# Dimension of Bernoulli Convolutions in $\mathbb{R}^d$

## Haojie Ren Technion – Israel Institute of Technology

One World Numeration Seminar

Online Seminar 26<sup>th</sup> November 2024

November 25, 2024

Let  $d \ge 1$  be an integer and let  $(\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_d) = \lambda \in (0, 1)^d$  be with  $\lambda_1 > ... > \lambda_d$ . Denote by  $\mu_{\lambda}$  the distribution of the random vector

$$\sum_{n\geq 0}\pm \left(\lambda_1^n,...,\lambda_d^n\right),\,$$

where the  $\pm$  signs are chosen independently and with equal weight. The measure  $\mu_{\lambda}$  is called the Bernoulli convolution associated to  $\lambda$ .

< 日 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > <

A Borel probability measure  $\theta$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is said to be exact dimensional if there exists a number dim  $\theta$  such that

$$\lim_{\delta \downarrow 0} \frac{\log \theta(B(x, \delta))}{\log \delta} = \dim \theta \text{ for } \theta \text{-a.e. } x,$$

where  $B(x, \delta)$  is the closed ball with centre x and radius  $\delta$ .

• Feng and Hu (2009):  $\mu_{\lambda}$  is always exact dimensional.



## ${\sf Question}\ 1$

what is the value of dim  $\mu_{\lambda}$  ?



2

## Lyapunov dimension of $\mu_{\lambda}$

Setting

$$m:=\max\left\{0\leq k\leq d \ : \ \Pi_{j=1}^k\lambda_j\geq 1/2
ight\},$$

the Lyapunov dimension is defined as follows:

$$\dim_L \mu_{\lambda} := \begin{cases} m + \frac{\log 2 + \sum_{j=1}^m \log \lambda_j}{-\log \lambda_{m+1}} &, \text{ if } m < d \\ d \frac{\log 2}{-\sum_{j=1}^d \log \lambda_j} &, \text{ if } m = d \end{cases}$$

It always holds that

 $\dim \mu_{\lambda} \leq \min \left\{ \dim_{L} \mu_{\lambda}, d \right\}.$ 

• Question 1<sup>\*</sup>: when dim  $\mu_{\lambda} = \min \{ \dim_{L} \mu_{\lambda}, d \}$ ?

٠

# Background: d = 1 and $\lambda \in (1/2, 1)$

### Definition

We say that an affine IFS  $\Psi := \{\psi_i\}_{i \in \Lambda}$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  has no exact overlaps if its elements generate a free semigroup. That is, if  $\psi_{u_1} \neq \psi_{u_2}$  for all distinct  $u_1, u_2 \in \Lambda^*$ , where  $\Lambda^*$  is the set of finite words over  $\Lambda$ .

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

6/19

#### Conjecture 1

If  $1/\lambda$  has no exact overlaps, then dim  $\mu_{\lambda} = 1$ ?

# Background: d = 1 and $\lambda \in (1/2, 1)$

- Erdös (1939):  $\mu_{\lambda}$  is singular whenever  $1/\lambda$  is a Pisot number.
- Garsia(1963): dim  $\mu_{\lambda} < 1$  whenever  $1/\lambda$  is a Pisot number.
- Hochman (2014): When  $1/2 \le \lambda < 1$  is algebraic but has no exact overlaps, dim  $\mu_{\lambda} = 1$ .
- Varjú (2019): When  $1/2 < \lambda < 1$  is transcendental, then dim  $\mu_{\lambda} = 1$ .

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Przytycki and Urbański (1989) considered the situation in which  $\lambda_2 = 1/2$ :

- dim  $\mu_{(\lambda_1,1/2)} = \dim_L \mu_{(\lambda_1,1/2)}$  whenever  $\mu_{\lambda_1}$  is absolutely continuous.
- dim  $\mu_{(\lambda_1,1/2)} < \dim_L \mu_{(\lambda_1,1/2)}$  when  $\lambda_2 = 1/2$  and  $\lambda_1^{-1}$  is Pisot.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Shmerkin (2006): established that the Hausdorff dimension of the support of  $\mu_{\lambda}$  equals the Lyapunov dimension for Lebesgue a.e.  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$  with  $\lambda_1 \lambda_2 < 1/2 < \lambda_2$ .

#### Theorem (Ariel Rapaport with H.R. 2024, Arxiv)

Let  $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  and  $(\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_d) = \lambda \in (0, 1)^d$  be with  $\lambda_1 > ... > \lambda_d$ , and suppose that  $P(\lambda_j) \neq 0$  for every  $1 \leq j \leq d$  and nonzero polynomial P with coefficients  $\pm 1, 0$ . Then dim  $\mu_{\lambda} = \min \{\dim_L \mu_{\lambda}, d\}$ .

Rapaport (2023, Arxiv) proved this result for cases where λ<sub>1</sub>,..., λ<sub>d</sub> are all algebraic.

< 口 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > <

- let *P*<sup>(n)</sup> ⊂ ℤ[X] be the set of polynomials of degree strictly less than *n* with integer coefficients bounded in absolute value by 2.
- For  $1 \le j \le d$  write  $\chi_j := -\log \lambda_j$ , and set

$$\kappa := \chi_d \dim \mu_\lambda - \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} (\chi_d - \chi_j).$$

•  $h_{RW}(\lambda) := \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} H\left(\sum_{0 \le k < n} \pm (\lambda_1^n, ..., \lambda_d^n)\right)$ , where  $H(\cdot)$  denotes the Shannon entropy.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

- $\Omega := \{(\eta_1, ..., \eta_d) \in (0, 1)^d : \eta_1 > ... > \eta_d\}.$
- Let  $\{e_1, ..., e_d\}$  be the standard basis of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Given  $J \subset [d]$  denote by  $\pi_J$  the orthogonal projection onto  $\operatorname{span}\{e_j : j \in J\}$ . Thus,

$$\pi_J(x) = \sum_{j \in J} \langle e_j, x \rangle e_j \text{ for } x \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

< □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶</li>
 November 25, 2024

12/19

and  $\pi_{[0]}$  is identically 0.

To prove the Main Result, the most challenging part is establishing the following Diophantine result. Once this is achieved, we can follow Varjú's strategy to prove the case d = 1.

#### Theorem

Suppose that dim  $\mu_{\lambda} < \min \{d, \dim_{L} \mu\}$ , dim  $\pi_{J}\mu_{\lambda} = |J|$  for each proper subset J of [d], and  $\lambda_{j_{0}}$  is transcendental for some  $1 \leq j_{0} \leq d$ . Then for every  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $N \geq 1$  there exist  $n \geq N$  and  $(\eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{d}) = \eta \in \Omega$  such that,

• for each 
$$1 \le j \le d$$
 there exists  $0 \ne P_j \in \mathcal{P}^{(n)}$  with  $P_j(\eta_j) = 0$ ;

2 
$$h_{RW}(\lambda) < \kappa + \epsilon;$$

$$|\lambda - \eta| \le \exp\left(-n^{1/\epsilon}\right).$$

Given a discrete random vector Y, its Shannon entropy is denoted by H(Y). For a bounded random vector  $X = (X_1, ..., X_d)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and  $(r_1, ..., r_d) = r \in \mathbb{R}^d_{>0}$ , we set

$$H(X;r) := \int_{[0,1)^d} H(\lfloor X_1/r_1 + x_1 \rfloor, ..., \lfloor X_d/r_d + x_d \rfloor) dx_1...dx_d.$$

We refer to H(X; r) as the average entropy of X at scale r.

 The concept of average entropy, originally introduced by Zhiren Wang for ℝ.

< □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶</li>
 November 25, 2024

# Main Finding

• Given  $r' \in \mathbb{R}^d_{>0}$ , we also set

$$H(X; r \mid r') := H(X; r) - H(X; r').$$

If μ is the distribution of X, we write H(μ; r) and H(μ; r | r') in place of H(X; r) and H(X; r | r').

3

(日)

# Main Finding

#### Definition

Given  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $m \ge 1$ , we say that  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is  $(\epsilon, m)$ -non-saturated across the principal directions at all scales, or simply  $(\epsilon, m)$ -non-saturated, if for all  $1 \le j \le d$  and  $n \ge 0$ ,

$$\frac{1}{m}H\left(\mu,\mathcal{E}_{n+m}\mid\mathcal{E}_n\vee\pi_{[d]\setminus\{j\}}^{-1}\mathcal{E}_{n+m}\right)<\chi_j-\epsilon.$$

• Write  $[d] = \{1, ..., d\}$ , let  $\pi_{[d] \setminus \{j\}}$  be the orthogonal projection onto  $\operatorname{span}\{e_j\}_{j \in [d] \setminus \{j\}}$ .

< □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶</li>
 November 25, 2024

- $\mathcal{E}_n$  is a partition of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  into rectangles with side lengths roughly  $\lambda_1^n, ..., \lambda_d^n$ .
- For  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , we write  $\lambda^t := (\lambda_1^t, ..., \lambda_d^t)$ .

# Main Finding

#### Theorem

For each  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $M \ge 1$ , there exists  $C = C(\lambda, \epsilon, M) > 1$  such that the following holds. Let  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  be  $(\epsilon, m)$ -non-saturated for all  $m \ge M$ , and let  $\nu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $0 < \beta < 1/2$ , and  $t_2 > t_1 > 0$  be with  $\frac{1}{t_2 - t_1} H(\nu; \lambda^{t_2} \mid \lambda^{t_1}) > \beta$ . Then,

$$H\left(\nu * \mu; \lambda^{t_2} \mid \lambda^{t_1}\right) \geq H\left(\mu; \lambda^{t_2} \mid \lambda^{t_1}\right) + C^{-1}\beta\left(\log \beta^{-1}\right)^{-1}(t_2 - t_1) - C.$$

- Hochman (2014) shows  $f_{\lambda,\epsilon,M}(\beta)$  for some function  $f_{\lambda,\epsilon,M}(\cdot)$  in the case d = 1.
- Varju (2019) shows  $C^{-1}\beta \left(\log \beta^{-1}\right)^{-1}$  for some function in the case d = 1.

< □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □

## The idea of Main Finding

- Our approach extends Hochman's qualitative insights to provide a proof of Varjú's (2019) quantitative entropy increase theorem in ℝ.
- To investigate the quantitative entropy increase theorem in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , we draw upon the insights from Ariel Rapaport's qualitative version (2023 Arxiv) in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

November 25, 2024

18/19

• Additive combinatorics plays a crucial role in the proof.

# Thank you!

2

ヘロト ヘロト ヘヨト ヘヨト