

Ackermann and Primitive-Recursive Bounds with Dickson's Lemma

D. Figueira S. Figueira S. Schmitz Ph. Schnoebelen

LSV, ENS Cachan & CNRS

LaBRI: Graphes et logique, November 23, 2010

Outline

well quasi orderings

generic tools for termination arguments

this talk

beyond termination: complexity upper bounds

contents

Dickson's Lemma

Decomposing Bad Sequences

Subrecursive Hierarchies

Applications

Dickson's Lemma

- ▶ wqo (S, \leqslant) : well-founded quasi-ordering with no infinite antichains
- ▶ every infinite sequence $x = x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots$ of S^ω contains an infinite increasing subsequence
 $x_{i_1} \leqslant x_{i_2} \leqslant x_{i_3} \leqslant \dots$ for some $i_1 < i_2 < i_3 < \dots$
- ▶ Dickson's Lemma: $(\mathbb{N}^k, \leqslant)$ with \leqslant denoting the product ordering is a wqo.

Good and Bad Sequences

- ▶ a sequence of S^∞ is r -*good* if it contains an increasing subsequence $x_{i_1} \leq x_{i_2} \leq \dots \leq x_{i_{r+1}}$ of length $r + 1$
- ▶ otherwise it is r -*bad*
- ▶ for $r = 1$: *good* and *bad* sequences
- ▶ wqo: every bad sequence is finite

Well-structured transition systems

- ▶ transition systems (Q, \rightarrow, q_0) with a wqo \leqslant on Q compatible with transitions:

$$\forall p, q, p' \in Q, (p \xrightarrow{a} q \wedge p \leqslant p') \Rightarrow \exists q', (q \leqslant q' \wedge p' \xrightarrow{a} q')$$

- ▶ a generic framework for decidability results: safety, termination, EF model checking, ...
- ▶ many classes of concrete systems are WSTS:
 - ▶ over $(\mathbb{N}^k, \leqslant)$: vector addition systems, resets/transfer Petri nets, increasing counter systems,
...
 - ▶ over (Σ^*, \sqsubseteq) : lossy channel systems, ...
 - ▶ beyond: data nets, ...

Example: (Non) Termination

- ▶ given (Q, \rightarrow, q_0) , decide whether there exists an infinite run $q_0 \rightarrow q_1 \rightarrow \dots$
- ▶ holds iff there exists $q_i \leqslant q_j$ with $q_0 \rightarrow^* q_i \rightarrow^+ q_j$
- ▶ thanks to wqo, termination is both r.e. and co-r.e.
- ▶ what is the complexity?

Example: (Non) Termination

- ▶ given (Q, \rightarrow, q_0) , decide whether there exists an infinite run $q_0 \rightarrow q_1 \rightarrow \dots$
- ▶ holds iff there exists $q_i \leqslant q_j$ with $q_0 \rightarrow^* q_i \rightarrow^+ q_j$
- ▶ thanks to wqo, termination is both r.e. and co-r.e.
- ▶ **what is the complexity?**

Controlled Sequences

- ▶ bound the length of bad sequences
- ▶ but: choose any N , and consider the bad sequence $N, N - 1, \dots, 0$ over \mathbb{N}
- ▶ similarly:
 $\langle 3, 3 \rangle, \langle 3, 2 \rangle, \langle 3, 1 \rangle, \langle 3, 0 \rangle, \langle 2, N \rangle, \langle 2, N - 1 \rangle, \dots$

Controlled Sequences

- ▶ bound the length of bad sequences
- ▶ fix a *control function* $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$
- ▶ $x = x_0, x_1, \dots$ over \mathbb{N}^k is *f-controlled* if
$$\forall i = 0, 1, \dots, \forall 1 \leq j \leq k, x_i[j] < f(i)$$
- ▶ for fixed r, k, f , there are *finitely* many r -bad f -controlled sequences over \mathbb{N}^k

Controlled Sequences

- ▶ bound the length of *controlled* bad sequences
- ▶ distinguish x_0 : consider $(\lambda x. f(x + t))$ -controlled sequences instead (thus $x_i[j] < f(i + t)$)
- ▶ bound the maximal length of a r -bad $(\lambda x. f(x + t))$ -controlled sequence over \mathbb{N}^k as a function of t :

$$L_{r,k,f}(t)$$

Example

$$k = 2, t = 1, f(x) = x + 3$$

i	0	1	2	3	4	5	...	10	11	12	13	...	26	27	28	29	...	58	59
$x_i[1]$	3	3	3	3	2	2	...	2	2	1	1	...	1	1	0	0	...	0	0
$x_i[2]$	3	2	1	0	7	6	...	1	0	15	14	...	1	0	31	30	...	1	0
$f(i + t)$	4	5	6	7	8	9	...	14	15	16	17	...	30	31	32	33	...	62	63

Easy Cases

$$L_{0,k,f}(t) = 0 \quad (\text{by convention})$$

$$L_{1,0,f}(t) = 1$$

$$L_{r,0,f}(t) = r$$

$$L_{1,1,f}(t) = f(t)$$

the latter sequence being

$$f(t) - 1, f(t) - 2, \dots, 1, 0$$

A More General Problem

- disjoint sums $A_1 \oplus A_2$
- wqo for the sum ordering :

$$\begin{aligned} x \leqslant x' &\stackrel{\text{def}}{\Leftrightarrow} (x, x' \in A_1 \wedge x \leqslant_1 x') \\ &\quad \vee (x, x' \in A_2 \wedge x \leqslant_2 x') \end{aligned}$$

- multiset notation: $\tau = \{k_1, k_2, \dots\}$, $\mathbb{N}^\tau = \bigoplus_i \mathbb{N}^{k_i}$
- shift to $L_{\tau,f}(t)$

Decomposition for \mathbb{N}^k

A bad sequence $x = x_0, x_1, \dots, x_l$ over \mathbb{N}^k :

- ▶ control: $x_0 \leqslant \langle f(t) - 1, \dots, f(t) - 1 \rangle$
- ▶ badness: $\forall i > 0, \exists 1 \leqslant j \leqslant k, x_i[j] < x_0[j]$
- ▶ $\forall i > 1, \exists 1 \leqslant j \leqslant k, 0 \leqslant s \leqslant f(t) - 1, x_i \in R_{j,s}$
where $R_{j,s} = \{x \in \mathbb{N}^k \mid x[j] = s\}$
- ▶ there are $N_{k,f}(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} k \cdot (f(t) - 1)$ regions in total

Decomposition for \mathbb{N}^k

A bad sequence $x = x_0, x_1, \dots, x_l$ over \mathbb{N}^k :

- ▶ control: $x_0 \leqslant \langle f(t) - 1, \dots, f(t) - 1 \rangle$
- ▶ badness: $\forall i > 0, \exists 1 \leqslant j \leqslant k, x_i[j] < x_0[j]$
- ▶ $\forall i > 1, \exists 1 \leqslant j \leqslant k, 0 \leqslant s \leqslant f(t) - 1, x_i \in R_{j,s}$
where $R_{j,s} = \{x \in \mathbb{N}^k \mid x[j] = s\}$
- ▶ there are $N_{k,f}(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} k \cdot (f(t) - 1)$ regions in total

Decomposition for \mathbb{N}^k

A bad sequence $x = x_0, x_1, \dots, x_l$ over \mathbb{N}^k :

- ▶ control: $x_0 \leq \langle f(t) - 1, \dots, f(t) - 1 \rangle$
- ▶ badness: $\forall i > 0, \exists 1 \leq j \leq k, x_i[j] < x_0[j]$
- ▶ $\forall i > 1, \exists 1 \leq j \leq k, 0 \leq s \leq f(t) - 1, x_i \in R_{j,s}$
where $R_{j,s} = \{x \in \mathbb{N}^k \mid x[j] = s\}$
- ▶ there are $N_{k,f}(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} k \cdot (f(t) - 1)$ regions in total

Decomposition for \mathbb{N}^k

A bad sequence $x = x_0, x_1, \dots, x_l$ over \mathbb{N}^k :

- ▶ control: $x_0 \leqslant \langle f(t) - 1, \dots, f(t) - 1 \rangle$
- ▶ badness: $\forall i > 0, \exists 1 \leqslant j \leqslant k, x_i[j] < x_0[j]$
- ▶ $\forall i > 1, \exists 1 \leqslant j \leqslant k, 0 \leqslant s \leqslant f(t) - 1, x_i \in R_{j,s}$
where $R_{j,s} = \{x \in \mathbb{N}^k \mid x[j] = s\}$
- ▶ there are $N_{k,f}(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} k \cdot (f(t) - 1)$ regions in total

Decomposition for \mathbb{N}^k

Example

$$\mathbf{x} = \langle 2, 2 \rangle, \langle 1, 5 \rangle, \langle 4, 0 \rangle, \langle 1, 1 \rangle, \langle 0, 100 \rangle, \langle 0, 99 \rangle, \langle 3, 0 \rangle$$

$$\langle 2, 2 \rangle, \left[\begin{array}{ccc} & \langle 0, 100 \rangle, \langle 0, 99 \rangle, & (R_{1,0} : x[1] = 0) \\ \langle 1, 5 \rangle, & \langle 1, 1 \rangle, & (R_{1,1} : x[1] = 1) \\ & \langle 4, 0 \rangle, & \langle 3, 0 \rangle \quad (R_{2,0} : x[2] = 0) \\ & & (R_{2,1} : x[2] = 1) \end{array} \right]$$

Decomposition for \mathbb{N}^k

Example

$$x = \langle 2, 2 \rangle, \langle 1, 5 \rangle, \langle 4, 0 \rangle, \langle 1, 1 \rangle, \langle 0, 100 \rangle, \langle 0, 99 \rangle, \langle 3, 0 \rangle$$

$$\langle 2, 2 \rangle, \left[\begin{array}{ccc} \langle *, 100 \rangle, \langle *, 99 \rangle, & & (R_{1,0} : x[1] = 0) \\ \langle *, 5 \rangle, & \langle *, 1 \rangle, & (R_{1,1} : x[1] = 1) \\ & \langle 4, * \rangle, & \langle 3, * \rangle (R_{2,0} : x[2] = 0) \\ & & (R_{2,1} : x[2] = 1) \end{array} \right]$$

Decomposition for \mathbb{N}^k

Example

$$x = \langle 2, 2 \rangle, \langle 1, 5 \rangle, \langle 4, 0 \rangle, \langle 1, 1 \rangle, \langle 0, 100 \rangle, \langle 0, 99 \rangle, \langle 3, 0 \rangle$$

$$\langle 2, 2 \rangle, \left[\begin{array}{ccc} \langle *, 100 \rangle, \langle *, 99 \rangle, & & (R_{1,0} : x[1] = 0) \\ \langle *, 5 \rangle, & \langle *, 1 \rangle, & (R_{1,1} : x[1] = 1) \\ & \langle 4, * \rangle, & \langle 3, * \rangle \quad (R_{2,0} : x[2] = 0) \\ & & (R_{2,1} : x[2] = 1) \end{array} \right]$$

$$L_{\{k\},f}(t) \leq 1 + L_{N_{k,f}(t) \times \{k-1\},f}(t+1)$$

Decomposition for $\bigoplus_i \mathbb{N}^{k_i}$

Example

$\tau = \{1, 2, 2\}$:

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc} \langle 5 \rangle, & & \langle 3 \rangle & \\ \langle 2, 2 \rangle, & \langle 1, 5 \rangle, \langle 4, 0 \rangle, & \langle 1, 1 \rangle, & \langle 0, 100 \rangle, \langle 0, 99 \rangle, \langle 3, 0 \rangle \\ & & \langle 12, 1 \rangle, & \langle 3, 5 \rangle \end{array} \right]$$

$$\langle 2, 2 \rangle \left[\begin{array}{ccc} \langle 5 \rangle, & \langle 3 \rangle & \langle *, 100 \rangle, \langle *, 99 \rangle, \\ \langle *, 5 \rangle, & \langle 4, * \rangle, & \langle *, 1 \rangle, \\ & & \langle 3, * \rangle \\ & \langle 12, 1 \rangle, & \langle 3, 5 \rangle \end{array} \right]$$

Decomposition for $\bigoplus_i \mathbb{N}^{k_i}$

Example

$\tau = \{1, 2, 2\}$:

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc} \langle 5 \rangle, & & \langle 3 \rangle & \\ \langle 2, 2 \rangle, & \langle 1, 5 \rangle, \langle 4, 0 \rangle, & \langle 1, 1 \rangle, & \langle 0, 100 \rangle, \langle 0, 99 \rangle, \langle 3, 0 \rangle \\ & & \langle 12, 1 \rangle, & \langle 3, 5 \rangle \end{array} \right]$$

$$\langle 2, 2 \rangle \left[\begin{array}{ccccc} \langle 5 \rangle, & & \langle 3 \rangle & & \\ & \langle *, 5 \rangle, & & \langle *, 1 \rangle, & \langle *, 100 \rangle, \langle *, 99 \rangle, \\ & \langle 4, * \rangle, & & & \langle 3, * \rangle \\ & & \langle 12, 1 \rangle, & \langle 3, 5 \rangle & \end{array} \right]$$

Decomposition for $\bigoplus_i \mathbb{N}^{k_i}$

Example

$$\langle 2, 2 \rangle \left[\begin{array}{ccc} \langle 5 \rangle, & & \langle 3 \rangle \\ & \langle *, 5 \rangle, & \langle *, 1 \rangle, \\ & \langle 4, * \rangle, & \langle *, 100 \rangle, \langle *, 99 \rangle, \\ & & & \langle 3, * \rangle \\ & & \langle 12, 1 \rangle, & \langle 3, 5 \rangle \end{array} \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_{\langle k, t, f \rangle} &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \tau - \{k\} + N_{k,f}(t) \times \{k-1\} \\ &= \tau - \{k\} + k(f(t) - 1) \times \{k-1\}\end{aligned}$$

$$L_{\tau,f}(t) \leq \max_{k \in \tau} \left\{ 1 + L_{\tau_{\langle k, t, f \rangle}, f}(t+1) \right\}$$

A Bounding Function

$$M_{\tau,f}(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \max_{k \in \tau} \{1 + M_{\tau_{\langle k,t,f \rangle},f}(t+1)\}.$$

Then for all τ and t

$$L_{\tau,f}(t) \leq M_{\tau,f}(t)$$

Proposition (Maximizing Strategy)

For all $\tau \neq \emptyset, t \geq 0, M_{\tau,f}(t) = 1 + M_{\tau_{\langle \min \tau, t, f \rangle},f}(t+1).$

A Bounding Function

Proposition (Maximizing Strategy)

For all $\tau \neq \emptyset, t \geq 0, M_{\tau,f}(t) = 1 + M_{\tau_{\langle \min \tau, t, f \rangle}, f}(t+1).$

Example

$t = 1, f(x+t) = x+t, \tau = \{2, 1\}$: compare

$$\langle 0, 0 \rangle, \langle 1 \rangle, \langle 0 \rangle$$

$$\langle 0 \rangle, \langle 1, 1 \rangle, \langle 1, 0 \rangle, \langle 0, 3 \rangle, \langle 0, 2 \rangle, \langle 0, 1 \rangle, \langle 0, 0 \rangle$$

Complexity Results

Proposition

Let $k, r \geq 1$ be natural numbers and $\gamma \geq 1$ an ordinal. If f is a monotone unary function of \mathfrak{F}_γ with $f(x) \geq \max(1, x)$ for all x , then $M_{r \times \{k\}, f}$ is in $\mathfrak{F}_{\gamma+k-1}$.

Proposition

*Let $k, r \geq 1$ be natural numbers and $\gamma \geq 0$ an ordinal with $\gamma + k \geq 3$. Then $L_{r \times \{k\}, F_\gamma}$ is bounded below by a function which is **not** in $\mathfrak{F}_{\gamma+k-2}$.*

Fast Growing Hierarchy: $(F_\alpha)_\alpha$

Hierarchy of functions $(F_\alpha)_\alpha$ indexed by ordinals; we only need the *finite* fragment.

$$F_0(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} x + 1$$

$$F_{n+1}(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} F_n^{x+1}(x)$$

$$F_1(x) = 2x + 1$$

$$F_2(x) = (x + 1) \cdot 2^{x+1} - 1$$

F_3 is non elementary

$F_\omega \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lambda x. F_x(x)$ is non primitive-recursive

Fast Growing Hierarchy: $(F_\alpha)_\alpha$

Hierarchy of functions $(F_\alpha)_\alpha$ indexed by ordinals; we only need the *finite* fragment.

$$F_0(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} x + 1$$

$$F_{n+1}(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} F_n^{x+1}(x)$$

$$F_1(x) = 2x + 1$$

$$F_2(x) = (x + 1) \cdot 2^{x+1} - 1$$

F_3 is non elementary

$F_\omega \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lambda x. F_x(x)$ is non primitive-recursive

Fast Growing Hierarchy: $(\mathfrak{F}_\alpha)_\alpha$

Hierarchy of *classes* of functions: \mathfrak{F}_α is the closure of $\{\lambda x.0, \lambda xy.x + y, \lambda \bar{x}.x_i\} \cup \{F_\beta \mid \beta \leq \alpha\}$ under substitution if $h_0, h_1, \dots, h_n \in \mathfrak{F}_\alpha$ then so does f if

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = h_0(h_1(x_1, \dots, x_n), \dots, h_n(x_1, \dots, x_n))$$

limited recursion if $h_1, h_2, h_3 \in \mathfrak{F}_\alpha$ then so does f if

$$f(0, x_1, \dots, x_n) = h_1(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

$$f(y + 1, x_1, \dots, x_n) = h_2(y, x_1, \dots, x_n, f(y, x_1, \dots, x_n))$$

$$f(y, x_1, \dots, x_n) \leq h_3(y, x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

Fast Growing Hierarchy: $(\mathfrak{F}_\alpha)_{\alpha}$

- ▶ for $k \geq 1$, $\mathfrak{F}_k \subsetneq \mathfrak{F}_{k+1}$, because $F_{k+1} \notin \mathfrak{F}_k$
- ▶ $\mathfrak{F}_0 = \mathfrak{F}_1$: linear functions, like $\lambda x. x + 3$ or $\lambda x. 2x$,
- ▶ \mathfrak{F}_2 : elementary functions, like $\lambda x. 2^{2^x}$,
- ▶ \mathfrak{F}_3 : tetration functions, like $\lambda x. \underbrace{2^{2^{\dots^2}}}_{x \text{ times}}$, etc.
- ▶ $\bigcup_k \mathfrak{F}_k$: primitive-recursive functions

Affine Counter Systems

- ▶ $\mathcal{C} = \langle Q, k, \delta, m_0 \rangle$
- ▶ transitions (q, g, q') where $g(x) = Ax + B$ an affine function, $A \in \mathbb{N}^{k \times k}$, $B \in \mathbb{Z}^k$
- ▶ $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}^k$
- ▶ generalize reset/transfer Petri nets, broadcast protocols, . . .

Termination for ACS

Given $\langle \mathcal{C} \rangle$ a k-ACS, does every run of \mathcal{C} terminate?

- ▶ exponential control in \mathfrak{F}_2
- ▶ $t < |m_0| < |\mathcal{C}|$
- ▶ upper bound: \mathfrak{F}_{k+1}
- ▶ lower bound: $\mathfrak{F}_{k-O(1)}$ (Schnoebelen, 2010)
- ▶ if k is not fixed, non-primitive recursive, with an upper bound in \mathfrak{F}_ω

Concluding Remarks

- ▶ practical applications of wqo's yield upper bounds!
- ▶ out-of-the-box upper bounds
- ▶ “essentially” matching lower bounds for decision problems on monotone counter systems (lossy counter systems, reset or transfer Petri nets)
- ▶ the future: Higman's Lemma

Concluding Remarks

- ▶ practical applications of wqo's yield upper bounds!
- ▶ out-of-the-box upper bounds
- ▶ “essentially” matching lower bounds for decision problems on monotone counter systems (lossy counter systems, reset or transfer Petri nets)
- ▶ the future: Higman's Lemma

References: Upper Bounds for WQO

Dickson's Lemma

McAloon, K., 1984. Petri nets and large finite sets. *Theor. Comput. Sci.*, 32 (1–2):173–183. doi:10.1016/0304-3975(84)90029-X.

Clote, P., 1986. On the finite containment problem for Petri nets. *Theor. Comput. Sci.*, 43:99–105. doi:10.1016/0304-3975(86)90169-6.

Higman's Lemma

Cichoń, E.A. and Tahhan Bittar, E., 1998. Ordinal recursive bounds for Higman's Theorem. *Theor. Comput. Sci.*, 201(1–2):63–84.
doi:10.1016/S0304-3975(97)00009-1.

Kruskal's Theorem

Weiermann, A., 1994. Complexity bounds for some finite forms of Kruskal's Theorem. *J. Symb. Comput.*, 18(5):463–488. doi:10.1006/jsco.1994.1059.

References

Fast Growing Hierarchy

Löb, M. and Wainer, S., 1970. Hierarchies of number theoretic functions, I. *Arch. Math. Logic*, 13:39–51. doi:10.1007/BF01967649.

WSTS

Abdulla, P.A., Čerāns, K., Jonsson, B., and Tsay, Y.K., 2000. Algorithmic analysis of programs with well quasi-ordered domains. *Inform. and Comput.*, 160(1–2):109–127. doi:10.1006/inco.1999.2843.

Finkel, A. and Schnoebelen, Ph., 2001. Well-structured transition systems everywhere! *Theor. Comput. Sci.*, 256(1–2):63–92.
doi:10.1016/S0304-3975(00)00102-X.

Lower Bounds

Schnoebelen, Ph., 2010. Revisiting Ackermann-hardness for lossy counter machines and reset Petri nets. In Hliněný, P. and Kučera, A., editors, *MFCS 2010*, volume 6281 of *LNCS*, pages 616–628. Springer.
doi:10.1007/978-3-642-15155-2_54.

Lower Bound

Specific sequence, bad for $(\mathbb{N}^k, \leq_{\text{lex}})$, of length $\ell_{k,f}(t)$.

Example

$k = 2, t = 1, f(x) = x + 3$:

i	0	1	2	3	4	5	...	10	11	12	13	...	26	27	28	29	...	58	59
$x_i[1]$	3	3	3	3	2	2	...	2	2	1	1	...	1	1	0	0	...	0	0
$x_i[2]$	3	2	1	0	7	6	...	1	0	15	14	...	1	0	31	30	...	1	0
$f(i+t)$	4	5	6	7	8	9	...	14	15	16	17	...	30	31	32	33	...	62	63

$$5 = 1 + 4 = 1 + \ell_{1,f}(1)$$

$$13 = 5 + 8 = 5 + \ell_{1,f}(5)$$

$$29 = 16 + 13 = 13 + \ell_{1,f}(13)$$

Lower Bound

Specific sequence, bad for $(\mathbb{N}^k, \leq_{\text{lex}})$, of length $\ell_{k,f}(t)$.
 In general, on the $k+1$ th coordinate:

$$\underbrace{f(t) - 1 \ f(t) - 1 \ \cdots \ f(t) - 1}_{\ell_{k,f}(t) \text{ times}} \quad \underbrace{f(t) - 2, f(t) - 2, \cdots, f(t) - 2}_{\ell_{k,f}(o_{k,f}(t)) \text{ times}} \\ \dots \quad \underbrace{0, 0, \cdots, 0}_{\ell_{k,f}(o_{k,f}^{f(t)-1}(t)) \text{ times}}$$

$$o_{k,f}(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} t + \ell_{k,f}(t)$$

$$\ell_{k+1,f}(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{f(t)} \ell_{k,f}(o_{k,f}^{j-1}(t))$$

Lower Bound

Specific sequence, bad for $(\mathbb{N}^k, \leq_{\text{lex}})$, of length $\ell_{k,f}(t)$.
One can have $\ell_{k,f}(t) < L(\{k\}, t)$: let $f(x) = 2x$ and
 $t = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle 1, 1 \rangle, \langle 1, 0 \rangle, \langle 0, 5 \rangle, \langle 0, 4 \rangle, \langle 0, 3 \rangle, \langle 0, 2 \rangle, \langle 0, 1 \rangle, \langle 0, 0 \rangle \\ &\langle 1, 1 \rangle, \langle 0, 3 \rangle, \langle 0, 2 \rangle, \langle 0, 1 \rangle, \langle 9, 0 \rangle, \langle 8, 0 \rangle, \langle 7, 0 \rangle, \langle 6, 0 \rangle, \langle 5, 0 \rangle, \dots, \langle 0, 0 \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\ell_{2,f}(1) = 8$$

$$L_{\{2\},f}(1) \geq 14$$