## TD 4: Complexity of LTL Fragments

Exercises 1-3 (marked with an asterisk in the margin) are to be prepared at home before the session.

## $1 \operatorname{LTL}(\mathbf{X})$

Exercise 1 (Model Checking a Path). We want to verify a model with a single run $w,(*)$ which is an ultimately periodic word $u v^{\omega}$ with $u$ in $\Sigma^{*}$ and $v$ in $\Sigma^{+}$.

Give an algorithm for checking whether $w, 0 \models \varphi$ holds, where $\varphi$ is a $\operatorname{LTL}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{U})$ formula, in time bounded by $O(|u v| \cdot|\varphi|)$.

Exercise 2 (Complexity of $\operatorname{LTL}(X)$ ). We want to show that LTL $(X)$ existential model checking is NP-complete (instead of PSPACE-complete for the full LTL $(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{U})$ ).

1. Show that $M C^{\exists}(X)$ is in NP.
2. Reduce 3SAT to $\mathrm{MC}^{\exists}(\mathrm{X})$ in order to prove NP-hardness.

## 2 LTL(U)

Exercise 3 (Stuttering and LTL(U)). In the context of a word $\sigma$ in $\Sigma^{\omega}$, stuttering denotes the existence of consecutive symbols, like $a a a a$ and $b b$ in baaaabb. Concrete systems tend to stutter, and thus some argue that verification properties should be stutter invariant.

A stuttering function $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_{+}$from the positive integers to the strictly positive integers. Let $\sigma=a_{0} a_{1} \cdots$ be an infinite word of $\Sigma^{\omega}$ and $f$ a stuttering function, we denote by $\sigma[f]$ the infinite word $a_{0}^{f(0)} a_{1}^{f(1)} \cdots$, i.e. where the $i$-th symbol of $\sigma$ is repeated $f(i)$ times. A language $L \subseteq \Sigma^{\omega}$ is stutter invariant if, for all words $\sigma$ in $\Sigma^{\omega}$ and all stuttering functions $f$,

$$
\sigma \in L \text { iff } \sigma[f] \in L
$$

1. Prove that if $\varphi$ is a $\operatorname{LTL}(\mathrm{U})$ formula, then $L(\varphi)$ is stutter-invariant.
2. A word $\sigma=a_{0} a_{1} \cdots$ in $\Sigma^{\omega}$ is stutter-free if, for all $i$ in $\mathbb{N}$, either $a_{i} \neq a_{i+1}$, or $a_{i}=a_{j}$ for all $j \geq i$. We note $\operatorname{sf}(L)$ for the set of stutter-free words in a language $L$.

Show that, if $L$ and $L^{\prime}$ are two stutter invariant languages, then $\operatorname{sf}(L)=\operatorname{sf}\left(L^{\prime}\right)$ iff $L=L^{\prime}$ 。
3. Let $\varphi$ be a $\operatorname{LTL}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{U})$ formula such that $L(\varphi)$ is stutter invariant. Construct inductively a formula $\tau(\varphi)$ of $\operatorname{LTL}(\mathrm{U})$ such that $\operatorname{sf}(L(\varphi))=\operatorname{sf}(L(\tau(\varphi)))$, and thus such that $L(\varphi)=L(\tau(\varphi))$ according to the previous question. What is the size of $\tau(\varphi)$ (there exists a solution of size $O\left(|\varphi| \cdot 2^{|\varphi|}\right)$ )?

Exercise 4 (Complexity of LTL(U)). We want to prove that the model checking and satisfiability problems for $\operatorname{LTL}(\mathrm{U})$ formulæ are both PSPACE-complete.

1. Prove that $\mathrm{MC}^{\exists}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{U})$ can be reduced to $\mathrm{MC}^{\exists}(\mathrm{U})$ : given an instance $(M, \varphi)$ of $\mathrm{MC}^{\exists}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{U})$, construct a stutter-free Kripke structure $M^{\prime}$ and an LTL(U) formula $\tau^{\prime}(\varphi)$. Beware: the $\tau$ construction of the previous exercise does not yield a polynomial reduction!
2. Show that $M C^{\exists}(X, U)$ can be reduced to $\operatorname{SAT}(U)$.

## $3 \operatorname{LTL}(F)$

Exercise 5 (Small Model Property for LTL(F)). Fix $\Sigma=2^{\text {AP }}$ and let $w=w_{0} w_{1} w_{2} \ldots$ be an infinite word in $\Sigma^{\omega}$. Let

$$
\operatorname{alph}(w)=\left\{\left.a \in \Sigma| | w\right|_{a} \geq 1\right\}
$$

be the set of letters appearing in $w$ and

$$
\inf (w)=\left\{\left.a \in \Sigma| | w\right|_{a}=\infty\right\}
$$

be the set of letters appearing infinitely often in $w$. We consider decompositions $u \cdot v$ in $\Sigma^{*} \times \Sigma^{\infty}$ such that alph $(v)=\inf (v)$; this definition enforces that either $v=\varepsilon$ or $v$ is in $\Sigma^{\omega}$. Given an infinite word $w$ there exists a unique decomposition $w=u \cdot v$ with $u \in \Sigma^{*}$, $v \in(\inf (w))^{\omega}$, and $u$ of minimal length.

Define the size $\|u \cdot v\|$ of a decomposition pair $u \cdot v$ as $\|u \cdot v\|=|u|+|\inf (v)|$. Our goal is, for any satisfiable $\varphi$ in $\operatorname{LTL}(\mathbf{F})$, to prove the existence of a model $w=u \cdot v$ with $\|u \cdot v\| \leq|\varphi|$.

1. Consider an infinite word $w$ decomposed as $u \cdot v$ and two indices $i, j \geq|u|$ with $w_{i}=w_{j}$; show that for all $\varphi$ in $\operatorname{LTL}(\mathbf{F}), w, i \models \varphi$ iff $w, j \models \varphi$.
2. Let $w, w^{\prime}$ be two infinite words decomposed as $u \cdot v$ and $u \cdot v^{\prime}$ (thus with a shared initial prefix) with $\inf (w)=\inf \left(w^{\prime}\right)$ and $w_{0}=w_{0}^{\prime}$ (necessary in case $u=\varepsilon$ ). Show that for all $\varphi$ in $\operatorname{LTL}(\mathbf{F}), w, 0 \models \varphi$ iff $w^{\prime}, 0 \models \varphi$.

Let $\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}$ be words in $\Sigma^{\infty} ; \sigma^{\prime}$ is a subsequence of $\sigma$, noted $\sigma^{\prime} \preceq \sigma$, if there exists a monotone injection $f_{\sigma^{\prime}}:\left\{0, \ldots,\left|\sigma^{\prime}\right|-1\right\} \rightarrow\{0, \ldots,|\sigma|-1\}$ s.t. for all $i \in\left\{0, \ldots,\left|\sigma^{\prime}\right|-1\right\}$, $\sigma_{i}^{\prime}=\sigma_{\sigma_{\sigma^{\prime}}(i)}$. Alternatively, given a subset $R_{\sigma^{\prime}}$ of $\{0, \ldots,|\sigma|-1\}$ with cardinal $\left|R_{\sigma^{\prime}}\right|=\left|\sigma^{\prime}\right|$, define $f_{\sigma^{\prime}}$ as the unique monotone bijection mapping $\left\{0, \ldots,\left|\sigma^{\prime}\right|-1\right\}$ to $R_{\sigma^{\prime}}$. If $\sigma \neq \varepsilon$ and $\sigma^{\prime} \preceq \sigma$, define the sequence $s\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right) \preceq \sigma$ by $R_{s\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right)}=\{0\} \cup R_{\sigma^{\prime}}$.

Given a decomposition $u \cdot v$, a subdecomposition $u^{\prime} \cdot v^{\prime}$ is a decomposition such that $u^{\prime} \preceq u$ and $v^{\prime} \preceq v$ (by definition this enforces alph $\left(v^{\prime}\right)=\inf \left(v^{\prime}\right)$ ). We write $R_{u^{\prime} \cdot v^{\prime}}$ for $R_{u^{\prime}} \cup\left\{\left|u^{\prime}\right|+i \mid i \in R_{v^{\prime}}\right\}$; this is compatible with the notion of subsequence on the words $w^{\prime}=u^{\prime} \cdot v^{\prime}$ and $w=u \cdot v$.
3. Given two subdecompositions $u_{1} \cdot v_{1}$ and $u_{2} \cdot v_{2}$ of some decomposition $u \cdot v$, show that $u^{\prime} \cdot v^{\prime}$ with $R_{u^{\prime}}=R_{u_{1}} \cup R_{u_{2}}$ and $R_{v^{\prime}}=R_{v_{1}} \cup R_{v_{2}}$ is a subdecomposition of $u \cdot v$ and s.t. $\left\|u^{\prime} \cdot v^{\prime}\right\| \leq\left\|u_{1} \cdot v_{1}\right\|+\left\|u_{2} \cdot v_{2}\right\|$.

Consider a formula $\varphi$ in $\operatorname{LTL}(F)$. By the standard "push negations using dualities" argument, it can be transformed into an equivalent formula $\psi$ in negative normal form, where negations only occur in front of atomic fomulæ, using only $F$ and $G$ modalities, i.e. $\psi$ is in $\operatorname{NNF}(\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{G})$. Let us note $m(\varphi)$ the number of F modalities in a LTL formula $\varphi$; we have $m(\psi) \leq m(\varphi) \leq|\varphi|$.
4. Let $w$ be an infinite word in $\Sigma^{\omega}$ decomposed as $w=u \cdot v$ and let $\psi$ in $\operatorname{NNF}(\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{G})$. Show by induction on $\psi$ that, if there exists a subdecomposition $u^{\prime} \cdot v^{\prime}$ of $u \cdot v$, s.t. for all $i \in R_{u^{\prime} \cdot v^{\prime}}, w, i \equiv \psi$, then there exists a subdecomposition $\sigma \cdot \tau$ of $u \cdot v$ of size $\|\sigma \cdot \tau\| \leq m(\psi)$ such that, for all subdecompositions $\sigma^{\prime} \cdot \tau^{\prime}$ of $u \cdot v$ for which $\sigma \cdot \tau$ is a subdecomposition, and for all $i \in R_{u^{\prime} \cdot v^{\prime}} \cap R_{\sigma^{\prime} \cdot \tau^{\prime}}, \sigma^{\prime} \cdot \tau^{\prime}, i \models \psi$.
5. Conclude.

Exercise 6 (Complexity of LTL(F)).

1. Show that $M C^{\exists}(F)$ and $S A T(F)$ are NPTime-hard.
2. Show that $M C^{\exists}(F)$ and $S A T(F)$ are in NPTime.
