On the distribution of sequences of the form $(q_n y)$ Joint work with Tomas Persson

Simon Kristensen

Department of Mathematics Aarhus University

17th September 2024

A little technical background Results on the Lebesgue measure of $W_{\alpha, \nu}$ Results on the Hausdorff dimension of $W_{\alpha, \nu}$

Some rather old work

- About 10 years ago, with Haynes and Jensen, we studied sequences of the form (q_ny).
- Our approach was aimed at saying something about Littlewood type problems. The *q_n* were typically denominators of convergents to other numbers.
- We were able to get discrepancy estimates for typical *y*, which allowed for conclusions for rapidly increasing sequences.
- It subsequently appeared natural to consider sets of the form

$$W_{y,lpha} = ig\{ x: \|q_ny - x\| < n^{-lpha} ext{ i.o.}ig\}$$

A little technical background Results on the Lebesgue measure of $W_{\alpha,\nu}$ Results on the Hausdorff dimension of $W_{\alpha,\nu}$

Old work, new problems

$$W_{y,lpha} = \left\{ x : \|q_n y - x\| < n^{-lpha} ext{ i.o.}
ight\}$$

- If (q_n) is lacunary and α < 1/2, [HKJ] implies that this set is almost surely full.
- By contrast, the Borel–Cantelli lemma will not give a null set until until α > 1.
- The gap was closed for lacunary sequences in 2023 by Chow and Technau after many developments.
- For non-lacunary (*q_n*), there are still questions. Note however, that [HJK] does give a discrepancy estimate without lacunarity.

A little technical background Results on the Lebesgue measure of $W_{\alpha, V}$ Results on the Hausdorff dimension of $W_{\alpha, V}$

Old work, new problems

$$W_{y,lpha} = \left\{ x : \|q_n y - x\| < n^{-lpha} \text{ i.o.}
ight\}$$

- If α > 1, the set is null, but the Hausdorff dimension appears to not have been investigated.
- Using the Hausdorff–Cantelli lemma, it is not terribly difficult to prove that 1/v is an upper bound.

Progress on the problems

$$W_{y,lpha} = \left\{ x : \|q_n y - x\| < n^{-lpha} ext{ i.o.}
ight\}$$

- We have considered the case α < 1, but with more general sequences than lacunary ones. Less precise results than those of Chow and Technau have been obtained.
- Additionally, we have studied the Hausdorff dimension when α > 1. Our results are almost surely sharp for lacunary sequences; and for arbitrary sequences when α ≥ 3.

Almost surely?

- I have said 'almost surely' a few times. This implies a measure on the *y*'s.
- For us, the measures considered are Radon probability measures on [0, 1] with positive Fourier exponent η > 0, i.e. measures μ for which

$$|\hat{\mu}(t)| = \left|\int e^{2\pi i \mathbf{x}} \mathrm{d}\mu(\mathbf{x})\right| \ll |t|^{-\eta}.$$

 Such measures occur thoughout Diophantine approximation, e.g. as Lebesgue measure, Kaufmann's measure on badly approximable numbers og Kaufmann-Bluhm measures on w-approximable numbers.

Discrepancy?

• For a sequence $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in [0, 1) and $N \in \mathbb{N}$, recall that

$$D_N(x_n) = \sup_{I \subseteq [0,1)} |\#\{ n \le N : x_n \in I \} - N \lambda(I)|.$$

• The sequence $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is said to be uniformly distributed in [0, 1) if $D_N(x_n) = o(N)$.

And a key result!

Lemma (Persson and Reeve, 2015)

Let (μ_n) be a sequence of probability measures with supp $\mu_n \subset E_n \subset [0, 1]$, and such that μ_n converges weakly. If

$$I_{s}(\mu_{n}) := \iint |x-y|^{-s} \mathrm{d}\mu_{n}(x) \mathrm{d}\mu_{n}(y) \ll C$$

uniformly in n, then $E = \limsup E_n$ has Hausdorff dimension at least s and is Falconer intersective.

• Falconer intersective sets have stable Hausdorff dimension under intersection with sets from the same class.

Introduction A little technical background Results on the Lebesgue measure of $W_{\alpha, V}$

Results on the Hausdorff dimension of $W_{\alpha,v}$

A first result

Theorem

Suppose that μ is a probability measure of positive Fourier exponent with decay $|\hat{\mu}(\xi)| = O(|\xi|^{-\tau})$. If $(q_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of integers with $|q_n - q_m| > c|n - m|^{\frac{1}{\tau} + \varepsilon}$ for some $c, \varepsilon > 0$, and $\alpha < 1$, then $\lambda(W_{y,\alpha}) > 0$ for μ -almost every y.

Note that if μ is the Lebesgue measure, we can set $\tau = 1$, so sequences of quadratic growth will work.

Sketch of proof

- Let $A_k = B(q_k y, k^{-\nu})$, so that $|A_k| = 2k^{-\nu}$.
- The intersections are controlled on average over y,

$$\int \lambda(\boldsymbol{A}_k \cap \boldsymbol{A}_l) \, \mathrm{d}\mu \leq 8 l^{-\alpha} (k^{-\alpha} + \boldsymbol{c}_1 (l-k)^{-1-\tau\varepsilon}).$$

• Consider mean and variance in blocks,

$$S_{m,n}(y) = \sum_{k=m}^n \lambda(A_k), \ C_{m,n}(y) = \sum_{m \leq k, l \leq n} \lambda(A_k(y) \cap A_l(y)).$$

Sketch of proof

• Consider for $p \ge 1$ the sets

$$\bigg\{ \mathbf{y} : C_{m,n}(\mathbf{y}) \leq \mathbf{p} \int C_{m,n}(\mathbf{y}) \, \mathrm{d}\mu(\mathbf{y}) \bigg\}.$$

- The limsup set of these along an appropriate subsequence, G(p) say, will have μ-measure at least 1 - 1/p.
- Using Chung–Erdős (and some calculation), for *y* ∈ *G*(*p*), the Lebesgue measure of *W*_{y,α} is at least 1/(4*p*).
- Finally, the union of these sets is μ -full.

A little technical background

Results on the Lebesgue measure of $W_{lpha, v}$

Results on the Hausdorff dimension of $W_{\alpha,v}$

A better result for Lebesgue measure

Theorem

Suppose that $(q_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of integers with $|q_n - q_m| > c|n - m|^{1+\varepsilon}$. For $\alpha < 1$, the set $W_{y,\alpha}$ is Lebesgue full for Lebesgue-almost every *y*.

This follows from the preceding theorem together with Fubini and an 'inflation trick' of Cassels.

Sketch of proof

• Fix
$$\alpha' \in (\alpha, 1)$$
.

- By the preceeding theorem, W_{y,α} has positive Lebesgue measure for almost every y.
- By Fubini,

$$\overline{W}_{lpha'} = \{(x,y) : \|q_ny - x\| < n^{-lpha'}$$
i.o $\}$

has positive measure.

Sketch of proof

- Now, let $\epsilon > 0$.
- A lemma in Cassels allows us to chose an integer *T*, so that if [0, 1)² is split into *T*² disjoint boxes of side length 1/*T*, such that one box *B* has

$$\lambda(B \cap \overline{W}_{lpha'}) > (1 - \epsilon)\lambda(B)$$

Now, scale the set by *T* and project back onto the unit square, so that for (*x*, *y*) outside a set of measure < *ϵ*, there is a point (*x'*, *y'*) ∈ *W*_{α'} with (*x*, *y*) = *T*(*x'*, *y'*).

Sketch of proof

For such a point (x, y),

$$\|q_ny - x\| = \|T(q_ny' - x')\| \le T\|q_ny' - x'\|.$$

• Since $(x', y') \in \overline{W}_{\alpha'}$, for infinitely many n,

$$T\|q_ny'-x'\| < Tn^{-\alpha'} = Tn^{\alpha-\alpha'}n^{-\alpha}.$$

- Since α' > α, the point (x, y) lies in W
 _α which in turn has Lebesgue measure > 1 − ε.
- Since $\epsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, \overline{W}_{α} is full.
- Another application of Fubini shows that almost all fibers are full.

Assuming lacunarity

Theorem

Let μ be a probability measure on [0, 1] with positive Fourier exponent, and let $(q_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a lacunary sequence of integers. For any $\alpha \ge 1$, for μ -almost all x,

$$\dim(W_{y,\alpha})=\frac{1}{\alpha}.$$

In fact, the set is intersective in the sense of Falconer.

Sketch of proof

- The upper bound is a straightforward covering argument.
- For the lower bound, we use Persson and Reeve, so define probability measures μ_N by

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mu_N}{\mathrm{d}x} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=N+1}^{2N} \frac{1}{2(2N)^{-\alpha}} \mathbb{1}_{B(q_k x, r_N)}, \qquad r_N = (2N)^{-\alpha}.$$

• We must bound the *t*-energies uniformly. As always,

$$I_t(\mu_N) = \iint |x - y|^{-t} d\mu(x) d\mu(y) = c_0 \int |\hat{\mu}_N(\xi)|^2 |\xi|^{t-1} d\xi.$$

Sketch of proof

• The challenge is in the Fourier-transform. First,

$$\hat{\mu}_N(\xi) = (2N)^{\alpha-1} \sum_{k=N+1}^{2N} \widehat{\mathbb{I}_{B(0,r_N)}}(\xi) e^{-i2\pi\xi q_k x},$$

Now, in any textbook we find

$$|\widehat{\mathbb{1}_{B(0,r_N)}}(\xi)|^2 \leq m_N(\xi) := \min\{2r_N, \pi|\xi|^{-1}\}^2.$$

• Hence,

$$\int |\hat{\mu}_N(\xi)|^2 \,\mathrm{d}\mu \leq (2N)^{2\alpha-2} m_N(\xi) \sum_{k=N+1}^{2N} \sum_{l=N+1}^{2N} \hat{\mu}((q_k - q_l)\xi).$$

Sketch of proof

• Since $|\hat{\mu}_N(\xi)|$ is uniformly bounded, we always have

$$\sum_{k=N+1}^{2N}\sum_{l=N+1}^{2N}\hat{\mu}((q_k-q_l)\xi)\leq cN^2.$$

• If $|\xi| \ge 1$, lacunarity allows us to beat this, so

$$\sum_{k=N+1}^{2N}\sum_{l=N+1}^{2N}\hat{\mu}((q_k-q_l)\xi)\leq CN.$$

No matter what, we find that

$$\int I_t(\mu_N) \,\mathrm{d}\mu \leq c_4 + c_5 N^{-1+\alpha t},$$

which is uniformly bounded in *N* provided $t < 1/\alpha$.

Sketch of proof

• For $t < 1/\alpha$ fixed,

$$\int I_t(\mu_N) \,\mathrm{d}\mu \leq c_4 + c_5 N^{-1+\alpha t},$$

- Thus, for μ-almost every y, we can find a sequence (N_k) along which the integrand is uniformly bounded.
- Appealing to Persson and Reeve, the proof is complete.

Non-lacunary sequences

- The first non-lacunary sequence, one can think of is $q_n = n$. For this sequence, everything is known!
- If y is rational, the $W_{y,\alpha}$ is trivially finite.
- Kim (2007) proved that W_{y,α} has full measure if α ≤ 1 and y is irrational.
- Bugeaud (2003) and independently Schmeling and Troubetskoy (2003) proved that the Hausdorff dimension is equal to 1/α for *y* irrational.
- Kim's result is best possible by Bugeaud, Harrap, K. and Velani (2010).

Non-lacunary sequences

- The results for $q_n = n$ depends on the sequence (ny) having a lot of strucure. By contrast, the results in this talk are more random in nature.
- In [HJK], we considered not the sequences (q_ny) directly, but rather derived a bound on their discrepancy.
- It appears natural to look at the set

 $W = \{ \gamma \in [0, 1) : \|x_n - \gamma\| < n^{-\alpha} \text{ infinitely often } \}.$

• [HKJ] tells us, that if $|\hat{\mu}(\xi)| = O(|\xi|^{-2\eta})$, then $D_N(q_n y) = O(N^{1-\eta'})$ for any $\eta' < \eta$.

General results

Theorem

Let $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence in [0, 1) with $D_N((x_n)) = O(N^{1-\eta})$ for some $\eta \in (0, 1)$. Then $\frac{\eta}{\alpha} \leq \dim W \leq \frac{1}{\alpha}$ for $\alpha \geq 1$.

Corollary

Let μ be a probability measure on [0, 1] with Fourier decay $|\hat{\mu}(\xi)| = O(|\xi|^{-2\eta})$, and let $(q_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be an increasing sequence of integers. For any $\alpha \ge 1$, for μ -almost all y,

$$\frac{\eta}{\alpha} \leq \dim W_{y,\alpha} \leq \frac{1}{\alpha}.$$

Closing the gap

- Even for Lebesgue measure, this leaves an annoying gap!
- Adding the additional assumption to the theorem that

$$\inf_{1\leq k< l\leq N} \|x_k - x_l\| \geq cN^{-\beta}, \quad 1\leq \beta \leq \eta(\alpha-1)+1,$$

the gap can be closed for Lebesgue measure, so that dim $W = 1/\alpha$.

 For W_{y,α}, this means that the gap is closed whenever α ≥ 3 and (q_n) is increasing, at least for Lebesgue almost every y.

More problems

- It would be natural to replace n → n^{-α} by some other function tending to 0.
- Non-monotonic functions appear to be far beyond reach!
- Even for monotonic functions, the problem of just Lebesgue measure becomes the classical one studied by Kurzweil in the Fifties.
- For Hausdorff dimension, Fan and Wu (2006) constructed an example, where the dimension does not behave as expected.

Thank you!