

# GEOMETRY, DYNAMICS, AND ARITHMETIC OF $S$ -ADIC SHIFTS

VALÉRIE BERTHÉ, WOLFGANG STEINER, AND JÖRG M. THUSWALDNER

ABSTRACT. This paper studies geometric and spectral properties of  $S$ -adic shifts and their relation to continued fraction algorithms. Pure discrete spectrum for  $S$ -adic shifts and tiling properties of associated Rauzy fractals are established under a generalized Pisot assumption together with a geometric coincidence condition. These general results extend the scope of the Pisot substitution conjecture to the  $S$ -adic framework. They are applied to families of  $S$ -adic shifts generated by Arnoux-Rauzy as well as Brun substitutions (related to the respective continued fraction algorithms). It is shown that almost all these shifts have pure discrete spectrum, which proves a conjecture of Arnoux and Rauzy going back to the early nineties in a metric sense. We also prove that each linearly recurrent Arnoux-Rauzy shift with recurrent directive sequence has pure discrete spectrum. Using  $S$ -adic words related to Brun's continued fraction algorithm, we exhibit bounded remainder sets and natural codings for almost all translations on the two-dimensional torus.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Mise en scène	3
3. Main results	7
4. Convergence properties	11
5. Set equations for Rauzy fractals and the recurrent left eigenvector	13
6. Some properties of Rauzy fractals	18
7. Tilings and coincidences	22
8. Dynamical properties of $S$ -adic shifts	27
9. $S$ -adic shifts associated with continued fraction algorithms	30
References	32

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Pisot dynamics is widely known to yield pure discrete spectrum for substitutive dynamical systems in the symbolic setting as well as for tiling spaces, cf. [Rau82, Fog02, BK06, BST10, ABB<sup>+</sup>15]. The aim of this paper is to extend the Pisot substitutive dynamics to the non-stationary (i.e., time inhomogeneous) framework. The iteration of a single transformation is replaced by a sequence of transformations, along a sequence of spaces; see e.g. [AF01, AF05, Fis09] for sequences of substitutions and Anosov maps as well as for relations to Vershik's adic systems. In this setting, the Pisot condition is replaced by the requirement that the second Lyapunov exponent of the dynamical system is negative, leading to hyperbolic dynamics with a one-dimensional unstable foliation. This requirement has an arithmetical meaning, as it assures a.e. strong convergence of continued fraction algorithms associated with these dynamical systems; see [Sch00, Ber11, BD14, AD15].

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 37B10, 37A30, 11K50, 28A80.

*Key words and phrases.*  $S$ -adic shifts; substitutions; tilings; Pisot numbers; continued fractions; Brun algorithm; Arnoux-Rauzy algorithm; Lyapunov exponents.

This work was supported by the Agence Nationale de la Recherche and the Austrian Science Fund through the projects "Fractals and Numeration" (ANR-12-IS01-0002, FWF I1136) and "Discrete Mathematics" (FWF W1230).

We consider  $S$ -adic symbolic dynamical systems, where the letter  $S$  refers to “substitution”. These shift spaces are obtained by iterating different substitutions in a prescribed order, generalizing the substitutive case where a single substitution is iterated. An  $S$ -adic expansion of an infinite word  $\omega$  is given by a sequence  $(\sigma_n, i_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , where the  $\sigma_n$  are substitutions and the  $i_n$  are letters, such that  $\omega = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_0 \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_{n-1}(i_n)$ . Under mild assumptions (needed in order to exclude degenerate constructions), the orbit closure under the action of the shift  $\Sigma$  on the infinite word  $\omega$  is a minimal symbolic dynamical system equipped with an  $S$ -adic substitutive structure, and has zero entropy [BD14]. The  $S$ -adic shifts are closely related to Vershik’s adic systems [Ver81], which have provided the terminology “ $S$ -adic”. More generally they belong to the family of fusion systems (see [PFS14a, PFS14b]), which also includes Bratteli-Vershik systems and multi-dimensional cut-and-stack transformations, and pertain to arithmetic dynamics [Sid03]. The connections with continued fractions are natural in this framework: they had big influence on the set-up of the  $S$ -adic formalism, inspired by the Sturmian dynamics which is thoroughly described by regular continued fractions; see e.g. [AF01, BFZ05].

In the classical Pisot substitutive setting, the basic object is a single Pisot substitution, i.e., a substitution  $\sigma$  whose incidence matrix  $M_\sigma$  has a Pisot number as dominant eigenvalue. When the characteristic polynomial of  $M_\sigma$  is furthermore assumed to be irreducible, then the associated symbolic dynamical system  $(X_\sigma, \Sigma)$  is conjectured to have pure discrete spectrum. This is the *Pisot substitution conjecture*. For more details and partial results on this conjecture, see [Fog02, ST09, BST10, ABB<sup>+</sup>15]. One now classical approach for exhibiting the translation on a compact abelian group to which  $(X_\sigma, \Sigma)$  is conjectured to be isomorphic relies on the associated *Rauzy fractal*. This explicitly constructable set (with fractal boundary) forms a fundamental domain for the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -action provided by the Kronecker group translation (or at least for a Kronecker factor).

We extend classical notions, results, and problems studied in the Pisot substitutive case to the  $S$ -adic framework. We are able to define Rauzy fractals associated with  $S$ -adic symbolic dynamical systems, with the Pisot assumption being extended to the  $S$ -adic framework by requiring the second Lyapunov exponent to be negative. In other words, we work with  $S$ -adic shifts whose associated cocycles (provided by the incidence matrices of the substitutions) display strong convergence properties analogous to the Pisot case. Combinatorially, this reflects in certain balancedness properties of the associated language. This also allows us to define analogs of the stable/unstable splitting in the Pisot substitution case. In order to prove discrete spectrum, we associate with any Pisot  $S$ -adic shift a Rauzy fractal that lives in the analog of the stable contracting space.

We then introduce a family of coverings and multiple tilings, including periodic and aperiodic ones, that comes together with set-equations playing the role of the graph-directed iterated function system in the Pisot substitutive case. A particular choice of a periodic tiling yields number-theoretic applications and the isomorphism with a toral translation, whereas other (aperiodic) choices allow the study of the associated coverings. We then express a criterion for the multiple tilings to be indeed tilings, which yields pure discrete spectrum. This criterion is a coincidence type condition in the same vein as the various coincidence conditions (algebraic, combinatorial, overlap, etc.) introduced in the substitutive framework (first in [Dek78] for substitutions of constant length and then extended to the most general substitutive framework, see e.g. [Sol97, AL11]).

The idea of constructing Rauzy fractals associated with multidimensional continued fractions is already present in [Ito89, Ito95], but the problem remained to prove tiling properties, and even the question whether subpieces of the Rauzy fractal do not overlap could not be answered. Furthermore, although there exist results for the generation of discrete hyperplanes in connection with continued fraction algorithms [IO93, IO94, ABI02, BBS13, BBS15], more information on convergence and renormalization properties is needed in order to deduce spectral properties. In [AMS14],  $S$ -adic sequences are considered where the substitutions all have the same Pisot irreducible unimodular matrix; in our case, the matrices are allowed to be different at each step.

**Main results.** In our first result we describe geometric and dynamical properties of an  $S$ -adic shift  $(X, \Sigma)$  under very general combinatorial conditions. In particular, we are able to associate Rauzy fractals with  $(X, \Sigma)$  that are compact, the closure of their interior, and have a boundary of zero measure. We deduce covering and (multiple) tiling properties of these Rauzy fractals and,

subject to a combinatorial condition (a coincidence type condition), we are able to show that they form a periodic tiling. This fact is then used to prove that  $(X, \Sigma)$  is conjugate to a translation on a torus of suitable dimension. In this case, the subpieces of the Rauzy fractal turn out to be bounded remainder sets, and the elements of  $X$  are natural codings for this translation. Since the assumptions on the shift are very mild, this result can be used to establish a metric result stating that almost all shifts of certain families of  $S$ -adic shifts (under the above-mentioned Pisot condition in terms of Lyapunov exponents) have the above properties. We apply these constructions to two multidimensional continued fraction algorithms, the Arnoux-Rauzy and the Brun algorithm, that are proved to satisfy our Pisot assumptions as well as the combinatorial coincidence condition.

Arnoux-Rauzy substitutions are known to be Pisot [AI01]. Purely substitutive Arnoux-Rauzy words are even natural codings of toral translations [BJS12, BŠW13]. This is not true for arbitrary non-substitutive Arnoux-Rauzy words (see [CFZ00, CFM08]), but we are able to show this property for large classes of them; to our knowledge, no such examples (on more than 2 letters) were known before. Moreover, we deduce from a recent result by Avila and Delecroix [AD15] that almost every Arnoux-Rauzy word is a toral translation. This proves a conjecture of Arnoux and Rauzy that goes back to the early nineties (see e.g. [CFZ00, BFZ05]) in a metric sense. We also prove that any linearly recurrent Arnoux-Rauzy shift with recurrent directive sequence has pure discrete spectrum.

Brun’s algorithm [Bru58] is one of the most classical multidimensional generalizations of the regular continued fraction expansion [Bre81, Sch00]. This algorithm generates a sequence of simultaneous rational approximations to a given pair of points (each of these approximations is a pair of points having the same denominator). It is also closely related to the modified Jacobi-Perron algorithm introduced by Podsypanin in [Pod77], which is a two-point extension of the Brun algorithm. It is shown to be strongly convergent almost everywhere with exponential rate [FIKO96, Sch98, Mee99, BA09] and has an invariant ergodic probability measure equivalent to the Lebesgue measure which is known explicitly [AN93]. The substitutive case has been handled in [Bar16, BJS15]: Brun substitutions have pure discrete spectrum. Applying our theory, we prove that for almost all  $(x_1, x_2) \in [0, 1]^2$ , there is an  $S$ -adic shift associated with a certain (explicitly given) Brun expansion which is measurably conjugate to the translation by  $(x_1, x_2)$  on the torus  $\mathbb{T}^2$ . This implies that Brun substitutions yield natural codings of almost all rotations on the two-dimensional torus. The subpieces of the associated Rauzy fractals provide (measurable) bounded remainder sets for this rotation.

**Motivation.** Our motivation comes on the one hand from number theory. Indeed, Rauzy fractals are known to provide fundamental domains for Kronecker translations on the torus  $\mathbb{T}^d$  (together with Markov partitions for the corresponding toral automorphisms). They are also used to obtain best approximation results for cubic fields [HM06], and serve as limit sets for simultaneous Diophantine approximation for cubic extensions in terms of self-similar ellipses provided by Brun’s algorithm [IFHY03, IY07]. Using our new theory, it is now possible to reach Kronecker translations with non-algebraic parameters, which extends the usual (Pisot) algebraic framework and the scope of potential number-theoretic applications considerably.

On the other hand, the results of the present paper extend discrete spectrum results to a much wider framework. Furthermore, our theory enables us to give explicit constructions for higher dimensional non-stationary Markov partitions for “non-stationary hyperbolic toral automorphisms”, according to [AF05], where non-stationary Markov partitions are defined and 2-dimensional examples for such partitions are given. Moreover, our new results (including the tilings by  $S$ -adic Rauzy fractals) might help in the quest for a convenient symbolic representation of the Weyl chamber flow; see e.g. [Gor07, Section 6], in the case of two letters this is performed in [AF01]. We will come back to these subjects in a forthcoming paper.

## 2. MISE EN SCÈNE

**2.1. Substitutions.** A *substitution*  $\sigma$  over a finite alphabet  $\mathcal{A} = \{1, 2, \dots, d\}$  is an endomorphism of the free monoid  $\mathcal{A}^*$  (that is endowed with the operation of concatenation). We assume here that all our substitutions are non-erasing, i.e., they send non-empty words to non-empty words.

The *incidence matrix* (or abelianization) of  $\sigma$  is the square matrix  $M_\sigma = (|\sigma(j)|_i)_{i,j \in \mathcal{A}} \in \mathbb{N}^{d \times d}$ . Here, the notation  $|w|_i$  stands for the number of occurrences of the letter  $i$  in  $w \in \mathcal{A}^*$ , and  $|w|$  will denote the length of  $w$ . We say that  $\sigma$  is *unimodular* if  $|\det M_\sigma| = 1$ . The map

$$\mathbf{1}: \mathcal{A}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^d, \quad w \mapsto {}^t(|w|_1, |w|_2, \dots, |w|_d)$$

is called the *abelianization map*. Note that  $\mathbf{1}(\sigma(w)) = M_\sigma \mathbf{1}(w)$  for all  $w \in \mathcal{A}^*$ . A substitution is called *Pisot irreducible* if the characteristic polynomial of its incidence matrix is the minimal polynomial of a Pisot number.

**2.2.  $S$ -adic words and languages.** Let  $\boldsymbol{\sigma} = (\sigma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of substitutions over the alphabet  $\mathcal{A}$ . To keep notation concise, we set  $M_n = M_{\sigma_n}$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and we abbreviate products of consecutive substitutions and their incidence matrices by

$$\sigma_{[k,\ell]} = \sigma_k \sigma_{k+1} \cdots \sigma_{\ell-1} \quad \text{and} \quad M_{[k,\ell]} = M_k M_{k+1} \cdots M_{\ell-1} \quad (0 \leq k \leq \ell).$$

The language associated with  $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$  is defined by  $\mathcal{L}_\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \mathcal{L}_\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{(0)}$ , where

$$\mathcal{L}_\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{(m)} = \{w \in \mathcal{A}^* : w \text{ is a factor of } \sigma_{[m,n]}(i) \text{ for some } i \in \mathcal{A}, n \in \mathbb{N}\} \quad (m \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Here,  $w$  is a *factor* of  $v \in \mathcal{A}^*$  if  $v \in \mathcal{A}^* w \mathcal{A}^*$ . Furthermore,  $w$  is a *prefix* of  $v$  if  $v \in w \mathcal{A}^*$ . Similarly,  $w$  is a factor and a prefix of an infinite word  $\omega \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{N}}$  if  $\omega \in \mathcal{A}^* w \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{N}}$  and  $\omega \in w \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , respectively.

The sequence  $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$  is said to be *algebraically irreducible* if, for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , the characteristic polynomial of  $M_{[k,\ell]}$  is irreducible for all sufficiently large  $\ell$ . The sequence  $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$  is said to be *primitive* if, for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $M_{[k,\ell]}$  is a positive matrix for *some*  $\ell > k$ . This notion extends primitivity of a single substitution  $\sigma$ , where  $M_\sigma^\ell$  is required to be positive for some  $\ell > 0$ , to sequences. Note that [Dur00, Dur03, DLR13] use a more restrictive definition of primitive sequences of substitutions.

Following [AMS14], we say that an infinite word  $\omega \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{N}}$  is a *limit word* of  $\boldsymbol{\sigma} = (\sigma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  if there is a sequence of infinite words  $(\omega^{(n)})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  with

$$\omega^{(0)} = \omega, \quad \omega^{(n)} = \sigma_n(\omega^{(n+1)}) \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where the substitutions  $\sigma_n$  are naturally extended to infinite words. We also say that  $\omega$  is an  *$S$ -adic limit word* with *directive sequence*  $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$  and  $S = \{\sigma_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . We can write

$$\omega = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{[0,n]}(i_n),$$

where  $i_n$  denotes the first letter of  $\omega^{(n)}$ , provided that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\sigma_{[0,n]}(i_n)| = \infty$  (which holds in particular when  $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$  is primitive). In case that  $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$  is a periodic sequence, there exists a limit word  $\omega$  such that  $\omega^{(n)} = \omega$  for some  $n \geq 1$ , i.e.,  $\omega$  is the fixed point of the substitution  $\sigma_{[0,n]}$ . We will refer to this case as the *periodic case*.

Note that we do not require  $S$  to be finite since we want to include  $S$ -adic shifts issued from (multiplicative) multidimensional continued fraction expansions. For more on  $S$ -adic sequences, see e.g. [BD14, DLR13, AMS14].

**2.3. Symbolic dynamics and  $S$ -adic shifts.** An infinite word  $\omega$  is said to be *recurrent* if each factor of  $\omega$  occurs infinitely often in  $\omega$ . It is said to be *uniformly recurrent* if each factor occurs at an infinite number of positions with bounded gaps. The recurrence function  $R(n)$  of a uniformly recurrent word  $\omega$  is defined for any  $n$  as the smallest positive integer  $k$  for which every factor of size  $k$  of  $\omega$  contains every factor of size  $n$ . An infinite word  $\omega$  is said to be *linearly recurrent* if there exists a constant  $C$  such that  $R(n) \leq Cn$ , for all  $n$ .

The *shift operator*  $\Sigma$  maps  $(\omega_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  to  $(\omega_{n+1})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ . A dynamical system  $(X, \Sigma)$  is a *shift space* if  $X$  is a closed shift-invariant set of infinite words over a finite alphabet, with the product topology of the discrete topology. The system  $(X, \Sigma)$  is *minimal* if every non-empty closed shift-invariant subset equals the whole set; it is called *uniquely ergodic* if there exists a unique shift-invariant probability measure on  $X$ . The *symbolic dynamical system generated by an infinite word*  $\omega$  is defined as  $(X_\omega, \Sigma)$ , where  $X_\omega = \overline{\{\Sigma^n(\omega) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}}$  is the closure of the  $\Sigma$ -orbit of  $\omega$ . This system is *minimal* if and only if  $\omega$  is uniformly recurrent [Que10, Proposition 4.7].

The  $S$ -adic shift or  $S$ -adic system with directive sequence  $\sigma$  is  $(X_\sigma, \Sigma)$ , where  $X_\sigma$  denotes the set of infinite words  $\omega$  such that each factor of  $\omega$  is an element of  $\mathcal{L}_\sigma$ . If  $\sigma$  is primitive, then one checks that  $(X_\sigma, \Sigma) = (X_\omega, \Sigma)$  for any limit word  $\omega$  of  $\sigma$ ; see e.g. [BD14, Theorem 5.2].

Let  $\mu$  be a shift-invariant measure defined on  $(X, \Sigma)$ . A measurable eigenfunction of the system  $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  with associated eigenvalue  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  is an  $L^2(X, \mu)$  function that satisfies  $f(\Sigma^n(\omega)) = e^{2\pi i \lambda n} f(\omega)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\omega \in X$ . The system  $(X, \Sigma)$  is said to be *weakly mixing* if there are no nontrivial measurable eigenvalues. It has *pure discrete spectrum* if  $L^2(X, \mu)$  is spanned by the measurable eigenfunctions.

In the present paper, we consider two types of symbolic dynamical systems in which the previous definitions make sense. The first one is the  $S$ -adic system  $(X_\sigma, \Sigma)$ ; the second one is given by a closed shift-invariant set of directive sequences  $D \subset S^\mathbb{N}$  for a finite set of substitutions  $S$ . In this setting, we mainly deal with sofic shifts  $(D, \Sigma)$ . Note that we use the same notation for the shift map  $\Sigma$  acting on  $\mathcal{A}^\mathbb{N}$  and on  $S^\mathbb{N}$ . The *cylinder* of a finite sequence  $(\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{\ell-1}) \in S^\ell$  is

$$\mathcal{Z}(\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{\ell-1}) = \{(\tau_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in D : (\tau_0, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_{\ell-1}) = (\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{\ell-1})\}.$$

**2.4. Balance and letter frequencies.** A pair of words  $u, v \in \mathcal{A}^*$  with  $|u| = |v|$  is  $C$ -balanced if

$$-C \leq |u|_j - |v|_j \leq C \quad \text{for all } j \in \mathcal{A}.$$

A language  $\mathcal{L}$  is  $C$ -balanced if each pair of words  $u, v \in \mathcal{L}$  with  $|u| = |v|$  is  $C$ -balanced. The language  $\mathcal{L}$  is said to be *balanced* if there exists  $C$  such that  $\mathcal{L}$  is  $C$ -balanced. (In previous works, this property was sometimes called *finitely balanced*, and balancedness referred to the case  $C = 1$ .) A (finite or infinite) word is  $C$ -balanced or balanced if the language of its factors has this property.

Note that the language of a Pisot irreducible substitution is balanced; see e.g. [Ada03, Ada04].

The *frequency* of a letter  $i \in \mathcal{A}$  in  $\omega \in \mathcal{A}^\mathbb{N}$  is defined as  $f_i = \lim_{|p| \rightarrow \infty} |p|_i / |p|$ , where the limit is taken over the prefixes  $p$  of  $\omega$ , if the limit exists. The vector  ${}^t(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_d)$  is then called the *letter frequency vector*. Balancedness implies the existence of letter frequencies; see [BT02].

**2.5. Generalized Perron-Frobenius eigenvectors.** A natural way to endow a shift space with a shift-invariant measure is to consider its factor frequencies (defined analogously as for letters). In the primitive substitutive case, letter frequencies are given by the Perron-Frobenius eigenvector. More generally, for a sequence of matrices  $(M_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , we have by [Fur60, pp. 91–95] that

$$(2.1) \quad \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} M_{[0, n]} \mathbb{R}_+^d = \mathbb{R}_+ \mathbf{u} \quad \text{for some positive vector } \mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}_+^d,$$

provided there are indices  $k_1 < \ell_1 \leq k_2 < \ell_2 \leq \dots$  and a positive matrix  $B$  such that  $B = M_{[k_1, \ell_1]} = M_{[k_2, \ell_2]} = \dots$ . In particular, (2.1) holds for the sequence of incidence matrices of a primitive and recurrent sequence of substitutions  $\sigma = (\sigma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  (even if  $S$  is infinite). We call  $\mathbf{u}$  a *generalized right eigenvector* of  $\sigma$ . Note that (2.1) is called *topological Perron-Frobenius condition* in [Fis09]. In particular, the letter frequency vector  $\mathbf{u} = {}^t(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_d)$  is a generalized right eigenvector when  $\omega$  is a limit word of a primitive and recurrent sequence of substitutions.

**2.6. Lyapunov exponents and Pisot condition.** Let  $S$  be a finite set of substitutions with invertible incidence matrices, and let  $(D, \Sigma, \nu)$  with  $D \subset S^\mathbb{N}$  be an (ergodic) shift equipped with a probability measure  $\nu$ . With each  $\sigma = (\sigma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in D$ , associate the linear operator  $A(\sigma) = {}^t M_0$  (where  $M_0$  is the incidence matrix of  $\sigma_0$ ). Then the *Lyapunov exponents*  $\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_d$  of  $(D, \Sigma, \nu)$  are recursively defined by

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \theta_1 + \theta_2 + \dots + \theta_k &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \int_D \log \|\wedge^k (A(\Sigma^{n-1}(x)) \cdots A(\Sigma(x))A(x))\| d\nu(x) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \int_D \log \|\wedge^k ({}^t M_{[0, n]})\| d\nu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \int_D \log \|\wedge^k M_{[0, n]}\| d\nu \end{aligned}$$

for  $1 \leq k \leq d$ , where  $\wedge^k$  denotes the  $k$ -fold wedge product. Here and in the following,  $\|\cdot\|$  denotes the maximum norm  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ . Following [BD14, §6.3], we say that  $(D, \Sigma, \nu)$  satisfies the *Pisot condition* if

$$\theta_1 > 0 > \theta_2 \geq \theta_3 \geq \dots \geq \theta_d.$$

**2.7. Natural codings and bounded remainder sets.** Let  $\Lambda$  be a full-rank lattice in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and  $T_{\mathbf{t}} : \mathbb{R}^d/\Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d/\Lambda$ ,  $\mathbf{x} \mapsto \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{t}$  a given toral translation. Let  $R \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  be a fundamental domain for  $\Lambda$  and  $\tilde{T}_{\mathbf{t}} : R \rightarrow R$  the mapping induced by  $T_{\mathbf{t}}$  on  $R$ . If  $R = R_1 \cup \dots \cup R_k$  is a partition of  $R$  (up to measure zero) such that for each  $1 \leq i \leq k$  the restriction  $\tilde{T}_{\mathbf{t}}|_{R_i}$  is given by the translation  $\mathbf{x} \mapsto \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{t}_i$  for some  $\mathbf{t}_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , and  $\omega$  is the coding of a point  $\mathbf{x} \in R$  with respect to this partition, we call  $\omega$  a *natural coding* of  $T_{\mathbf{t}}$ . A symbolic dynamical system  $(X, \Sigma)$  is a *natural coding* of  $(\mathbb{R}^d/\Lambda, T_{\mathbf{t}})$  if  $(X, \Sigma)$  and  $(\mathbb{R}^d/\Lambda, T_{\mathbf{t}})$  are measurably conjugate and every element of  $X$  is a natural coding of the orbit of some point of the  $d$ -dimensional torus  $\mathbb{R}^d/\Lambda$  (with respect to some fixed partition).

A subset  $A$  of  $\mathbb{R}^d/\Lambda$  with Lebesgue measure  $\lambda(A)$  is said to be a *bounded remainder set* for the translation  $T_{\mathbf{t}}$  if there exists  $C > 0$  such that, for a.e.  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d/\Lambda$ ,

$$|\#\{n < N : T_{\mathbf{t}}^n(x) \in A\} - N\lambda(A)/\lambda(R)| < C \quad \text{for all } N \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Observe that if  $(X, \Sigma)$  is a natural coding of a minimal translation  $(\mathbb{R}^d/\Lambda, T_{\mathbf{t}})$  with balanced language, then the elements of its associated partition are bounded remainder sets [Ada03, Proposition 7]. Moreover,  $A$  is a bounded remainder set if it is an atom of a partition that gives rise to a natural coding of a translation whose induced mapping on  $A$  is again a translation; see [Rau84] (we also refer to [Fer92] for an analogous characterization of bounded remainder sets).

**2.8. (Multiple) tilings.** We call a collection  $\mathcal{K}$  of compact subsets of a Euclidean space  $\mathcal{E}$  a *multiple tiling* of  $\mathcal{E}$  if each element of  $\mathcal{K}$  is the closure of its interior and if there exists a positive integer  $m$  such that almost every point of  $\mathcal{E}$  (with respect to the Lebesgue measure) is contained in exactly  $m$  elements of  $\mathcal{K}$ . The integer  $m$  is called the *covering degree* of the multiple tiling  $\mathcal{K}$ . If  $m = 1$ , then  $\mathcal{K}$  is called a *tiling* of  $\mathcal{E}$ . A point in  $\mathcal{E}$  is called *m-exclusive* if it is contained in the interior of exactly  $m$  tiles of  $\mathcal{K}$ ; it is called *exclusive* if  $m = 1$ .

**2.9. Rauzy fractals.** For a vector  $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ , let

$$\mathbf{w}^\perp = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d : \langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x} \rangle = 0\}$$

be the hyperplane orthogonal to  $\mathbf{w}$  containing the origin, equipped with the  $(d-1)$ -dimensional Lebesgue measure  $\lambda_{\mathbf{w}}$ . In particular, for  $\mathbf{1} = {}^t(1, \dots, 1)$ ,  $\mathbf{1}^\perp$  is the hyperplane of vectors whose entries sum up to 0.

The *Rauzy fractal* (in the representation space  $\mathbf{w}^\perp$ ,  $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^d \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ ) associated with a sequence of substitutions  $\sigma = (\sigma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  over the alphabet  $\mathcal{A}$  with generalized right eigenvector  $\mathbf{u}$  is

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{w}} = \overline{\{\pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}} \mathbf{l}(p) : p \in \mathcal{A}^*, p \text{ is a prefix of a limit word of } \sigma\}},$$

where  $\pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}}$  denotes the projection along the direction of  $\mathbf{u}$  onto  $\mathbf{w}^\perp$ . The Rauzy fractal has natural *subpieces* (or *subtiles*) defined by

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{w}}(i) = \overline{\{\pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}} \mathbf{l}(p) : p \in \mathcal{A}^*, pi \text{ is a prefix of a limit word of } \sigma\}},$$

We set  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{1}}$  and  $\mathcal{R}(i) = \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{1}}(i)$ .

If  $\omega \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{N}}$  then  $\{\mathbf{l}(p) : p \text{ is a prefix of } \omega\}$  can be regarded as the set of vertex points of the *broken line* corresponding to  $\omega$  (see e.g. [BST10, Section 5.2.2]). The Rauzy fractal  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{w}}$  is the closure of the projection of the vertices of all broken lines corresponding to a limit word. When  $\sigma$  is a primitive, algebraically irreducible, and recurrent sequence of substitutions with balanced language  $\mathcal{L}_{\sigma}$ , then it follows from Proposition 4.3 below that it is sufficient to take a single (arbitrary) limit word in the definition of the Rauzy fractal.

The *Rauzy boxes* (or suspensions of the Rauzy fractals) are

$$\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbf{w}}(i) = \{x(\mathbf{e}_i - \pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}} \mathbf{e}_i) - \mathbf{y} : x \in [0, 1), \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{w}}(i)\},$$

where  $\mathbf{e}_i = \mathbf{l}(i)$  denotes the  $i$ -th standard unit vector in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

**2.10. Discrete hyperplanes and collections of tiles.** Let  $\sigma$  be a sequence of substitutions over the alphabet  $\mathcal{A}$  with generalized right eigenvector  $\mathbf{u}$ . For any vector  $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^d \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ , we consider the collections of tiles

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{w}} = \{\pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}} \mathbf{x} + \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{w}}(i) : [\mathbf{x}, i] \in \Gamma(\mathbf{w})\} \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbf{w}} = \{\mathbf{z} + \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbf{w}}(i) : i \in \mathcal{A}, \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{Z}^d\},$$

where

$$\Gamma(\mathbf{w}) = \{[\mathbf{x}, i] \in \mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathcal{A} : 0 \leq \langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x} \rangle < \langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{e}_i \rangle\}$$

is the *discrete hyperplane*<sup>1</sup> approximating  $\mathbf{w}^\perp$ . We endow  $\Gamma(\mathbf{w})$  with a product metric of the distance induced by  $\|\cdot\| = \|\cdot\|_\infty$  on  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  and some metric on  $\mathcal{A}$ . This notion of discrete hyperplane corresponds to the notion of standard discrete hyperplane in discrete geometry; see [Rev91].

In the particular case  $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{1}$ , the collection

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{1}} = \{\mathbf{x} + \mathcal{R}(i) : \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^d \cap \mathbf{1}^\perp, i \in \mathcal{A}\}$$

consists of the translations of (the subtiles of) the Rauzy fractal by vectors in the lattice  $\mathbb{Z}^d \cap \mathbf{1}^\perp$ . The collection  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{1}}$  generalizes the periodic tiling introduced for unimodular Pisot (irreducible) substitutions. For particular vectors  $\mathbf{v}$  that will be specified in Section 5.2, the collection  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{v}}$  generalizes the corresponding aperiodic tiling that is obtained in the Pisot case by taking for  $\mathbf{v}$  a left Perron-Frobenius eigenvector of  $M_\sigma$ ; see e.g. [IR06].

We also recall the formalism of *dual substitutions* introduced in [AI01]. For  $[\mathbf{x}, i] \in \mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathcal{A}$  and a unimodular substitution  $\sigma$  on  $\mathcal{A}$ , let

$$(2.3) \quad E_1^*(\sigma)[\mathbf{x}, i] = \{[M_\sigma^{-1}(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{1}(p)), j] : j \in \mathcal{A}, p \in \mathcal{A}^* \text{ such that } pi \text{ is a prefix of } \sigma(j)\}.$$

We will recall basic properties of  $E_1^*$  in Section 5.1. In order to make this formalism work, we assume that our substitutions are unimodular. Observe that a non-unimodular theory in the Pisot substitutive case has also been developed; see e.g. [MT14] and the references therein.

**2.11. Coincidences and geometric finiteness.** A sequence of substitutions  $\sigma = (\sigma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  satisfies the *strong coincidence condition* if there is  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$  such that, for each pair  $(j_1, j_2) \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$ , there are  $i \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $p_1, p_2 \in \mathcal{A}^*$  with  $\mathbf{1}(p_1) = \mathbf{1}(p_2)$  such that  $\sigma_{[0, \ell]}(j_1) \in p_1 i \mathcal{A}^*$  and  $\sigma_{[0, \ell]}(j_2) \in p_2 i \mathcal{A}^*$ . As in the periodic case, this condition will ensure that the subtiles  $\mathcal{R}(i)$  are disjoint in measure and, hence, define an exchange of domains on  $\mathcal{R}$  (see Proposition 7.7; the same conclusion is true for a suffix version of strong coincidence, see Remark 7.8).

We say that  $\sigma = (\sigma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  satisfies the *geometric coincidence condition* if for each  $R > 0$  there is  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$  such that, for all  $n \geq \ell$ ,  $E_1^*(\sigma_{[0, n]})[\mathbf{0}, i_n]$  contains a ball of radius  $R$  of the discrete hyperplane  $\Gamma(t(M_{[0, n]}))\mathbf{1}$  for some  $i_n \in \mathcal{A}$ . This condition can be seen as an  $S$ -adic dual analogue to the geometric coincidence condition (or super-coincidence condition) in [BK06, IR06, BST10], which provides a tiling criterion. Recall that the periodic tiling yields the isomorphism with a toral translation and thus pure discrete spectrum. This criterion is a coincidence type condition in the same vein as the various coincidence conditions introduced in the usual Pisot framework; see e.g. [Sol97, AL11]. In Proposition 7.9, we give a variant of the geometric coincidence condition that can be checked algorithmically; see also Proposition 7.10.

A more restrictive condition is the *geometric finiteness property* stating that for each  $R > 0$  there is  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\bigcup_{i \in \mathcal{A}} E_1^*(\sigma_{[0, n]})[\mathbf{0}, i]$  contains the ball  $\{[\mathbf{x}, i] \in \Gamma(t(M_{[0, n]}))\mathbf{1} : \|\mathbf{x}\| \leq R\}$  for all  $n \geq \ell$ . This implies that  $\bigcup_{i \in \mathcal{A}} E_1^*(\sigma_{[0, n]})[\mathbf{0}, i]$  generates a whole discrete plane if  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and that  $\mathbf{0}$  is an inner point of the Rauzy fractal; see Proposition 7.10. This condition is a geometric variant of the finiteness property in the framework of beta-numeration [FS92].

### 3. MAIN RESULTS

**3.1. General results on  $S$ -adic shifts.** Our first result in Theorem 1, which sets the stage for all the subsequent results, gives a variety of properties of  $S$ -adic shifts  $(X_\sigma, \Sigma)$  under general conditions. Indeed, primitivity and algebraic irreducibility are the analogs of primitivity and irreducibility (of the characteristic polynomial of the incidence matrix) of a substitution  $\sigma$  in the

<sup>1</sup>A geometric interpretation can be given to the notation  $[\mathbf{x}, i] \in \mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathcal{A}$  by setting  $[\mathbf{x}, i] = \{\mathbf{x} + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{A}, j \neq i} \lambda_j \mathbf{e}_j : \lambda_j \in [0, 1], j \in \mathcal{A}\}$ , which turns  $\Gamma(\mathbf{w})$  into a *stepped hyperplane*.

periodic case. To guarantee minimality of  $(X_\sigma, \Sigma)$  in the  $S$ -adic setting, we require the directive sequence  $\sigma$  to be primitive; to get unique ergodicity, recurrence is needed on top of this. Moreover, we need to have balancedness of the language  $\mathcal{L}_\sigma$  to assure that the associated Rauzy fractal  $\mathcal{R}$  is bounded. To endow  $\mathcal{R}$  with a convenient subdivision structure (replacing the graph directed self-affine structure of the periodic case), uniform balancedness properties of the “desubstituted” languages  $\mathcal{L}_\sigma^{(n)}$  are needed for infinitely many (but not all)  $n$ . These assumptions are not very restrictive in the sense that they will enable us to prove metric results valid for almost all sequences of  $S$ -adic shifts under the Pisot condition as specified in Theorem 2.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\sigma = (\sigma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a primitive and algebraically irreducible sequence of unimodular substitutions over the finite alphabet  $\mathcal{A}$ . Assume that there is  $C > 0$  such that for each  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ , there is  $n \geq 1$  with  $(\sigma_n, \dots, \sigma_{n+\ell-1}) = (\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_{\ell-1})$  and the language  $\mathcal{L}_\sigma^{(n+\ell)}$  is  $C$ -balanced. Then the following results are true.*

- (i) *The  $S$ -adic shift  $(X_\sigma, \Sigma)$  is minimal and uniquely ergodic with unique invariant measure  $\mu$ .*
- (ii) *Each subtile  $\mathcal{R}(i)$ ,  $i \in \mathcal{A}$ , of the Rauzy fractal  $\mathcal{R}$  is a compact set that is the closure of its interior; its boundary has zero Lebesgue measure  $\lambda_1$ .*
- (iii) *The collection  $\mathcal{C}_1$  forms a multiple tiling of  $\mathbf{1}^\perp$ , and the  $S$ -adic shift  $(X_\sigma, \Sigma, \mu)$  admits as a factor (with finite fiber) a translation on the torus  $\mathbb{T}^{d-1}$ . As a consequence, it is not weakly mixing.*
- (iv) *If  $\sigma$  satisfies the strong coincidence condition, then the subtiles  $\mathcal{R}(i)$ ,  $i \in \mathcal{A}$ , are mutually disjoint in measure, and the  $S$ -adic shift  $(X_\sigma, \Sigma, \mu)$  is measurably conjugate to an exchange of domains on  $\mathcal{R}$ .*
- (v) *The collection  $\mathcal{C}_1$  forms a tiling of  $\mathbf{1}^\perp$  if and only if  $\sigma$  satisfies the geometric coincidence condition.*

If moreover  $\mathcal{C}_1$  forms a tiling of  $\mathbf{1}^\perp$ , then also the following results hold.

- (vi) *The  $S$ -adic shift  $(X_\sigma, \Sigma, \mu)$  is measurably conjugate to a translation  $T$  on the torus  $\mathbb{T}^{d-1}$ ; in particular, its measure-theoretic spectrum is purely discrete.*
- (vii) *Each  $\omega \in X_\sigma$  is a natural coding of the toral translation  $T$  with respect to the partition  $\{\mathcal{R}(i) : i \in \mathcal{A}\}$ .*
- (viii) *The set  $\mathcal{R}(i)$  is a bounded remainder set for the toral translation  $T$  for each  $i \in \mathcal{A}$ .*

Note that the assumptions in Theorem 1 obviously imply that the sequence  $\sigma$  is recurrent.

*Remark 3.1.* We will prove in Propositions 7.5 and 7.6 that, under the conditions of Theorem 1, for each  $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^d \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$  the collection  $\mathcal{C}_\mathbf{w}$  forms a multiple tiling of  $\mathbf{w}^\perp$  with covering degree  $m$  not depending on  $\mathbf{w}$ , and  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_\mathbf{w}$  forms a multiple (lattice) tiling of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with the same covering degree  $m$ .

In particular, if  $m = 1$ , then  $\bigcup_{i \in \mathcal{A}} \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_\mathbf{w}(i)$  is a fundamental domain of  $\mathbb{R}^d / \mathbb{Z}^d$ . This will be the key result for defining non-stationary Markov partitions associated with two-sided Pisot  $S$ -adic systems (e.g., two-sided directive sequences in the framework of natural extensions of continued fraction algorithms), that we plan to investigate in a forthcoming paper. The vector  $\mathbf{w}$  is then given by a sequence  $(\sigma_n)_{n < 0}$ .

Moreover, taking  $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{e}_i$ , we obtain that each subtile  $\mathcal{R}(i)$  tiles periodically. This result seems to be new even in the periodic case.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $S$  be a finite set of unimodular substitutions, and let  $(D, \Sigma, \nu)$  with  $D \subset S^\mathbb{N}$  be a sofic shift that satisfies the Pisot condition. Assume that  $\nu$  assigns positive measure to each (non-empty) cylinder, and that there exists a cylinder corresponding to a substitution with positive incidence matrix. Then, for  $\nu$ -almost all sequences  $\sigma \in D$ ,*

- (i) *Assertions (i)–(v) of Theorem 1 hold;*
- (ii) *Assertions (vi)–(viii) of Theorem 1 hold provided that the collection  $\mathcal{C}_1$  associated with  $\sigma$  forms a tiling of  $\mathbf{1}^\perp$ .*



We think that the conditions of Theorem 1 are enough to get a tiling of  $\mathbf{1}^\perp$  by  $\mathcal{C}_1$  and, hence, measurable conjugacy of  $(X_\sigma, \Sigma)$  to a toral translation. This extension of the well-known *Pisot substitution conjecture* to the  $S$ -adic setting is made precise in the following statement. (Here, we also replace uniform balancedness of  $\mathcal{L}_\sigma^{(n+\ell)}$  by the weaker condition that  $\mathcal{L}_\sigma$  is balanced.) Note that the word ‘‘Pisot’’ does not occur in the statement of the conjecture but the generalization of the Pisot hypothesis is provided by the balancedness assumption.

**Conjecture 3** (*S*-adic Pisot conjecture). *Let  $\sigma$  be a primitive, algebraically irreducible, and recurrent sequence of unimodular substitutions over the finite alphabet  $\mathcal{A}$  with balanced language  $\mathcal{L}_\sigma$ . Then  $\mathcal{C}_1$  forms a tiling of  $\mathbf{1}^\perp$ , and the  $S$ -adic shift  $(X_\sigma, \Sigma, \mu)$  is measurably conjugate to a translation on the torus  $\mathbb{T}^{d-1}$ ; in particular, its measure-theoretic spectrum is purely discrete.*

We work here with the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -action provided by the  $S$ -adic shift. However, under the assumptions of Theorem 1 (with the balancedness assumption playing a crucial role), our results also apply to the  $\mathbb{R}$ -action of the associated tiling space (such as investigated e.g. in [CS03]), according to [Sad].

**3.2. Arnoux-Rauzy words and the conjecture of Arnoux and Rauzy.** For certain sets  $S$  of substitutions, we get the assertions of Theorems 1 and 2 unconditionally for a large collection of directive sequences in  $S^\mathbb{N}$ . Arnoux and Rauzy [AR91] proposed a generalization of Sturmian words to three letters (which initiated an important literature around so-called episturmian words, see e.g. [Ber07]). They proved that these *Arnoux-Rauzy words* can be expressed as  $S$ -adic words if  $S = \{\alpha_i : i \in \mathcal{A}\}$  is the set of *Arnoux-Rauzy substitutions* over  $\mathcal{A} = \{1, 2, 3\}$  defined by

$$(3.1) \quad \alpha_i : i \mapsto i, j \mapsto ji \text{ for } j \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \{i\} \quad (i \in \mathcal{A}).$$

It was conjectured since the early nineties (see e.g. [CFZ00, p. 1267] or [BFZ05, Section 3.3]) that each Arnoux-Rauzy word is a natural coding of a translation on the torus. Cassaigne et al. [CFZ00] provided a counterexample to this conjecture by constructing unbalanced Arnoux-Rauzy words (unbalanced words cannot come from natural codings by a result of Rauzy [Rau84]). Moreover, Cassaigne et al. [CFM08] even showed that there exist Arnoux-Rauzy words  $\omega$  on three letters such that  $(X_\omega, \Sigma)$  is weakly mixing (w.r.t. the unique  $\Sigma$ -invariant probability measure on  $X_\omega$ ).

To our knowledge, positive examples for this conjecture so far existed only in the periodic case; cf. [BJS12, BSW13]. The metric result in Theorem 2 allows us to prove the following theorem which confirms the conjecture of Arnoux and Rauzy almost everywhere.

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $S$  be the set of Arnoux-Rauzy substitutions over three letters and consider the shift  $(S^\mathbb{N}, \Sigma, \nu)$  for some shift invariant ergodic probability measure  $\nu$  which assigns positive measure to each cylinder. Then  $(S^\mathbb{N}, \Sigma, \nu)$  satisfies the Pisot condition. Moreover, for  $\nu$ -almost all sequences  $\sigma \in S^\mathbb{N}$  the collection  $\mathcal{C}_1$  forms a tiling, the  $S$ -adic shift  $(X_\sigma, \Sigma)$  is measurably conjugate to a translation on the torus  $\mathbb{T}^2$ , and the words in  $X_\sigma$  form natural codings of this translation.*

As an example of measure satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 4, consider the measure of maximal entropy for the suspension flow of the Rauzy gasket constructed in [AHS14]. Using Theorem 1 we are also able to provide a (uncountable) class of non-substitutive Arnoux-Rauzy words that give rise to translations on the torus  $\mathbb{T}^2$ . To this end we introduce a terminology that comes from the associated Arnoux-Rauzy continued fraction algorithm (which was also defined in [AR91]). A directive sequence  $\sigma = (\sigma_n) \in S^\mathbb{N}$  that contains each  $\alpha_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) infinitely often is said to have *bounded weak partial quotients* if there is  $h \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\sigma_n = \sigma_{n+1} = \dots = \sigma_{n+h}$  does not hold for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and *bounded strong partial quotients* if every substitution in the directive sequence  $\sigma$  occurs with bounded gap.

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $S = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3\}$  be the set of Arnoux-Rauzy substitutions over three letters. If  $\sigma \in S^\mathbb{N}$  is recurrent, contains each  $\alpha_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) infinitely often and has bounded weak partial quotients, then the collection  $\mathcal{C}_1$  forms a tiling, the  $S$ -adic shift  $(X_\sigma, \Sigma)$  is measurably conjugate to a translation on the torus  $\mathbb{T}^2$ , and the words in  $X_\sigma$  form natural codings of this translation.*

Note that examples of uniformly balanced words (for which  $\omega^{(n)}$  is  $C$ -balanced for each  $n$ ) for the  $S$ -adic shifts generated by Arnoux-Rauzy substitutions are provided in [BCS13]. In particular,

boundedness of the strong partial quotients provides a nice characterization of linear recurrence for Arnoux-Rauzy words (see Proposition 9.4 below). This syndeticity condition is expressed on letters. With the extra assumption of recurrence (not only on letters but on any factor) of the directive sequence, we obtain pure discrete spectrum.

**Corollary 6.** *Any linearly recurrent Arnoux-Rauzy word  $\omega$  with recurrent directive sequence generates a symbolic dynamical system  $(X_\omega, \Sigma)$  that has pure discrete spectrum.*

**3.3. Brun words and natural codings of rotations with linear complexity.** Let  $\Delta_2 := \{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : 0 \leq x_1 \leq x_2 \leq 1\}$  be equipped with the Lebesgue measure  $\lambda_2$ . Brun [Bru58] devised a generalized continued fraction algorithm for vectors  $(x_1, x_2) \in \Delta_2$ . This algorithm (in its additive form) is defined by the mapping  $T_{\text{Brun}} : \Delta_2 \rightarrow \Delta_2$ ,

$$(3.2) \quad T_{\text{Brun}} : (x_1, x_2) \mapsto \begin{cases} \left( \frac{x_1}{1-x_2}, \frac{x_2}{1-x_2} \right), & \text{for } x_2 \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ \left( \frac{x_1}{x_2}, \frac{1-x_2}{x_2} \right), & \text{for } \frac{1}{2} \leq x_2 \leq 1-x_1, \\ \left( \frac{1-x_2}{x_2}, \frac{x_1}{x_2} \right), & \text{for } 1-x_1 \leq x_2; \end{cases}$$

for later use, we define  $B(i)$  to be the set of  $(x_1, x_2) \in \Delta_2$  meeting the restriction in the  $i$ -th line of (3.2), for  $1 \leq i \leq 3$ . An easy computation shows that the linear (or “projectivized”) version of this algorithm is defined for vectors  $\mathbf{w}^{(0)} = (w_1^{(0)}, w_2^{(0)}, w_3^{(0)})$  with  $0 \leq w_1^{(0)} \leq w_2^{(0)} \leq w_3^{(0)}$  by the recurrence  $M_{i_n} \mathbf{w}^{(n)} = \mathbf{w}^{(n-1)}$ , where  $M_{i_n}$  is chosen among the matrices

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

according to the magnitude of  $w_3^{(n-1)} - w_2^{(n-1)}$  compared to  $w_1^{(n-1)}$  and  $w_2^{(n-1)}$ . More precisely, we have  $T_{\text{Brun}}(w_1^{(n-1)}/w_3^{(n-1)}, w_2^{(n-1)}/w_3^{(n-1)}) = (w_1^{(n)}/w_3^{(n)}, w_2^{(n)}/w_3^{(n)})$ . We associate  $S$ -adic words with this algorithm by defining the *Brun substitutions*

$$(3.4) \quad \beta_1 : \begin{cases} 1 \mapsto 1 \\ 2 \mapsto 23 \\ 3 \mapsto 3 \end{cases} \quad \beta_2 : \begin{cases} 1 \mapsto 1 \\ 2 \mapsto 3 \\ 3 \mapsto 23 \end{cases} \quad \beta_3 : \begin{cases} 1 \mapsto 3 \\ 2 \mapsto 1 \\ 3 \mapsto 23 \end{cases}$$

whose incidence matrices coincide with the three matrices in (3.3) associated with Brun’s algorithm. Examples of uniformly balanced words (for which  $\omega^{(n)}$  is  $C$ -balanced for each  $n$ ) for the  $S$ -adic shifts generated by Brun substitutions are provided in [DHS13]. We prove the following result on the related  $S$ -adic words.

**Theorem 7.** *Let  $S = \{\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3\}$  be the set of Brun substitutions over three letters, and consider the shift  $(S^{\mathbb{N}}, \Sigma, \nu)$  for some shift invariant ergodic probability measure  $\nu$  that assigns positive measure to each cylinder. Then  $(S^{\mathbb{N}}, \Sigma, \nu)$  satisfies the Pisot condition. Moreover, for  $\nu$ -almost all sequences  $\sigma \in S^{\mathbb{N}}$  the collection  $\mathcal{C}_1$  forms a tiling, the  $S$ -adic shift  $(X_\sigma, \Sigma)$  is measurably conjugate to a translation on the torus  $\mathbb{T}^2$ , and the words in  $X_\sigma$  form natural codings of this translation.*

We will now show that this result implies that the  $S$ -adic shifts associated with Brun’s algorithm provide a natural coding of almost all rotations on the torus  $\mathbb{T}^2$ . Indeed, by the (weak) convergence of Brun’s algorithm for almost all  $(x_1, x_2) \in \Delta_2$  (w.r.t. to the two-dimensional Lebesgue measure; see e.g. [Bru58]), there is a bijection  $\Phi$  defined for almost all  $(x_1, x_2) \in \Delta_2$  that makes the diagram

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \Delta_2 & \xrightarrow{T_{\text{Brun}}} & \Delta_2 \\ \downarrow \Phi & & \downarrow \Phi \\ S^{\mathbb{N}} & \xrightarrow{\Sigma} & S^{\mathbb{N}} \end{array}$$

commutative and that provides a measurable conjugacy between  $(\Delta_2, T_{\text{Brun}}, \lambda_2)$  and  $(S^{\mathbb{N}}, \Sigma, \nu)$ ; the measure  $\nu$  is specified in the proof of Theorem 8.

**Theorem 8.** *For almost all  $(x_1, x_2) \in \Delta_2$ , the  $S$ -adic shift  $(X_\sigma, \Sigma)$  with  $\sigma = \Phi(x_1, x_2)$  is measurably conjugate to the translation by  $(\frac{x_1}{1+x_1+x_2}, \frac{x_2}{1+x_1+x_2})$  on  $\mathbb{T}^2$ ; then each  $\omega \in X_\sigma$  is a natural coding for this translation,  $\mathcal{L}_\sigma$  is balanced, and the subpieces of the Rauzy fractal provide bounded remainder sets for this translation.*

This result has the following consequence.

**Corollary 9.** *For almost all  $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{T}^2$ , there is  $(x_1, x_2) \in \Delta_2$  such that the  $S$ -adic shift  $(X_\sigma, \Sigma)$  with  $\sigma = \Phi(x_1, x_2)$  is measurably conjugate to the translation by  $\mathbf{t}$  on  $\mathbb{T}^2$ . Moreover, the words in  $X_\sigma$  form natural codings of the translation by  $\mathbf{t}$ .*

We believe that the codings mentioned in Theorem 8 have linear factor complexity, that is, for each such coding, there is  $C > 0$  such that the number of its factors of length  $n$  is less than  $Cn$ . Indeed, S. Labbé and J. Leroy informed us that they are currently working on a proof of the fact that  $S$ -adic words with  $S = \{\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3\}$  have linear factor complexity. We thus get bounded remainder sets whose characteristic infinite words have linear factor complexity, contrarily to the examples provided e.g. in [Che09, GL15].

#### 4. CONVERGENCE PROPERTIES

In this section, we show that the Rauzy fractal  $\mathcal{R}$  corresponding to a sequence  $\sigma$  is bounded if  $\mathcal{L}_\sigma$  is balanced. Moreover, we prove that under certain conditions the letter frequency vector of an  $S$ -adic word has rationally independent entries and give a criterion that ensures the strong convergence of the matrix products  $M_{[0,n]}$  to one single direction (defined by a generalized right eigenvector provided by the letter frequency vector). All these results will be needed in the sequel.

**4.1. Boundedness of the Rauzy fractal.** Recall that the Rauzy fractal  $\mathcal{R}$  is the closure of the projection of the vertices of the broken lines defined by limit words of  $\sigma$ ; see Section 2.9. Therefore,  $\mathcal{R}$  is compact if and only if the broken lines remain at bounded distance from the generalized right eigendirection  $\mathbb{R}\mathbf{u}$ . The following result shows that this is equivalent with balancedness and establishes a connection between the degree of balancedness and the diameter of  $\mathcal{R}$ ; see also [Ada03, Proposition 7] and [DHS13, Lemma 3]. Recall that  $\|\cdot\|$  denotes the maximum norm.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $\sigma$  be a primitive sequence of substitutions with generalized right eigenvector  $\mathbf{u}$ . Then  $\mathcal{R}$  is bounded if and only if  $\mathcal{L}_\sigma$  is balanced. If  $\mathcal{L}_\sigma$  is  $C$ -balanced, then  $\mathcal{R} \subset [-C, C]^d \cap \mathbf{1}^\perp$ .*

*Proof.* Assume first that  $\mathcal{R}$  is bounded. Then there exists  $C$  such that  $\|\pi_{\mathbf{u},1} \mathbf{l}(p)\| \leq C$  for all prefixes  $p$  of limit words of  $\sigma$ . Let  $u, v \in \mathcal{L}_\sigma$  with  $|u| = |v|$ . By the primitivity of  $\sigma$ ,  $u$  and  $v$  are factors of a limit word, hence,  $\|\pi_{\mathbf{u},1} \mathbf{l}(u)\|, \|\pi_{\mathbf{u},1} \mathbf{l}(v)\| \leq 2C$ . As  $\mathbf{l}(u) - \mathbf{l}(v) \in \mathbf{1}^\perp$ , we obtain

$$\|\mathbf{l}(u) - \mathbf{l}(v)\| = \|\pi_{\mathbf{u},1}(\mathbf{l}(u) - \mathbf{l}(v))\| \leq \|\pi_{\mathbf{u},1} \mathbf{l}(u)\| + \|\pi_{\mathbf{u},1} \mathbf{l}(v)\| \leq 4C,$$

i.e.,  $\mathcal{L}_\sigma$  is  $4C$ -balanced.

Assume now that  $\mathcal{L}_\sigma$  is  $C$ -balanced and let  $p$  be a prefix of a limit word  $\omega$ . Write  $\omega$  as concatenation of words  $v_k$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , with  $|v_k| = |p|$ . Then  $C$ -balancedness yields  $\|\pi_{\mathbf{u},1} \mathbf{l}(v_k) - \pi_{\mathbf{u},1} \mathbf{l}(p)\| \leq C$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , thus  $\|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \pi_{\mathbf{u},1} \mathbf{l}(v_k) - \pi_{\mathbf{u},1} \mathbf{l}(p)\| \leq C$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . As  $M_{[0,n]} \mathbf{e}_i = \mathbf{l}(\sigma_{[0,n]}(i)) \in \mathbf{l}(\mathcal{L}_\sigma)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $i \in \mathcal{A}$ , the letter frequency vector of  $\omega$  (which exists because of balancedness [BT02]) is in  $\mathbb{R}\mathbf{u}$ . Therefore, we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathbf{l}(v_k) \in \mathbb{R}\mathbf{u}$ , hence  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \pi_{\mathbf{u},1} \mathbf{l}(v_k) = \mathbf{0}$ , and consequently

$$(4.1) \quad \|\pi_{\mathbf{u},1} \mathbf{l}(p)\| = \left\| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \pi_{\mathbf{u},1} \mathbf{l}(v_k) - \pi_{\mathbf{u},1} \mathbf{l}(p) \right\| \leq C. \quad \square$$

**4.2. Irrationality and strong convergence.** In the periodic case with a unimodular irreducible Pisot substitution  $\sigma$ , the incidence matrix  $M_\sigma$  has an expanding right eigenline and a contractive right hyperplane (that is orthogonal to an expanding left eigenvector), i.e., the matrix  $M_\sigma$  contracts the space  $\mathbb{R}^d$  towards the expanding eigenline. Moreover, irreducibility implies that the coordinates of the expanding eigenvector are rationally independent. These properties are crucial for proving that the Rauzy fractal  $\mathcal{R}$  has positive measure and induces a (multiple) tiling of the representation

space  $\mathbf{1}^\perp$ . In the  $S$ -adic setting, the cones  $M_{[0,n]} \mathbb{R}_+^d$  converge “weakly” to the direction of the generalized right eigenvector  $\mathbf{u}$ ; see Section 2.5. We give a criterion for  $\mathbf{u}$  to have rationally independent coordinates in Lemma 4.2. As the weak convergence of  $M_{[0,n]} \mathbb{R}_+^d$  to  $\mathbf{u}$  is not sufficient for our purposes, in Proposition 4.3 we will provide a strong convergence property.

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $\sigma$  be an algebraically irreducible sequence of substitutions with generalized right eigenvector  $\mathbf{u}$  and balanced language  $\mathcal{L}_\sigma$ . Then the coordinates of  $\mathbf{u}$  are rationally independent.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\mathbf{u}$  has rationally dependent coordinates, i.e., there is  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$  such that  $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u} \rangle = 0$ . Then  $\langle {}^t(M_{[0,n]}) \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{e}_i \rangle = \langle \mathbf{x}, M_{[0,n]} \mathbf{e}_i \rangle = \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{l}(\sigma_{[0,n]}(i)) \rangle$  is bounded (uniformly in  $n$ ) for each  $i \in \mathcal{A}$ , by the balancedness of  $\mathcal{L}_\sigma$ ; cf. the proof of Lemma 4.1. Therefore,  ${}^t(M_{[0,n]}) \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$  is bounded, and there is  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  ${}^t(M_{[0,\ell]}) \mathbf{x} = {}^t(M_{[0,k]}) \mathbf{x}$  for infinitely many  $\ell > k$ . The matrix  $M_{[0,k]}$  is regular since otherwise  $M_{[0,\ell]}$  would have the eigenvalue 0 for all  $\ell \geq k$ , contradicting algebraic irreducibility. Thus  ${}^t(M_{[0,k]}) \mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}$  is an eigenvector of  ${}^t(M_{[k,\ell]})$  to the eigenvalue 1, contradicting that  $M_{[k,\ell]}$  has irreducible characteristic polynomial for large  $\ell$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.3.** *Let  $\sigma = (\sigma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a primitive, algebraically irreducible, and recurrent sequence of substitutions with balanced language  $\mathcal{L}_\sigma$ . Then*

$$(4.2) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{ \|\pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{1}} M_{[0,n]} \mathbf{l}(v)\| : v \in \mathcal{L}_\sigma^{(n)} \} = 0.$$

*In particular,*

$$(4.3) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{1}} M_{[0,n]} \mathbf{e}_i = \mathbf{0} \quad \text{for all } i \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Note that (4.3) is the *strong convergence* property used in the theory of multidimensional continued fraction algorithms; see e.g. [Sch00, Definition 19].

*Proof.* First note that (4.3) follows from (4.2) since  $i \in \mathcal{L}_\sigma^{(n)}$  for all  $i \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , by primitivity.

Let  $\omega$  be a limit word of  $\sigma$ . Then, again by primitivity, for each  $v \in \mathcal{L}_\sigma^{(n)}$  we have  $\mathbf{l}(v) = \mathbf{l}(p) - \mathbf{l}(q)$  for some prefixes  $p, q$  of  $\omega^{(n)}$ . Therefore, it is sufficient to prove that

$$(4.4) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{ \|\pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{1}} M_{[0,n]} \mathbf{l}(p)\| : p \text{ is a prefix of } \omega^{(n)} \} = 0.$$

Choose  $\varepsilon > 0$  arbitrary but fixed. For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $i_n$  be the first letter of  $\omega^{(n)}$  and set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_n &= \{ \pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{1}} \mathbf{l}(p) : p \text{ is a prefix of } \sigma_{[0,n]}(i_n) \} \\ \tilde{\mathcal{R}} &= \overline{\{ \pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{1}} \mathbf{l}(p) : p \text{ is a prefix of } \omega \}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{S}_n = \tilde{\mathcal{R}}$  (in Hausdorff metric) and  $\pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{1}} M_{[0,n]} \mathbf{l}(p) + \mathcal{S}_n \subset \tilde{\mathcal{R}}$  for all  $p \in \mathcal{A}^*$  such that  $p i_n$  is a prefix of  $\omega^{(n)}$ . These two facts yield that

$$(4.5) \quad \|\pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{1}} M_{[0,n]} \mathbf{l}(p)\| < \varepsilon$$

for all  $p \in \mathcal{A}^*$  such that  $p i_n$  is a prefix of  $\omega^{(n)}$  for  $n$  large enough. For  $p \in \mathcal{A}^*$ , let  $N(p) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : p i_n \text{ is a prefix of } \omega^{(n)}\}$ . If  $N(p)$  is infinite, then (4.5) immediately implies that

$$(4.6) \quad \lim_{n \in N(p), n \rightarrow \infty} \pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{1}} M_{[0,n]} \mathbf{l}(p) = \mathbf{0}.$$

Our next aim is to find a set of prefixes  $p$  spanning  $\mathbb{R}^d$  that all yield an infinite set  $N(p)$ .

By recurrence of  $(\sigma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , there is an increasing sequence of integers  $(n_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that

$$(4.7) \quad (\sigma_{n_k}, \sigma_{n_k+1}, \dots, \sigma_{n_k+k-1}) = (\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{k-1})$$

for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Using a Cantor diagonal argument we can choose a sequence of letters  $(j_\ell)_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that, for each  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have that

$$(4.8) \quad (i_{n_k}, i_{n_k+1}, i_{n_k+2}, \dots, i_{n_k+\ell}) = (j_0 j_1, j_2, \dots, j_\ell)$$

holds for infinitely many  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ; denote the set of these  $k$  by  $K_\ell$ . By the definition of  $i_n$ , we have that  $\sigma_{n-1}(i_n) \in i_{n-1} \mathcal{A}^*$ . For  $k \in K_\ell$ , we gain thus

$$(4.9) \quad \sigma_{\ell-1}(j_\ell) = \sigma_{n_k+\ell-1}(j_\ell) = \sigma_{n_k+\ell-1}(i_{n_k+\ell}) \in i_{n_k+\ell-1} \mathcal{A}^* = j_{\ell-1} \mathcal{A}^*.$$

Let  $P_\ell$  be the set of all  $p \in \mathcal{A}^*$  such that  $pj_0$  is a prefix of  $\sigma_{[0,\ell]}(j_\ell)$ . Then, (4.9) implies that  $P_0 \subset P_1 \subset \dots$ . Consider the lattice  $L \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$  generated by  $\bigcup_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbf{l}(P_\ell)$ . The set  $\bigcup_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbf{l}(P_\ell)$  contains arbitrarily large vectors. Therefore, if the lattice  $L$  does not have full rank, then the rational independence of the coordinates of  $\mathbf{u}$  (Lemma 4.2) implies that the maximal distance of elements of  $\bigcup_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbf{l}(P_\ell)$  from the line  $\mathbb{R}\mathbf{u}$  is unbounded. Since  $P_\ell \subset \mathcal{L}_\sigma$ , this contradicts the fact that  $\mathcal{L}_\sigma$  is balanced; cf.(4.1) the proof of Lemma 4.1. Hence, there is  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\mathbf{l}(P_\ell)$  contains a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

We now fix  $\ell$  such that  $\mathbf{l}(P_\ell)$  contains a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . If  $p \in P_\ell$ , i.e., if  $pj_0$  is a prefix of  $\sigma_{[0,\ell]}(j_\ell)$ , then (4.7) and (4.8) imply that  $pj_0 (=pi_{n_k})$  is a prefix of  $\omega^{(n_k)}$  for all  $k \in K_\ell$ , thus  $\{n_k : k \in K_\ell\} \subset N(p)$ , which shows that  $N(p)$  is infinite. Therefore we may apply (4.6) to obtain that

$$\lim_{k \in K_\ell, k \rightarrow \infty} \pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{l}} M_{[0, n_k]} \mathbf{l}(p) = \lim_{k \in N(p), k \rightarrow \infty} \pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{l}} M_{[0, n_k]} \mathbf{l}(p) = \mathbf{0}.$$

Since  $\mathbf{l}(P_\ell)$  contains a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , this yields that

$$(4.10) \quad \lim_{k \in K_\ell, k \rightarrow \infty} \pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{l}} M_{[0, n_k]} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0} \text{ for all } \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Let  $h \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that  $M_{[0, h]}$  is a positive matrix. Then there is a finite set  $Q \subset \mathcal{A}^*$  such that, for each  $i \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $qj_0$  is a prefix of  $\sigma_{[0, h]}(i)$  for some  $q \in Q$ . Thus, for all sufficiently large  $k \in K_\ell$ ,

- (i)  $\|\pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{l}} M_{[0, n_k]} \mathbf{l}(p)\| < \varepsilon$  for all  $p \in \mathcal{A}^*$  such that  $pj_0 = pi_{n_k}$  is a prefix of  $\omega^{(n_k)}$ , using (4.5),
- (ii) and  $\|\pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{l}} M_{[0, n_k]} \mathbf{l}(q)\| < \varepsilon$  for all  $q \in Q$ , using (4.10) and the fact that  $Q$  is finite.

Finally, let  $p$  be a prefix of  $\omega^{(n)}$ ,  $n \geq n_k + h$ . Choose  $i \in \mathcal{A}$  in a way that  $\sigma_{[n_k, n]}(p)\sigma_{[n_k, n_k+h]}(i) = \sigma_{[n_k, n]}(p)\sigma_{[0, h]}(i)$  is a prefix of  $\omega^{(n_k)}$ . Then  $\sigma_{[n_k, n]}(p)qj_0 = \sigma_{[n_k, n]}(p)qi_{n_k}$  is a prefix of  $\omega^{(n_k)}$  for some  $q \in Q$ . Therefore, by (i) we have  $\|\pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{l}} M_{[0, n_k]} \mathbf{l}(q)\| < \varepsilon$  and (ii) implies that

$$\|\pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{l}} M_{[0, n]} \mathbf{l}(p) + \pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{l}} M_{[0, n_k]} \mathbf{l}(q)\| = \|\pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{l}} M_{[0, n_k]} \mathbf{l}(\sigma_{[n_k, n]}(p)q)\| < \varepsilon,$$

if  $k \in K_\ell$  is sufficiently large. Combining these two inequalities yields that  $\|\pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{l}} M_{[0, n]} \mathbf{l}(p)\| < 2\varepsilon$  for all prefixes  $p$  of  $\omega^{(n)}$ , if  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  is sufficiently large. As  $\varepsilon$  was chosen arbitrary, this proves (4.4) and thus the proposition.  $\square$

*Remark 4.4.* The assumption of algebraic irreducibility cannot be omitted in Proposition 4.3. E.g., taking the primitive substitution  $\sigma_n(1) = 121$ ,  $\sigma_n(2) = 212$  for all  $n$ , we have  $M_{[0, n]} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}^n$ ,  $\mathbf{u} = {}^t(1, 1)$ , thus  $\pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{l}} M_{[0, n]} \mathbf{l}(1) = {}^t(1/2, -1/2)$  and  $\pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{l}} M_{[0, n]} \mathbf{l}(2) = {}^t(-1/2, 1/2)$  for all  $n$ ; the limit words are the periodic words  $1212\dots$  and  $2121\dots$ , hence,  $\mathcal{L}_\sigma$  is clearly balanced.

## 5. SET EQUATIONS FOR RAUZY FRACTALS AND THE RECURRENT LEFT EIGENVECTOR

The classical Rauzy fractal associated with a unimodular Pisot substitution  $\sigma$  can be defined in terms of the dual substitution  $E_1^*(\sigma)$  given in (2.3). This dual substitution acts on the discrete hyperplane  $\Gamma(\mathbf{v})$  of the contracting hyperplane  $\mathbf{v}^\perp$  of  $\sigma$ ; cf. e.g. [AI01]. Carrying this over to a sequence  $\sigma$  requires considering an infinite sequence of hyperplanes  $(\mathbf{w}^{(n)})^\perp$ , where, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the dual substitution  $E_1^*(\sigma_n)$  of  $\sigma_n$  maps  $\Gamma(\mathbf{w}^{(n)})$  to  $\Gamma(\mathbf{w}^{(n+1)})$ . In Section 5.1, we formalize these concepts and relate them to the Rauzy fractals defined in Section 2.9. We first define Rauzy fractals on any hyperplane  $\mathbf{w}^\perp$ ,  $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^d \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ , in order to obtain set equations that reflect the combinatorial properties of  $S$ -adic words geometrically. In Section 5.2, we specify the vector  $\mathbf{w}$  by defining a ‘‘recurrent left eigenvector’’  $\mathbf{v}$ . This vector will allow us to obtain an associated sequence of hyperplanes  $(\mathbf{v}^{(n)})^\perp$  such that the Rauzy fractals defined on these hyperplanes converge w.r.t. the Hausdorff metric; see Proposition 5.12. It is this convergence property that will later enable us to derive topological as well as tiling properties of our ‘‘ $S$ -adic Rauzy fractals’’.

**5.1. The dual substitution and set equations.** We now give some properties of the dual substitution  $E_1^*(\sigma)$  defined in (2.3). Let  $\mathbf{u}$  be a generalized right eigenvector,  $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^d \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ . To simplify notation, we use the abbreviations

$$(5.1) \quad \pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}}^{(n)} = \pi_{(M_{[0, n]})^{-1} \mathbf{u}, {}^t(M_{[0, n]}) \mathbf{w}} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Note that  $\pi_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{w}}^{(0)} = \pi_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{w}}$ . Moreover, we set

$$\mathbf{w}^{(n)} = {}^t(M_{[0,n]}) \mathbf{w} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

The dual substitution  $E_1^*(\sigma)$  can be extended to subsets of discrete hyperplanes in the obvious way. Moreover, by direct calculation, one obtains that  $E_1^*(\sigma\tau) = E_1^*(\tau)E_1^*(\sigma)$ ; cf. [AI01]. The following lemma contains further relevant properties of  $E_1^*$ .

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let  $\sigma = (\sigma_n)$  be a sequence of unimodular substitutions. Then for all  $k < \ell$ , we have*

- (i)  $M_{[k,\ell]}(\mathbf{w}^{(\ell)})^\perp = (\mathbf{w}^{(k)})^\perp$ ,
- (ii)  $E_1^*(\sigma_{[k,\ell]})\Gamma(\mathbf{w}^{(k)}) = \Gamma(\mathbf{w}^{(\ell)})$ ,
- (iii) *for distinct  $[\mathbf{x}, i], [\mathbf{x}', i'] \in \Gamma(\mathbf{w}^{(k)})$ , the sets  $E_1^*(\sigma_{[k,\ell]})[\mathbf{x}, i]$  and  $E_1^*(\sigma_{[k,\ell]})[\mathbf{x}', i']$  are disjoint.*

*Proof.* The first assertion follows directly from the fact that  $\mathbf{w}^{(\ell)} = {}^t(M_{[k,\ell]})\mathbf{w}^{(k)}$ . By the same fact, the other assertions are special cases of [Fer06, Theorem 1].  $\square$

We need the following auxiliary result on the projections  $\pi_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{w}}^{(n)}$ .

**Lemma 5.2.** *Let  $\sigma = (\sigma_n)$  be a sequence of unimodular substitutions. Then for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have*

$$\pi_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{w}}^{(n)} M_n = M_n \pi_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{w}}^{(n+1)}.$$

*Proof.* Consider the linear mapping  $M_n^{-1}\pi_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{w}}^{(n)}M_n$ . This mapping is idempotent, its kernel is  $M_n^{-1}\mathbb{R}(M_{[0,n]})^{-1}\mathbf{u} = \mathbb{R}(M_{[0,n+1]})^{-1}\mathbf{u}$ , and by Lemma 5.1 (i) its image is  $(\mathbf{w}^{(n+1)})^\perp$ . Thus  $M_n^{-1}\pi_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{w}}^{(n)}M_n$  is the projection to  $(\mathbf{w}^{(n+1)})^\perp$  along  $(M_{[0,n+1]})^{-1}\mathbf{u}$ .  $\square$

The following lemma gives an alternative definition of  $\mathcal{R}(i)$ .

**Lemma 5.3.** *Let  $\sigma = (\sigma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a primitive, algebraically irreducible, and recurrent sequence of unimodular substitutions with balanced language  $\mathcal{L}_\sigma$ . For each  $i \in \mathcal{A}$  we have*

$$\mathcal{R}(i) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{1}} M_{[0,n]} E_1^*(\sigma_{[0,n]})[\mathbf{0}, i],$$

where each  $[\mathbf{y}, j] \in E_1^*(\sigma_{[0,n]})[\mathbf{0}, i]$  is identified with its first component  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$  and the limit is taken with respect to the Hausdorff metric.

*Proof.* By the definition of  $E_1^*(\sigma_{[0,n]})$  in (2.3), we have

$$\pi_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{1}} M_{[0,n]} E_1^*(\sigma_{[0,n]})[\mathbf{0}, i] = \{\pi_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{l}(p) : p \in \mathcal{A}^*, p \text{ is a prefix of } \sigma_{[0,n]}(j) \text{ for some } j \in \mathcal{A}\}.$$

If  $pi$  is a prefix of a limit word, we have thus  $\pi_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{l}(p) \in \pi_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{1}} M_{[0,n]} E_1^*(\sigma_{[0,n]})[\mathbf{0}, i]$  for all sufficiently large  $n$ , hence  $\mathcal{R}(i) \subset \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{1}} M_{[0,n]} E_1^*(\sigma_{[0,n]})[\mathbf{0}, i]$ .

On the other hand, choose a limit word  $\omega$ . Then for each  $n$  and for each  $j \in \mathcal{A}$ , there is a prefix  $p$  of  $\omega^{(n)}$  such that  $\omega$  starts with  $\sigma_{[0,n]}(pj)$ . Since  $\|\pi_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{l}(\sigma_{[0,n]}(p))\|$  is small for large  $n$  by Proposition 4.3, we obtain that  $\pi_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{1}} M_{[0,n]} E_1^*(\sigma_{[0,n]})[\mathbf{0}, i]$  is close to  $\mathcal{R}(i)$  for large  $n$ .  $\square$

We now associate with a directive sequence  $\sigma = (\sigma_n)$  a sequence of Rauzy fractals  $\mathcal{R}_\mathbf{w}^{(n)}$  obtained by taking projections of each “desubstituted” limit word  $\omega^{(n)}$  to  $({}^t(M_{[0,n]})\mathbf{w})^\perp$  along the direction  $(M_{[0,n]})^{-1}\mathbf{u}$ , which is the generalized right eigenvector of the shifted sequence  $(\sigma_{m+n})_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ .

For  $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^d \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ , let  $\mathcal{R}_\mathbf{w}^{(n)} = \bigcup_{i \in \mathcal{A}} \mathcal{R}_\mathbf{w}^{(n)}(i)$  with

$$(5.2) \quad \mathcal{R}_\mathbf{w}^{(n)}(i) = \overline{\{\pi_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{w}}^{(n)} \mathbf{l}(p) : p \in \mathcal{A}^*, p \text{ is a prefix of } \omega^{(n)}, \sigma_{[0,n]}(\omega^{(n)}) \text{ is a limit word of } \sigma\}}.$$

Note that  $\mathcal{R}_\mathbf{w}^{(0)}(i) = \mathcal{R}_\mathbf{w}(i)$ . With the above notation,  $\mathcal{R}_\mathbf{w}^{(n)}$  lives on the hyperplane  $(\mathbf{w}^{(n)})^\perp$ .

Similarly to Lemma 4.1, we can give explicit bounds for these subtiles.

**Lemma 5.4.** *Let  $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^d \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ . If  $\mathcal{L}_\sigma^{(n)}$  is  $C$ -balanced, then  $\mathcal{R}_\mathbf{w}^{(n)} \subset \pi_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{w}}^{(n)}([-C, C]^d \cap \mathbf{1}^\perp)$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 4.1, we have  $\pi_{(M_{[0,n]})^{-1}\mathbf{u},\mathbf{1}} \mathcal{R}_\mathbf{w}^{(n)} \subset [-C, C]^d \cap \mathbf{1}^\perp$ . Projecting by  $\pi_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{w}}^{(n)}$ , we obtain the result.  $\square$

The following lemma shows that the Rauzy fractals  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{w}}^{(n)}$  mapped back via  $M_{[0,n]}$  to the representation space  $\mathbf{w}^\perp$  tend to be smaller and smaller.

**Lemma 5.5.** *Let  $\sigma = (\sigma_n)$  be a primitive, algebraically irreducible, and recurrent sequence of unimodular substitutions with balanced language  $\mathcal{L}_\sigma$ , and let  $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^d \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ . Then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_{[0,n]} \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{w}}^{(n)} = \{\mathbf{0}\}.$$

*Proof.* As  $M_{[0,n]} \pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}}^{(n)} = \pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}} M_{[0,n]}$  by Lemma 5.2 and  $\pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}} = \pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}} \pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{1}}$ , we have  $M_{[0,n]} \pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}}^{(n)} \mathbf{l}(p) = \pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}} \pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{1}} M_{[0,n]} \mathbf{l}(p)$  for all prefixes  $p$  of  $\omega^{(n)}$ . Now, the result follows from Proposition 4.3.  $\square$

For the Rauzy fractals  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{w}}^{(n)}$ , we obtain a hierarchy of set equations, which replaces the self-affine structure present in the periodic case. As  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{w}}^{(n)}$  lives on the hyperplane  $(\mathbf{w}^{(n)})^\perp$ , the decomposition below involves Rauzy fractals living in different hyperplanes.

**Proposition 5.6.** *Let  $\sigma = (\sigma_n)$  be a sequence of unimodular substitutions with generalized right eigenvector  $\mathbf{u}$ . Then for each  $[\mathbf{x}, i] \in \mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathcal{A}$  and all  $k < \ell$ , we have the set equation*

$$(5.3) \quad \pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}}^{(k)} \mathbf{x} + \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{w}}^{(k)}(i) = \bigcup_{[\mathbf{y}, j] \in E_1^*(\sigma_{[k, \ell]})[\mathbf{x}, i]} M_{[k, \ell]}(\pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}}^{(\ell)} \mathbf{y} + \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{w}}^{(\ell)}(j)).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\omega$  be a limit word. Each prefix  $p$  of  $\omega^{(k)}$  has a unique decomposition  $p = \sigma_{[k, \ell]}(\tilde{p})q$  with  $\tilde{p}j$  a prefix of  $\omega^{(\ell)}$  and  $q$  a proper prefix of  $\sigma_{[k, \ell]}(j)$ . Since  $\mathbf{l}(\sigma_{[k, \ell]}(\tilde{p})) = M_{[k, \ell]} \mathbf{l}(\tilde{p})$ , Lemma 5.2 implies that  $\pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}}^{(k)} \mathbf{l}(p) = \pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}}^{(k)} \mathbf{l}(q) + M_{[k, \ell]} \pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}}^{(\ell)} \mathbf{l}(\tilde{p})$ . We gain that

$$\{\pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}}^{(k)} \mathbf{l}(p) : pi \text{ is a prefix of } \omega^{(k)}\} = \bigcup_{\substack{q \in \mathcal{A}^*, j \in \mathcal{A}: \\ \sigma_{[k, \ell]}(j) \in qi \mathcal{A}^*}} \pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}}^{(k)} \mathbf{l}(q) + M_{[k, \ell]} \{\pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}}^{(\ell)} \mathbf{l}(\tilde{p}) : \tilde{p}j \text{ is a prefix of } \omega^{(\ell)}\}.$$

By the definition of  $E_1^*(\sigma_{[k, \ell]})$ , taking closures and translating by  $\pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}}^{(k)} \mathbf{x}$  yields the result.  $\square$

**5.2. Recurrent left eigenvector.** In the case of a single substitution  $\sigma$ , choosing  $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{v}$ , where  ${}^t \mathbf{v}$  is the Perron-Frobenius left eigenvector of  $M_\sigma$ , the set equations give a graph-directed iterated function system for the subtiles  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}(i)$ ; see [BST10]. For  $\sigma = (\sigma_n)$ , the Rauzy fractals  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{w}}^{(n)}$  are different from  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{w}}^{(0)}$  and even live on different hyperplanes  $(\mathbf{w}^{(n)})^\perp$ . Thus, in general (5.3) is an infinite system of set equations. Also, the construction of an analog of the left Perron-Frobenius eigenvector needs some work. Contrary to the cones  $M_{[0,n]} \mathbb{R}_+^d$ , there is no reason for the cones  ${}^t(M_{[0,n]}) \mathbb{R}_+^d$  to be nested. Therefore, the intersection of these cones does not define a generalized left eigenvector of  $\sigma$  and cannot be used to get a stable space. However, for a suitable choice of  $\mathbf{v}$ , we have a subsequence  $(n_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that the directions of  $\mathbf{v}^{(n_k)} = {}^t(M_{[0, n_k]}) \mathbf{v}$  tend to that of  $\mathbf{v}$ ; in this case  $\mathbf{v}$  is called a *recurrent left eigenvector*. Using the assumptions of Theorem 1, we can even guarantee that  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(n_k)}$  converges to  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}$  in Hausdorff limit for a suitable choice of  $(n_k)$ .

The following lemma shows that, under the assumptions of primitivity and recurrence, one can easily exhibit recurrent left eigenvectors  $\mathbf{v}$ . The precise statement involving a subsequence of a given sequence  $(n_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  will be useful in the proof of Lemma 5.9.

**Lemma 5.7.** *Let  $\sigma = (\sigma_n)$  be a primitive and recurrent sequence of substitutions and  $(n_k)$  a strictly increasing sequence of non-negative integers. Then there is  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^d \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$  such that*

$$(5.4) \quad \lim_{k \in K, k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbf{v}^{(n_k)}}{\|\mathbf{v}^{(n_k)}\|} = \lim_{k \in K, k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{{}^t(M_{[0, n_k]}) \mathbf{v}}{\|{}^t(M_{[0, n_k]}) \mathbf{v}\|} = \mathbf{v}$$

for some infinite set  $K \subset \mathbb{N}$ . Such a vector  $\mathbf{v}$  is called a recurrent left eigenvector.

*Proof.* As  $\sigma$  is primitive,  $M_{[0, h]}$  is a positive matrix for some  $h \in \mathbb{N}$ . By recurrence, we can find inductively an increasing sequence of integers  $(\tilde{n}_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  with  $\tilde{n}_0 = h$  and  $M_{[0, \tilde{n}_j]} = M_{[\tilde{n}_{j+1} - \tilde{n}_j, \tilde{n}_{j+1}]}$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . This allows to define a sequence  $(M_{-k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  satisfying  $M_{-k} = M_{\tilde{n}_j - k}$  for all  $j$  such that  $\tilde{n}_j \geq k$ . As we have infinitely many indices  $k > 0$  such that  $M_{[-k, h-k]} = M_{[0, h]}$ , the cones  ${}^t(M_{[-k, 0]}) \mathbb{R}_+^d$  converge to a single line as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ ; see Section 2.5.

For  $\tilde{n}_j \leq n_k$ , we have  ${}^t(M_{[0, n_k]}) \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^d = {}^t(M_{[\tilde{n}_j, n_k]}) {}^t(M_{[-\tilde{n}_j, 0]}) \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^d$ . By [Fur60, Lemma 15.1], this implies that the diameter of the cone  ${}^t(M_{[0, n_k]}) \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^d$  is smaller than that of  ${}^t(M_{[-\tilde{n}_j, 0]}) \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^d$  in projective Hilbert metric; see also [Bir57]. Hence, the diameter of  ${}^t(M_{[0, n_k]}) \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^d$  converges to zero. By the compactness of the projective space  $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})$ , we can now choose an infinite set  $K \subset \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\bigcap_{k \in K} {}^t(M_{[0, n_k]}) \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^d = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \mathbf{v}$  for some  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^d \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ . For this choice of  $\mathbf{v}$ , (5.4) obviously holds.  $\square$

In the sequel, we will work with directive sequences that satisfy a list of conditions gathered in the following Property PRICE (which stands for Primitivity, Recurrence, algebraic Irreducibility,  $C$ -balancedness, and recurrent left Eigenvector). By Lemma 5.9 below, this property is a consequence of the assumptions of Theorem 1. Nevertheless, we prefer referring to property PRICE because we will frequently use the sequences  $(n_k)$ ,  $(\ell_k)$ , and the recurrent left eigenvector  $\mathbf{v}$  involved in the definition.

**Definition 5.8** (Property PRICE). We say that a directive sequence  $\sigma = (\sigma_n)$  has Property PRICE w.r.t. the strictly increasing sequences  $(n_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $(\ell_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  and a vector  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^d \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$  if the following conditions hold.

- (P) There exists  $h \in \mathbb{N}$  and a positive matrix  $B$  such that  $M_{[\ell_k - h, \ell_k]} = B$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- (R) We have  $(\sigma_{n_k}, \sigma_{n_k+1}, \dots, \sigma_{n_k+\ell_k-1}) = (\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{\ell_k-1})$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- (I) The directive sequence  $\sigma$  is algebraically irreducible.
- (C) There is  $C > 0$  such that  $\mathcal{L}_\sigma^{(n_k+\ell_k)}$  is  $C$ -balanced for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- (E) We have  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{v}^{(n_k)} / \|\mathbf{v}^{(n_k)}\| = \mathbf{v}$ .

We also simply say that  $\sigma$  satisfies Property PRICE if the five conditions hold for some not explicitly specified strictly increasing sequences  $(n_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $(\ell_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  and some  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^d \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ .

Note that Properties (P), (R) and (C) in Definition 5.8 imply that  $\sigma$  is a primitive and recurrent directive sequence with balanced language  $\mathcal{L}_\sigma$ , and (E) means that  $\mathbf{v}$  is a recurrent left eigenvector.

The conditions of the following lemma are (apart from unimodularity, which we do not need here) that of Theorem 1.

**Lemma 5.9.** *Let  $\sigma = (\sigma_n)$  be a primitive and algebraically irreducible sequence of substitutions over the finite alphabet  $\mathcal{A}$ . Assume that there is  $C > 0$  such that for each  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ , there is  $n \geq 1$  with  $(\sigma_n, \dots, \sigma_{n+\ell-1}) = (\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_{\ell-1})$  and  $\mathcal{L}_\sigma^{(n+\ell)}$  is  $C$ -balanced. Then Property PRICE holds.*

*Proof.* First observe that (I) holds by assumption. By primitivity of  $\sigma$ , we can choose  $\ell_0$  and  $h$  in a way that  $M_{[\ell_0-h, \ell_0]}$  is positive. As the assumptions of the lemma imply that  $\sigma$  is recurrent, there exists a strictly increasing sequence  $(\ell_k)$  of non-negative integers such that (P) holds. By assumption, there is an associated sequence  $(n_k)$  of non-negative integers such that (R) and (C) hold. In view of Lemma 5.7, we can choose appropriate subsequences of  $(\ell_k)$  and  $(n_k)$ , again called  $(\ell_k)$  and  $(n_k)$ , such that (E) holds. As taking subsequences doesn't affect (P), (R), (I), and (C), this proves the lemma.  $\square$

We will use the following simple observation.

**Lemma 5.10.** *Assume that the directive sequence  $\sigma = (\sigma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  has Property PRICE w.r.t. the sequences  $(n_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $(\ell_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  and the vector  $\mathbf{v}$ . Then for each  $h \in \mathbb{N}$  there is  $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that the shifted sequence  $(\sigma_{n+h})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  has Property PRICE w.r.t. the sequences  $(n_{k+k_0})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $(\ell_{k+k_0-h})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ , and the vector  $\mathbf{v}^{(h)}$ .*

Property PRICE implies the following uniform convergence result for the projections  $\pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}}^{(n_k)}$ .

**Lemma 5.11.** *Assume that the directive sequence  $\sigma$  has Property PRICE w.r.t. the sequences  $(n_k)$  and  $(\ell_k)$  and the vector  $\mathbf{v}$ . Then*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \max \{ \|\pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}}^{(n_k)} \mathbf{x} - \pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}} \mathbf{x}\| : \|\mathbf{x}\| \leq \max_{i \in \mathcal{A}} \|M_{[0, \ell_k]} \mathbf{e}_i\|, \|\pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}} \mathbf{x}\| \leq 1 \} = 0.$$

*In particular,  $\pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}}^{(n_k)} \rightarrow \pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}}$  for  $k \rightarrow \infty$  in compact-open topology.*



*Proof.* Since (P), (R), (I), and (C) hold, we obtain from Proposition 4.3 that  $\|\pi_{\mathbf{u},1}M_{[0,\ell_k]}\mathbf{e}_i\| \rightarrow 0$  for each  $i \in \mathcal{A}$  when  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $\pi_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}} = \pi_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}\pi_{\mathbf{u},1}$ , this implies that  $\|\pi_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}M_{[0,\ell_k]}\mathbf{e}_i\| \rightarrow 0$ . As  $M_{[\ell_k-h,\ell_k]} = B$  is a positive matrix (that does not depend on  $k$ ), there is  $c > 0$  such that  $\max_{i \in \mathcal{A}} \|M_{[0,\ell_k]}\mathbf{e}_i\| \leq c \min_{i \in \mathcal{A}} \|M_{[0,\ell_k]}\mathbf{e}_i\|$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus the cone  $M_{[0,\ell_k]}\mathbb{R}_+^d$  has small diameter at “height”  $\max_{i \in \mathcal{A}} \|M_{[0,\ell_k]}\mathbf{e}_i\|$ , hence,  $\pi_{\tilde{\mathbf{u}},\mathbf{v}}\mathbf{x}$  is close to  $\pi_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}\mathbf{x}$  for all  $\tilde{\mathbf{u}} \in M_{[0,\ell_k]}\mathbb{R}_+^d$  and  $\mathbf{x}$  in the cylinder  $\|\mathbf{x}\| \leq \max_{i \in \mathcal{A}} \|M_{[0,\ell_k]}\mathbf{e}_i\|$ ,  $\|\pi_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}\mathbf{x}\| \leq 1$ . More precisely,

$$\pi_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}\mathbf{x} - \pi_{\tilde{\mathbf{u}},\mathbf{v}}\mathbf{x} = \pi_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{x} - \pi_{\tilde{\mathbf{u}},\mathbf{v}}\mathbf{x}) = \pi_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}\left(\frac{\|\mathbf{x} - \pi_{\tilde{\mathbf{u}},\mathbf{v}}\mathbf{x}\|}{\|\tilde{\mathbf{u}}\|}\tilde{\mathbf{u}}\right) = \frac{\|\mathbf{x} - \pi_{\tilde{\mathbf{u}},\mathbf{v}}\mathbf{x}\|}{\|\tilde{\mathbf{u}}\|}\pi_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}\tilde{\mathbf{u}}$$

gives that

$$\|\pi_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}\mathbf{x} - \pi_{\tilde{\mathbf{u}},\mathbf{v}}\mathbf{x}\| \leq \frac{\|\mathbf{x} - \pi_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}\mathbf{x}\| + \|\pi_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}\mathbf{x} - \pi_{\tilde{\mathbf{u}},\mathbf{v}}\mathbf{x}\|}{\|\tilde{\mathbf{u}}\|}\|\pi_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}\tilde{\mathbf{u}}\|$$

and, hence,

$$\|\pi_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}\mathbf{x} - \pi_{\tilde{\mathbf{u}},\mathbf{v}}\mathbf{x}\| \leq \frac{\|\mathbf{x} - \pi_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}\mathbf{x}\|}{\|\tilde{\mathbf{u}}\| - \|\pi_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}\tilde{\mathbf{u}}\|}\|\pi_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}\tilde{\mathbf{u}}\|.$$

Thus we obtain for  $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}$  and  $\mathbf{x}$  with the above properties that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\pi_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}\mathbf{x} - \pi_{\tilde{\mathbf{u}},\mathbf{v}}\mathbf{x}\| &\leq \frac{\max_{i \in \mathcal{A}} \|M_{[0,\ell_k]}\mathbf{e}_i\| + 1}{\min_{i \in \mathcal{A}} \|M_{[0,\ell_k]}\mathbf{e}_i\| - \max_{i \in \mathcal{A}} \|\pi_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}M_{[0,\ell_k]}\mathbf{e}_i\|} \max_{i \in \mathcal{A}} \|\pi_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}M_{[0,\ell_k]}\mathbf{e}_i\| \\ &\leq 2c \max_{i \in \mathcal{A}} \|\pi_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}M_{[0,\ell_k]}\mathbf{e}_i\| < \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

for sufficiently large  $k$ . Moreover, the facts that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{v}^{(n_k)}/\|\mathbf{v}^{(n_k)}\| = \mathbf{v}$ , that  $\|\pi_{\tilde{\mathbf{u}},\mathbf{v}}\mathbf{x}\|$  is bounded (by  $1 + \varepsilon$ ), and that  $(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}, \mathbf{v})$  is bounded away from 0, yield that

$$\|\pi_{\tilde{\mathbf{u}},\mathbf{v}^{(n_k)}}\mathbf{x} - \pi_{\tilde{\mathbf{u}},\mathbf{v}}\mathbf{x}\| < \varepsilon$$

for sufficiently large  $k$ , thus  $\|\pi_{\tilde{\mathbf{u}},\mathbf{v}^{(n_k)}}\mathbf{x} - \pi_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}\mathbf{x}\| < 2\varepsilon$ . We can choose  $\tilde{\mathbf{u}} = (M_{[0,n_k]})^{-1}\mathbf{u}$  because the recurrence assertion (R) gives  $(M_{[0,n_k]})^{-1}\mathbf{u} \in M_{[0,\ell_k]}\mathbb{R}_+^d$ . As  $\pi_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}} = \pi_{(M_{[0,n_k]})^{-1}\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}^{(n_k)}}$ , this proves the lemma.  $\square$

We are now able to prove the following convergence result for Rauzy fractals.

**Proposition 5.12.** *Assume that the sequence  $\sigma = (\sigma_n)$  of unimodular substitutions has Property PRICE w.r.t. the sequences  $(n_k)$  and  $(\ell_k)$  and the vector  $\mathbf{v}$ . Then, for each  $i \in \mathcal{A}$  and each  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ ,*

$$(5.5) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(n_k+\ell)}(i) = \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(\ell)}(i),$$

where the limit is taken w.r.t. the Hausdorff metric.

*Proof.* We first prove the result for  $\ell = 0$ . For each  $\varepsilon > 0$  and each sufficiently large  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , the following inequalities hold:

- (i)  $\text{diam}(M_{[0,\ell_k]}\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(\ell_k)}(j)) < \varepsilon$  for each  $j \in \mathcal{A}$ ,
- (ii)  $\text{diam}(M_{[0,\ell_k]}\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(n_k+\ell_k)}(j)) < \varepsilon$  for each  $j \in \mathcal{A}$ ,
- (iii)  $\|\pi_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}^{(n_k)}M_{[0,\ell_k]}\mathbf{x} - \pi_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}M_{[0,\ell_k]}\mathbf{x}\| < \varepsilon$  for each  $[\mathbf{x}, j] \in E_1^*(\sigma_{[0,\ell_k]})[\mathbf{0}, i]$ .

Inequality (i) follows from Lemma 5.5. To prove (ii), note first that, as  $\mathcal{L}_{\sigma}^{(n_k+\ell_k)}$  is  $C$ -balanced,

$$M_{[0,\ell_k]}\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(n_k+\ell_k)}(j) \subset M_{[0,\ell_k]}\pi_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}^{(n_k+\ell_k)}([-C, C]^d \cap \mathbf{1}^\perp) = \pi_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}^{(n_k)}M_{[0,\ell_k]}([-C, C]^d \cap \mathbf{1}^\perp)$$

by Lemmas 5.2 and 5.4. For  $\mathbf{y} \in M_{[0,\ell_k]}[-C, C]^d$  with sufficiently large  $k$ , we have  $\|\pi_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}\mathbf{y}\| < \varepsilon/2$  by Proposition 4.3 and  $\|\pi_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}^{(n_k)}\mathbf{y} - \pi_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}\mathbf{y}\| < \varepsilon/2$  by Lemma 5.11, where we have used that  $\|\mathbf{y}\| \leq C \sum_{j \in \mathcal{A}} \|M_{[0,\ell_k]}\mathbf{e}_j\|$ . This implies that  $\|\pi_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}^{(n_k)}\mathbf{y}\| < \varepsilon$ , and (ii) follows. Finally, (iii) is a consequence of Lemma 5.11 because the definition of  $E_1^*$  in (2.3) yields for  $[\mathbf{x}, j] \in E_1^*(\sigma_{[0,\ell_k]})[\mathbf{0}, i]$  that  $M_{[0,\ell_k]}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{l}(p)$  for some prefix  $p$  of  $\sigma_{[0,\ell_k]}(j)$ ,  $j \in \mathcal{A}$ , hence  $\|M_{[0,\ell_k]}\mathbf{x}\| \leq \max_{j \in \mathcal{A}} \|M_{[0,\ell_k]}\mathbf{e}_j\|$  and  $\|\pi_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}M_{[0,\ell_k]}\mathbf{x}\|$  is bounded by the balancedness of  $\mathcal{L}_{\sigma}$ .

By (5.3), we have

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}(i) = \bigcup_{[\mathbf{x}, j] \in E_1^*(\sigma_{[0, \ell_k]})[0, i]} (\pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}} M_{[0, \ell_k]} \mathbf{x} + M_{[0, \ell_k]} \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(\ell_k)}(j))$$

and

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(n_k)}(i) = \bigcup_{[\mathbf{x}, j] \in E_1^*(\sigma_{[n_k, n_k + \ell_k]})[0, i]} (\pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}}^{(n_k)} M_{[n_k, n_k + \ell_k]} \mathbf{x} + M_{[n_k, n_k + \ell_k]} \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(n_k + \ell_k)}(j)).$$

As  $\sigma_{[n_k, n_k + \ell_k]} = \sigma_{[0, \ell_k]}$  and  $M_{[n_k, n_k + \ell_k]} = M_{[0, \ell_k]}$ , the result for the case  $\ell = 0$  now follows from (i)–(iii) by an obvious application of the triangle inequality.

The case of  $\ell > 0$  is equivalent to proving that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}^{(\ell)}}^{(n_k)}(i) = \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}^{(\ell)}}(i)$  for the Rauzy fractals defined by the shifted sequence  $(\sigma_{n+\ell})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ . It is thus an immediate consequence of Lemma 5.10.  $\square$

## 6. SOME PROPERTIES OF RAUZY FRACTALS

In this section, we introduce the collections  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{w}}^{(n)}$  of translates of  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{w}}^{(n)}(i)$ ,  $i \in \mathcal{A}$ , and prove their covering properties. Moreover, we show that under certain conditions the set  $\mathcal{R}(i)$  is the closure of its interior and  $\partial \mathcal{R}(i)$  has measure zero for each  $i \in \mathcal{A}$ ; the proof of the latter property is the main task of this section. In the substitutive case, the proofs of the analogous results are based on the graph-directed iterated function system satisfied by the subtiles of the Rauzy fractal; see e.g. [BST10]. Since we do not have a graph-directed structure in our case, we rely on the infinite family of set equations in (5.3).

**6.1. Covering properties.** For  $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^d \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , define the collection of tiles in  $(\mathbf{w}^{(n)})^\perp$

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{w}}^{(n)} = \{\pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}}^{(n)} \mathbf{x} + \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{w}}^{(n)}(i) : [\mathbf{x}, i] \in \Gamma(\mathbf{w}^{(n)})\},$$

where  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{w}}^{(n)}(i)$  are the Rauzy fractals defined in (5.2) and  $\pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}}^{(n)}$  is as in (5.1). Note that  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{w}}^{(0)} = \mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{w}}$ .

The following simple lemma will be used frequently in the sequel.

**Lemma 6.1.** *Let  $\sigma = (\sigma_n)$  be a sequence of unimodular substitutions with generalized right eigenvector  $\mathbf{u}$ ,  $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^d \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ , and  $k < \ell$ . If  $\mathbf{z} \in (\mathbf{w}^{(k)})^\perp$  lies in  $m$  distinct tiles of  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{w}}^{(k)}$ , then  $(M_{[k, \ell]})^{-1} \mathbf{z}$  lies in at least  $m$  distinct tiles of  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{w}}^{(\ell)}$ . If moreover there are distinct  $[\mathbf{y}, j], [\mathbf{y}', j'] \in E_1^*(\sigma_{[k, \ell]})[\mathbf{x}, i]$ , with  $[\mathbf{x}, i] \in \Gamma(\mathbf{w}^{(k)})$ , such that  $(M_{[k, \ell]})^{-1} \mathbf{z} \in (\pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}}^{(\ell)} \mathbf{y} + \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{w}}^{(\ell)}(j)) \cap (\pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}}^{(\ell)} \mathbf{y}' + \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{w}}^{(\ell)}(j'))$ , then  $(M_{[k, \ell]})^{-1} \mathbf{z}$  lies in at least  $m + 1$  distinct tiles of  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{w}}^{(\ell)}$ .*

*Proof.* This is an immediate consequence of the set equations (5.3), the fact that  $E_1^*(\sigma_{[k, \ell]})[\mathbf{x}, i] \subset \Gamma(\mathbf{w}^{(\ell)})$  for  $[\mathbf{x}, i] \in \Gamma(\mathbf{w}^{(k)})$  by Lemma 5.1 (ii) and that  $E_1^*(\sigma_{[k, \ell]})[\mathbf{x}, i] \cap E_1^*(\sigma_{[k, \ell]})[\mathbf{x}', i'] = \emptyset$  for distinct  $[\mathbf{x}, i], [\mathbf{x}', i'] \in \Gamma(\mathbf{w}^{(k)})$  by Lemma 5.1 (iii).  $\square$

In particular, Lemma 6.1 implies that the covering degree of  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{w}}^{(n)}$  is less than or equal to that of  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{w}}^{(n+1)}$ , where the *covering degree* of a collection of sets  $\mathcal{K}$  in a Euclidean space  $\mathcal{E}$  is the maximal number  $m$  such that each point of  $\mathcal{E}$  lies in at least  $m$  distinct elements of  $\mathcal{K}$ . (For locally finite multiple tilings, this agrees with the definition of the covering degree in Section 2.8.)

**Proposition 6.2.** *Let  $\sigma = (\sigma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a primitive, algebraically irreducible, and recurrent sequence of unimodular substitutions with balanced language  $\mathcal{L}_\sigma$ . Then for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^d \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ , the collection of tiles  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{w}}^{(n)}$  covers  $(\mathbf{w}^{(n)})^\perp$  with finite covering degree. For fixed  $\mathbf{w}$ , the covering degree of  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{w}}^{(n)}$  increases monotonically with  $n$ .*

*Proof.* By the set equations (5.3) and Lemma 5.1 (ii), we have

$$(6.1) \quad \bigcup_{\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{w}}} \mathcal{T} = \bigcup_{[\mathbf{x}, i] \in \Gamma(\mathbf{w})} (\pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}} \mathbf{x} + \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{w}}(i)) = \bigcup_{[\mathbf{x}, i] \in \Gamma(\mathbf{w}^{(n)})} M_{[0, n]} (\pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}}^{(n)} \mathbf{x} + \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{w}}^{(n)}(i))$$

for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Moreover,  $\mathbf{w}^{(n)} = {}^t(M_{[0,n]}) \mathbf{w}$  and  $M_{[0,n]} \mathbb{Z}^d = \mathbb{Z}^d$  (by unimodularity) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \{M_{[0,n]} \pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}}^{(n)} \mathbf{x} : [\mathbf{x}, i] \in \Gamma(\mathbf{w}^{(n)})\} &= \{\pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}} M_{[0,n]} \mathbf{x} : \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^d, 0 \leq \langle \mathbf{w}^{(n)}, \mathbf{x} \rangle < \max_{i \in \mathcal{A}} \langle \mathbf{w}^{(n)}, \mathbf{e}_i \rangle\} \\ &= \{\pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}} \mathbf{y} : \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{Z}^d, 0 \leq \langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{y} \rangle < \max_{i \in \mathcal{A}} \langle \mathbf{w}, M_{[0,n]} \mathbf{e}_i \rangle\}. \end{aligned}$$

As  $\mathbf{u}$  has rationally independent coordinates by Lemma 4.2, the set  $\{\pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}} \mathbf{y} : \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{Z}^d, 0 \leq \langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{y} \rangle\}$  is dense in  $\mathbf{w}^\perp$ . Observing that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{i \in \mathcal{A}} \langle \mathbf{w}, M_{[0,n]} \mathbf{e}_i \rangle = \infty$  by the primitivity of  $(\sigma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , we obtain that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{M_{[0,n]} \pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}}^{(n)} \mathbf{x} : [\mathbf{x}, i] \in \Gamma(\mathbf{w}^{(n)})\} = \overline{\{\pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}} \mathbf{y} : \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{Z}^d, 0 \leq \langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{y} \rangle\}} = \mathbf{w}^\perp,$$

where the limit is taken with respect to the Hausdorff metric. Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_{[0,n]} \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{w}}^{(n)}(i) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$  by Lemma 5.5, this implies together with (6.1) that  $\bigcup_{\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{w}}} \overline{\mathcal{T}} = \mathbf{w}^\perp$ . As  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{w}}$  is a locally finite collection of compact sets, this proves that  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{w}}$  covers  $\mathbf{w}^\perp$  and, hence,  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{w}}^{(n)}$  covers  $(\mathbf{w}^{(n)})^\perp$ .

As  $\pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}} \Gamma(\mathbf{w})$  is uniformly discrete in  $\mathbf{w}^\perp$  and the elements of  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{w}}$  are translations of the subtiles  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{w}}(i)$ , which are compact by Lemma 4.1,  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{w}}^{(0)}$  has finite covering degree. By Lemma 6.1, the covering degree of  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{w}}^{(n)}$  is a monotonically increasing function in  $n$ . By the set equations (5.3), Lemma 5.1 (ii) and the definition of  $E_1^*$  in (2.3), we also see that the covering degree of  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{w}}^{(n+1)}$  is bounded by  $\max_{i \in \mathcal{A}} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{A}} |\sigma_n(j)|_i$  times the covering degree of  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{w}}^{(n)}$ .  $\square$

We also need the following result about locally finite compact coverings (its proof is easy).

**Lemma 6.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be a locally finite covering of  $\mathbb{R}^k$  by compact sets. If  $\mathcal{K}$  has covering degree  $m$  and  $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^k$  is contained in exactly  $m$  elements of  $\mathcal{K}$ , then  $\mathbf{z}$  is contained in the interior of each of these  $m$  elements.*

**6.2. Interior of Rauzy fractals.** We are now in a position to show that the Rauzy fractals are the closure of their interior.

**Proposition 6.4.** *Let  $\sigma$  be a primitive, algebraically irreducible, and recurrent sequence of unimodular substitutions with balanced language  $\mathcal{L}_\sigma$ . Then each  $\mathcal{R}(i)$ ,  $i \in \mathcal{A}$ , is the closure of its interior.*

*Proof.* By Proposition 6.2 and Baire's theorem, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have  $\text{int}(\mathcal{R}^{(n)}(i)) \neq \emptyset$  for some  $i \in \mathcal{A}$ . By the set equation in (5.3) and primitivity, we get that  $\text{int}(\mathcal{R}^{(n)}(i)) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $i \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore, again the set equation (5.3) yields subdivisions of  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{w}}(i)$ ,  $i \in \mathcal{A}$ , into tiles with non-empty interior whose diameters tend to 0 by Lemma 5.5. This proves the result.  $\square$

**6.3. Boundary of Rauzy fractals.** Our next task is to show that the boundary of  $\mathcal{R}(i)$  has zero measure for each  $i \in \mathcal{A}$ . The proof of this result is quite technical and requires several preparatory lemmas. First, we show that each ‘‘patch’’ of  $\Gamma(\mathbf{w})$  occurs relatively densely in each discrete hyperplane  $\Gamma(\tilde{\mathbf{w}})$  with  $\tilde{\mathbf{w}}$  sufficiently close to  $\mathbf{w}$ .

**Lemma 6.5.** *Let  $r > 0$ ,  $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^d \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ , and define the patch*

$$P = \{[\mathbf{x}, i] \in \Gamma(\mathbf{w}) : \|\mathbf{x}\| \leq r\}.$$

*There exist  $\delta, R > 0$  such that, for each  $\tilde{\mathbf{w}} \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^d \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$  with  $\|\tilde{\mathbf{w}} - \mathbf{w}\| \leq \delta$  and each  $[\mathbf{z}, j] \in \Gamma(\tilde{\mathbf{w}})$ ,*

$$(6.2) \quad \{[\mathbf{x}, i] \in \Gamma(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}) : \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\| \leq r\} = P + \mathbf{y}$$

*for some  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$  with  $\|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{z}\| \leq R$ .*

*Proof.* The set  $\{[\mathbf{x}, i] \in \mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathcal{A} : \|\mathbf{x}\| \leq r\}$  admits the partition  $\{P, P^+, P^-\}$ , with

$$P^+ = \{[\mathbf{x}, i] \in \mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathcal{A} : \|\mathbf{x}\| \leq r, \langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x} \rangle \geq \langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{e}_i \rangle\},$$

$$P^- = \{[\mathbf{x}, i] \in \mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathcal{A} : \|\mathbf{x}\| \leq r, \langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x} \rangle < 0\}.$$

Let  $\eta_1 = \min_{[\mathbf{x}, i] \in P} \langle \tilde{\mathbf{w}}, \mathbf{e}_i - \mathbf{x} \rangle > 0$ ,  $\eta_2 = \min_{[\mathbf{x}, i] \in P^-} \langle \tilde{\mathbf{w}}, -\mathbf{x} \rangle > 0$ , and set  $\eta = \min\{\eta_1, \eta_2\}$ . Choose  $\delta > 0$  such that for all  $\tilde{\mathbf{w}} \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^d$  with  $\|\tilde{\mathbf{w}} - \mathbf{w}\| \leq \delta$  we have

$$(6.3) \quad \min_{[\mathbf{x}, i] \in P} \langle \tilde{\mathbf{w}}, \mathbf{e}_i - \mathbf{x} \rangle \geq 2\eta/3 \quad \text{and} \quad \min_{[\mathbf{x}, i] \in P^-} \langle \tilde{\mathbf{w}}, -\mathbf{x} \rangle \geq 2\eta/3,$$

as well as

$$(6.4) \quad \min_{[\mathbf{x}, i] \in P} \langle \tilde{\mathbf{w}}, \mathbf{x} \rangle \geq -\eta/3 \quad \text{and} \quad \min_{[\mathbf{x}, i] \in P^+} \langle \tilde{\mathbf{w}}, \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{e}_i \rangle \geq -\eta/3,$$

and set  $R = 6(r+1)(\|\mathbf{w}\| + \delta)/\eta$ .

Let now  $[\mathbf{z}, j] \in \Gamma(\tilde{\mathbf{w}})$  with  $\|\tilde{\mathbf{w}} - \mathbf{w}\| \leq \delta$ . To find  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$  satisfying  $\|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{z}\| \leq R$  and (6.2), choose  $\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{x}'' \in \mathbb{Z}^d$  with  $\|\mathbf{x}'\|, \|\mathbf{x}''\| \leq r+1$  such that  $\langle \tilde{\mathbf{w}}, \mathbf{x}' \rangle$  is equal to the smaller of the two minima in (6.3), and  $\langle \tilde{\mathbf{w}}, \mathbf{x}'' \rangle$  is equal to the smaller of the two minima in (6.4); this choice is possible by the definition of the minima. Let  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{z} - h(\mathbf{x}' + \mathbf{x}'')$  with  $h \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$(6.5) \quad -\langle \tilde{\mathbf{w}}, \mathbf{x}'' \rangle \leq \langle \tilde{\mathbf{w}}, \mathbf{z} - h(\mathbf{x}' + \mathbf{x}'') \rangle < \langle \tilde{\mathbf{w}}, \mathbf{x}' \rangle;$$

such an  $h$  exists (uniquely) since  $\langle \tilde{\mathbf{w}}, \mathbf{x}' + \mathbf{x}'' \rangle \geq \eta/3 > 0$  by (6.3) and (6.4).

Let  $[\mathbf{x}, i] \in \mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathcal{A}$  with  $\|\mathbf{x}\| \leq r$ . By (6.5) and the definition of  $\mathbf{x}'$  and  $\mathbf{x}''$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \tilde{\mathbf{w}}, \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} \rangle &< \langle \tilde{\mathbf{w}}, \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{x}' \rangle \leq \begin{cases} \langle \tilde{\mathbf{w}}, \mathbf{e}_i \rangle & \text{if } [\mathbf{x}, i] \in P, \\ 0 & \text{if } [\mathbf{x}, i] \in P^-, \end{cases} \\ \langle \tilde{\mathbf{w}}, \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} \rangle &\geq \langle \tilde{\mathbf{w}}, \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'' \rangle \geq \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } [\mathbf{x}, i] \in P, \\ \langle \tilde{\mathbf{w}}, \mathbf{e}_i \rangle & \text{if } [\mathbf{x}, i] \in P^+, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

thus  $[\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}, i] \in \Gamma(\tilde{\mathbf{w}})$  if  $[\mathbf{x}, i] \in P$  and  $[\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}, i] \notin \Gamma(\tilde{\mathbf{w}})$  if  $[\mathbf{x}, i] \in P^- \cup P^+$ , i.e., (6.2) holds.

To show that  $\|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{z}\| \leq R$ , note that  $\frac{\langle \tilde{\mathbf{w}}, \mathbf{z} + \mathbf{x}'' \rangle}{\langle \tilde{\mathbf{w}}, \mathbf{x}' + \mathbf{x}'' \rangle} - 1 < h \leq \frac{\langle \tilde{\mathbf{w}}, \mathbf{z} + \mathbf{x}' \rangle}{\langle \tilde{\mathbf{w}}, \mathbf{x}' + \mathbf{x}'' \rangle}$ . Using the equalities  $-\eta/3 \leq \langle \tilde{\mathbf{w}}, \mathbf{x}'' \rangle \leq 0$  (given by (6.4) and since  $[\mathbf{0}, i] \in P$ ),  $0 \leq \langle \tilde{\mathbf{w}}, \mathbf{z} \rangle \leq \langle \tilde{\mathbf{w}}, \mathbf{e}_j \rangle \leq \|\tilde{\mathbf{w}}\| \leq \|\mathbf{w}\| + \delta$ , and  $\langle \tilde{\mathbf{w}}, \mathbf{x}' + \mathbf{x}'' \rangle \geq \eta/3$ , we obtain that  $-2 < h \leq 3(\|\mathbf{w}\| + \delta)/\eta$ , thus  $|h| \leq 3(\|\mathbf{w}\| + \delta)/\eta$  and

$$\|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{z}\| \leq |h|(\|\mathbf{x}'\| + \|\mathbf{x}''\|) \leq 6(r+1)(\|\mathbf{w}\| + \delta)/\eta = R. \quad \square$$

**Lemma 6.6.** *Assume that the sequence  $\sigma = (\sigma_n)$  of unimodular substitutions has Property PRICE w.r.t. the sequences  $(n_k)$  and  $(\ell_k)$  and the vector  $\mathbf{v}$ . Then there exists  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for each pair  $i, j \in \mathcal{A}$ , there is  $[\mathbf{y}, j] \in E_1^*(\sigma_{[0, \ell]})[\mathbf{0}, i]$  such that*

- (i)  $M_{[0, \ell]}^{(\ell)}(\pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}}^{(\ell)} \mathbf{y} + \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(\ell)}(j)) \subset \text{int}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}(i))$  and
- (ii)  $M_{[0, \ell]}^{(n_k + \ell)}(\pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}}^{(n_k + \ell)} \mathbf{y} + \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(n_k + \ell)}(j)) \subset \text{int}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(n_k)}(i))$  for all sufficiently large  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Moreover, the covering degree of  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(n)}$  is equal to that of  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{v}}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof.* We first show that (i) and (ii) hold for some  $i \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $[\mathbf{y}, j] \in E_1^*(\sigma_{[0, \ell]})[\mathbf{0}, i]$ . Let  $m$  be the covering degree of  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{v}}$ , which is positive and finite according to Proposition 6.2. Let  $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbf{v}^\perp$  be a point lying in exactly  $m$  tiles of  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{v}}$ . By Lemma 6.3,  $\mathbf{z}$  lies in the interior of each of these tiles, and the same is true for some open neighborhood  $U$  of  $\mathbf{z}$ . Let  $\pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}} \tilde{\mathbf{x}} + \mathcal{R}(i)$  be one of these tiles. By the set equation (5.3) and Lemma 5.5, there is  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $[\tilde{\mathbf{y}}, j] \in E_1^*(\sigma_{[0, \ell]})[\tilde{\mathbf{x}}, i]$  such that

$$M_{[0, \ell]}^{(\ell)}(\pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}}^{(\ell)} \tilde{\mathbf{y}} + \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(\ell)}(j)) \subset U \subset \text{int}(\pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}} \tilde{\mathbf{x}} + \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}(i)).$$

Shifting by  $-\pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}} \tilde{\mathbf{x}}$ , we see that (i) holds for  $\mathbf{y} = \tilde{\mathbf{y}} - M_{[0, \ell]}^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{x}}$ .

By Lemma 5.11, Proposition 5.12 and since  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$ ,  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^d \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ , we may choose  $r > 0$  such that, for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}}^{(n_k)} \mathbf{x} \in \pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}}^{(n_k)} U - \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(n_k)}$  with  $|\langle \mathbf{v}^{(n_k)}, \mathbf{x} \rangle| < \|\mathbf{v}^{(n_k)}\|$  implies  $\|\mathbf{x}\| \leq r$ . In the following, assume that  $k$  is sufficiently large. Setting  $P = \{[\mathbf{x}, i] \in \Gamma(\mathbf{v}) : \|\mathbf{x}\| \leq r\}$ , Lemma 6.5 yields that there is  $\mathbf{y}_k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$  such that  $\{[\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}_k, i] \in \Gamma(\mathbf{v}^{(n_k)}) : \|\mathbf{x}\| \leq r\} = P + \mathbf{y}_k$ . Let  $[\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}_k, i] \in \Gamma(\mathbf{v}^{(n_k)})$  be such that

$$(6.6) \quad \pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}}^{(n_k)}(\mathbf{y}_k + U) \cap (\pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}}^{(n_k)}(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}_k) + \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(n_k)}(i)) \neq \emptyset.$$

Then we have  $\pi_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}^{(n_k)} \mathbf{x} \in \pi_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}^{(n_k)} U - \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(n_k)}$  and  $|\langle \mathbf{v}^{(n_k)}, \mathbf{x} \rangle| < \|\mathbf{v}^{(n_k)}\|$  because both  $\langle \mathbf{v}^{(n_k)}, \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}_k \rangle$  and  $\langle \mathbf{v}^{(n_k)}, \mathbf{y}_k \rangle$  are in  $[0, \|\mathbf{v}^{(n_k)}\|]$ , hence,  $\|\mathbf{x}\| \leq r$ . This gives that  $[\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}_k, i] \in P + \mathbf{y}_k$ , i.e.,  $[\mathbf{x}, i] \in P$ . By (6.6) and Proposition 5.12,  $\pi_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}} \mathbf{x} + \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}(i)$  must be one of the  $m$  tiles of  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{v}}$  that contain  $U$ . In particular, the covering degree of  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(n_k)}$  is at most  $m$ . By Proposition 6.2, the covering degree is at least  $m$  and, hence, equal to  $m$ . Therefore, we have  $\pi_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}^{(n_k)}(\mathbf{y}_k + U) \subset \pi_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}^{(n_k)}(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}_k) + \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(n_k)}(i)$  for all  $[\mathbf{x}, i] \in \Gamma(\mathbf{v})$  satisfying  $U \subset \pi_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}} \mathbf{x} + \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}(i)$ . By Lemma 5.11 and Proposition 5.12, we get that

$$M_{[0,\ell]}(\pi_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}^{(n_k+\ell)} \tilde{\mathbf{y}} + \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(n_k+\ell)}(j)) \subset \pi_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}^{(n_k)} U \subset \text{int}(\pi_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}^{(n_k)} \tilde{\mathbf{x}} + \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(n_k)}(i))$$

with  $\ell, [\tilde{\mathbf{x}}, i], [\tilde{\mathbf{y}}, j]$  as in the preceding paragraph, hence, (ii) holds for  $\mathbf{y} = \tilde{\mathbf{y}} - M_{[0,\ell]}^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{x}}$ .

To prove the statements for arbitrary  $i, j \in \mathcal{A}$ , choose  $h \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $M_{[0,h]}$  positive. Applying the results from the preceding paragraphs and using Lemma 5.10, there are  $i' \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\ell' \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $[\mathbf{y}', j'] \in E_1^*(\sigma_{[h,h+\ell']})([\mathbf{0}, i'])$  such that

$$(6.7) \quad M_{[h,h+\ell']}(\pi_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}^{(h+\ell')} \mathbf{y}' + \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(h+\ell')}(j')) \subset \text{int}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(h)}(i'))$$

and, for sufficiently large  $k$ ,

$$(6.8) \quad M_{[h,h+\ell']}(\pi_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}^{(n_k+h+\ell')} \mathbf{y}' + \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(n_k+h+\ell')}(j')) \subset \text{int}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(n_k+h)}(i')).$$

Choose  $\ell > h + \ell'$  such that  $M_{[h+\ell',\ell]}$  is positive. Then for each pair  $i, j \in \mathcal{A}$ , there are  $\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$  such that  $[\mathbf{x}', i'] \in E_1^*(\sigma_{[0,h]})([\mathbf{0}, i])$  and  $[\mathbf{y}, j] \in E_1^*(\sigma_{[h+\ell',\ell]})([\mathbf{y}' + (M_{[h,h+\ell']})^{-1} \mathbf{x}', j'])$ . We get that

$$[\mathbf{y}, j] \in E_1^*(\sigma_{[h+\ell',\ell]})([\mathbf{y}' + (M_{[h,h+\ell']})^{-1} \mathbf{x}', j']) \subset E_1^*(\sigma_{[h,\ell]})([\mathbf{x}', i']) \subset E_1^*(\sigma_{[0,\ell]})([\mathbf{0}, i]),$$

and (i) and (ii) are true by (6.7) and (6.8), respectively.

We have seen that the covering degree of  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(n_k)}$  is equal to that of  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{v}}$  for all sufficiently large  $k$ . As the covering degree increases monotonically by Proposition 6.2, this holds also for all  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(n)}$ .  $\square$

We can now prove that the boundary of  $\mathcal{R}(i)$  has zero measure for each  $i \in \mathcal{A}$ .

**Proposition 6.7.** *Let  $\sigma$  be a sequence of unimodular substitutions with Property PRICE. Then  $\lambda_1(\partial(\mathcal{R}(i))) = 0$  for each  $i \in \mathcal{A}$ .*

*Proof.* Let the sequence  $(n_k)$  and the vector  $\mathbf{v}$  be as in Definition 5.8, and set

$$C_{m,n}(i, j) = \#\{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{Z}^d : [\mathbf{y}, j] \in E_1^*(\sigma_{[m,n]})([\mathbf{0}, i])\},$$

$$D_{m,n}(i, j) = \#\{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{Z}^d : [\mathbf{y}, j] \in E_1^*(\sigma_{[m,n]})([\mathbf{0}, i]), M_{[m,n]}(\pi_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}^{(n)} \mathbf{y} + \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(n)}(j)) \cap \partial \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(m)}(i) \neq \emptyset\},$$

for  $i, j \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $m \leq n$ . Our main task is to show that

$$(6.9) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{D_{0,n}(i, j)}{C_{0,n}(i, j)} = 0 \quad \text{for all } i, j \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Let  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$  be as in the statement of Lemma 6.6. We thus have, for each pair  $i, j \in \mathcal{A}$ , at least one  $\mathbf{y}$  such that  $[\mathbf{y}, j] \in E_1^*(\sigma_{[0,\ell]})([\mathbf{0}, i])$  and  $M_{[0,\ell]}(\pi_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}^{(\ell)} \mathbf{y} + \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(\ell)}(j)) \cap \partial \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}(i) = \emptyset$ , i.e.,  $D_{0,\ell}(i, j) \leq C_{0,\ell}(i, j) - 1$ . Set  $c = 1 - 1/\max_{i,j \in \mathcal{A}} C_{0,\ell}(i, j) < 1$ . Since all subtiles of  $M_{[0,\ell]}(\pi_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}^{(\ell)} \mathbf{y} + \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(\ell)}(j))$  are also contained in  $\text{int}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}(i))$ , we obtain for each  $n \geq \ell$  that

$$D_{0,n}(i, j) \leq \sum_{j' \in \mathcal{A}} D_{0,\ell}(i, j') C_{\ell,n}(j', j) \leq c \sum_{j' \in \mathcal{A}} C_{0,\ell}(i, j') C_{\ell,n}(j', j) = c C_{0,n}(i, j).$$

Let us refine this inequality using Lemma 6.6 (ii). For sufficiently large  $k$ , we have  $D_{n_k, n_k+\ell}(i, j) \leq C_{n_k, n_k+\ell}(i, j) - 1 = C_{0,\ell}(i, j) - 1$ , and each subtile  $\pi_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}^{(n_k+\ell)} \mathbf{y} + \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(n_k+\ell)}(j)$  that is in the interior of a subtile  $\pi_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}^{(n_k)} \mathbf{x} + \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(n_k)}(i')$  of  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}(i)$  is clearly also in the interior of  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}(i)$ . Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_{0,n}(i, j) &\leq \sum_{j', i', j'' \in \mathcal{A}} D_{0,\ell}(i, j') C_{\ell, n_k}(j', i') D_{n_k, n_k+\ell}(i', j'') C_{n_k+\ell, n}(j'', j) \\ &\leq c^2 \sum_{j', i', j'' \in \mathcal{A}} C_{0,\ell}(i, j') C_{\ell, n_k}(j', i') C_{0,\ell}(i', j'') C_{n_k+\ell, n}(j'', j) = c^2 C_{0,n}(i, j) \end{aligned}$$

for  $n \geq n_k + \ell$ . A similar argument with  $h$  different values of  $n_k$  yields for each  $h \in \mathbb{N}$  that  $D_{0,n}(i, j) \leq c^{h+1} C_{0,n}(i, j)$  for sufficiently large  $n$ , thus (6.9) is true.

By Lemma 5.11, Proposition 5.12 and since  $\pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}} \Gamma(\mathbf{v})$  is uniformly discrete, there exists  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that, for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , each point of  $(\mathbf{v}^{(n_k)})^\perp$  lies in at most  $m$  tiles of  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(n_k)}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{\mathbf{v}}(\partial \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}(i)) &\leq \sum_{j \in \mathcal{A}} D_{0,n}(i, j) \lambda_{\mathbf{v}}(M_{[0,n]} \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(n)}(j)) \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}, \\ \lambda_{\mathbf{v}}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}(i)) &\geq \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{A}} C_{0,n_k}(i, j) \lambda_{\mathbf{v}}(M_{[0,n_k]} \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(n_k)}(j)) \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{N}, \end{aligned}$$

by the set equations (5.3), thus

$$\frac{\lambda_{\mathbf{v}}(\partial \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}(i))}{\lambda_{\mathbf{v}}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}(i))} \leq \frac{m \sum_{j \in \mathcal{A}} D_{0,n_k}(i, j) \max_{j \in \mathcal{A}} \lambda_{\mathbf{v}}(M_{[0,n_k]} \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(n_k)}(j))}{\sum_{j \in \mathcal{A}} C_{0,n_k}(i, j) \min_{j \in \mathcal{A}} \lambda_{\mathbf{v}}(M_{[0,n_k]} \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(n_k)}(j))} \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

It remains to show that the latter fraction is bounded. Let  $h \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that  $M_{[0,h]}$  is a positive matrix. For sufficiently large  $k$ , we have  $M_{[n_k, n_k+h]} = M_{[0,h]}$  and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\max_{i \in \mathcal{A}} \lambda_{\mathbf{v}}(M_{[0,n_k]} \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(n_k)}(i))}{\min_{i \in \mathcal{A}} \lambda_{\mathbf{v}}(M_{[0,n_k]} \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(n_k)}(i))} &\leq \frac{\max_{i \in \mathcal{A}} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{A}} C_{0,h}(i, j) \max_{j \in \mathcal{A}} \lambda_{\mathbf{v}}(M_{[0,n_k+h]} \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(n_k+h)}(j))}{\max_{j \in \mathcal{A}} \lambda_{\mathbf{v}}(M_{[0,n_k+h]} \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(n_k+h)}(j))} \\ &= \max_{i \in \mathcal{A}} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{A}} C_{0,h}(i, j). \end{aligned}$$

Together with (6.9), we obtain that  $\lambda_{\mathbf{v}}(\partial \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}(i)) = 0$  and, hence,  $\lambda_{\mathbf{1}}(\partial \mathcal{R}(i)) = 0$ .  $\square$

We also get the following strengthening of Proposition 5.12 for the difference between  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(\ell)}$  and  $\pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}}^{(\ell)} \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(n_k+\ell)}$ . One can prove in a similar way that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{\mathbf{v}^{(\ell)}}(\pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}}^{(\ell)} \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(n_k+\ell)}(i) \setminus \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(\ell)}(i)) = 0$ , but we will not need this result.

**Lemma 6.8.** *Assume that the sequence  $\sigma = (\sigma_n)$  of unimodular substitutions has Property PRICE w.r.t. the sequences  $(n_k)$  and  $(\ell_k)$  and the vector  $\mathbf{v}$ . Then, for each  $i \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ ,*

$$(6.10) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{\mathbf{v}^{(\ell)}}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(\ell)}(i) \setminus \pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}}^{(\ell)} \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(n_k+\ell)}(i)) = 0.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\ell = 0$ , the case  $\ell > 0$  then being a consequence of Lemma 5.10. For  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $X \subset \mathbf{v}^\perp$ , let  $X_\varepsilon = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{v}^\perp : \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\| \leq \varepsilon \text{ for some } \mathbf{y} \in X\}$ . With the notation of the proof of Proposition 6.7, we obtain that

$$\lambda_{\mathbf{v}}\left(\left(\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}(i)\right)_\varepsilon \setminus \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}(i)\right) \leq \sum_{j \in \mathcal{A}} D_{0,n}(i, j) \lambda_{\mathbf{v}}\left(\left(M_{[0,n]} \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(n)}(j)\right)_\varepsilon\right).$$

Let  $\varepsilon' > 0$  be arbitrary but fixed. By the proof of Proposition 6.7, we have some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\sum_{j \in \mathcal{A}} D_{0,n}(i, j) \lambda_{\mathbf{v}}(M_{[0,n]} \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(n)}(j)) < \varepsilon'$ . Choose  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$\sum_{j \in \mathcal{A}} D_{0,n}(i, j) \lambda_{\mathbf{v}}\left(\left(M_{[0,n]} \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(n)}(j)\right)_\varepsilon\right) < \varepsilon'.$$

This is possible since, for compact  $X \subset \mathbf{v}^\perp$ , we have  $\bigcap_{\varepsilon > 0} X_\varepsilon = X$ , thus  $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lambda_{\mathbf{v}}(X_\varepsilon) = \lambda_{\mathbf{v}}(X)$ . For sufficiently large  $k$ , we have  $\pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}} \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(n_k)}(i) \subset (\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}(i))_\varepsilon$  by Proposition 5.12, which implies that  $\lambda_{\mathbf{v}}(\pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}} \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(n_k)}(i) \setminus \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}(i)) < \varepsilon'$ . As the choice of  $\varepsilon'$  was arbitrary, this yields (6.10).  $\square$

## 7. TILINGS AND COINCIDENCES

In this section, we prove several tiling results. First we show that the collections  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{w}}$  form multiple tilings under general conditions and prove that the subdivision of the Rauzy fractals induced by the set equation consists of measure disjoint pieces. In the second part we deal with various coincidence conditions that imply further measure disjointness properties of Rauzy fractals and lead to criteria for  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{w}}$  to be a tiling.

**7.1. Tiling properties.** We start this section by giving a general criterion for the collection  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{v}}$  to be a multiple tiling.

**Lemma 7.1.** *Assume that the sequence  $\sigma$  of unimodular substitutions has Property PRICE with recurrent left eigenvector  $\mathbf{v}$ . Then the collection  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{v}}$  forms a multiple tiling of  $\mathbf{v}^\perp$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $(n_k)$  be the strictly increasing sequence associated with  $\sigma$  according to Definition 5.8, let  $m$  be the covering degree of  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{v}}$ , which is positive and finite by Proposition 6.2, and let  $X$  be the set of points lying in at least  $m + 1$  tiles of  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{v}}$ . We have to show that  $X$  has zero measure.

By Lemma 6.6, each  $(\mathbf{v}^{(n_k)})^\perp$  with sufficiently large  $k$  contains points lying in exactly  $m$  tiles of  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(n_k)}$ . Moreover, by Lemma 6.5, there exists a constant  $R > 0$  such that each ball of radius  $R$  in  $\Gamma(\mathbf{v}^{(n_k)})$  contains  $\mathbf{y}_k$  as in the proof of Lemma 6.6. Since  $\|\mathbf{x} - \pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}}^{(n_k)} \mathbf{x}\|$ , with  $[\mathbf{x}, i] \in \Gamma(\mathbf{v}^{(n_k)})$ , is bounded, we obtain that there exists  $R' > 0$  such that each ball of radius  $R'$  in  $(\mathbf{v}^{(n_k)})^\perp$  contains a point lying in exactly  $m$  tiles of  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(n_k)}$ , for all sufficiently large  $k$ .

On the other hand, by Lemma 6.1, each point in  $(M_{[0, n_k]})^{-1} X \subset (\mathbf{v}^{(n_k)})^\perp$  is covered at least  $m + 1$  times by elements of  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(n_k)}$ . Assume that  $X$  has positive measure. Then, as the boundaries of  $\mathcal{R}(i)$  and thus of  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}(i)$  have zero measure by Proposition 6.7, there are points in  $X$  that are not contained in the boundary of any element of  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{v}}$ . Thus  $X$  contains a ball of positive diameter, and, by Proposition 4.3,  $(M_{[0, n_k]})^{-1} X$  contains a ball of radius  $R'$  for all sufficiently large  $k$ . This contradicts the fact that each ball of radius  $R'$  in  $(\mathbf{v}^{(n_k)})^\perp$  contains a point that is covered at most  $m$  times. Therefore,  $X$  has zero measure, i.e.,  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{v}}$  forms a multiple tiling with covering degree  $m$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 7.2.** *Assume that the sequence  $\sigma$  of unimodular substitutions has Property PRICE with recurrent left eigenvector  $\mathbf{v}$ . Then, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(n)}$  is a multiple tiling of  $(\mathbf{v}^{(n)})^\perp$ , with covering degree equal to that of  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{v}}$ .*

*Proof.* If  $(\sigma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  has Property PRICE w.r.t. the sequences  $(n_k)$  and  $(\ell_k)$  and the vector  $\mathbf{v}$ , then there is  $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $(\sigma_{m+n})_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$  has Property PRICE w.r.t. the sequences  $(n_{k+k_0})$  and  $(\ell_{k+k_0-n})$  and the vector  $\mathbf{v}^{(n)}$  by Lemma 5.10, thus  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(n)}$  is a multiple tiling of  $(\mathbf{v}^{(n)})^\perp$  by Lemma 7.1. By Lemma 6.6, the covering degree of  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(n)}$  is equal to that of  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{v}}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 7.3.** *Assume that the sequence  $\sigma$  of unimodular substitutions has Property PRICE. Then the unions in the set equations (5.3) of Proposition 5.6 are disjoint in measure.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathbf{v}$  be a recurrent left eigenvector as in Definition 5.8, let  $m$  be the covering degree of the multiple tilings  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(n)}$ , according to Lemma 7.2, and  $k < \ell$ . Then the set of points in  $(\mathbf{v}^{(\ell)})^\perp$  lying in at least  $m + 1$  tiles of  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(\ell)}$  has zero measure and each point in  $(\mathbf{v}^{(k)})^\perp$  lies in at least  $m$  tiles of  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(k)}$ . Therefore, Lemma 6.1 implies that the intersection of  $\pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}}^{(\ell)} \mathbf{y} + \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(\ell)}(j)$  and  $\pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}}^{(\ell)} \mathbf{y}' + \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(\ell)}(j')$  has zero measure for distinct  $[\mathbf{y}, j], [\mathbf{y}', j'] \in E_1^*(\sigma_{[k, \ell]})[\mathbf{x}, i]$ , with  $[\mathbf{x}, i] \in \Gamma(\mathbf{v}^{(k)})$ . By translation, this also holds for all  $[\mathbf{x}, i] \in \mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\langle \mathbf{v}^{(k)}, \mathbf{e}_i \rangle > 0$ . Projecting by  $\pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}}^{(\ell)}$ , we obtain that  $\pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}}^{(\ell)} \mathbf{y} + \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{w}}^{(\ell)}(j)$  and  $\pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}}^{(\ell)} \mathbf{y}' + \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{w}}^{(\ell)}(j')$  are disjoint in measure for all  $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^d \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ .

It remains to consider the case that  $\langle \mathbf{v}^{(k)}, \mathbf{e}_i \rangle = 0$ . By primitivity of  $\sigma$ , there is  $h \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\mathbf{v}^{(h)} \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$ . For sufficiently large  $\kappa$ , we have thus  $\mathbf{v}^{(n_\kappa + k)} \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$  and the previous paragraph implies that the intersection of  $\pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}}^{(n_\kappa + \ell)} \mathbf{y} + \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(n_\kappa + \ell)}(j)$  and  $\pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}}^{(n_\kappa + \ell)} \mathbf{y}' + \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(n_\kappa + \ell)}(j')$  has zero measure for distinct  $[\mathbf{y}, j], [\mathbf{y}', j'] \in E_1^*(\sigma_{[k, \ell]})[\mathbf{0}, i]$ . As  $\lim_{\kappa \rightarrow \infty} \pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}}^{(\ell)} \pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}}^{(n_\kappa + \ell)} \mathbf{y} = \pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}}^{(\ell)} \mathbf{y}$  by Lemma 5.11 and  $\lim_{\kappa \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{\mathbf{v}^{(\ell)}}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(\ell)}(j) \setminus \pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}}^{(\ell)} \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(n_\kappa + \ell)}(j)) = 0$  by Lemma 6.8, we obtain that the intersection of  $\pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}}^{(\ell)} \mathbf{y} + \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(\ell)}(j)$  and  $\pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}}^{(\ell)} \mathbf{y}' + \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(\ell)}(j')$  also has zero measure.  $\square$

**Lemma 7.4.** *Assume that the sequence  $\sigma = (\sigma_n)$  of unimodular substitutions has Property PRICE with recurrent left eigenvector  $\mathbf{v}$ . Let  $m$  be the covering degree of the multiple tiling  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{v}}$ , and identify  $[\mathbf{0}, i]$  with a face of the unit hypercube orthogonal to  $\mathbf{e}_i$ . Then*

$$(7.1) \quad t(\lambda_{\mathbf{v}}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}(1)), \dots, \lambda_{\mathbf{v}}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}(d))) = m^t(\lambda_{\mathbf{v}}(\pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}}[\mathbf{0}, 1]), \dots, \lambda_{\mathbf{v}}(\pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}}[\mathbf{0}, d])) \in \mathbb{R}_{\mathbf{u}}.$$

*Proof.* As in the proof of [IR06, Lemma 2.3], we see that  ${}^t(\lambda_{\mathbf{v}}(\pi_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}[\mathbf{0}, 1]), \dots, \lambda_{\mathbf{v}}(\pi_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}[\mathbf{0}, d])) \in \mathbb{R}\mathbf{u}$ . Using the set equations (5.3) and Proposition 7.3, we obtain that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{\mathbf{v}}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}(1)) \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_{\mathbf{v}}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}(d)) \end{pmatrix} = M_{[0,n]} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{\mathbf{v}}(M_{[0,n]}\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(n)}(1)) \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_{\mathbf{v}}(M_{[0,n]}\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(n)}(d)) \end{pmatrix}$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then (2.1) implies that  ${}^t(\lambda_{\mathbf{v}}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}(1)), \dots, \lambda_{\mathbf{v}}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}(d))) \in \mathbb{R}\mathbf{u}$ , hence,

$$(\lambda_{\mathbf{v}}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}(1)), \dots, \lambda_{\mathbf{v}}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}(d))) = r (\lambda_{\mathbf{v}}(\pi_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}[\mathbf{0}, 1]), \dots, \lambda_{\mathbf{v}}(\pi_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}[\mathbf{0}, d]))$$

for some  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ . Now, as  $\{\pi_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{x} + [\mathbf{0}, i]) : [\mathbf{x}, i] \in \Gamma(\mathbf{v})\}$  forms a tiling of  $\mathbf{v}^\perp$ , and  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{v}}$  has covering degree  $m$ , we have  $r = m$ .  $\square$

The following result seems to be new even in the periodic case: Rauzy fractals induce tilings on any given hyperplane; in particular,  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{e}_i}(i)$  tiles  $\mathbf{e}_i^\perp$  periodically for each  $i \in \mathcal{A}$ .

**Proposition 7.5.** *Assume that the sequence  $\sigma$  of unimodular substitutions has Property PRICE. Then, for each  $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^d \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ , the collection  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{w}}$  forms a multiple tiling of  $\mathbf{w}^\perp$ , with covering degree not depending on  $\mathbf{w}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathbf{v}$  be a recurrent left eigenvector as in Definition 5.8 and  $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^d \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ . Consider the collections  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{w}}^{(n)} = \{\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{w}}^{(n)}(\mathbf{x}, i) : [\mathbf{x}, i] \in \Gamma(\mathbf{w})\}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , with

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{w}}^{(n)}(\mathbf{x}, i) = \bigcup_{[\mathbf{y}, j] \in E_1^*(\sigma_{[0,n]})[\mathbf{x}, i] \cap \Gamma(\mathbf{v}^{(n)})} M_{[0,n]}(\pi_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{w}}^{(n)}\mathbf{y} + \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{w}}^{(n)}(j)).$$

By Lemma 7.2, the collections  $\pi_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{w}}^{(n)}\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(n)} = \{\pi_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{w}}^{(n)}\mathbf{y} + \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{w}}^{(n)}(j) : [\mathbf{y}, j] \in \Gamma(\mathbf{v}^{(n)})\}$  are multiple tilings with covering degree  $m$  not depending on  $n$ . Therefore, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  by Lemma 5.1 (iii), almost all points in  $\mathbf{w}^\perp$  lie in at most  $m$  sets of  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{w}}^{(n)}$ .

Next we show that  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{w}}^{(n)}(\mathbf{x}, i)$  tends to  $\pi_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{w}}\mathbf{x} + \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{w}}(i)$  in measure. For any  $[\mathbf{y}, j] \in E_1^*(\sigma_{[0,n]})[\mathbf{x}, i]$ , we have  $p, s \in \mathcal{A}^*$  such that  $\mathbf{y} = (M_{[0,n]})^{-1}(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{l}(p))$ ,  $\sigma_{[0,n]}(j) = pis$ . Since

$$\langle \mathbf{v}^{(n)}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{l}(p) \rangle = \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{l}(is) \rangle + \langle \mathbf{v}^{(n)}, \mathbf{e}_j \rangle$$

and  $[\mathbf{y}, j] \in \Gamma(\mathbf{v}^{(n)})$  if and only if  $0 \leq \langle \mathbf{v}^{(n)}, \mathbf{y} \rangle < \langle \mathbf{v}^{(n)}, \mathbf{e}_j \rangle$ , we have  $[\mathbf{y}, j] \notin \Gamma(\mathbf{v}^{(n)})$  if and only if

$$\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{l}(p) \rangle < -\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{x} \rangle \quad \text{or} \quad \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{l}(is) \rangle \leq \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{x} \rangle.$$

As  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^d \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$  and each letter in  $\mathcal{A}$  occurs in  $\sigma_{[0,n]}(j)$  with bounded gaps (by primitivity of  $\sigma$ ), there is only a bounded number of faces  $[\mathbf{y}, j] \in E_1^*(\sigma_{[0,n]})[\mathbf{x}, i] \setminus \Gamma(\mathbf{v}^{(n)})$  for each  $n$  (with the bound depending on  $\mathbf{x}$ ). By (5.3) and Lemma 5.5, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{\mathbf{w}}((\pi_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{w}}\mathbf{x} + \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{w}}(i)) \setminus \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{w}}^{(n)}(\mathbf{x}, i)) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{\mathbf{w}}\left(\bigcup_{[\mathbf{y}, j] \in E_1^*(\sigma_{[0,n]})[\mathbf{x}, i] \setminus \Gamma(\mathbf{v}^{(n)})} M_{[0,n]}(\pi_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{w}}^{(n)}\mathbf{y} + \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{w}}^{(n)}(j))\right) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $[\mathbf{x}, i] \in \mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathcal{A}$ . Therefore, almost all points in  $\mathbf{w}^\perp$  lie in at most  $m$  sets of  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{w}}$ .

Projecting the sets in (7.1) to  $\mathbf{w}^\perp$ , we obtain that

$$(\lambda_{\mathbf{w}}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{w}}(1)), \dots, \lambda_{\mathbf{w}}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{w}}(d))) = m (\lambda_{\mathbf{w}}(\pi_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{w}}([\mathbf{0}, 1])), \dots, \lambda_{\mathbf{w}}(\pi_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{w}}([\mathbf{0}, d]))) .$$

As almost all points in  $\mathbf{w}^\perp$  lie in at most  $m$  different sets  $\pi_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{w}}\mathbf{x} + \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{w}}(i)$ , this implies that  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{w}}$  forms a multiple tiling of  $\mathbf{w}^\perp$  with covering degree  $m$ .  $\square$

The following proposition generalizes a result of [IR06].

**Proposition 7.6.** *Let  $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^d \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ . Then  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbf{w}}$  forms a multiple tiling of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with covering degree  $m$  if and only if  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{w}}$  forms a multiple tiling of  $\mathbf{w}^\perp$  with covering degree  $m$ .*



*Proof.* For  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ , we have

$$(-\mathbf{x} + \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbf{w}}(i)) \cap \mathbf{w}^\perp = \begin{cases} -(\pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}} \mathbf{x} + \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{w}}(i)) & \text{if } [\mathbf{x}, i] \in \Gamma(\mathbf{w}), \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

since  $\langle \mathbf{w}, x(\mathbf{e}_i - \pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}} \mathbf{e}_i) \rangle = x \langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{e}_i \rangle$  and  $\pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}}(\mathbf{e}_i - \pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}} \mathbf{e}_i) = \mathbf{0}$ . This implies that for  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$  we have

$$(-\mathbf{x} + \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbf{w}}(i)) \cap (\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{w}^\perp) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{y} - (\pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}}(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) + \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{w}}(i)) & \text{if } [\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}, i] \in \Gamma(\mathbf{w}), \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

i.e., the intersection of  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbf{w}}$  with  $\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{w}^\perp$  is a translation of  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{w}}$ . Moreover, we have

$$(7.2) \quad (-\mathbf{x} + \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbf{w}}(i)) \cap (\mathbf{y} + z\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{w}^\perp) = (-\mathbf{x} + \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbf{w}}(i)) \cap (\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{w}^\perp) + z\mathbf{u}$$

for all  $0 \leq z < \langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{e}_i - \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \rangle$ . This proves the statement of the proposition when  $\{\langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{y} \rangle : \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$  is dense in  $\mathbb{R}$ , i.e., when  $\mathbf{w}$  is not a multiple of a rational vector. If  $\mathbf{w}$  is a multiple of a rational vector, then  $\{\langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{y} \rangle : \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{Z}^d\} = c \langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u} \rangle \mathbb{Z}$  for some  $c > 0$ . Now, (7.2) holds for all  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ ,  $0 \leq z < c$ , hence the statement of the proposition holds in this case as well.  $\square$

**7.2. Coincidences.** In this subsection, we show that strong coincidence implies non-overlapping of the pieces  $\mathcal{R}(i)$ . Moreover, we prove that geometric coincidence is equivalent to tiling. We also give variants of the geometric coincidence condition that can be checked algorithmically in certain cases.

**Proposition 7.7.** *Assume that the sequence  $\sigma$  of unimodular substitutions has Property PRICE and satisfies the strong coincidence condition. Then the subtiles  $\mathcal{R}(i)$ ,  $i \in \mathcal{A}$ , are pairwise disjoint in measure.*

*Proof.* Let the sequence  $(n_k)$  and the vector  $\mathbf{v}$  be as in Definition 5.8. By the definition of  $E_1^*$  strong coincidence can be reformulated by saying that there is  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$  such that, for each pair of distinct  $j_1, j_2 \in \mathcal{A}$ , there are  $i \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$  such that  $[\mathbf{y}, j_1], [\mathbf{y}, j_2] \in E_1^*(\sigma_{[0, \ell]})[\mathbf{0}, i]$ . Thus Proposition 7.3 yields that

$$(7.3) \quad \lambda_{\mathbf{v}(\ell)}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(\ell)}(j_1) \cap \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(\ell)}(j_2)) = \lambda_{\mathbf{v}(\ell)}((\pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}}^{(\ell)} \mathbf{y} + \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(\ell)}(j_1)) \cap (\pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}}^{(\ell)} \mathbf{y} + \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(\ell)}(j_2))) = 0.$$

We can replace  $\ell$  by any  $n \geq \ell$  since, for distinct  $j_1, j_2 \in \mathcal{A}$ , we have  $[\mathbf{0}, j_1] \in E_1^*(\sigma_{[0, n]})[\mathbf{0}, j'_1]$  and  $[\mathbf{0}, j_2] \in E_1^*(\sigma_{[0, n]})[\mathbf{0}, j'_2]$ , where  $j'_1$  and  $j'_2$  are the first letters of  $\sigma_{[0, n]}(j_1)$  and  $\sigma_{[0, n]}(j_2)$ , respectively, thus  $\lambda_{\mathbf{v}(n)}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(n)}(j_1) \cap \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(n)}(j_2)) = 0$  by Proposition 7.3 and (7.3). By Lemma 6.8, this implies that  $\lambda_{\mathbf{v}}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}(j_1) \cap \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}(j_2)) = 0$ .  $\square$

*Remark 7.8* (Negative strong coincidence). It is sometimes convenient (see Section 9) to use the following variant of the strong coincidence condition for suffixes: a sequence of substitutions  $\sigma = (\sigma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  satisfies the *negative strong coincidence condition* if there is  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$  such that, for each pair  $(j_1, j_2) \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$ , there are  $i \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $s_1, s_2 \in \mathcal{A}^*$  with  $\mathbf{l}(s_1) = \mathbf{l}(s_2)$  such that  $is_1$  is a suffix of  $\sigma_{[0, \ell]}(j_1)$  and  $is_2$  is a suffix of  $\sigma_{[0, \ell]}(j_2)$ , where  $v$  is a *suffix* of  $w \in \mathcal{A}^*$  if  $w \in \mathcal{A}^*v$ .

Assume that  $\sigma$  has Property PRICE. Then also negative strong coincidence allows to conclude that the sets  $\mathcal{R}(i)$ ,  $i \in \mathcal{A}$ , are pairwise disjoint in measure. Indeed, negative strong coincidence implies that  $[\mathbf{l}(j_1) - \mathbf{y}, j_1], [\mathbf{l}(j_2) - \mathbf{y}, j_2] \in E_1^*(\sigma_{[0, \ell]})[\mathbf{0}, i]$ , with  $\mathbf{y} = (M_{[0, \ell]})^{-1} \mathbf{l}(is_1)$ , thus

$$\lambda_{\mathbf{v}(\ell)}((\pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}}^{(\ell)} \mathbf{l}(j_1) + \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(\ell)}(j_1)) \cap (\pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}}^{(\ell)} \mathbf{l}(j_2) + \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(\ell)}(j_2))) = 0.$$

By the definition of  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(\ell)}$  and its subtiles, we have

$$\bigcup_{j \in \mathcal{A}} \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(\ell)}(j) = \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(\ell)} = \bigcup_{j \in \mathcal{A}} (\pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}}^{(\ell)} \mathbf{l}(j) + \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(\ell)}(j)).$$

From disjointness in the union on the right, we get that  $\lambda_{\mathbf{v}}^{(\ell)}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(\ell)}) = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{A}} \lambda_{\mathbf{v}}^{(\ell)}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(\ell)}(j))$ , hence, the union on the left is also disjoint in measure. The remainder of the proof is now exactly the same as in Proposition 7.7.

**Proposition 7.9.** *Assume that the sequence  $\sigma$  of unimodular substitutions has Property PRICE. Then the following assertions are equivalent.*

- (i) *The collection  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{w}}$  forms a tiling of  $\mathbf{w}^\perp$  for some  $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^d \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ .*
- (ii) *The collection  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{w}}$  forms a tiling of  $\mathbf{w}^\perp$  for all  $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^d \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ .*
- (iii) *The sequence  $\sigma$  satisfies the geometric coincidence condition, that is, for each  $R > 0$  there is  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that, for all  $n \geq \ell$ ,*

$$(7.4) \quad \{[\mathbf{y}, j] \in \Gamma({}^t(M_{[0,n]}) \mathbf{1}) : \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{z}_n\| \leq R\} \subset E_1^*(\sigma_{[0,n]})[\mathbf{0}, i_n]$$

for some  $i_n \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\mathbf{z}_n \in (M_{[0,n]})^{-1} \mathbf{1}^\perp$ .

- (iv) *There are  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $i \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , such that*

$$\{[\mathbf{y}, j] \in \Gamma({}^t(M_{[0,n]}) \mathbf{1}) : \|\pi_{(M_{[0,n]})^{-1} \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{1}}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{z})\| \leq C\} \subset E_1^*(\sigma_{[0,n]})[\mathbf{0}, i],$$

with  $C \in \mathbb{N}$  chosen in a way that  $\mathcal{L}_\sigma^{(n)}$  is  $C$ -balanced.

*Proof.* We show the implications (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (i).

(i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii). This is a special case of Proposition 7.5.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii). By the tiling property for  $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{1}$ ,  $\mathcal{R}(i)$  contains an exclusive open ball  $\mathcal{B}(i)$  for each  $i \in \mathcal{A}$ . For  $[\mathbf{y}, j] \in \Gamma({}^t(M_{[0,n]}) \mathbf{1})$ , we have thus  $[\mathbf{y}, j] \in E_1^*(\sigma_{[0,n]})[\mathbf{0}, i]$  if  $M_{[0,n]}(\pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{1}}^{(n)} \mathbf{y} + \mathcal{R}_1^{(n)}(j)) \cap \mathcal{B}(i) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $i \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{z}} \in \mathcal{B}(i)$ . By Proposition 4.3 and Lemma 5.5, we obtain that (7.4) holds for  $i_n = i$  and  $\mathbf{z}_n = (M_{[0,n]})^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{z}}$ , provided that  $n$  is sufficiently large.

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv). Let the sequences  $(\ell_k)$  and  $(n_k)$ , the positive matrix  $B$ , and  $C$  be as in Definition 5.8. Then there is a constant  $c > 0$  such that  $\|\mathbf{x}\| \leq c_1 \|\pi_{\tilde{\mathbf{u}}, \mathbf{1}} \mathbf{x}\| + c_2$  for all  $\tilde{\mathbf{u}} \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$ ,  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$  with  $0 \leq \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w} \rangle < \|\mathbf{w}\|$  for some  $\mathbf{w} \in {}^t B \mathbb{R}_+^d$ .

Let  $k$  be such that (7.4) holds for  $R = c_1 C + c_2$ ,  $n = n_k + \ell_k$  and some  $i_n \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\mathbf{z}_n \in (M_{[0,n]})^{-1} \mathbf{1}^\perp$ . Let  $\tilde{\mathbf{u}} = (M_{[0, n_k + \ell_k]})^{-1} \mathbf{u}$ ,  $\mathbf{w} = {}^t(M_{[0, n_k + \ell_k]}) \mathbf{1}$ , and consider  $[\mathbf{y}, j] \in \Gamma(\mathbf{w})$  with  $\|\pi_{\tilde{\mathbf{u}}, \mathbf{1}}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{z}_n)\| \leq C$ . Since  $\mathbf{w} \in {}^t B \mathbb{R}_+^d$ ,  $0 \leq \langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{w} \rangle < \|\mathbf{w}\|$ , and  $\langle \mathbf{z}_n, \mathbf{w} \rangle = 0$ , we have  $\|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{z}_n\| \leq c_1 C + c_2$ , thus (7.4) implies that  $[\mathbf{y}, j] \in E_1^*(\sigma_{[0,n]})[\mathbf{0}, i_n]$ . As  $\mathcal{L}_\sigma^{(n_k + \ell_k)}$  is  $C$ -balanced, we get (iv) with  $i = i_n$ ,  $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{z}_n$ .

(iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Let  $n, i, \mathbf{z}, C$  be as in (iv). By Lemmas 4.1 and 5.1 and Proposition 5.6, there is a neighborhood  $U$  of  $\pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{1}}^{(n)} \mathbf{z}$  such that  $M_{[0,n]} U$  lies in  $\mathcal{R}(i)$  and intersects no other tile of  $\mathcal{C}_1$ . By Proposition 7.5, this implies that  $\mathcal{C}_1$  is a tiling.  $\square$

**Proposition 7.10.** *Assume that the sequence  $\sigma$  of unimodular substitutions has Property PRICE.*

*The collection  $\mathcal{C}_1$  forms a tiling of  $\mathbf{1}^\perp$  if and only if  $\sigma$  satisfies the strong coincidence condition and for each  $R > 0$  there exists  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\bigcup_{i \in \mathcal{A}} E_1^*(\sigma_{[0,n]})[\mathbf{0}, i]$  contains a ball of radius  $R$  of  $\Gamma({}^t(M_{[0,n]}) \mathbf{1})$  for all  $n \geq \ell$ .*

*If  $\sigma$  satisfies the geometric finiteness property, then  $\mathbf{0}$  is an inner point of  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $\mathbf{0} \notin \pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{1}} \mathbf{x} + \mathcal{R}(i)$  for all  $[\mathbf{x}, i] \in \Gamma(\mathbf{1}^\perp)$  with  $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}$ .*

*Proof.* Assume first that  $\mathcal{C}_1$  forms a tiling. Then  $(\sigma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  satisfies the geometric coincidence condition by Proposition 7.9. Thus, for each  $R > 0$  and sufficiently large  $n$ ,  $E_1^*(\sigma_{[0,n]})[\mathbf{0}, i_n]$  contains a ball of radius  $R$  of  $\Gamma({}^t(M_{[0,n]}) \mathbf{1})$  for some  $i_n \in \mathcal{A}$ . By Lemma 6.5, there is  $R > 0$  such that, for  $k$  large enough, each ball of radius  $R$  in  $\Gamma({}^t(M_{[0, n_k]}) \mathbf{1})$  contains a translate of the patch  $\mathcal{U} = \{[\mathbf{0}, i] : i \in \mathcal{A}\}$ . Therefore, we have some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $i \in \mathcal{A}$ , and  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$  such that  $\mathbf{x} + \mathcal{U} \subset E_1^*(\sigma_{[0, n_k]})[\mathbf{0}, i]$ . This shows that the strong coincidence condition holds.

The proof of the converse direction runs along the same lines as the corresponding part of the proof of Proposition 7.9, that is, (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). We have to replace  $E_1^*(\sigma_{[0,n]})[\mathbf{0}, i_n]$  and  $E_1^*(\sigma_{[0,n]})[\mathbf{0}, i]$  by  $\bigcup_{i \in \mathcal{A}} E_1^*(\sigma_{[0,n]})[\mathbf{0}, i]$  and use Proposition 7.7.

If  $\sigma$  satisfies the geometric finiteness property, then we obtain as in Proposition 7.9 (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv) that  $\{[\mathbf{y}, j] \in \Gamma({}^t(M_{[0,n]}) \mathbf{1}) : \|\pi_{(M_{[0,n]})^{-1} \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{1}} \mathbf{y}\| \leq C\} \subset \bigcup_{i \in \mathcal{A}} E_1^*(\sigma_{[0,n]})[\mathbf{0}, i]$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , with  $C$  such that  $\mathcal{L}_\sigma^{(n)}$  is  $C$ -balanced, thus  $\mathbf{0} \notin \pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{1}} \mathbf{x} + \mathcal{R}(i)$  for all  $[\mathbf{x}, i] \in \Gamma(\mathbf{1})$  with  $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}$ . As  $\mathcal{C}_1$  is a covering of  $\mathbf{1}^\perp$  by Proposition 6.2, we get that  $\mathbf{0}$  is an inner point of  $\mathcal{R}$ .  $\square$

*Remark 7.11.* Proposition 7.10 remains true with an analogous proof if strong coincidence is replaced by negative strong coincidence in its statement. Also, Proposition 7.10 admits an effective version analogous to Proposition 7.9 (iv).

## 8. DYNAMICAL PROPERTIES OF $S$ -ADIC SHIFTS

We now use the results of the previous sections to investigate the dynamics of  $S$ -adic shifts. At the end of this section we will have collected all the necessary preparations to finish the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2.

**8.1. Minimality and unique ergodicity.** First we observe that [BD14, Theorem 5.2] implies the following result.

**Lemma 8.1.** *Let  $\sigma$  be a primitive sequence of substitutions. Then the  $S$ -adic shift  $(X_\sigma, \Sigma)$  is minimal. Thus each infinite word of  $(X_\sigma, \Sigma)$  is uniformly recurrent.*

To gain unique ergodicity we need slightly stronger assumptions.

**Lemma 8.2.** *Let  $\sigma = (\sigma_n)$  be a primitive, recurrent sequence of substitutions. Then the  $S$ -adic shift  $(X_\sigma, \Sigma)$  is uniquely ergodic.*

*Proof.* Primitivity and recurrence of  $\sigma$  imply that there are indices  $k_1 < \ell_1 \leq k_2 < \ell_2 \leq \dots$  and a positive matrix  $B$  such that  $B = M_{[k_1, \ell_1]} = M_{[k_2, \ell_2]} = \dots$ . From (2.1) we gain therefore that  $\bigcap_{n \geq k} M_{[k, n]} \mathbb{R}_+^d$  is one-dimensional for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and, hence, [BD14, Theorem 5.7] yields the result (the fact that  $\sigma$  is “everywhere growing” in the sense stated in that theorem is an immediate consequence of primitivity and recurrence).  $\square$

**8.2. Representation map.** In order to set up a representation map from  $X_\sigma$  to  $\mathcal{R}$ , we define refinements of the subtiles of  $\mathcal{R}$  by

$$\mathcal{R}(w) = \overline{\{\pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{1}} \mathbf{1}(p) : p \in \mathcal{A}^*, pw \text{ is a prefix of a limit word of } \sigma\}} \quad (w \in \mathcal{A}^*).$$

**Lemma 8.3.** *Let  $\sigma$  be a primitive, algebraically irreducible, and recurrent sequence of substitutions with balanced language  $\mathcal{L}_\sigma$ . Then  $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{R}(\zeta_0 \zeta_1 \dots \zeta_{n-1})$  is a single point in  $\mathcal{R}$  for each infinite word  $\zeta_0 \zeta_1 \dots \in X_\sigma$ . Therefore, the representation map*

$$\varphi : X_\sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{R}, \quad \zeta_0 \zeta_1 \dots \mapsto \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{R}(\zeta_0 \zeta_1 \dots \zeta_{n-1}),$$

*is well-defined, continuous and surjective.*

*Proof.* Let  $\zeta = \zeta_0 \zeta_1 \dots \in X_\sigma$  and let  $\omega$  be a limit word of  $\sigma$ . Then  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(\zeta_{[0,0]}) \supset \mathcal{R}(\zeta_{[0,1]}) \supset \dots$ , and  $\mathcal{R}(\zeta_{[0,n]}) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , where we use the abbreviation  $\zeta_{[k,\ell]} = \zeta_k \zeta_{k+1} \dots \zeta_{\ell-1}$ . As  $(X_\sigma, \Sigma)$  is minimal by Lemma 8.1, we have a sequence  $(n_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $\zeta_{[n_k, n_k+k]} = \omega_{[0,k]}$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $\mathcal{R}(\zeta_{[0, n_k+k]}) \subset \mathcal{R}(\zeta_{[n_k, n_k+k]}) - \pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{1}} \mathbf{1}(\zeta_{[0, n_k]})$ , it only remains to show that the diameter of  $\mathcal{R}(\zeta_{[n_k, n_k+k]}) = \mathcal{R}(\omega_{[0,k]})$  converges to zero. We even show that  $\bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{R}(\omega_{[0,k]}) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{S}_k = \{\pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{1}} \mathbf{1}(\omega_{[0,n]}) : 0 \leq n \leq k\}$ . Then we clearly have  $\mathcal{R}(\omega_{[0,k]}) + \mathcal{S}_k \subset \mathcal{R}$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . We also have  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{S}_k = \mathcal{R}$  (in Hausdorff metric) because, for each prefix  $\tilde{p}$  of a limit word  $\tilde{\omega}$ ,  $\pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{1}} \mathbf{1}(\tilde{p})$  can be approximated arbitrarily well by  $\pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{1}} \mathbf{1}(p)$  with a prefix  $p$  of  $\omega$ , by primitivity and Proposition 4.3. This implies that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{R}(\omega_{[0,k]}) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ , which proves that  $\varphi$  is well-defined.

Since the sequence  $(\mathcal{R}(\zeta_{[0,n]}))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is nested and converges to a single point,  $\varphi$  is continuous. The surjectivity follows from a Cantor diagonal argument.  $\square$

**8.3. Domain exchange.** Suppose that the strong coincidence condition<sup>2</sup> holds. Then, by Proposition 7.7, the *domain exchange*

$$(8.1) \quad E : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}, \quad \mathbf{x} \mapsto \mathbf{x} + \pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{1}} \mathbf{e}_i \quad \text{if } \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{R}(i) \setminus \bigcup_{j \neq i} \mathcal{R}(j),$$

is well-defined almost everywhere on  $\mathcal{R}$ . This map induces a dynamical system  $(\mathcal{R}, E, \lambda_{\mathbf{1}})$ .

<sup>2</sup>All the results of this subsection remain true if strong coincidence is replaced by negative strong coincidence.

**Proposition 8.4.** *If the sequence  $\sigma = (\sigma_n)$  of unimodular substitutions has Property PRICE and satisfies the strong coincidence condition, then the following results hold.*

- (i) *The domain exchange map  $E$  is  $\lambda_1$ -almost everywhere bijective.*
- (ii) *Each collection  $\mathcal{K}_n = \{\mathcal{R}(w) : w \in \mathcal{L}_\sigma \cap \mathcal{A}^n\}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , is a measure-theoretic partition of  $\mathcal{R}$ .*
- (iii) *The representation map  $\varphi$  is  $\mu$ -almost everywhere bijective, where  $\mu$  is the unique  $\Sigma$ -invariant probability measure on  $(X_\sigma, \Sigma)$ .*
- (iv) *The dynamical system  $(X_\sigma, \Sigma, \mu)$  is measurably conjugate to the domain exchange  $(\mathcal{R}, E, \lambda_1)$ . More precisely, the following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_\sigma & \xrightarrow{\Sigma} & X_\sigma \\ \downarrow \varphi & & \downarrow \varphi \\ \mathcal{R} & \xrightarrow{E} & \mathcal{R} \end{array}$$

*Proof.* All the following statements are to be understood up to measure zero. Since  $\sigma$  satisfies the strong coincidence condition, Proposition 7.7 implies that the map  $E$  is a well-defined isometry on  $\mathcal{R}(i)$ , with

$$E(\mathcal{R}(i)) = \overline{\{\pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{1}} \mathbf{1}(pi) : p \in \mathcal{A}^*, pi \text{ is a prefix of a limit word of } \sigma\}} \quad (i \in \mathcal{A}).$$

Therefore, we have  $\bigcup_{i \in \mathcal{A}} E(\mathcal{R}(i)) = \mathcal{R}$ . Thus  $E$  is a surjective piecewise isometry, hence, it is also injective, which proves Assertion (i). As

$$(8.2) \quad \mathcal{R}(w_0 w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}) = \bigcap_{\ell=0}^{n-1} E^{-\ell} \mathcal{R}(w_\ell),$$

Assertion (ii) is again a consequence of Proposition 7.7 together with the injectivity of  $E$ . Since

$$(8.3) \quad E \circ \varphi = \varphi \circ \Sigma$$

follows easily by direct calculation, the measure  $\lambda_1 \circ \varphi$  is a shift invariant probability measure on  $X_\sigma$ . Thus, by unique ergodicity of  $(X_\sigma, \Sigma, \mu)$ , we have  $\mu = \lambda_1 \circ \varphi$ . Now, Assertion (ii) implies that  $\varphi(\mathbf{x}) \neq \varphi(\mathbf{y})$  for all distinct  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}$  satisfying  $\varphi(\mathbf{x}), \varphi(\mathbf{y}) \in \mathcal{R} \setminus \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}, K \in \mathcal{K}_n} \partial(K)$ . As, by (8.2) and Proposition 6.7,  $\lambda_1(\partial K) = \mu(\varphi^{-1}(\partial K)) = 0$  for all  $K \in \mathcal{K}_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the map  $\varphi$  is a.e. injective, which, together with Lemma 8.3, proves Assertion (iii). Finally, using (8.3), Assertion (iv) follows immediately from Assertion (iii).  $\square$

**8.4. Group translations.** Fix some  $j \in \mathcal{A}$ . If  $\mathcal{C}_1$  forms a tiling of  $\mathbf{1}^\perp$ , then  $\mathcal{R}$  is a fundamental domain of the lattice  $\Lambda = \mathbf{1}^\perp \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$  (which is spanned by  $\mathbf{e}_j - \mathbf{e}_i$ ,  $i \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \{j\}$ ). Since  $\pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{1}} \mathbf{e}_i \equiv \pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{1}} \mathbf{e}_j \pmod{\Lambda}$  holds for each  $i \in \mathcal{A}$ , the canonical projection of  $E$  onto the torus  $\mathbf{1}^\perp / \Lambda \simeq \mathbb{T}^{d-1}$  is equal to the translation  $\mathbf{x} \mapsto \mathbf{x} + \pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{1}} \mathbf{e}_j$ . In general, even if the strong coincidence condition is not satisfied, the following proposition holds.

**Proposition 8.5.** *Let  $\sigma$  be a primitive, algebraically irreducible, and recurrent sequence of substitutions with balanced language  $\mathcal{L}_\sigma$ . Fix  $j \in \mathcal{A}$ . If  $\mathcal{C}_1$  forms a multiple tiling of  $\mathbf{1}^\perp$ , then the translation  $(\mathbf{1}^\perp / \Lambda, +\pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{1}} \mathbf{e}_j, \overline{\lambda_1})$ , where  $\overline{\lambda_1}$  denotes the Haar measure on the torus  $\mathbf{1}^\perp / \Lambda$ , is a topological factor of the dynamical system  $(X_\sigma, \Sigma, \mu)$ . If furthermore  $\mathcal{C}_1$  forms a tiling of  $\mathbf{1}^\perp$ , then  $(X_\sigma, \Sigma, \mu)$  is measurably conjugate to the translation  $(\mathbf{1}^\perp / \Lambda, +\pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{1}} \mathbf{e}_j, \overline{\lambda_1})$ . More precisely, the following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_\sigma & \xrightarrow{\Sigma} & X_\sigma \\ \downarrow \overline{\varphi} & & \downarrow \overline{\varphi} \\ \mathbf{1}^\perp / \Lambda & \xrightarrow{+\pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{1}} \mathbf{e}_j} & \mathbf{1}^\perp / \Lambda \end{array}$$

Here,  $\overline{\varphi}$  is the canonical projection of the representation mapping  $\varphi$  onto  $\mathbf{1}^\perp / \Lambda$ .

*Proof.* If  $\zeta = \zeta_0 \zeta_1 \cdots \in X_\sigma$ , then  $\varphi \circ \Sigma(\zeta) = \varphi(\zeta) + \pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{1}} \mathbf{e}_{\zeta_0}$ . Applying the canonical projection onto  $\mathbf{1}^\perp/\Lambda$ , this identity becomes  $\bar{\varphi} \circ \Sigma(\zeta) = \bar{\varphi}(\zeta) + \pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{1}} \mathbf{e}_j$ . The result now follows by noting that  $\bar{\varphi}$  is  $m$  to 1 onto, where  $m$  is the covering degree of  $\mathcal{C}_1$ , and, hence, a bijection if  $\mathcal{C}_1$  forms a tiling.  $\square$

**8.5. Proof of Theorem 1.** We are now in a position to finish the proof of Theorem 1 by collecting the results proved so far. Throughout the proof, observe that in view of Lemma 5.9 the conditions of Theorem 1 imply that  $\sigma$  has Property PRICE.

Concerning (i), we see that  $(X_\sigma, \Sigma)$  is minimal by Lemma 8.1 and uniquely ergodic by Lemma 8.2. The unique  $\Sigma$ -invariant measure on  $X_\sigma$  is denoted by  $\mu$ . As for (ii), first observe that  $\mathcal{R}(i)$  is closed by definition ( $i \in \mathcal{A}$ ). Thus compactness of  $\mathcal{R}(i)$  follows from Lemma 4.1. The fact that  $\lambda_1(\partial \mathcal{R}(i)) = 0$  is contained in Proposition 6.7. The multiple tiling property of the collection  $\mathcal{C}_1$  in (iii) follows from Proposition 7.5 by taking  $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{1}$ . The finite-to-one covering property comes from Proposition 8.5, and it implies that  $(X_\sigma, \Sigma, \mu)$  is not weakly mixing; see also [FKS73, Theorem 2.4]. To prove (iv), first observe that strong coincidence implies that the sets  $\mathcal{R}(i)$ ,  $i \in \mathcal{A}$ , are measurably disjoint by Proposition 7.7. Thus Proposition 8.4 (iv) implies that  $(X_\sigma, \Sigma, \mu)$  is measurably conjugate to an exchange of domains on  $\mathcal{R}$ . To prove (v), we combine Propositions 7.9 and 7.5. This yields that the geometric coincidence condition is equivalent to the fact that  $\mathcal{C}_1$  forms a tiling.

We now turn to the results that are valid under the assumption that  $\mathcal{C}_1$  forms a tiling. To prove (vi), we use Proposition 8.5, which implies that  $(X_\sigma, \Sigma, \mu)$  is measurably conjugate to a translation  $T$  on the torus  $\mathbb{T}^{d-1}$ . This implies that  $(X_\sigma, \Sigma, \mu)$  has purely discrete measure-theoretic spectrum by classical results. Assertion (vii) follows from the definition of a natural coding (see Section 2.7), as the translation  $T$  was defined in terms of an exchange of domains. Finally, due to [Ada03, Proposition 7], the  $C$ -balancedness of  $\mathcal{L}_\sigma$  implies that  $\mathcal{R}(i)$  is a bounded remainder set for each  $i \in \mathcal{A}$ , which proves (viii).

**8.6. Proof of Theorem 2.** Let  $S$  be a finite set of unimodular substitutions, and let  $(D, \Sigma, \nu)$  with  $D \subset S^{\mathbb{N}}$  be an ergodic sofic shift. We assume that this shift satisfies the Pisot condition in Section 2.6 and that there exists a cylinder of positive measure in  $D$  corresponding to a substitution with positive incidence matrix. For  $C > 0$ , let

$$D_C = \{\sigma \in D : \mathcal{L}_\sigma \text{ is } C\text{-balanced}\}.$$

**Lemma 8.6** ([BD14, Theorem 6.4]). *Let  $S$  be a finite set of unimodular substitutions, and let  $(D, \Sigma, \nu)$  with  $D \subset S^{\mathbb{N}}$  be an ergodic sofic shift. Assume that this shift satisfies the Pisot condition and that there exists a cylinder of positive measure in  $D$  corresponding to a substitution with positive incidence matrix. Then*

$$\lim_{C \rightarrow \infty} \nu(D_C) = 1.$$

**Lemma 8.7.** *Let  $(D, \Sigma, \nu)$  with  $D \subset S^{\mathbb{N}}$  be an ergodic sofic shift of unimodular substitutions that satisfies the Pisot condition and such that  $\nu$ -almost all sequences  $\sigma \in D$  are primitive. Then, for  $\nu$ -almost every sequence  $\sigma \in D$ , for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $M_{[k, \ell]}$  is a Pisot irreducible matrix for all sufficiently large  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and choose  $\eta$  with  $\theta_2 < \eta < 0$ . Then, for  $\nu$ -almost all sequences  $\sigma \in D$ , all but the largest singular values of  $M_{[k, \ell]}$  tend to zero for  $\ell \rightarrow \infty$  with order  $\mathcal{O}(e^{\ell\eta})$ . Thus the image of the unit sphere by  $M_{[k, \ell]}$  is an ellipsoid  $\mathcal{E}$  with largest semi-axis close to  $\mathbb{R}(M_{[0, k]})^{-1} \mathbf{u}$ , and length of all other semi-axes tending to zero with order  $\mathcal{O}(e^{\ell\eta})$ . Let  $\lambda$  be an eigenvalue of  $M_{[k, \ell]}$  with  $|\lambda| \geq 1$ , and let  $\mathbf{w}$  be an associated eigenvector (which depends on  $\ell$ ), with  $\|\mathbf{w}\| = 1$ . We have to show that in this case  $\lambda$  is equal to the Perron-Frobenius eigenvalue of  $M_{[k, \ell]}$  for  $\ell$  large enough (to make  $M_{[k, \ell]}$  a positive matrix).

If  $\lambda$  is real with  $|\lambda| \geq 1$ , then the image  $M_{[k, \ell]} \mathbf{w}$  can lie in  $\mathcal{E}$  only if its direction is close to that of  $(M_{[0, k]})^{-1} \mathbf{u}$ . Therefore, if  $\ell$  is sufficiently large, the coordinates of  $\mathbf{w}$  all have the same sign, i.e.,  $\lambda$  is the Perron-Frobenius eigenvalue of  $M_{[k, \ell]}$ . This shows that  $\lambda$  is the only real eigenvalue with  $|\lambda| \geq 1$ .

If  $\lambda$  is non-real with  $|\lambda| \geq 1$ , then  $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w}_1 + i\mathbf{w}_2$  for two non-zero real vectors  $\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2$ . Since  $\mathbf{w}$  is determined up to multiplication by a complex number, we may assume that  $\|\mathbf{w}_1\| = \|\mathbf{w}_2\| = 1$  with  $\mathbf{w}_1 \perp \mathbf{w}_2$ . Easy calculations now yield that  $\|M_{[k,\ell]} \mathbf{w}_1\| = \|M_{[k,\ell]} \mathbf{w}_2\| = |\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq 1$  with  $M_{[k,\ell]} \mathbf{w}_1 \perp M_{[k,\ell]} \mathbf{w}_2$ . This contradicts the fact that  $M_{[k,\ell]} \mathbf{w}_1, M_{[k,\ell]} \mathbf{w}_2 \in \mathcal{E}$  for large values of  $\ell$ . Thus such an eigenvalue cannot exist.

We then deduce the irreducibility of the characteristic polynomial of  $M_{[k,\ell]}$  by noticing that these integer matrices have no zero eigenvalue by unimodularity.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 2.* Our goal is to apply Theorem 1. By assumption, there exists a cylinder  $\mathcal{Z}(\tau_0, \dots, \tau_{\ell-1})$  with  $\nu(\mathcal{Z}(\tau_0, \dots, \tau_{\ell-1})) > 0$  and the incidence matrix of the substitution  $\tau_{[0,\ell]}$  is positive. This implies primitivity for  $\nu$ -almost all sequences, by ergodicity of the shift  $(D, \Sigma)$  together with the Poincaré Recurrence Theorem. Algebraic irreducibility for almost all sequences  $\sigma \in D$  is now a consequence of Lemma 8.7.

By Lemma 8.6, there exists  $C$  large enough such that one has  $\nu(\mathcal{Z}(\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_{\ell-1}) \cap \Sigma^{-\ell}(D_C)) > 0$  for all  $\sigma = (\sigma_\ell) \in D$  and all  $\ell \geq 0$ . Indeed, the sets  $\Sigma^\ell(\mathcal{Z}(\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_{\ell-1}))$  and  $\Sigma^\ell(\mathcal{Z}(\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_{\ell-1})) \cap D_C$  depend only on the vertex where the path given by  $\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_{\ell-1}$  arrives in the minimal graph of the sofic shift  $(D, \Sigma)$ , and we have by assumption  $\nu(\mathcal{Z}(\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_{\ell-1})) > 0$ . Again by the Poincaré Recurrence Theorem, for  $\nu$ -almost all sequences  $\sigma \in D$  and for all  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ , there is a positive integer  $n$  such that  $\Sigma^n(\sigma) \in \mathcal{Z}(\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_{\ell-1})$  and  $\Sigma^{n+\ell}(\sigma) \in D_C$ .  $\square$

## 9. $S$ -ADIC SHIFTS ASSOCIATED WITH CONTINUED FRACTION ALGORITHMS

**9.1. Arnoux-Rauzy words.** In this subsection, we prove our results on Arnoux-Rauzy words. To this matter we consider  $S$ -adic words with  $S = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3\}$ . Recall that the  $\alpha_i$  are the Arnoux-Rauzy substitutions defined in (3.1). We begin by proving that the conditions of Proposition 7.10 (with negative strong coincidence, see Remarks 7.8 and 7.11) hold.

**Lemma 9.1.** *Let  $\sigma \in S^{\mathbb{N}}$  be a directive sequence of Arnoux-Rauzy substitutions over three letters. Then  $\sigma$  satisfies the negative strong coincidence condition.*

*Proof.* Just observe that for each  $i \in \mathcal{A}$  the image  $\alpha_i(j)$  ends with the letter  $i$  for each  $j \in \mathcal{A}$ .  $\square$

We mention that (positive) strong coincidence for sequences of Arnoux-Rauzy substitutions is (essentially) proved in [BSW13, Proposition 4].

**Proposition 9.2.** *Let  $(\sigma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in S^{\mathbb{N}}$  with  $S = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3\}$  be a directive sequence of Arnoux-Rauzy substitutions such that, for each  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ , we have  $\sigma_n = \alpha_i$  for infinitely many values of  $n$ . Then the geometric finiteness property holds.*

*Proof.* Let  $(n_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  be an increasing sequence of integers such that  $\{\sigma_\ell : n_k \leq \ell < n_{k+1}\} = S$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . It is shown in the proof of [BJS12, Theorem 4.7] that the ‘‘combinatorial radius’’ of  $\bigcup_{i \in \mathcal{A}} E_1^*(\sigma_{[0, n_k]})[\mathbf{0}, i]$  is at least  $k$ , i.e.,  $\bigcup_{i \in \mathcal{A}} E_1^*(\sigma_{[0, n_k]})[\mathbf{0}, i]$  contains larger and larger balls in  $\Gamma({}^t(M_{[0, n]})\mathbf{1})$  around  $\mathbf{0}$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 4.* By [AD15, Theorem 1]<sup>3</sup>, the shift  $(S^{\mathbb{N}}, \Sigma, \nu)$  satisfies the Pisot condition. Furthermore, any product of substitutions in  $S$  that contains each of the three Arnoux-Rauzy substitutions has a positive incidence matrix. Therefore, in order to apply Theorem 2, it remains to prove that the collection  $\mathcal{C}_1$  forms a tiling. However, in view of Lemma 9.1 and Proposition 9.2, this follows from Proposition 7.10; see Remark 7.11. Now all assertions of Theorem 4 directly follow from Theorem 2.  $\square$

**Proposition 9.3** ([BCS13, Theorem 7 and its proof]). *Let  $\sigma = (\sigma_n) \in \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ . If each  $\alpha_i$  occurs infinitely often in  $\sigma$  and if we do not have  $\sigma_n = \sigma_{n+1} = \dots = \sigma_{n+h}$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $\mathcal{L}_\sigma^{(n)}$  is  $(2h+1)$ -balanced for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

<sup>3</sup>Let  $N_i$  be the incidence matrix of  $\alpha_i$ . In [AD15], the authors deal with products of the transposes  ${}^t N_i$ . However, as indicated in (2.2), the Lyapunov exponents do not change under transposition.

*Proof of Theorem 5.* Let  $\sigma$  be as in Theorem 5. As  $\alpha_i$  occurs infinitely often in  $\sigma$  for each  $i \in \mathcal{A}$ , [AI01, Lemma 13] implies that for each  $k$  and each sufficiently large  $\ell > k$  the matrix  $M_{[k,\ell]}$  has a characteristic polynomial that is the minimal polynomial of a cubic Pisot unit and, hence, irreducible. Thus  $\sigma$  is algebraically irreducible. The primitivity of  $\sigma$  follows from the same fact, as any product  $M_{[k,\ell]}$  containing the incidence matrix of each of the three Arnoux-Rauzy substitutions is positive. Since  $\sigma$  is recurrent by assumption, Proposition 9.3 implies that there is  $C > 0$  such that for each  $n$  there is  $\ell$  such that  $(\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_{\ell-1}) = (\sigma_n, \dots, \sigma_{n+\ell-1})$  and  $\mathcal{L}_\sigma^{(n+\ell)}$  is  $C$ -balanced. As in the proof of Theorem 4, in view of Lemma 9.1 and Proposition 9.2, it follows from Proposition 7.10 that  $\mathcal{C}_1$  induces a tiling. Thus all the assertions of Theorem 1 hold for  $\sigma$ , and the proof is finished.  $\square$

**Proposition 9.4.** *An Arnoux-Rauzy word is linearly recurrent if and only if it has bounded strong partial quotients, that is, each substitution of  $S$  occurs in its directive sequence with bounded gaps.*

*Proof.* It is easy to check that strong partial quotients have to be bounded for an Arnoux-Rauzy word  $\omega$  to be linearly recurrent; see also [RZ00].<sup>4</sup> The converse is a direct consequence of [Dur03, Lemma 3.1] by noticing that the largest difference between two consecutive occurrences of a word of length 2 in  $\omega^{(n)}$  is bounded (with respect to  $n$ ).  $\square$

*Proof of Corollary 6.* This is a direct consequence of Proposition 9.4 together with Theorem 5.  $\square$

**9.2. Brun words.** In this subsection, we prove our results on  $S$ -adic words defined in terms of the Brun substitutions  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3$  defined in (3.4). Consider  $S$ -adic words, where  $S = \{\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3\}$ . Again we begin by proving that the conditions of Proposition 7.10 hold for negative strong coincidences (see Remarks 7.8 and 7.11).

**Lemma 9.5.** *Let  $S = \{\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3\}$ . If  $\sigma \in S^{\mathbb{N}}$  contains  $\beta_3$ , then it has negative strong coincidences.*

*Proof.* This follows from the fact that  $\beta_3\beta_i(j)$  ends with the letter 3 for all  $i, j \in \mathcal{A}$ .  $\square$

Next we use a result from [BBJS15], where a slightly different set of Brun substitutions is considered, namely

$$\sigma_1^{\text{Br}} : \begin{cases} 1 \mapsto 1 \\ 2 \mapsto 2 \\ 3 \mapsto 32 \end{cases} \quad \sigma_2^{\text{Br}} : \begin{cases} 1 \mapsto 1 \\ 2 \mapsto 3 \\ 3 \mapsto 23 \end{cases} \quad \sigma_3^{\text{Br}} : \begin{cases} 1 \mapsto 2 \\ 2 \mapsto 3 \\ 3 \mapsto 13 \end{cases}$$

Note that the incidence matrix of  $\sigma_i^{\text{Br}}$  is the transpose of that of  $\beta_i$ . We have the following relation between products of substitutions from the two sets.

**Lemma 9.6.** *Let  $i_0, i_1, \dots, i_n \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then*

$$\beta_{i_0}\beta_{i_1}\cdots\beta_{i_n} = \begin{cases} \sigma_2^{\text{Br}}\sigma_{i_0}^{\text{Br}}\sigma_{i_1}^{\text{Br}}\cdots\sigma_{i_{n-1}}^{\text{Br}}\pi_{(23)} & \text{if } i_n = 1, \\ \sigma_2^{\text{Br}}\sigma_{i_0}^{\text{Br}}\sigma_{i_1}^{\text{Br}}\cdots\sigma_{i_{n-1}}^{\text{Br}} & \text{if } i_n = 2, \\ \sigma_2^{\text{Br}}\sigma_{i_0}^{\text{Br}}\sigma_{i_1}^{\text{Br}}\cdots\sigma_{i_{n-1}}^{\text{Br}}\pi_{(12)} & \text{if } i_n = 3, \end{cases}$$

where  $\pi_{(ij)}$  denotes the cyclic permutation that exchanges the letters  $i$  and  $j$ .

*Proof.* We have  $\beta_1 = \sigma_2^{\text{Br}}\pi_{(23)}$ ,  $\beta_2 = \sigma_2^{\text{Br}}$ ,  $\beta_3 = \sigma_2^{\text{Br}}\pi_{(12)}$ , and  $\pi_{(23)}\sigma_2^{\text{Br}} = \sigma_1^{\text{Br}}$ ,  $\pi_{(12)}\sigma_2^{\text{Br}} = \sigma_3^{\text{Br}}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 9.7.** *Let  $(\sigma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in S^{\mathbb{N}}$  with  $S = \{\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3\}$  be a directive sequence of Brun substitutions with infinitely many occurrences of  $\beta_3$ . Then, for each  $R > 0$ ,  $\bigcup_{i \in \mathcal{A}} E_1^*(\sigma_{[0,n]})(\mathbf{0}, i)$  contains a ball of radius  $R$  of  $\Gamma^t(M_{[0,n]})\mathbf{1}$  for all sufficiently large  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

*Proof.* This follows by Lemma 9.6 from [BBJS15, Theorem 5.4 (1)] together with Lemma 9.5.  $\square$

<sup>4</sup>This characterization is already given in [RZ00, Corollary 3.9] but it relies on [Dur00] and it needs the extra argument of [Dur03, Lemma 3.1].

*Proof of Theorem 7.* By [AD15, Theorem 1]<sup>5</sup> (see also [FIKO96, Mee99, Sch98, BA09]), the shift  $(S^{\mathbb{N}}, \Sigma, \nu)$  satisfies the Pisot condition. Moreover, it is easy to see that the product  $\beta_3\beta_2$  has positive incidence matrix. Thus, in order to apply Theorem 2, we need to prove that the collection  $\mathcal{C}_1$  forms a tiling. Using Lemma 9.5 and Proposition 9.7, this follows for  $\nu$ -almost every  $\sigma \in S^{\mathbb{N}}$  from Proposition 7.10 (see Remark 7.11). Now, all assertions of Theorem 7 follow directly from Theorem 2.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 8.* In view of Proposition 8.5, Theorem 7 states that almost all  $\sigma \in S^{\mathbb{N}}$  (w.r.t. any ergodic shift invariant probability measure  $\nu$  that assigns positive measure to each cylinder) give rise to an  $S$ -adic shift  $(X_\sigma, \Sigma)$  that is measurably conjugate to the translation

$$\pi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{1}}(\mathbf{e}_3) = u_1(\mathbf{e}_3 - \mathbf{e}_1) + u_2(\mathbf{e}_3 - \mathbf{e}_2)$$

on the torus  $\mathbf{1}^\perp / (\mathbb{Z}(\mathbf{e}_3 - \mathbf{e}_1) + \mathbb{Z}(\mathbf{e}_3 - \mathbf{e}_2))$ . Here,  $(u_1, u_2, u_3)$  is the frequency vector of a word in  $X_\sigma$ . Of course, this translation is conjugate to the translation  $(u_1, u_2)$  on the standard torus  $\mathbb{T}^2$ . Note that the vector  $(x_1, x_2) \in \Delta_2$  corresponds to  $(u_1, u_2, u_3) = \left(\frac{x_1}{1+x_1+x_2}, \frac{x_2}{1+x_1+x_2}, \frac{1}{1+x_1+x_2}\right)$  in the projectivized version of Brun's algorithm.

Recall the definition of the conjugacy map  $\Phi$  in (3.5). According to [AN93, Théorème] (see also [Sch91, Section 3.1]), the invariant probability measure  $m$  of the map  $T_{\text{Brun}}$  defined in (3.2) has density  $h(x_1, x_2) = \frac{12}{\pi^2 x_1(1+x_2)}$  and is therefore equivalent to the Lebesgue measure. We now define the measure  $\nu = m\Phi^{-1}$  on  $S^{\mathbb{N}}$ . It is an ergodic shift invariant probability measure on  $S^{\mathbb{N}}$ . By (3.5), the mapping  $T_{\text{Brun}}$  is measurably conjugate to the shift  $(S^{\mathbb{N}}, \Sigma, \nu)$  via  $\Phi$ . Moreover,  $\nu(C)$  is positive for each cylinder  $C \subset S^{\mathbb{N}}$ , since each cylinder in  $\Delta_2$  has also positive Lebesgue measure and, hence, positive measure  $m$  (it has non-vanishing Jacobian, see e.g. [Sch00]).

Let now  $Y \subset \Delta_2$  be a set with the property that for each  $(x_1, x_2) \in Y$  the  $S$ -adic shift  $X_{\Phi(x_1, x_2)}$  is *not* measurably conjugate to the translation  $(u_1, u_2)$  on  $\mathbb{T}^2$ . Theorem 7 (together with Proposition 8.5) implies that  $\nu\Phi(Y) = m(Y) = 0$ . As  $m$  is equivalent to the Lebesgue measure, this proves the result.  $\square$

*Proof of Corollary 9.* We can prove similarly as in the proof of Theorem 8, by choosing  $j = 1$  and  $j = 2$ , respectively in Proposition 8.5 that, for almost all  $(x_1, x_2) \in \Delta_2$ , the  $S$ -adic shift  $(X_\sigma, \Sigma)$  with  $\sigma = \Phi(x_1, x_2)$  is measurably conjugate to the translation by  $\mathbf{t}$  on the torus  $\mathbb{T}^2$ , for each

$$\mathbf{t} \in \left\{ \left( \frac{x_1}{1+x_1+x_2}, \frac{x_2}{1+x_1+x_2} \right), \left( \frac{x_1}{1+x_1+x_2}, \frac{1}{1+x_1+x_2} \right), \left( \frac{x_2}{1+x_1+x_2}, \frac{1}{1+x_1+x_2} \right) \right\}.$$

It is easy to see that the set of all  $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^2$  satisfying (9.1) for some pair  $(x_1, x_2) \in \Delta_2$  is equal to  $\{\mathbf{t} = (t_1, t_2) : 0 \leq t_2 \leq 1, t_2 \leq t_1 \leq 1 - t_2\}$ . Since the translations  $(t_1, t_2)$ ,  $(t_2, t_1)$ ,  $(1 - t_1, 1 - t_2)$ , and  $(1 - t_2, 1 - t_1)$  on  $\mathbb{T}^2$  are pairwise (measurably) conjugate, this implies the result.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [ABB<sup>+</sup>15] S. Akiyama, M. Barge, V. Berthé, J.-Y. Lee, and A. Siegel, *On the Pisot substitution conjecture*, Mathematics of aperiodic order, Progress in mathematics, vol. 309, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2015, pp. 33–72.
- [ABI02] P. Arnoux, V. Berthé, and S. Ito, *Discrete planes,  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -actions, Jacobi-Perron algorithm and substitutions*, Ann. Inst. Fourier **52** (2002), no. 2, 305–349.
- [AD15] A. Avila and V. Delecroix, *Some monoids of pisot matrices*, arXiv:1506.03692, preprint, 2015.
- [Ada03] B. Adamczewski, *Balances for fixed points of primitive substitutions*, Theoret. Comput. Sci. **307** (2003), no. 1, 47–75.
- [Ada04] ———, *Symbolic discrepancy and self-similar dynamics*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **54** (2004), no. 7, 2201–2234 (2005).
- [AF01] P. Arnoux and A. M. Fisher, *The scenery flow for geometric structures on the torus: the linear setting*, Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B **22** (2001), no. 4, 427–470.
- [AF05] ———, *Anosov families, renormalization and non-stationary subshifts*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **25** (2005), no. 3, 661–709.
- [AHS14] A. Avila, P. Hubert, and A. Skripchenko, *Diffusion for chaotic plane sections of 3-periodic plane surfaces*, arXiv:1412.7913, preprint, 2014.

<sup>5</sup>Again, in [AD15] the authors deal with products of the transposes of the incidence matrices of the substitutions.



- [AI01] P. Arnoux and S. Ito, *Pisot substitutions and Rauzy fractals*, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin **8** (2001), no. 2, 181–207, Journées Montoises d’Informatique Théorique (Marne-la-Vallée, 2000).
- [AL11] S. Akiyama and J.-Y. Lee, *Algorithm for determining pure pointedness of self-affine tilings*, Adv. Math. **226** (2011), no. 4, 2855–2883.
- [AMS14] P. Arnoux, M. Mizutani, and T. Sellami, *Random product of substitutions with the same incidence matrix*, Theoret. Comput. Sci. **543** (2014), 68–78.
- [AN93] P. Arnoux and A. Nogueira, *Mesures de Gauss pour des algorithmes de fractions continues multidimensionnelles*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **26** (1993), no. 6, 645–664.
- [AR91] P. Arnoux and G. Rauzy, *Représentation géométrique de suites de complexité  $2n + 1$* , Bull. Soc. Math. France **119** (1991), no. 2, 199–215.
- [BA09] A. Broise-Alamichel, *On the characteristic exponents of the Jacobi-Perron algorithm*, Dynamical systems and Diophantine approximation, Sémin. Congr., vol. 19, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 2009, pp. 151–171.
- [Bar16] M. Barge, *Pure discrete spectrum for a class of one-dimensional substitution tiling systems*, Disc. and Cont. Dynam. Sys. - A **36** (2016), 1159–1173.
- [BBJS13] V. Berthé, J. Bourdon, T. Jolivet, and A. Siegel, *Generating discrete planes with substitutions*, WORDS, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 8079, Springer, 2013, pp. 119–131.
- [BBJS15] ———, *A combinatorial approach to products of Pisot substitutions*, Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems (2015), 1–38.
- [BCS13] V. Berthé, J. Cassaigne, and W. Steiner, *Balance properties of Arnoux-Rauzy words*, Internat. J. Algebra Comput. **23** (2013), no. 4, 689–703.
- [BD14] V. Berthé and V. Delecroix, *Beyond substitutive dynamical systems:  $S$ -adic expansions*, RIMS Lecture note ‘Kôkyûroku Bessatsu’ **B46** (2014), 81–123.
- [Ber07] J. Berstel, *Sturmian and episturmian words (a survey of some recent results)*, Algebraic informatics, Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci., vol. 4728, Springer, Berlin, 2007, pp. 23–47.
- [Ber11] V. Berthé, *Multidimensional Euclidean algorithms, numeration and substitutions*, Integers **11B** (2011), A2.
- [BFZ05] V. Berthé, S. Ferenczi, and L. Q. Zamboni, *Interactions between dynamics, arithmetics and combinatorics: the good, the bad, and the ugly*, Algebraic and topological dynamics, Contemp. Math., vol. 385, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005, pp. 333–364.
- [Bir57] G. Birkhoff, *Extensions of Jentzsch’s theorem*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **85** (1957), 219–227.
- [BJS12] V. Berthé, T. Jolivet, and A. Siegel, *Substitutive Arnoux-Rauzy sequences have pure discrete spectrum*, Unif. Distrib. Theory **7** (2012), no. 1, 173–197.
- [BK06] M. Barge and J. Kwapisz, *Geometric theory of unimodular Pisot substitutions*, Amer. J. Math. **128** (2006), no. 5, 1219–1282.
- [Bre81] A. J. Brentjes, *Multidimensional continued fraction algorithms*, Mathematical Centre Tracts, vol. 145, Mathematisch Centrum, Amsterdam, 1981.
- [Bru58] V. Brun, *Algorithmes euclidiens pour trois et quatre nombres*, Treizième congrès des mathématiciens scandinaves, tenu à Helsinki 18-23 août 1957, Mercators Tryckeri, Helsinki, 1958, pp. 45–64.
- [BST10] V. Berthé, A. Siegel, and J. M. Thuswaldner, *Substitutions, Rauzy fractals, and tilings*, Combinatorics, Automata and Number Theory, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 135, Cambridge University Press, 2010.
- [BŠW13] M. Barge, S. Štimac, and R. F. Williams, *Pure discrete spectrum in substitution tiling spaces*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. **33** (2013), no. 2, 579–597.
- [BT02] V. Berthé and R. Tijdeman, *Balance properties of multi-dimensional words*, Theoret. Comput. Sci. **273** (2002), no. 1-2, 197–224.
- [CFM08] J. Cassaigne, S. Ferenczi, and A. Messaoudi, *Weak mixing and eigenvalues for Arnoux-Rauzy sequences*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **58** (2008), no. 6, 1983–2005.
- [CFZ00] J. Cassaigne, S. Ferenczi, and L. Q. Zamboni, *Imbalances in Arnoux-Rauzy sequences*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **50** (2000), no. 4, 1265–1276.
- [Che09] N. Chevallier, *Coding of a translation of the two-dimensional torus*, Monatsh. Math. **157** (2009), no. 2, 101–130.
- [CS03] A. Clark and L. Sadun, *When size matters: subshifts and their related tiling spaces*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **23** (2003), no. 4, 1043–1057.
- [Dek78] F. M. Dekking, *The spectrum of dynamical systems arising from substitutions of constant length*, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete **41** (1977/78), no. 3, 221–239.
- [DHS13] V. Delecroix, T. Hejda, and W. Steiner, *Balancedness of Arnoux-Rauzy and Brun words*, WORDS, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 8079, Springer, 2013, pp. 119–131.
- [DLR13] F. Durand, J. Leroy, and G. Richomme, *Do the properties of an  $S$ -adic representation determine factor complexity?*, J. Integer Seq. **16** (2013), no. 2, Article 13.2.6, 30 pp.
- [Dur00] F. Durand, *Linearly recurrent subshifts have a finite number of non-periodic subshift factors*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **20** (2000), 1061–1078.

- [Dur03] ———, *Corrigendum and addendum to: “Linearly recurrent subshifts have a finite number of non-periodic subshift factors”* [*Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* **20** (2000), no. 4, 1061–1078;], *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* **23** (2003), 663–669.
- [Fer92] S. Ferenczi, *Bounded remainder sets*, *Acta Arith.* **61** (1992), no. 4, 319–326.
- [Fer06] T. Fernique, *Multidimensional Sturmian sequences and generalized substitutions*, *Int. J. Found. Comput. Sci.* **17** (2006), 575–600.
- [FIKO96] T. Fujita, S. Ito, M. Keane, and M. Ohtsuki, *On almost everywhere exponential convergence of the modified Jacobi-Perron algorithm: a corrected proof*, *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* **16** (1996), no. 6, 1345–1352.
- [Fis09] A. M. Fisher, *Nonstationary mixing and the unique ergodicity of adic transformations*, *Stoch. Dyn.* **9** (2009), no. 3, 335–391.
- [FKS73] H. Furstenberg, H. Keynes, and L. Shapiro, *Prime flows in topological dynamics*, *Israel J. Math.* **14** (1973), 26–38.
- [Fog02] N. Pytheas Fogg, *Substitutions in dynamics, arithmetics and combinatorics*, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, vol. 1794, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.
- [FS92] C. Frougny and B. Solomyak, *Finite beta-expansions*, *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* **12** (1992), no. 4, 713–723.
- [Fur60] H. Furstenberg, *Stationary processes and prediction theory*, *Annals of Mathematics Studies*, No. 44, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1960.
- [GL15] S. Grepstad and N. Lev, *Sets of bounded discrepancy for multi-dimensional irrational rotation*, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **25** (2015), 87–133.
- [Gor07] A. Gorodnik, *Open problems in dynamics and related fields*, *J. Mod. Dyn.* **1** (2007), no. 1, 1–35.
- [HM06] P. Hubert and A. Messaoudi, *Best simultaneous Diophantine approximations of Pisot numbers and Rauzy fractals*, *Acta Arith.* **124** (2006), no. 1, 1–15.
- [IFHY03] S. Ito, J. Fujii, H. Higashino, and S.-I. Yasutomi, *On simultaneous approximation to  $(\alpha, \alpha^2)$  with  $\alpha^3 + k\alpha - 1 = 0$* , *J. Number Theory* **99** (2003), no. 2, 255–283.
- [IO93] S. Ito and M. Ohtsuki, *Modified Jacobi-Perron algorithm and generating Markov partitions for special hyperbolic toral automorphisms*, *Tokyo J. Math.* **16** (1993), no. 2, 441–472.
- [IO94] ———, *Parallelogram tilings and Jacobi-Perron algorithm*, *Tokyo J. Math.* **17** (1994), no. 1, 33–58.
- [IR06] S. Ito and H. Rao, *Atomic surfaces, tilings and coincidence. I. Irreducible case*, *Israel J. Math.* **153** (2006), 129–155.
- [Ito89] S. Ito, *Weyl automorphisms, substitutions and fractals*, *Stability theory and related topics in dynamical systems* (Nagoya, 1988), *World Sci. Adv. Ser. Dynam. Systems*, vol. 6, World Sci. Publ., Teaneck, NJ, 1989, pp. 60–72.
- [Ito95] ———, *Fractal domains of quasi-periodic motions on  $T^2$* , *Algorithms, fractals, and dynamics* (Okayama/Kyoto, 1992), *Plenum*, New York, 1995, pp. 95–99.
- [IY07] S. Ito and S.-I. Yasutomi, *On simultaneous Diophantine approximation to periodic points related to modified Jacobi-Perron algorithm*, *Probability and number theory—Kanazawa 2005*, *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, vol. 49, *Math. Soc. Japan*, Tokyo, 2007, pp. 171–184.
- [Mee99] R. Meester, *A simple proof of the exponential convergence of the modified Jacobi-Perron algorithm*, *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* **19** (1999), no. 4, 1077–1083.
- [MT14] M. Minervino and J. Thuswaldner, *The geometry of non-unit Pisot substitutions*, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* (Grenoble) **64** (2014), 1373–1417.
- [PFS14a] N. Priebe Frank and L. Sadun, *Fusion: a general framework for hierarchical tilings of  $\mathbb{R}^d$* , *Geom. Dedicata* **171** (2014), 149–186.
- [PFS14b] ———, *Fusion tilings with infinite local complexity*, *Topology Proc.* **43** (2014), 235–276.
- [Pod77] E. V. Podsypanin, *A generalization of the continued fraction algorithm that is related to the Viggo Brun algorithm*, *Zap. Naučn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI)* **67** (1977), 184–194, 227, *Studies in number theory* (LOMI), 4.
- [Que10] M. Queffélec, *Substitution dynamical systems—spectral analysis*, second ed., *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, vol. 1294, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2010.
- [Rau82] G. Rauzy, *Nombres algébriques et substitutions*, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **110** (1982), no. 2, 147–178.
- [Rau84] ———, *Ensembles à restes bornés*, *Seminar on number theory, 1983–1984* (Talence, 1983/1984), *Univ. Bordeaux I*, Talence, 1984, pp. Exp. No. 24, 12.
- [Rev91] J.-P. Revellès, *Géométrie discrète, calculs en nombres entiers et algorithmes*, Ph.D. thesis, Université Louis Pasteur, Strasbourg, 1991.
- [RZ00] R. N. Risley and L. Q. Zamboni, *A generalization of Sturmian sequences: combinatorial structure and transcendence*, *Acta Arith.* **95** (2000), no. 2, 167–184.
- [Sad] L. Sadun, *Finitely balanced sequences and plasticity of 1-dimensional tilings*, to appear.
- [Sch91] F. Schweiger, *Invariant measures for maps of continued fraction type*, *J. Number Theory* **39** (1991), no. 2, 162–174.
- [Sch98] B. R. Schratzberger, *The exponent of convergence for Brun’s algorithm in two dimensions*, *Österreich. Akad. Wiss. Math.-Natur. Kl. Sitzungsber. II* **207** (1998), 229–238 (1999).

- [Sch00] F. Schweiger, *Multidimensional continued fractions*, Oxford Science Publications, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2000.
- [Sid03] N. Sidorov, *Arithmetic dynamics*, Topics in dynamics and ergodic theory, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 310, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2003, pp. 145–189.
- [Sol97] B. Solomyak, *Dynamics of self-similar tilings*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **17** (1997), no. 3, 695–738.
- [ST09] A. Siegel and J. M. Thuswaldner, *Topological properties of Rauzy fractals*, Mém. Soc. Math. Fr. (N.S.) (2009), no. 118, 140 pp.
- [Ver81] A. M. Vershik, *Uniform algebraic approximation of shift and multiplication operators*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **259** (1981), no. 3, 526–529, English translation: *Soviet Math. Dokl.* 24 (1981), 97–100.

LIAFA, CNRS UMR 7089, UNIVERSITÉ PARIS DIDEROT – PARIS 7, CASE 7014, 75205 PARIS CEDEX 13, FRANCE

*E-mail address:* `berthe@liafa.univ-paris-diderot.fr`, `steiner@liafa.univ-paris-diderot.fr`

CHAIR OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF LEOBEN, A-8700 LEOBEN, AUSTRIA

*E-mail address:* `joerg.thuswaldner@unileoben.ac.at`