$$
where $V := \int_0^{5/6} \log \left| 2 \sin(\pi x) \right| \, \mathrm{d}x \approx 0.1615.$

Manuel Hauke

Characterize N such that P_N is large/small

- Heuristic explanation behind Borda's convergence result $\frac{\max_{1 \le N \le M} \log P_N}{\log M \log \log M} \rightarrow \frac{12V}{\pi^2}$ in measure.
- Aistleitner and Borda: Let $N_0 := \arg \max_{1 \le N < q_K} P_N(\alpha)$ and $N^* := \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \lfloor (5/6) a_{k+1} \rfloor q_k$.

Under mild technical assumptions on α , the Ostrowski expansions of N_0 , N^* do not deviate much from each other.

• By the reflection principle, the minimizers' Ostrowski expansion is close to $\sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \lceil (1/6)a_{k+1} \rceil q_k$.



2 The almost sure behaviour

3 The case of badly approximable α

Manuel Hauke

University of Technology Graz

Lubinsky proved that

 $\liminf_{N\to\infty} P_N(\alpha) = 0$

holds for all α that have sufficiently large partial quotients ($\approx e^{800}$) infinitely often, conjecturing it to hold for every α .

- Conjecture disproven by Grepstad, Kaltenböck, Neumüller: lim $\inf_{N\to\infty} P_N(\phi) > 0 \ (\phi = [0; 1, 1, 1, ...]).$
- Behaviour depends delicately on the actual size of the partial quotients.

lim inf_{$N\to\infty$} $P_N(\alpha)$ for badly approximable α

• Question: If $\alpha = [0; a_1, a_2, ...]$ with $\limsup_{m \to \infty} a_m < e^{800}$, when do we have $\liminf_{N \to \infty} P_N(\alpha) = 0$?

Proposition (Aistleitner, Borda)

For badly approximable α , we have

$$\liminf_{N\to\infty} \mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{N}}(\alpha) > \mathsf{0} \Leftrightarrow \limsup_{N\to\infty} \frac{\mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{N}}(\alpha)}{\mathsf{N}} < \infty.$$

Theorem (Aistleitner, Technau, Zafeiropoulos)

If $\alpha = [0; a, a, \ldots]$, then $\liminf_{N \to \infty} P_N(\alpha) > 0 \Leftrightarrow a \le 5$.

Manuel Hauke

University of Technology Graz

Theorem (Grepstad, Neumüller, Zafeiropoulos)

If α is a quadratic irrational and $\limsup_{m \to \infty} a_m \ge 23$, then $\liminf_{N \to \infty} P_N(\alpha) = 0.$ Conjecture: $\limsup_{m \to \infty} a_m \ge 6$ implies $\liminf_{N \to \infty} P_N(\alpha) = 0.$

Theorem (H., 2022+)

Let
$$\alpha = [0; a_1, a_2, ...]$$
 with $\limsup_{m \to \infty} a_m \ge 7$, then
 $\liminf_{N \to \infty} P_N(\alpha) = 0.$
For any $1 \le a \le 6$, there exists a (quadratic) irrational α with
 $\limsup_{m \to \infty} a_m = a$ and $\liminf_{N \to \infty} P_N(\alpha) > 0.$

- No restriction to quadratic irrationals.
- Sharp threshold value, disproving the conjecture.
- Not an equivalence as in the case of quadratic irrationals with period length 1: behaviour of lim inf_{N→∞} P_N(α) is not completely determined by the value of lim sup_{m→∞} a_m.

Proof idea

Recall

$$P_N(\alpha) = \prod_{i=0}^n \prod_{k=0}^{b_i-1} P_{q_i}(\alpha, \varepsilon_{i,k}(N)).$$

- Idea: If there is a subsequence $(N_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $P_{q_i}(\alpha, \varepsilon_{i,k}(N_j)) < 1$ holds for almost all (i, k), then $\lim_{j \to \infty} P_{N_j}(\alpha) = 0$.
- Special case: Consider $N_j = \sum_{k=0}^{K_j} b_k q_k$ with $(b_0, \dots, b_{k_j}) = (1, 0, 0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, 0, \dots, 0, 1, \dots, 0, 0, 1).$ Then

$$P_{N_j}(\alpha) = \prod_{i=0}^{J} P_{q_{m_i}}(\alpha, \varepsilon_{m_i,0}(N)).$$

- $\varepsilon_{i,0}(N) \approx -\frac{b_{i+1}}{a_{i+1}a_{i+2}} + \frac{b_{i+2}}{a_{i+1}a_{i+2}a_{i+3}} \frac{b_{i+3}}{a_{i+1}a_{i+2}a_{i+3}a_{i+4}} + \dots$, so if $b_{i+1} = b_{i+2} = \dots = b_{i+j} = 0$, then $\varepsilon_{i,0}(N) \approx 0$.
- $P_{q_n}(\alpha, \varepsilon)$ is smooth as a function in ε , so $P_{q_n}(\alpha, \varepsilon_{i,0}(N)) \approx P_{q_n}(\alpha, 0) = P_{q_n}(\alpha)$.
- So it suffices to show that P_{qm}(α) < 1 holds for infinitely many m.

Proposition (H., 2022+)

Let
$$\alpha_k = \{q_{k-1}/q_k\} = [0; a_k, a_{k-1}, \dots, a_1], \delta_k := ||q_k \alpha||$$
. Writing

$$H_k(\alpha,\varepsilon) := 2\pi |\varepsilon + q_k \delta_k| \prod_{n=1}^{\lfloor q_k/2 \rfloor} h_{n,k}(\alpha,\varepsilon),$$

where

$$h_{n,k}(\alpha,\varepsilon) := \left| \left(1 - q_k \delta_k \frac{\{n\alpha_k\} - \frac{1}{2}}{n} \right)^2 - \frac{\left(\varepsilon + \frac{q_k \delta_k}{2}\right)^2}{n^2} \right|,$$

we have for any badly approximable α that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}|P_{q_k}(\alpha,\varepsilon)-H_k(\alpha,\varepsilon)|=0,$$

with the convergence being locally uniform.

Manuel Hauke

University of Technology Graz

Proof idea (cont.)

- We are left to show $H_k(\alpha, 0) < 1$ infinitely often.
- Elementary estimates reduce the problem to showing

$$\frac{\log\left(\frac{2\pi}{a_{k+1}+1/a_{k+2}+\alpha_k}\right)}{\frac{2}{a_{k+1}+1/a_{k+2}+\alpha_k}} < \sum_{n=1}^{q_k/2} \frac{\{n\alpha_k\}-1/2}{n}.$$

- The left-hand-side is decreasing in a_{k+1} , thus choose $a_{k+1} = 7$ (maximal).
- Partial summation: For T a large constant and E an error term,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{q_k/2} \frac{\{n\alpha_k\} - 1/2}{n} = \sum_{\ell=1}^{T} \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\ell} \{n\alpha_k\} - 1/2}{\ell^2} + E(T, \limsup_{r \to \infty} a_r).$$

Manuel Hauke

- We are left to show $H_k(\alpha, 0) < 1$ infinitely often.
- Morally, for large T, this is equivalent to

$$\frac{\log\left(\frac{2\pi}{a_{k+1}+1/a_{k+2}+\alpha_k}\right)}{\frac{2}{a_{k+1}+1/a_{k+2}+\alpha_k}} < \sum_{\ell=1}^{\tau} \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\ell} \{n\alpha_k\} - 1/2}{\ell^2}.$$
 (*)

• Now (*) is almost continuous in α_k !

Manuel Hauke

Visualization & Conclusion



Visualization of (*). The red line function is the right-hand side in α_k , the dotted line the left-hand side for $a_{k+1} = 7$. As the first partial quotients of α_k are ≤ 7 , α_k lies inside the grey area. This shows $H_k(\alpha, 0) < 1$ if $a_{k+1} \geq 7$, hence the statement follows.

Show that 7 is optimal

- We prove that $\alpha = [0; \overline{6, 5, 5}]$ fulfills $\liminf_{N \to \infty} P_N(\alpha) > 0$.
- Regrouping the shifted products (due to Aistleitner, Technau, Zafeiropoulos) shows

$$P_N(\alpha) = P_{q_n}(\alpha) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^n K_i(N)$$

where

$$\mathcal{K}_{i}(\mathcal{N}) := \prod_{k=1}^{b_{i}(\mathcal{N})-1} P_{q_{i}}(\alpha, \varepsilon_{i,k}(\mathcal{N})) \cdot P_{q_{i-1}}(\alpha, \varepsilon_{i-1,0}(\mathcal{N}))^{\mathbb{1}_{[b_{i-1}(\mathcal{N})\neq 0]}}.$$

The value of K_i(N) is almost determined by the Ostrowski coefficients b_{i-1}, b_i, b_{i+1}, b_{i+2}, b_{i+3}.

Lemma (H.,2022+)

Let $(b_{i-1}, b_i, b_{i+1}, b_{i+2}, b_{i+3}, b_{i+4}, b_{i+5})$ be possible Ostrowski coefficients for $\alpha = [0; \overline{6, 5, 5}]$, not all 0, i sufficiently large and

$$egin{aligned} & \mathcal{K}_i(b_{i-1}, b_i, b_{i+1}, b_{i+2}, b_{i+3}) \cdot \mathcal{K}_{i+1}(b_i, b_{i+1}, b_{i+2}, b_{i+3}, b_{i+4}) \ & \cdot \mathcal{K}_{i+2}(b_{i+1}, b_{i+2}, b_{i+3}, b_{i+4}, b_{i+5}) < 1.001. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$K_i \cdot K_{i+1} \cdot K_{i+2} \cdot K_{i+3} \cdot K_{i+4} \cdot K_{i+5} > 1.001.$$

Proof contains many case distinctions and computational assistance.

• With
$$P_N(\alpha) = P_{q_n}(\alpha) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^n K_i(N)$$
, the result follows.

Manuel Hauke

Theorem (H.,2022)

Let $1 \le a \le 5$, $\alpha = [0; a, a, ...]$.

- For $q_n \leq N < q_{n+1}$ we have $P_{q_n}(\alpha) \leq P_N(\alpha) \leq P_{q_{n+1}-1}(\alpha)$.
- $\min_{N\geq 1} P_N(\alpha) = P_1(\alpha).$
- Does a similar behaviour hold for any quadratic irrational without preperiod where lim inf_{N→∞} P_N(α) > 0?
- For $\limsup_{k\to\infty} a_k \leq 6$, it is in general open whether $\liminf_{N\to\infty} P_N(\alpha) > 0$.
- Conjecture: $\limsup_{k\to\infty} a_k \leq 3$ implies $\liminf_{N\to\infty} P_N(\alpha) > 0$ (this would be sharp since $\liminf_{N\to\infty} P_N([0; \overline{4, 1}]) = 0$).

Thanks for your attention!