

# On a question of Douglass and Ono

Florian Luca

**One World Numeration Seminar, November 12, 2024**



Kate Douglass



Ken Ono

## Definition

A sequence  $(a_n)_{n \geq 1} \subset [0, 1]$  is said to be *uniformly distributed* (UD) if

$$\lim_{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{n \leq X : a_n \in (\alpha, \beta)\}}{X} = \beta - \alpha \quad \text{for all } 0 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq 1.$$

For a real number  $x$  write

$$x = \lfloor x \rfloor + \{x\}, \quad \text{where } \lfloor x \rfloor \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{and} \quad \{x\} \in [0, 1).$$

## Definition

A sequence of real numbers is uniformly distributed modulo 1 (UD mod 1), if the sequence of fractional parts  $\{a_n\} \in [0, 1)$  is UD.

## Example

If  $\tau \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ , then  $n\tau$  is UD mod 1.

## Example

### Question

What is the proportion of *Fibonacci* numbers that start with the digit 2?

Recall that the *Fibonacci* numbers  $(F_n)_{n \geq 0}$  form the sequence starting with  $F_0 = 0$ ,  $F_1 = 1$  and of recurrence

$$F_{n+2} = F_{n+1} + F_n \quad \text{for all } n \geq 0.$$

We write the *Binet* formula

$$F_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}(\phi^n - \psi^n), \quad \text{where } (\phi, \psi) := \left( \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2}, \frac{1 - \sqrt{5}}{2} \right).$$

The condition is

$$2 \cdot 10^m \leq F_n < 3 \cdot 10^m.$$

We take logs and use the **Binet** formula to get

$$\log(2 \cdot 10^m) \leq \log \left( \frac{\phi^n}{\sqrt{5}} \left( 1 - \left( \frac{\psi}{\phi} \right)^n \right) \right) < \log(3 \cdot 10^m).$$

This is

$$\log 2 + m \log 10 \leq n \log \phi - \log \sqrt{5} + \log \left( 1 - \left( \frac{-1}{\phi^2} \right)^n \right) < \log 3 + m \log 10.$$

Dividing by  $\log 10$  and rearranging we get

$$\frac{\log 2}{\log 10} + \frac{\log \sqrt{5}}{\log 10} + o(1) \leq n \left( \frac{\log \phi}{\log 10} \right) - m \leq \frac{\log 3}{\log 10} + \frac{\log \sqrt{5}}{\log 10} + o(1).$$

$$\frac{\log 2}{\log 10} := 0.30103 \dots, \quad \frac{\log 3}{\log 10} := 0.477121 \dots, \quad \frac{\log \sqrt{5}}{\log 10} := 0.34948$$

The above inequality means that

$$\alpha + o(1) \leq \{n\tau\} \leq \beta + o(1)$$

where

$$\alpha = \frac{\log 2}{\log 10} + \frac{\log \sqrt{5}}{\log 10}, \quad \beta = \frac{\log 3}{\log 10} + \frac{\log \sqrt{5}}{\log 10}, \quad \tau = \frac{\log \phi}{\log 10}.$$

Since our  $\tau$  is irrational, the example shows that the proportion is

$$\beta - \alpha = \frac{\log 3}{\log 10} - \frac{\log 2}{\log 10} = \log_{10} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2} \right).$$

## Benford's law

### Definition

We say that a sequence of integers  $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$  satisfies the **Benford** law in base  $b \geq 2$  if for every string of digits  $f$  written in base  $b$ , we have

$$\lim_{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{n \leq X : a_n \text{ in base } b \text{ starts with } f\}}{X} \\ = \log_b(f+1) - \log_b f \pmod{1},$$

where on the right we interpret  $f$  as an integer in base  $b$  (that is, if  $f = f_0 f_1 \dots f_{t-1}$  has  $t$  digits in  $\{0, 1, \dots, b-1\}$  with  $f_0 \neq 0$ , then

$$f = f_0 b^{t-1} + f_1 b^{t-2} + \dots + b_{t-1}.$$

The following criterion appears in a paper of **2011**.

### Definition

We say that an integer sequence  $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$  is good if

$$a(n) \sim b(n)e^{c(n)} \quad \text{as} \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) There exists some integer  $h \geq 1$  such that  $c(n)$  is  $h$ -differentiable and  $c^{(h)}(n) \rightarrow 0$  monotonically for large  $n$ .
- (ii) We have that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} n|c^{(h)}(n)| = +\infty.$$

- (iii) We have that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{D^{(h)} \log b(n)}{c^{(h)}(n)} = 0 \quad (D^{(h)} \text{ is the } h \text{ derivative}).$$



Their main result is the following:

### Theorem

*Good integer sequences abide by **Benford's** law in any integer base  $b \geq 2$ .*

### Example

Let

$$p(n) = \#\{\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_k \geq 1 : \lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_k = n\}$$

be the partition function of  $n$ . For example,  $p(3) = 3$  since

$$3, \quad 2 + 1, \quad 1 + 1 + 1.$$

It is known that

$$p(n) \sim \frac{1}{4n\sqrt{3}} e^{\pi\sqrt{2n/3}} \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Then

$$b(n) := \frac{1}{4n\sqrt{3}} \quad \text{and} \quad c(n) := \pi\sqrt{2n/3}.$$

We take  $h = 1$  and observe that

$$c'(n) = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{6n}}$$

tends to zero monotonically, so (i). Also,

$$nc'(n) = \pi\sqrt{n/6} \rightarrow +\infty \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty,$$

so (ii). Furthermore,

$$\frac{D' \log b(n)}{c'(n)} = \frac{-1/n}{\pi/\sqrt{6n}} = -\frac{1}{\pi\sqrt{n/6}} \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow +\infty) \quad \text{so, (iii).}$$

So, we proved the following result.

### Theorem

*The partition function  $p(n)$  abides Benford's law in any integer base  $b \geq 2$ .*

There are many other partition functions. One of them is the plane partition function.

### Example

We take

$$\text{PL}(n) = \#\{(\pi_{i,j}) : \pi_{i,j} \in \mathbb{N}, \pi_{i,j} \geq \pi_{i,j+1}, \pi_{i+1,j} \geq \pi_{i,j}, \sum_{i,j} \pi_{i,j} = n\}.$$

For  $n = 3$ , we have

$$3, 2+1, 1+1+1, \begin{smallmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}, \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix}, \quad \text{so} \quad \text{PL}(3) = 6.$$

It is known that

$$PL(n) \sim \frac{(2^{25}A^7)^{1/36}e^c}{\sqrt{12\pi}n^{25/36}} \exp\left(\sqrt[3]{\frac{27An^2}{4}}\right) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty,$$

where

$$A = \zeta(3) \sim 1.202056\dots, \quad c = \zeta'(-1) = -0.16542\dots$$

**Douglass, Ono** proved in **2024** that  $(PL(n))_{n \geq 1}$  abides **Benford's** law in any integer base  $b \geq 2$  and set forward the following effectivity question.

### Question

*Find  $N(b, f)$  such that there is  $n \leq N(b, f)$  with  $PL(n)$  starting with the string  $f$  in base  $b$ .*

The rest of the talk is devoted to this task.

## The case of the partition function

Let  $t := \lfloor \log f / \log b \rfloor + 1$  be the number of digits of the string  $f$  in base  $b$ .

### Theorem

*For the partition function  $p(n)$  one can take*

$$N(b, f) = \exp \left( 2 \cdot 10^{25} (t + 12) (\log b)^2 \right).$$

## What do we need?

We need three ingredients:

- (i) An estimate with explicit error term for the partition function.
- (ii) The Erdős, Koksma, Turán inequality which gives an upper bound for the discrepancy of a sequence of real numbers modulo 1.
- (iii) A linear in logarithms of algebraic numbers with algebraic coefficients due to Philippon, Waldschmidt.

For (i) we use the following result of **Lehmer**.

### Lemma

Let  $\mu(n) := \frac{\pi}{6} \sqrt{24n - 1}$ . Then the inequality

$$\left| p(n) - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{12n} e^{\mu(n)} \right| < \frac{\sqrt{3}}{12n^{3/2}} e^{\mu(n)}$$

holds for all positive integers  $n$ .

## Discrepancy

For (ii), we need some further notation and terminology. The discrepancy of a sequence  $(b_m)_{m=1}^N$  of real numbers (not necessarily distinct) is defined as

$$D_N := \sup_{0 \leq \gamma \leq 1} \left| \frac{\#\{m \leq N : \{b_m\} < \gamma\}}{N} - \gamma \right|.$$

From the above definition, we see that the inequality

$$\#\{m \leq N : \alpha \leq \{b_m\} < \beta\} \geq (\beta - \alpha)N - 2ND_N \quad (1)$$

holds for all  $0 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq 1$ .



## Lemma

We have

$$D_N \leq \frac{6}{H} + \frac{4}{\pi N} \sum_{h \leq H} \frac{1}{h} \left| \sum_{n=1}^N e^{2\pi i h b_n} \right|,$$

where  $\|x\|$  is the distance to the nearest integer and  $H \leq N$  is an arbitrary positive integer.

## The proof of the theorem

We write

$$f := b_0 b^{t-1} + b_1 b^{t-2} + \cdots + b_{t-1},$$

where  $b_0, \dots, b_{t-1} \in \{0, 1, \dots, b-1\}$ ,  $b_0 \neq 0$ . We set

$$\lambda := \frac{f + 0.5}{b^t} = b_0 + \frac{b_1}{b} + \cdots + \frac{b_{t-2}}{b^{t-2}} + \frac{b_{t-1} + 0.5}{b^{t-1}}.$$

Any real number  $M$  such that for some integer  $m > t$  we have

$$|M - b^m \lambda| < \frac{b^m}{b^{t+2}} \quad (2)$$

has the property that its first  $t$  digits in base  $b$  are

$b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{t-1}$ . So, we want to infer that we can take  $M = p(n)$  for an  $n$  at most as large as  $N(b, f)$ .

By **Lehmer's** lemma, the equation holds for  $M = p(n)$  provided that the following two inequalities

$$\left| p(n) - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{12n} e^{\mu(n)} \right| < \frac{\sqrt{3}}{12nb^{t+5}} e^{\mu(n)}, \quad (3)$$

and

$$\left| \frac{\sqrt{3}}{12n} e^{\mu(n)} - b^m \lambda \right| < \frac{b^m}{b^{t+5}} \quad (4)$$

hold. For the first one it suffices that

$$n > b^{2t+10}. \quad (5)$$

We look at the second one.

This can be rewritten as

$$\left| \left( \frac{\sqrt{3}}{12n} e^{\mu(n)} \right) (b^m \lambda)^{-1} - 1 \right| < \frac{1}{\lambda b^{t+5}}. \quad (6)$$

It is implied by

$$\left| -m \log b + \frac{\pi}{6} \sqrt{24n-1} + \log \left( \frac{\sqrt{3}}{12n\lambda} \right) \right| < \frac{1}{2b^{t+6}}. \quad (7)$$

We evaluate the above in  $n$ 's of a certain form, namely

$$n := n_j^2, \quad n_j = b^T + j, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, N, \quad (8)$$

where

$T$  is an integer such that  $b^T > N^2$ .

We can take

$$T := \lfloor 2 \log N / \log b \rfloor + 1.$$

We also assume that (5) is satisfied for  $n := N$ .

We put

$$f(x) := \sqrt{1-x}.$$

By Taylor's formula one gets

$$\sqrt{24n_j^2 - 1} = \sqrt{24}n_j + \eta_j, \quad \text{where} \quad |\eta_j| < \frac{1}{2\sqrt{12}N^2}.$$

By the triangular inequality, we then have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| -m_j \log b + \frac{\pi}{6} \sqrt{24n_j^2 - 1} + \log \left( \frac{\sqrt{3}}{12n_j^2 \lambda} \right) \right| \\ & < \left| -m_j \log b + \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \pi n_j + \log \left( \frac{\sqrt{3}}{12n_j^2 \lambda} \right) \right| \\ & + \frac{\pi}{12\sqrt{12}N^2}. \end{aligned}$$

One calculates that it is enough that

$$\left| -m_j + \frac{\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \pi n_j + \log \left( \frac{\sqrt{3}}{12n_j^2 \lambda} \right)}{\log b} \right| < \frac{1}{b^{t+7}}.$$

So, we take the sequence of general term

$$b_{n_j} := c_b n_j + \frac{\log \left( \frac{\sqrt{3}}{12 n_j^2 \lambda} \right)}{\log b} \quad \text{where} \quad c_b := \frac{\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \pi}{\log b},$$

and we need to show that there is

$$n_j \in (b^{2t+10}, N(b, f)),$$

such that  $\{b_n\} \in I$ , where

$$I := \left( 0, \frac{1}{b^{t+7}} \right).$$

It turns out that the conditions

$$D_N \leq 1/(4b^{t+7}) \quad \text{and} \quad N > 2b^{3t+17}$$

are sufficient.

So, it suffices that

$$D_N < \frac{1}{b^{t+9}} \leq \frac{1}{4b^{t+7}}.$$

But

$$D_N \leq \frac{6}{H} + \frac{2}{N} \sum_{m=1}^H \sum_{h \leq H} \frac{1}{h} \left| \sum_{j=1}^N e^{2\pi i h b n_j} \right|. \quad (9)$$

Since

$$b_{n_j} - b_{n_0} = c_b(n_j - n_0) - \frac{2 \log(n_j/n_0)}{\log b} = c_b j - \left( \frac{2}{\log b} \right) \log \left( 1 + \frac{j}{b^T} \right).$$

and the second term is small, so one estimates that

$$2\pi i h(b_{n_j} - b_{n_0}) = 2\pi i h c_b j + \zeta_{h,j}, \quad \text{where} \quad |\zeta_{h,j}| < \frac{4\pi H}{(\log b)N} < \frac{6\pi H}{N}.$$



Hence,

$$e^{2\pi ih(b_{n_j} - b_{n_0})} = e^{2\pi ihc_{bj}} e^{\zeta_{h,j}} = e^{2\pi ihc_{bj}} (1 + \eta_{h,j}) = e^{2\pi ihc_{bj}} + \eta'_{h,j},$$

where

$$|\eta'_{h,j}| = |\eta_{h,j}| < \frac{12\pi H}{N}.$$

So, in the exponential sum we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| \sum_{j=1}^N e^{2\pi i h b_{n_j}} \right| &= \left| \sum_{j=1}^N e^{2\pi i h (b_{n_j} - b_{n_0})} \right| \\
 &= \left| \sum_{j=1}^N \left( e^{2\pi i h c_b j} + \eta'_{h,j} \right) \right| \\
 &\leq \left| \sum_{j=1}^N e^{2\pi i h c_b j} \right| + \left| \sum_{j=1}^N \eta'_{h,j} \right| \\
 &\leq \left| \frac{e^{2\pi i N h c_b} - 1}{e^{2\pi i h c_b} - 1} \right| + 12\pi H. \tag{10}
 \end{aligned}$$

The first term on the right-hand side in the last line of (22) is in absolute value at most

$$\frac{1}{|\sin(\pi h c_b)|} = \frac{1}{|\sin(\pi \|h c_b\|)|} \leq \frac{1}{2\|h c_b\|}. \tag{11}$$

We get

$$D_N \leq \frac{6}{H} + \frac{\log H + 1}{\min_{1 \leq h \leq H} \|hc_b\|} + \frac{48H(1 + \log H)}{N}.$$

Denoting by  $\ell_h$  the closest integer to  $hc_b$ , we get that

$$\|hc_b\| = \left| \ell_h - \frac{\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\pi h}{\log b} \right| = \frac{1}{\log b} \left| \ell_h \log b - \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\pi h \right|.$$

Writing  $\pi = (-i^2)\pi = -i \log(-1)$ , where  $i^2 = -1$ , we get that the right-hand side above is

$$\left| -\ell_h \log b + \left( -i\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}h \right) \log(-1) \right|. \quad (12)$$

The above expression is non-zero by the **Gelfond–Schneider** theorem. It is a linear form in logarithms of algebraic numbers with algebraic coefficients and we need a lower estimate on it.

We use a linear form in logarithms of **Phillipon, Waldschmidt** of **1988**.

After calculations, we choose

$$H := \left\lceil \exp \left( \frac{\log N}{4.7 \cdot 10^{24} \log b} \right) \right\rceil.$$

Then

$$D_N \leq \frac{6N + H \exp(4.6 \cdot 10^{24} \log b \log H)}{HN} < \frac{7}{H}.$$

We need that  $D_N \leq 1/b^{t+9}$ . So, we need that

$$\frac{7}{H} \leq \frac{1}{b^{t+9}},$$

which gives

$$7b^{t+9} \leq H.$$

which implies that one has to choose  $N$  to be at least

$$\exp(4.7 \cdot 10^{24} (t + 12) (\log b)^2)$$

Next our  $n_j$  were of the form  $b^T + j$  for  $j \leq N$  and  $b^T > N^2$ . So, it suffices to take

$$T := \left\lfloor \frac{2 \log N}{\log b} \right\rfloor + 1 = 1 + 9.4 \cdot 10^{24}(t + 12) \log b.$$

With this choice for  $T$ , and since our  $n$ 's were

$$n_j^2 \leq (2b^T)^2 \leq b^{2T+2},$$

we can take

$$N(b, f) := \exp(2 \cdot 10^{25}(t + 12)(\log b)^2),$$

as promised.

## The plane partition function

One of the key elements from the previous proof fails so we get a better result.

### Theorem

*For the plane partition function  $PL(n)$  one can take*

$$N_{PL}(b, f) = b^{51t+688}.$$

This part will appear in *Annals of Combinatorics*.

Let's follow along the previous proof. The following result is from a paper of **Ono, Pujahari, Rollen** of **2022**.

### Lemma

Let  $A := \zeta(3) \approx 1.202056\dots$ ,  $c := \zeta'(-1) \approx -0.16542\dots$ ,

$$\mu(n) := 3 \cdot (A/4)^{1/3} n^{2/3}.$$

*Then the inequality*

$$\left| \text{PL}(n) - \frac{B}{n^{25/36}} e^{\mu(n)} \right| < \frac{100B}{n^{25/36+2/3}} e^{\mu(n)}$$

*holds for all  $n \geq 105$ , where*

$$B := \frac{2^{25/26} e^c A^{7/26}}{\sqrt{12\pi}}.$$

We set up the same machine. We write

$$f = b_0 b^{t-1} + b_1 b^{t-2} + \cdots + b_{t-1},$$

where  $b_0, \dots, b_{t-1} \in \{0, 1, \dots, b-1\}$ ,  $b_0 \neq 0$ . We set

$$\lambda := \frac{f + 0.5}{b^{t-1}} = b_0 + \frac{b_1}{b} + \cdots + \frac{b_{t-2}}{b^{t-2}} + \frac{b_{t-1} + 0.5}{b^{t-1}}.$$

Any real number  $M$  such that for some integer  $m > t$  we have

$$|M - b^m \lambda| < \frac{b^m}{b^{t+2}} \tag{13}$$

has the property that its first  $t$  digits in base  $b$  are  $b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{t-1}$ . So, we want to infer that we can take  $M = \text{PL}(n)$  for an  $n$  at most as large as  $N_{\text{PL}}(b, f)$ .



For us, this happens if

$$\left| \frac{B}{n^{25/36}} e^{\mu(n)} - b^m \lambda \right| < \frac{b^m}{b^{t+5}} \quad (14)$$

and

$$n > b^{2t+20}. \quad (15)$$

The first relation above is implied by

$$\left| -m + \frac{3 \cdot 2^{-2/3} A^{1/3} n^{2/3} - (25/36) \log n + \log(B/\lambda)}{\log b} \right| < \frac{1}{b^{t+7}}. \quad (16)$$

Again we specialize in numbers of a certain form.

Namely, we take  $1 \leq H < N$  with  $H$  an integer depending on  $N$  to be determined later,

$$p \in [2H, 3H]$$

to be prime,  $q := q(p)$  to be the smallest prime  $q > p$  and

$$n_{p,j} := q^3 b^{3T} + pjb^T \quad \text{for } j = 0, \dots, N, \quad (17)$$

where  $T$  is an integer such that  $b^T > N^2$ .

We can take again  $T := \lfloor 2 \log N / \log b \rfloor + 1$ .

Notice that  $n_{p,j}$  are distinct for distinct pairs  $(p,j)$ . Indeed, if

$$n_{p,j} = n_{p',j'},$$

then

$$q^3 b^{3T} + p j b^T = q'^3 b^{3T} + p' j' b^T.$$

If  $q = q'$ , then also  $p = p'$  and the above relation gives us  $j = j'$ . So, we may assume that  $q \neq q'$  and to fix ideas that  $q > q'$ . We then get

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \cdot (2H)^2 b^{3T} &\leq (p^2 + p'^2) b^{3T} < (q^2 + qq' + q'^2) b^{3T} \\ &< (q^3 - q'^3) b^{3T} = (p'j' - pj) b^T < p'j' b^T < 3HNb^T, \end{aligned}$$

which is of course absurd since  $b^T > N^2$ .

We take

$$a_{n_{p,j}} := \frac{3 \cdot 2^{-2/3} A^{1/3} n_{p,j}^{2/3} - (25/36) \log n_{p,j} + \log(B/\lambda)}{\log b}. \quad (18)$$

By (16), we need to find  $N$  such as to guarantee that there is  $j \in [1, N]$  such that

$$n_j > b^{2t+20}$$

and

$$\{a_{n_{p,j}}\} < \frac{1}{b^{t+7}}. \quad (19)$$

## Lemma

*The estimate*

$$a_{n_{p,j}} - a_{n_{p,0}} = \frac{2^{1/3} A^{1/3} j p}{q \log b} + \zeta_{p,j}, \quad \text{where} \quad |\zeta_{p,j}| < \frac{2}{N^2}$$

*holds for all  $j = 1, \dots, N$  and  $p \in [2H, 3H]$  provided  $N > \max\{H, 10\}$ .*

The proof follows from **Taylor's** formula, as in the case of the partition function.

## Lemma

Put  $c_b := 2^{1/3} A^{1/3} / \log b$ . We then have

$$e^{2\pi i h(a_{n_{p,j}} - a_{n_{p,0}})} = e^{2\pi i h c_b j p / q} + \eta_{p,j,h}, \quad \text{with} \quad |\eta_{p,j,h}| < \frac{8\pi}{N}$$

for all  $p \in [2H, 3H]$ ,  $h \in [1, H]$  and  $j \in [1, N]$  provided  $N > \max\{H, 30\}$ .

So, for fixed  $p \in [2H, 3H]$ , we take the sequence of general term  $a_{n_{p,j}}$  given by (18) for  $j = 1, \dots, N$  and we want to show that for some  $p$  and  $j \leq N$  such that  $n_{p,j}$  satisfies (15) we have  $\{a_{n_{p,j}}\} \in J$ , where

$$J = \left(0, \frac{1}{b^{t+7}}\right).$$

Assume that

$$D_{p,N} \leq 1/(4b^{t+7}).$$

Then, by (1), the number of  $j \leq N$  for which  $\{a_{n_{p,j}}\} \in J$  is at least

$$\#JN - 2ND_{p,N} \geq \frac{N}{b^{t+7}} - \frac{N}{2b^{t+7}} \geq \frac{N}{2b^{t+7}}. \quad (20)$$

and this is large enough for

$$N > b^{3t+38}.$$

So, we go again to the estimate:

$$D_{p,N} \leq \frac{6}{H} + \frac{4}{\pi N} \sum_{h=1}^H \frac{1}{h} \left| \sum_{j=1}^N e^{2\pi i h a_{n_{p,j}}} \right|. \quad (21)$$

With our choices we manipulate the inner sum as

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{j=1}^N e^{2\pi i h a_{n_{p,j}}} \right| &= \left| \sum_{j=1}^N e^{2\pi i h (a_{n_{p,j}} - a_{n_{p,0}})} \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{j=1}^N \left( e^{2\pi i h c_b j p / q} + \eta_{p,j,h} \right) \right| \\ &\leq \left| \sum_{j=1}^N e^{2\pi i h c_b j p / q} \right| + \left| \sum_{j=1}^N \eta_{p,j,h} \right| \\ &\leq \left| \frac{e^{2\pi i N h c_b p / q} - 1}{e^{2\pi i h c_b p / q} - 1} \right| + 8\pi. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$



The above argument assumes that  $c_b hp/q$  is not an integer. But if

$$c_b hp/q = m_{b,h,p,q}$$

is an integer, then  $m_{b,h,p,q}$  is smaller that

$$c_b h < (1.34/\log b)p < 6H.$$

Thus,

$$c_b = m_{b,h,p,q}q/(hp)$$

is a rational number whose numerator is a divisor of

$$m_{b,p,q,h}q < 6Hq < 6H(2p) \leq 36H^2 < (2H)^3$$

for  $H \geq 5$  and a multiple of  $q$  since  $q > p \geq 2H > h$ . In the above, we used **Bertrand's** postulate to conclude that  $q < 2p \leq 6H$ . Thus, the unlikely case when  $c_b hp/q$  ends up being an integer, which in particular implies that  $c_b$  is rational, can happen for at most two values of  $q$  which must be prime factors larger than  $2H$  of the numerator of  $c_b$  in reduced form.

## Lemma

*The inequality*

$$D_{p,N} \leq \frac{6}{H} + \frac{32(\log H + 1)}{N} + \sum_{h=1}^H \frac{1}{h \|hc_b p/q\|}. \quad (23)$$

*holds for all  $p \in [2H, 3H]$  with at most two exceptions provided  $5 \leq H < N$  and  $N > 30$ .*

## Lemma

*There are at most two values of  $p \in [2H, 3H]$  such that there exists  $h \in [1, H]$  with the property that*

$$\|hc_b p/q\| < \frac{1}{162H^6}. \quad (24)$$

Assume  $m_h \neq 0$  and  $m_h - c_b hp/q \neq 0$ . We then have

$$m_h < c_b hp/q + 1/(162H^6) < 1.94H + 1/162 < 2H$$

(since in fact  $c_b < 1.94$ ). Further,

$$0 < \left| \frac{m_h q}{hp} - c_b \right| < \frac{q}{162hpH^6} < \frac{1}{81H^6} = \frac{1}{3(3H^2)^3}. \quad (25)$$

Note that  $hp < 3H^2$  so writing  $m_h q/(hp) = P/Q$  the inequality

$$0 < \left| \frac{P}{Q} - c_b \right| < \frac{1}{3Q^3}$$

is satisfied. We show that there is at most one  $p$  for which the above inequality is satisfied for some  $h$ . Indeed, assume that  $p' \neq p \in [2H, 3H]$  is such that the above inequality is also satisfied with  $P'/Q' = m_{h'} q'/(h' p')$  for some  $h' \in \{1, \dots, H\}$ . Noting that  $Q, Q' \in [2H, 3H^2]$ , it follows that  $Q < Q'^2$  and  $Q' < Q^2$ . In particular,  $2QQ' < \min\{2Q^3, 2Q'^3\}$ . Thus, we get

$$\left| \frac{PQ' - P'Q}{QQ'} \right| = \left| \frac{P'}{Q'} - \frac{P}{Q} \right| \leq \left| \frac{P'}{Q'} - c_b \right| + \left| \frac{P}{Q} - c_b \right| < \frac{2}{3QQ'}.$$

So, the last two lemmas show that with at most four exceptions in  $p \in [2H, 3H]$  such that inequality (24) holds for some  $h \in \{1, \dots, H\}$ .

Next, note that there are  $\pi(3H) - \pi(2H)$  primes in  $[2H, 3H]$ . Here,  $\pi(x)$  is the number of primes  $p \leq x$ . Using estimates from **Rosser, Schoenfeld 1962** we have that

$$\pi(3H) - \pi(2H) > \frac{3H}{\log(3H)} - \frac{5H}{2\log(2H)} \quad (H > 60).$$

The above function exceeds 4 for all  $H \geq 73$ . Thus, if  $H \geq 73$ , there are at least five primes in  $[2H, 3H]$  and in particular there exists a prime  $p \in [2H, 3H]$  such that inequality (23) holds and additionally

$$\|c_b h p / q\| \geq \frac{1}{162H^6}$$

holds for all  $h = 1, \dots, H$ .

This gives

$$D_{p,N} < \frac{6}{H} + \frac{12H^7}{N}$$

for  $H \geq 73$ . We choose  $H := \lfloor N^{1/8} \rfloor$ , and we get

$$D_{p,N} = \frac{6N + 12H^8}{NH} \leq \frac{18}{H} < \frac{19}{H+1} < \frac{19}{N^{1/8}}.$$

Of course, we want  $N \geq \max\{b^8, 73^8\}$ . Since  $b \geq 2$ , it suffices that  $N \geq b^{50}$ . We need that

$$\frac{19}{N^{1/8}} \leq \frac{1}{b^{t+9}}.$$

This works when  $N \geq b^{8t+106}$ .

Recall that our  $n$ 's are of the form

$$n_j = q^3 b^{3T} + p j b^T \quad \text{for} \quad j = 1, \dots, N.$$

We want  $b^T > N^2$ , so we take  $T = 16t + 213$ . Then

$$q < 2p \leq 6H \leq 6N^{1/8} = 6b^{t+13.25} < b^{t+16},$$

and then

$$n < 2q^3 b^{3T} < 2b^{3t+48+48t+639} \leq b^{51t+688}.$$

MERCI BEAUCOUP!