

# $K_5$ -free Bound for the Class of Planar Graphs

Reza Naserasr

*Department of mathematics  
Simon Fraser University  
Burnaby, B.C. V5A 1S6, Canada*

## Abstract

We define  $k$ -diverse colouring of a graph to be a proper vertex colouring in which every vertex  $x$ , sees  $\min\{k, d(x)\}$  different colours in its neighbors. We show that for given  $k$  there is an  $f(k)$  for which every planar graph admits a  $k$ -diverse colouring using at most  $f(k)$  colours. Then using this colouring we obtain a  $K_5$ -free graph  $H$  for which every planar graph admits a homomorphism to it, thus another proof for the result of J. Nešetřil, P. Ossona de Mendez.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $G$  and  $H$  be graphs. A homomorphism  $f$  of  $G$  to  $H$  is an edge preserving mapping of  $V(G)$  to  $V(H)$ . Homomorphism defines a quasiorder (a reflexive and transitive binary relation) on the class of graphs, by  $G \preceq H$  if and only if there is a homomorphism from  $G$  to  $H$ . Given a class  $\mathcal{C}$  of graphs we say that  $\mathcal{C}$  is bounded by  $H$  if for every  $G \in \mathcal{C}$  we have  $G \preceq H$ . In this setting the four colour theorem simply states that the class  $\mathcal{P}$  of planar graphs is bounded by  $K_4$ . Similarly Grötsch theorem (every triangle free planar graph is 3 colourable) states that the class of triangle free planar graphs is bounded by  $K_3$ .

The problem of the existence of a bound with some special properties, for a given class of graphs has been a subject of study in graph homomorphism. Some particular cases of this problem has been studied in [4, 5, 6, 7]. In [7] authors have proved the existence of  $K_k$ -free bound for any minor closed class of  $K_k$ -free graphs. This indeed provides a  $K_5$ -free graph  $H$  which bounds the class of planar graphs, therefore answering a question of authors posed in [1]. Note that if we take the categorical product of  $H$  with  $K_5$  we will find a bound for the set of planar graphs which is smaller than  $K_5$  in the sense of homomorphism, this is a stronger result than the five colour theorem whose proof does not use the four colour theorem.

In this note we define a new type of vertex colouring which we call it diverse colouring. We give an algorithm to find a  $k$ -diverse colouring for any planar graph using a fixed number of colours. Using the colouring obtained from this algorithm we construct a  $K_5$ -free bound for the class of planar graphs. This will be a completely different method for proving the existence of a  $K_5$ -free bound for the class of planar graphs.

The following theorem of Kotzig [3] will play an important role in our algorithm.

**Theorem 1** (*c. [3]*) *For every planar graph  $G$  with minimum degree at least 3 there is an edge  $e = uv$  with  $d(u) + d(v) \leq 13$ .*

We say that a graph  $G$  is  $k$ -critical if it is  $k$ -chromatic but every proper subgraph of  $G$  is  $k - 1$  colourable. Obviously every  $k$ -chromatic graph contains a  $k$ -critical subgraph. An alternative form of the four colour theorem is to state that “there is no 5-critical planar graph”. In the absence of the four colour theorem the following lemma will help us to achieve our goal of constructing a  $K_5$ -free bound for the class of planar graphs. The proof of this lemma is inherited from the proof of the 5-colour theorem, the one which uses the Kempe’s chain method.

**Lemma 2** *If  $G$  is a 5-critical planar graph then  $\delta(G) \geq 5$ .*

## 2 Diverse colouring

**Definition** For the given integers  $k$  and  $l$  we say that an  $l$ -colouring  $c$ , of a given graph  $G$  is a  $k$ -diverse colouring if at least  $\min\{d(x), k\}$  different colours appear on the neighbors of  $x$ . A  $k$ -diverse colouring which uses at most  $l$  colours will be denoted by  $(k, l)$ -colouring.  $\diamond$

**Theorem 3** *Given an integer  $k \geq 11$ , every planar graph admits a  $(k, 5k + 8)$ -colouring.*

**Proof.** We will prove this by induction on the number of vertices of  $G$ . For graphs on at most  $5k + 8$  vertices we can colour all the vertices with different colours. Suppose we have found a  $(k, 5k + 8)$ -colouring for every planar graph on at most  $n$  vertices and let  $G$  be a planar graph on  $n + 1$  vertices. We may assume  $G$  is connected, because otherwise  $(k, 5k + 8)$ -colourings of the components of  $G$  all together will give a  $(k, 5k + 8)$ -colouring of  $G$ .

If  $G$  has a vertex  $x$  with  $d(x) = 1$  then any  $(k, 5k + 8)$ -colouring of  $G \setminus x$  can be extended to a  $(k, 5k + 8)$ -colouring of  $G$ . To see this let  $y$  be the only neighbour of  $x$ . If there are at least  $k$ -different colours on the neighbours of  $y$ , then any colour different from the colour of  $y$  will work. Otherwise  $d(y) \leq k - 1$  and we choose a colour which has not appeared on  $y$  or any of its neighbours, this is indeed possible because there are more than  $k$  colours available.

If  $G$  does not have a vertex of degree 1 but it has a vertex  $x$  of degree 2 then we identify  $x$  with one of its neighbours, remove the loop and the possible multiple edge. We call the new graph  $G_x$ . By induction  $G_x$  admits a  $(k, 5k + 8)$ -colouring  $c_x$ . Colour all the vertices of  $G$  except  $x$  with the same colour as in the colouring  $c_x$ . Notice that neighbours of  $x$  have taken two different colours, and in order to extend  $c_x$  to a  $(k, 5k + 8)$ -colouring of  $G$  all we need to do is to choose a colour for  $x$  different from colours of its neighbours in such a way that the requirement of

diversity for the neighbours of  $x$  still holds.

For each neighbour  $y$  of  $x$ , either  $y$  already has  $k$  different colours on its neighbours or  $d(y) \leq k - 1$ . In the first case the only restriction for the colour of  $x$ , coming from  $y$ , is to have a colour different from the colour of  $y$ , (in order to have a proper colouring). In the second case, i.e., if  $d(y) \leq k - 1$ , the vertex  $x$  must take a colour different from the colours of  $y$  and all of its neighbours. In either of the cases, each neighbour of  $x$  introduces at most  $k$ -colours not admissible for  $x$ . Since  $x$  has two neighbours there are maximum of  $2k$  colours not admissible for  $x$ , so  $c_x$  can be extended to a  $(k, 5k + 8)$ -colouring of  $G$ .

If neither of the previous two cases happens, then  $\delta(G) \geq 3$  and by Theorem 1 there is an edge  $e = uv$  with  $d(u) + d(v) \leq 13$ . Without loss of generality assume  $d(u) \leq d(v)$ . Therefore  $d(u) \leq 6$ . Identify  $u$  and  $v$ , remove loops and possible multiple edges and call the new graph  $G_e$ . Let  $v'$  to be the new vertex in  $G_e$  (obtained from identifying  $u$  and  $v$ ), then  $d(v') \leq 11$ . By induction  $G_e$  admits a  $(k, 5k + 8)$ -colouring, we denote this colouring by  $c_e$ . Note that all the neighbours of  $v'$  have taken different colours (this is because  $k \geq 11$ ).

To find a  $(k, 5k + 8)$ -colouring of  $G$ , colour every vertex  $x \notin \{u, v\}$  with  $c_e(x)$  and colour  $v$  with  $c_e(v')$ . To complete this colouring all we need is to find an admissible colour for  $u$ . Notice that all the neighbours of  $u$  have already received different colours. Let  $t \neq v$  be a neighbour of  $u$ , if  $d(t) > k$  then  $t$  already has  $k$  neighbours with  $k$  distinct colours and the only restriction coming from  $t$  is that  $c(t)$  be different from the colour which we choose for  $u$ .

If  $d(t) \leq k$  then the colour we would like to choose for  $u$  has to be different from colours of  $t$  and all of its neighbours. This will remove at most  $k$  colours from the list of available colours for  $u$ . Similarly there will be also at most  $d(v)$  forbidden colours because of the diversity condition for  $v$ . In total there will be at most  $k(d(u) - 1) + d(v) = (k - 1)d(u) - k + d(u) + d(v) \leq 5k + 7$  forbidden colours for  $u$ . Since there are  $5k + 8$  possible colours, we can find an admissible colour for  $u$ .  $\square$

Notice that in the proof of the last theorem we introduced an inductive algorithm to find a  $(k, 5k + 8)$ -colouring of any planar graph. We will call this algorithm *k-diverse colouring algorithm*. In the next section we will show that the colouring obtained from 11-diverse colouring algorithm satisfies the condition of the Theorem 7 of [4] and hence provides us with a  $K_5$ -free bound for the class of planar graphs.

### 3 $K_5$ -free bound

**Theorem 4** *Let  $G$  be a planar graph and  $c$  an  $(11, 63)$ -colouring of  $G$  obtained from 11-diverse colouring algorithm. Then  $c$  has the property that every 5-chromatic subgraph of  $G$  takes at least 6 different colours.*

**Proof.** It will be enough to show that every 5-critical subgraph has taken 6 different colours. We prove this by contradiction. Suppose this is not true and algorithm

fails at some point. Let  $G$  be the smallest graph for which the 11-diverse colouring algorithm fails, i.e., for every graph on at most  $|V(G)| - 1$  vertices the (11, 63)-colouring obtained from 11-diverse colouring algorithm has the required property but the colouring obtained for  $G$  by this algorithm uses only five colours on some 5-critical subgraph  $H$  of  $G$ .

It is easy to see that  $G$  does not contain vertices of degree 1 or 2. In fact if  $\delta(G) = 1$  or 2 then (11, 63)-colouring of  $G$  has been obtained from (11, 63)-colouring of some  $G_x$  where  $x$  is a vertex of degree 1 or 2. But then every 5-critical subgraph of  $G$  is also a subgraph of  $G_x$  and therefore takes at least 6 different colours.

So we may assume  $\delta(G) \geq 3$ . Let  $u$  and  $v$  be the vertices of  $G$  as in the algorithm. Recall that to obtain the colouring  $c$  we basically used an (11, 63)-diverse colouring of  $G_e$  and we found an admissible colour for  $u$ . By the minimality of  $G$  the 11-diverse colouring of  $G_e$  has used at least 6 different colours on any 5-critical subgraph of  $G_e$ . So  $H$  could not be a subgraph of  $G_e$ , therefore it must contain both  $u$  and  $v$ . By lemma 2 degree of  $u$  in  $H$  must be at least 5. But all the neighbours of  $u$  have been given different colours. By adding the colour of  $u$  itself to this collection we will find at least 6 different colours on the vertices of  $H$  which is a contradiction.  $\square$

Using this theorem and the results of [4] one can construct a  $K_5$ -free bound for the class of planar graphs. For the sake of completeness we will repeat the construction here.

**Constructing  $H_{(11,63)}$ :** The vertices of this graph are the pairs  $(i, \varphi)$  where  $i \in [63]$  and  $\varphi$  is any function from  $\{S | S \subset [63], i \in S \text{ and } |S| = 5\}$  to  $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ . For the edge set;  $(i, \varphi)$  is adjacent to  $(j, \psi)$  if  $i \neq j$  and  $\varphi(S) \neq \psi(S)$  whenever both  $\varphi(S)$  and  $\psi(S)$  are well defined, i.e.  $\{i, j\} \subset S$ .

**Lemma 5** (c. [4])  $H_{(11,63)}$  is  $K_5$ -free.

**Theorem 6**  $H_{(11,63)}$  bounds class of planar graphs.

**Proof.** Let  $G$  be a planar graph and  $c$  a (11, 63)-colouring of  $G$  obtained from the algorithm. By Theorem 4, for any set  $S$  of 5 colours, the subgraph  $G_S$  induced on these colours is 4-colourable. Let  $\rho_S$  to be a 4-colouring of  $G_S$ . The homomorphism of  $G$  to  $H_{(11,63)}$  is defined by:

$$\text{for } x \in V(G) \text{ let } f(x) = (c(x), \varphi_x) \text{ where } \varphi_x(S) = \rho_S(x).$$

Notice that for every 5-subset  $S$  containing  $c(x)$ ,  $\varphi_x$  is well defined. To see that  $f$  is a homomorphism let  $x$  and  $y$  to be two adjacent vertices of  $G$  then  $c(x) \neq c(y)$  and if  $\{c(x), c(y)\} \subset S$  then  $\varphi_x(S) = \rho_S(x) \neq \rho_S(y) = \varphi_y(S)$ . Therefore by the definition of  $H_{(11,63)}$ ,  $f(x)$  is adjacent to  $f(y)$ .  $\square$

## 4 Remarks

The  $K_5$ -free bound for the class of planar graphs we provided here, has a large number of vertices. The following problem, if it is answered without using the four colour theorem, will provide us with a better  $K_5$ -free bound which has fractional chromatic number  $\frac{24}{25}$ . Notice that the best known bound for the fractional chromatic number of planar graphs without using the four colour theorem is that it is strictly smaller than 5.

**Problem 7** *Show that every planar graph can be coloured using 6 colours in such a way that every 5-chromatic subgraph of it receives all 6 different colours.*

**Acknowledgment** The author is thankful to P. Hell, T. Marshall, J. Nešetřil and X. Zhu for helpful discussions.

## References

- [1] P. Dreyer, Ch. Malon, J. Nešetřil, *Universal  $H$ -colourable graphs without a given configuration*, Discrete math. **250** (2002), no. 1-3, 245-252.
- [2] P. Hell, N. Nešetřil, *Graphs and Homomorphisms*, Oxford university press, Oxford (2004).
- [3] A. Kotzig, *Contribution to the theory of Eulerian polyhedra*, Mat. Čas. SAV (Math. Slovaca) **5** (1955) 111-113.
- [4] T. Marshal, R. Naserasr and J. Nešetřil, *On homomorphism bounded classes of graphs*, European J. Comb. To appear.
- [5] R. Naserasr, *Homomorphisms and edge colourings of planar graphs*, submitted.
- [6] J. Nešetřil, P. Ossona de Mendez, *Colouring and homomorphisms of minor-closed classes*, The Goodman-Pollack Festschrift, Discrete & Computational Geometry, Series: Algorithms and Combinatorics, (eds: Aronov,B. and Basu,S. and Pach,J. and Sharir,M.) **25** (2003) 651-664.
- [7] J. Nešetřil, P. Ossona de Mendez, *Folding*, KAM-DIMATIA Series, **585** (2002).