

## ON THE PROBABILITY OF PLANARITY OF A RANDOM GRAPH NEAR THE CRITICAL POINT

MARC NOY, VLADY RAVELOMANANA, AND JUANJO RUÉ

(Communicated by David Levin)

*We dedicate this paper to the memory of Philippe Flajolet*

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $G(n, M)$  be the uniform random graph with  $n$  vertices and  $M$  edges. Erdős and Rényi (1960) conjectured that the limiting probability

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr\{G(n, \frac{n}{2}) \text{ is planar}\}$$

exists and is a constant strictly between 0 and 1. Luczak, Pittel and Wierman (1994) proved this conjecture, and Janson, Luczak, Knuth and Pittel (1993) gave lower and upper bounds for this probability. In this paper we determine the exact limiting probability of a random graph being planar near the critical point  $M = n/2$ . For each  $\lambda$ , we find an exact analytic expression for

$$p(\lambda) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr\{G(n, \frac{n}{2}(1 + \lambda n^{-1/3})) \text{ is planar}\}.$$

In particular, we obtain  $p(0) \approx 0.99780$ . We extend these results to classes of graphs closed under taking minors. As an example, we show that the probability of  $G(n, \frac{n}{2})$  being series-parallel converges to 0.98003. For the sake of completeness and exposition we reprove in a concise way several basic properties we need of a random graph near the critical point.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The random graph model  $G(n, M)$  assigns uniform probability to graphs on  $n$  labelled vertices with  $M$  edges. A fundamental result of Erdős and Rényi [7] is that the random graph  $G(n, M)$  undergoes an abrupt change when  $M$  is around  $n/2$ , the value for which the average vertex degree is equal to one. When  $M = cn/2$  and  $c < 1$ , almost surely the connected components are all of order  $O(\log n)$  and are either trees or unicyclic graphs. When  $M = cn/2$  and  $c > 1$ , almost surely there is a unique giant component of size  $\Theta(n)$ . We direct the reader to the reference texts [4] and [14] for a detailed discussion of these facts.

We concentrate on the so-called critical window  $M = \frac{n}{2}(1 + \lambda n^{-1/3})$ , where  $\lambda$  is a real number, identified by the work of Bollobás [2, 3]. Let us recall that the excess of a connected graph is the number of edges minus the number of vertices. A connected graph is complex if it has positive excess. As  $\lambda \rightarrow -\infty$ , complex components disappear and only trees and unicyclic components survive, and as

---

Received by the editors April 23, 2012 and, in revised form, November 16, 2012.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 05A16, 01C80; Secondary 05C10.

The first author was partially supported by grants MTM2011-24097 and DGR2009-SGR1040.

The second author was partially supported by grant ANR-MAGNUM 2010 BLAN-0204.

The third author was partially supported by grants JAE-DOC (CSIC), MTM2011-22851 and SEV-2011-0087.

$\lambda \rightarrow +\infty$ , components with unbounded excess appear. A thorough analysis of the random graph in the critical window can be found in [13] and [16], which constitute our basic references.

For each fixed  $\lambda$ , we denote the random graph  $G(n, \frac{n}{2}(1 + \lambda n^{-1/3}))$  by  $G(\lambda)$ . The core  $C(\lambda)$  of  $G(\lambda)$  is obtained by repeatedly removing all vertices of degree one from  $G(\lambda)$ . The kernel  $K(\lambda)$  is obtained from  $C(\lambda)$  by replacing all maximal paths of vertices of degree two by single edges. The graph  $G(\lambda)$  satisfies almost surely several fundamental properties that were established in [16] by a subtle simultaneous analysis of the  $G(n, M)$  and the  $G(n, p)$  models.

- (1) The number of complex components is bounded.
- (2) Each complex component has size of order  $n^{2/3}$ , and the largest suspended tree in each complex component has size of order  $n^{2/3}$ .
- (3)  $C(\lambda)$  has size of order  $n^{1/3}$  and maximum degree three, and the distance between two vertices of degree three in  $C(\lambda)$  is of order  $n^{1/3}$ .
- (4)  $K(\lambda)$  is a cubic (3-regular) multigraph of bounded size.

The key property for us is the last one. It implies that almost surely the components of  $G(\lambda)$  are trees, unicyclic graphs, and those obtained from a cubic multigraph  $K$  by attaching rooted trees to the vertices of  $K$  and by attaching ordered sequences of rooted trees to the edges of  $K$ . Some care is needed here, since the resulting graph may not be simple, but asymptotically this can be accounted for.

It is clear that  $G(\lambda)$  is planar if and only if the kernel  $K(\lambda)$  is planar. Then by counting planar cubic multigraphs it is possible to estimate the probability that  $G(\lambda)$  is planar. To this end we use generating functions. The trees attached to  $K(\lambda)$  are encoded by the generating function  $T(z)$  of rooted trees, and complex analytic methods are used to estimate the coefficients of the corresponding series. This allows us to determine the exact probability

$$p(\lambda) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr \left\{ G \left( n, \frac{n}{2}(1 + \lambda n^{-1/3}) \right) \text{ is planar} \right\}.$$

In particular, we obtain  $p(0) \approx 0.99780$ .

This approach was initiated in the seminal paper by Flajolet, Knuth and Pittel [8], where the authors determined the threshold for the appearance of the first cycles in  $G(n, M)$ . A basic feature in [8] is to estimate coefficients of large powers of generating functions using Cauchy integrals and the saddle-point method. This path was followed by Janson, Knuth, Luczak and Pittel [13], who obtained a wealth of results on  $G(\lambda)$ . Of particular importance for us is the determination in [13] of the limiting probability that  $G(\lambda)$  has given excess. The approach by Luczak, Pittel and Wierman in [16] is more probabilistic and has as its starting point the classical estimates by Wright [19] on the number of connected graphs with fixed excess. The range of these estimates was extended by Bollobás [2], and more recently the analysis was refined by Flajolet, Salvy and Schaeffer [9] by giving complete asymptotic expansions in terms of the Airy function.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we present the basic lemmas needed in the sequel. Except for the proof of Lemma 1, the paper is self-contained. Lemmas 2 to 5 are proved in [13] with a different presentation; for the sake of completeness and exposition we provide shorter and hopefully more accessible proofs. In Section 3 we compute the number of cubic planar multigraphs, suitably weighted, where we follow [15]. In Section 4 we compute the exact probability that the random graph  $G(\lambda)$  is planar as a function of  $\lambda$ . We generalize this result by determining

the probability that  $G(\lambda)$  belongs to a minor-closed class of graphs in several cases of interest.

We close this introduction with a remark. The problem of 2-satisfiability presents a striking analogy with the random graph process. Given  $n$  Boolean variables and a conjunctive formula of  $M$  clauses, each involving two literals, the problem is to determine the probability that the formula is satisfiable when  $M$  grows with  $n$ . The threshold has been established at  $M = n$  and the critical window is also of width  $n^{2/3}$ ; see [5]. However, the exact probability of satisfiability when the number of clauses is  $n(1 + \lambda n^{-1/3})$  has not been determined and appears to be a more difficult problem.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

All graphs in this paper are labelled. The size of a graph is its number of vertices. A multigraph is a graph with loops and multiple edges allowed.

We recall that the generating function  $T(z)$  of rooted trees satisfies

$$T(z) = ze^{T(z)}.$$

Using Lagrange's inversion [10], one recovers the classical formula  $n^{n-1}$  for the number of rooted labelled trees. The generating function for unrooted trees is

$$U(z) = T(z) - \frac{T(z)^2}{2}.$$

This can be proved by integrating the relation  $T(z) = zU'(z)$  or more combinatorially by using the dissimilarity theorem for trees [17].

A graph is unicyclic if it is connected and has a unique cycle. Unicyclic graphs can be seen as an undirected cycle of length at least three to which we attach a sequence of rooted trees. Since the directed cycle construction corresponds algebraically to  $\log(1/(1 - T(z)))$  (see [10]), the generating function is

$$V(z) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \log \frac{1}{1 - T(z)} - T(z) - \frac{T(z)^2}{2} \right).$$

Graphs all of whose components are unicyclic are given by the exponential formula

$$e^{V(z)} = \frac{e^{-T(z)/2 - T(z)^2/4}}{\sqrt{1 - T(z)}}.$$

The following result, which is fundamental for us, is proved in [16, Theorem 4] by a careful analysis of the structure of complex components in  $G(\lambda)$ . We say that a property  $\mathcal{P}$  holds asymptotically almost surely (a.a.s.) in  $G(n, M)$  if the probability that  $\mathcal{P}$  is satisfied tends to one as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Recall that  $G(\lambda) = G\left(n, \frac{n}{2}(1 + \lambda n^{-1/3})\right)$ .

**Lemma 1.** *For each  $\lambda$ , the kernel of  $G(\lambda)$  is a.a.s. a cubic multigraph.*

Given a cubic multigraph  $M$  with  $a$  loops,  $b$  double edges and  $c$  triple edges, define its weight as

$$w(M) = 2^{-a} 2^{-b} 6^{-c}.$$

This weight (called the compensation factor in [13]) has the following explanation. When we substitute edges of the kernel by sequences of rooted trees, a loop has two possible orientations that give rise to the same graph. A double (triple) edge can be permuted in two (six) ways, again producing the same graph. From now on, all multigraphs we consider are weighted so that we omit the qualifier. The following

lemma is proved in [13] using a combination of guessing and recurrence relations. The next proof is already contained in [4, Chap. 2].

**Lemma 2.** *The number  $E_r$  of cubic multigraphs with  $2r$  vertices is equal to*

$$E_r = \frac{(6r)!}{(3r)!2^{3r}6^{2r}}.$$

*Proof.* A cubic multigraph can be modeled as a pairing of darts (half-edges), 3 for each vertex, with a total of  $6r$  darts. The number of such pairings is  $(6r)!/((3r)!2^{3r})$ . However, we have to divide by the number  $6^{2r}$  the ways of permuting each of the  $2r$  triples of darts. The weight takes care of exactly the number of times a cubic multigraph is produced in this process.  $\square$

The next result is essentially proved in [13] using several algebraic manipulations. Here we present a concise proof. We denote by  $[z^n]A(z)$  the coefficient of  $z^n$  in the power series  $A(z)$ .

**Lemma 3.** *The number  $g(n, M, r)$  of simple graphs with  $n$  vertices,  $M$  edges and cubic kernel of size  $2r$  satisfies*

$$g(n, M, r) \leq n! [z^n] \frac{U(z)^{n-M+r}}{(n-M+r)!} e^{V(z)} \frac{E_r}{(2r)!} \frac{T(z)^{2r}}{(1-T(z))^{3r}}$$

and

$$g(n, M, r) \geq n! [z^n] \frac{U(z)^{n-M+r}}{(n-M+r)!} e^{V(z)} \frac{E_r}{(2r)!} \frac{T(z)^{8r}}{(1-T(z))^{3r}}.$$

*Proof.* Such a graph is the union of a set of  $s$  unrooted trees, a set of unicyclic graphs, and a cubic multigraph  $K$  with a rooted tree attached to each vertex of  $K$  and a sequence (possibly empty) of rooted trees attached to each edge of  $K$ . Let us see first that  $s = n - M + r$ . Indeed, the final excess of edges over vertices must be  $M - n$ . Each tree component contributes with excess  $-1$ , each unicyclic component with excess  $0$ , and  $K$  (together with the attached trees) with excess  $r$ . Hence  $M - n = -s + r$ .

The first two factors  $U(z)^{n-M+r}/(n-M+r)!$  and  $e^{V(z)}$  on the right-hand side of the inequalities encode the set of trees and unicyclic components. The last part encodes the kernel  $K$ . It has  $2r$  vertices and is labelled, hence the factor  $E_r/(2r)!$ ; the weighting guarantees that each graph contributing towards  $g(n, M, r)$  is counted exactly once. The trees attached to the  $2r$  vertices give a factor  $T(z)^{2r}$ . The sequences of trees attached to the  $3r$  edges give each a factor  $1/(1-T(z))$ . However, this allows for the empty sequence and the resulting graph may not be simple, so we get only an upper bound. To guarantee that the final graph is simple we take sequences of length at least two, encoded by  $T(z)^2/(1-T(z))$  (length one is enough for multiple edges of  $K$ , but length two is needed for loops). Since this misses some graphs, we get a lower bound.  $\square$

The following technical result is essentially Lemma 3 from [13]. We reprove it here for completeness in a simplified version tailored to our needs (see also the proof of Theorem 5 in [8]).

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $M = \frac{n}{2}(1 + \lambda n^{-1/3})$ . Then for any integers  $a, r > 0$  we have*

(1)

$$\frac{n!}{\binom{n}{2}^M} [z^n] \frac{U(z)^{n-M+r}}{(n-M+r)!} \frac{T(z)^a}{(1-T(z))^{3r}} e^{V(z)} = \sqrt{2\pi} A(3r + \frac{1}{2}, \lambda) \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1+\lambda^4}{n^{1/3}}\right)\right)$$

uniformly for  $|\lambda| \leq n^{1/12}$ , where

$$(2) \quad A(y, \lambda) = \frac{e^{-\lambda^3/6}}{3^{(y+1)/3}} \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}3^{2/3}\lambda\right)^k}{k! \Gamma((y+1-2k)/3)}.$$

*Proof.* The proof is based on relating the left-hand side of (1) to the integral representation of  $A(y, \lambda)$  defined in [13, Equation (10.7)]:

$$A(y, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Pi} s^{1-y} e^{K(\lambda, s)} ds,$$

where  $K(\lambda, s)$  is the polynomial

$$K(\lambda, s) = \frac{(s+\lambda)^2(2s-\lambda)}{6} = \frac{s^3}{3} + \frac{\lambda s^2}{2} - \frac{\lambda^3}{6}$$

and  $\Pi$  is a path in the complex plane that consists of the following three straight line segments:

$$s(t) = \begin{cases} -e^{-\pi i/3}t, & \text{for } -\infty < t \leq -2, \\ 1 + it \sin \pi/3, & \text{for } -2 \leq t \leq +2, \\ e^{+\pi i/3}t, & \text{for } +2 \leq t < +\infty. \end{cases}$$

The constant fact on the left-hand side of (1) is estimated using Stirling's formula, getting

$$(3) \quad \frac{n!}{\binom{n}{M}} \frac{1}{(n-M+r)!} = \sqrt{2\pi n} \frac{2^{n-M+r}}{n^r} e^{-\lambda^3/6+3/4-n} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1+\lambda^4}{n^{1/3}}\right)\right).$$

The coefficient of  $[z^n]$  in (1) is estimated by means of a contour integral around  $z = 0$ , using the expressions of  $U(z)$  and  $V(z)$  in terms of  $T(z)$ :

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \left(T(z) - \frac{T(z)^2}{2}\right)^{n-M+r} \frac{T(z)^a e^{-T(z)/2-T(z)^2/4}}{(1-T(z))^{3r+1/2}} \frac{dz}{z^{n+1}}.$$

We make the change of variable  $u = T(z)$ , whose inverse is  $z = ue^{-u}$ , and we obtain

$$(4) \quad \frac{2^{M-n-r} e^n}{2\pi i} \oint g(u) e^{nh(u)} \frac{du}{u},$$

where the integrand is split into a smooth function

$$g(u) = \frac{u^a (2u-u^2)^r e^{-u/2-u^2/4}}{(1-u)^{3r-1/2}}$$

and a large power involving

$$h(u) = u - 1 - \log u - \left(1 - \frac{M}{n}\right) \log \frac{1}{1-(u-1)^2}.$$

The contour path in (4) should be such that  $|u| < 1$ . As remarked in [13] (see also [8]), the function  $h(u)$  satisfies  $h(1) = h'(1) = 0$ . Moreover, precisely at the critical value  $M = n/2$  we also have  $h''(1) = 0$ . This triple zero shows up in the procedure used in [13] when estimating (4) for large  $n$  by means of the saddle-point method. Notice that  $h(u)$  is singular at  $u = 1$ , due to the singularity at  $z = e^{-1}$  of  $T(z)$ .

Let  $\nu = n^{-1/3}$ , and let  $\alpha$  be the positive solution to

$$\lambda = \alpha^{-1} - \alpha.$$

This choice is necessary in order to get precise bounds for the tail estimates that appear using the saddle-point method. Following the proof of [13, Lemma 3], we evaluate (4) on the path  $u = e^{-(\alpha-it)\nu}$ , where  $t$  runs from  $-\pi n^{1/3}$  to  $\pi n^{1/3}$ . That is,

$$\oint f(u) \frac{du}{u} = i\nu \int_{-\pi n^{1/3}}^{\pi n^{1/3}} f(e^{-(\alpha-it)\nu}) dt.$$

The main contribution to the value of this integral comes from the vicinity of  $t = 0$ . The magnitude of  $e^{h(u)}$  depends on the real part  $\Re h(u)$ . Observe that  $\Re h(e^{-(\alpha-it)\nu})$  decreases as  $|t|$  increases and that  $|e^{nh(u)}|$  has its maximum on the circle  $u = e^{-(\alpha-it)\nu}$  when  $t = 0$ . We write  $s = \alpha - it$ . Analyzing  $nh(e^{-s\nu})$ , we have

$$nh(e^{-s\nu}) = \frac{s^3}{3} + \frac{\lambda s^2}{2} + O((\lambda^2 s^2 + s^4)\nu),$$

uniformly in any region such that  $|s\nu| < \log 2$ . For the function  $g(u)$ , we have

$$g(e^{-s\nu}) = \frac{(2e^{-s\nu} - e^{-2s\nu})^r}{(1 - e^{-s\nu})^{3r-1/2}} e^{-s\nu a - e^{-s\nu}/2 - e^{-2s\nu}/4} = (s\nu)^{1/2-3r} e^{-3/4} (1 + O(s\nu)).$$

If  $f(u) = g(u)e^{nh(u)}$  is the integrand of (4), we have

$$e^{-\lambda^3/6} f(e^{-s\nu}) = e^{-3/4} \nu^{1/2-3r} s^{1-(3r+1/2)} e^{K(\lambda,s)} (1 + O(s\nu) + O(\lambda^2 s^2 \nu) + O(s^4 \nu))$$

when  $s = O(n^{1/12})$ . Finally,

$$\frac{e^{-\lambda^3/6}}{2\pi i} \oint f(u) \frac{du}{u} = e^{-3/4} \nu^{3/2-3r} A(3r + \frac{1}{2}, \lambda) + O(\nu^{5/2-3r} (1 + \lambda^{1/4}) A(3r + \frac{1}{2}, \lambda)),$$

where the error term has been derived from those already in [13]. The proof of the lemma is completed by multiplying (3) and (4), and canceling equal terms.  $\square$

It is important to note that in the previous lemma the final asymptotic estimate does *not* depend on the choice of  $a$ . The next result is a direct consequence and can be found as Formula (13.17) in [13].

**Lemma 5.** *The limiting probability that the random graph  $G(\lambda)$  has a cubic kernel of size  $2r$  is equal to*

$$\sqrt{2\pi} e_r A(3r + \frac{1}{2}, \lambda),$$

where  $e_r = E_r/(2r)!$  and  $A(y, \lambda)$  is as in the previous lemma.

In particular, for  $\lambda = 0$  the limiting probability is

$$\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \left(\frac{4}{3}\right)^r e_r \frac{r!}{(2r)!}.$$

*Proof.* Using the notation of Lemma 3, the probability for a given  $n$  is by definition

$$\frac{g(n, M, r)}{\binom{n}{2}^r}.$$

Lemma 3 gives upper and lower bounds for this probability, and using Lemma 4 we see that both bounds agree in the limit and are equal to

$$\frac{E_r}{(2r)!} \sqrt{2\pi} A(3r + \frac{1}{2}, \lambda),$$

thus proving the result. A key point is that the discrepancy between the factors  $T(z)^{2r}$  and  $T(z)^{8r}$  in the bounds for  $g(n, M, r)$  does not affect the limiting value of the probability.  $\square$

Notice that if we replace  $e_r$  by the numbers  $g_r$  arising by counting *planar* cubic multigraphs, we immediately obtain the probability that  $G(\lambda)$  has a cubic planar kernel of size  $2r$ . Since  $G(\lambda)$  is planar if and only if its kernel is planar, we can use this fact to compute the probability of  $G(\lambda)$  being planar. But first we must compute  $g_r$ .

### 3. PLANAR CUBIC MULTIGRAPHS

In this section we compute the numbers  $G_r$  of cubic planar multigraphs of size  $2r$ . The associated generating function has been obtained recently by Kang and Luczak [15] (generalizing the enumeration of simple cubic graphs in [1]), but their derivation contains some minor errors. They do not affect the correctness of [15], since the asymptotic estimates needed by the authors are still valid. However, for the computations that follow we need the exact values. The next result is from [15], with the corrections mentioned below. All multigraphs are weighted as in the previous section.

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $G_1(z)$  be the generating function of connected cubic planar multigraphs. Then  $G_1(z)$  is determined by the following system of equations:*

$$\begin{aligned} 3z \frac{dG_1(z)}{dz} &= D(z) + C(z), \\ B(z) &= \frac{z^2}{2}(D(z) + C(z)) + \frac{z^2}{2}, \\ C(z) &= S(z) + P(z) + H(z) + B(z), \\ D(z) &= \frac{B(z)^2}{z^2}, \\ S(z) &= C(z)^2 - C(z)S(z), \\ P(z) &= z^2C(z) + \frac{1}{2}z^2C(z)^2 + \frac{z^2}{2}, \\ 2(1 + C(z))H(z) &= u(z)(1 - 2u(z)) - u(z)(1 - u(z))^3, \\ z^2(C(z) + 1)^3 &= u(z)(1 - u(z))^3. \end{aligned}$$

The generating functions  $B(z)$ ,  $C(z)$ ,  $D(z)$ ,  $S(z)$ ,  $P(z)$  and  $H(z)$  correspond to distinct families of edge-rooted cubic planar graphs, and  $u(z)$  is an algebraic function related to the enumeration of 3-connected cubic planar graphs (dually, 3-connected triangulations).

The corrections with respect to [15] are the following. In the first equation a term  $-7z^2/24$  has been removed. In the second and sixth equations we have replaced a term  $z^2/4$  by  $z^2/2$ . In the fourth equation we have removed a term  $-z^2/16$ . For the combinatorial interpretation of the various generating functions and the proof of the former equations we refer to [15]. Notice that by eliminating  $u(z)$  from the last two equations we obtain a relation between  $C(z)$  and  $H(z)$ . This relation can be used to obtain a single equation satisfied by  $C(z)$ , eliminating the remaining equations.

We reproduce it here in case the reader wishes to check our computations:

$$\begin{aligned}
& 1048576 z^6 + 1034496 z^4 - 55296 z^2 \\
& + (9437184 z^6 + 6731264 z^4 - 1677312 z^2 + 55296) C \\
& + (37748736 z^6 + 18925312 z^4 - 7913472 z^2 + 470016) C^2 \\
& + (88080384 z^6 + 30127104 z^4 - 16687104 z^2 + 1622016) C^3 \\
& + (132120576 z^6 + 29935360 z^4 - 19138560 z^2 + 2928640) C^4 \\
& + (132120576 z^6 + 19314176 z^4 - 12429312 z^2 + 2981888) C^5 \\
& + (88080384 z^6 + 8112384 z^4 - 4300800 z^2 + 1720320) C^6 \\
& + (37748736 z^6 + 2097152 z^4 - 614400 z^2 + 524288) C^7 \\
& + (9437184 z^6 + 262144 z^4 + 65536) C^8 + 1048576 C^9 z^6 = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

The first terms are

$$C(z) = z^2 + \frac{25}{8} z^4 + \frac{59}{4} z^6 + \frac{11339}{128} z^8 + \dots$$

This allows us to compute  $B(z)$ ,  $D(z)$ ,  $S(z)$ ,  $P(z)$  and  $H(z)$ , hence also  $G_1(z)$ . The first coefficients of  $G_1(z)$  are as follows:

$$G_1(z) = \frac{5}{24} z^2 + \frac{5}{16} z^4 + \frac{121}{128} z^6 + \frac{1591}{384} z^8 + \dots$$

Using the set construction, the generating function  $G(z)$  for cubic planar multigraphs is then

$$(5) \quad G(z) = e^{G_1(z)} = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} G_r \frac{z^{2r}}{(2r)!} = 1 + \frac{5}{24} z^2 + \frac{385}{1152} z^4 + \frac{83933}{82944} z^6 + \frac{35002561}{7962624} z^8 + \dots,$$

where  $G_r$  is the number of planar cubic multigraphs with  $2r$  vertices. This coincides with the generating function for all cubic (non-necessarily planar) multigraphs up to the coefficient of  $z^4$ . The first discrepancy is in the coefficient of  $z^6$ . The difference between the coefficients is  $1/72 = 10/6!$ , corresponding to the 10 possible ways of labelling  $K_{3,3}$ , the unique non-planar cubic multigraph on six vertices.

#### 4. PROBABILITY OF PLANARITY AND GENERALIZATIONS

Let  $G$  be a graph with a cubic kernel  $K$ . Then clearly  $G$  is planar if and only if  $K$  is planar, and we can compute the probability that  $G(n, M)$  is planar by counting over all possible planar kernels.

**Theorem 7.** *Let  $g_r(2r)!$  be the number of cubic planar multigraphs with  $2r$  vertices. Then the limiting probability that the random graph  $G(n, M = \frac{n}{2}(1 + \lambda n^{-1/3}))$  is planar is*

$$p(\lambda) = \sum_{r \geq 0} \sqrt{2\pi} g_r A(3r + \frac{1}{2}, \lambda).$$

In particular, the limiting probability that  $G(n, \frac{n}{2})$  is planar is

$$p(0) = \sum_{r \geq 0} \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \left(\frac{4}{3}\right)^r g_r \frac{r!}{(2r)!} \approx 0.99780.$$

*Proof.* The same analysis as in Section 2 shows that  $\sqrt{2\pi} g_r A(3r + \frac{1}{2}, \lambda)$  is the probability that the kernel is planar and has  $2r$  vertices. Summing over all possible  $r$ , we get the desired result.  $\square$

As already mentioned, Erdős and Rényi [7] conjectured that  $p(0)$  exists and  $0 < p(0) < 1$ . This was proved in [16], showing that  $p(\lambda)$  exists for all  $\lambda$  and that  $0 < p(\lambda) < 1$ . The bounds in [13] for  $p(0)$  are

$$0.98707 < p(0) < 0.99977,$$

obtained by considering connected cubic multigraphs with at most six vertices. We remark that Stepanov [18] showed that  $p(\lambda) < 1$  for  $\lambda \leq 0$  (without actually establishing the existence of the limiting probability). The function  $p(\lambda)$  is plotted in Figure 1. As expected,  $p(\lambda)$  is close to 1 when  $\lambda \rightarrow -\infty$  and close to 0 when  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ . For instance,  $p(-3) \approx 1 - 1.02 \cdot 10^{-7}$  and  $p(5) \approx 4.9 \cdot 10^{-7}$ .

Besides planar graphs, one can consider other classes of graphs. Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a class of graphs closed under taking minors, that is, if  $H$  is a minor of  $G$  and  $G \in \mathcal{G}$ , then  $H \in \mathcal{G}$ . If  $H_1, \dots, H_k$  are the excluded minors of  $\mathcal{G}$ , then we write  $\mathcal{G} = \text{Ex}(H_1, \dots, H_k)$ . (By the celebrated theorem of Robertson and Seymour, the number of excluded minors is finite, but we do not need this deep result here.)

The following result generalizes the previous theorem and holds for families defined by minor conditions:

**Theorem 8.** *Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a family of graphs defined by a set (possibly infinite) of 3-connected excluded minors. Let  $h_r(2r)!$  be the number of cubic multigraphs in  $\mathcal{G}$  with  $2r$  vertices. Then the limiting probability that the random graph  $G(n, M = \frac{n}{2}(1 + \lambda n^{-1/3}))$  is in  $\mathcal{G}$  is*

$$p_{\mathcal{G}}(\lambda) = \sum_{r \geq 0} \sqrt{2\pi} h_r A(3r + \frac{1}{2}, \lambda).$$

In particular, the limiting probability that  $G(n, \frac{n}{2})$  is in  $\mathcal{G}$  is

$$p_{\mathcal{G}}(0) = \sum_{r \geq 0} \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \left(\frac{4}{3}\right)^r h_r \frac{r!}{(2r)!}.$$

Moreover, for each  $\lambda$  we have

$$0 < p_{\mathcal{G}}(\lambda) < 1.$$

*Proof.* If all the excluded minors are 3-connected, then clearly a graph is in  $\mathcal{G}$  if and only its kernel is in  $\mathcal{G}$ . The probability  $p_{\mathcal{G}}(\lambda)$  is then computed as in Theorem 7. It is positive since  $\mathcal{G}$  contains all trees and unicyclic graphs, which contribute with positive probability (although tending to 0 as  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ ). To prove that it is less than 1, let  $t$  be the size of the smallest excluded minor. By splitting vertices it is easy to construct cubic graphs containing  $K_t$  as a minor, hence  $G(\lambda)$  contains  $K_t$  as a minor with positive probability (alternatively, see the argument at the end of [16]). It follows that  $1 - p_{\mathcal{G}}(\lambda) > 0$ .  $\square$

In some cases of interest we are able to compute the numbers  $h_r$  explicitly. Let  $\mathcal{G} = \text{Ex}(K_4)$  be the class of series-parallel graphs. The same system of equations as in Lemma 6 holds for series-parallel graphs with the difference that now  $H(z) = 0$  (there are no 3-connected series-parallel graphs). The generating function for cubic series-parallel multigraphs can be computed as

$$G_{\text{sp}}(z) = 1 + \frac{5}{24}z^2 + \frac{337}{1152}z^4 + \frac{55565}{82944}z^6 + \frac{15517345}{7962624}z^8 + \dots$$




FIGURE 1. The probability of  $G(\lambda)$  being planar and being series-parallel are both plotted for  $\lambda \in [-1 \dots 4]$ . The function on top corresponds to the planar case.

For instance,  $[z^4](G(z) - G_{\text{sp}}(z)) = \frac{1}{24}$ , corresponding to the fact that  $K_4$  is the only cubic multigraph with 4 vertices which is not series-parallel. The limiting probability that  $G(n, \frac{n}{2})$  is series-parallel is

$$p_{\text{sp}}(0) \approx 0.98003.$$

See Figure 1 for a plot of  $p_{\text{sp}}(\lambda)$ .

The class  $\text{Ex}(K_4, K_{2,3})$  of outerplanar graphs does not fall directly under this scheme, since  $K_{2,3}$  is not 3-connected, but by adapting the equations in Lemma 6 (in particular the parallel decomposition encoded by  $P(z)$ ) it is possible to enumerate exactly cubic outerplanar multigraphs. The first terms in the generating function are

$$G_{\text{out}}(z) = 1 + \frac{5}{24} z^2 + \frac{337}{1152} z^4 + \frac{55565}{82944} z^6 + \frac{14853793}{7962624} z^8 + \dots$$

The first discrepancy with  $G_{\text{sp}}(z)$  is at  $z^8$ , corresponding to the graph  $K_{2,3}$  with either a loop or a double edge attached at the vertices of degree two. The probability of being outerplanar is

$$p_{\text{out}}(0) \approx 0.97979.$$

We do not plot  $p_{\text{out}}(\lambda)$  in Figure 1 since it is too close to  $p_{\text{sp}}(\lambda)$  to see a clear distinction.

As another example, consider excluding  $K_{3,3}$ . Since the only 3-connected non-planar graph in  $\text{Ex}(K_{3,3})$  is  $K_5$ , which is not cubic, the limiting probability of being in this class is *exactly* the same as of being planar, although  $\text{Ex}(K_{3,3})$  is exponentially larger than the class of planar graphs [11]. But excluding the graph  $K_{3,3}^+$ , obtained by adding one edge to  $K_{3,3}$ , does increase the probability, since  $K_{3,3}$  is in the class and is cubic and non-planar (the probability is computable since the 3-connected graphs in  $\text{Ex}(K_{3,3}^+)$  are known [11]). Other classes such as  $\text{Ex}(K_5 - e)$  or  $\text{Ex}(K_3 \times K_2)$  can be analyzed too using the results from [12].

It would be interesting to compute the probability that  $G(\lambda)$  has genus  $g$ . For this we need to count cubic multigraphs of genus  $g$  (orientable or not). We only know how to do this for  $g = 0$ , the reason being that a 3-connected planar graph has a unique embedding in the sphere. This is not at all true in positive genus. It is true though that almost all 3-connected graphs of genus  $g$  have a unique embedding in the surface of genus  $g$  (see [6]). This could be the starting point for the enumeration, by first counting 3-connected maps of genus  $g$  (a map is a graph equipped with a 2-cell embedding). But this is not enough here, since we need the *exact* numbers of graphs.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The first and third authors acknowledge the warm hospitality of the Laboratoire d’Informatique Algorithmique: Fondements et Applications (LIAFA) in Paris, where much of this work was done. The first and third authors are grateful for the support of the ANR project MAGNUM number 2010 BLAN-0204. The third author is also grateful for the ERC grant number 208471 - ExploreMaps.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Manuel Bodirsky, Mihyun Kang, Mike Löffler, and Colin McDiarmid, *Random cubic planar graphs*, Random Structures Algorithms **30** (2007), no. 1-2, 78–94, DOI 10.1002/rsa.20149. MR2283223 (2007k:05193)
- [2] Béla Bollobás, *The evolution of random graphs*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **286** (1984), no. 1, 257–274, DOI 10.2307/1999405. MR756039 (85k:05090)
- [3] Béla Bollobás, *The evolution of sparse graphs*, Graph theory and combinatorics (Cambridge, 1983), Academic Press, London, 1984, pp. 35–57. MR777163 (86i:05119)
- [4] Béla Bollobás, *Random graphs*, Academic Press Inc. [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich Publishers], London, 1985. MR809996 (87f:05152)
- [5] Béla Bollobás, Christian Borgs, Jennifer T. Chayes, Jeong Han Kim, and David B. Wilson, *The scaling window of the 2-SAT transition*, Random Structures Algorithms **18** (2001), no. 3, 201–256, DOI 10.1002/rsa.1006. MR1824274 (2002a:68052)
- [6] Guillaume Chapuy, Éric Fusy, Omer Giménez, Bojan Mohar, and Marc Noy, *Asymptotic enumeration and limit laws for graphs of fixed genus*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **118** (2011), no. 3, 748–777, DOI 10.1016/j.jcta.2010.11.014. MR2745423 (2012g:05105)
- [7] P. Erdős and A. Rényi, *On the evolution of random graphs* (English, with Russian summary), Magyar Tud. Akad. Mat. Kutató Int. Közl. **5** (1960), 17–61. MR0125031 (23 #A2338)
- [8] Philippe Flajolet, Donald E. Knuth, and Boris Pittel, *The first cycles in an evolving graph*, Graph theory and combinatorics (Cambridge, 1988). Discrete Math. **75** (1989), no. 1-3, 167–215, DOI 10.1016/0012-365X(89)90087-3. MR1001395 (90d:05184)
- [9] Philippe Flajolet, Bruno Salvy, and Gilles Schaeffer, *Airy phenomena and analytic combinatorics of connected graphs*, Electron. J. Combin. **11** (2004), no. 1, Research Paper 34, 30 pp. (electronic). MR2056086 (2005a:05014)
- [10] Philippe Flajolet and Robert Sedgewick, *Analytic combinatorics*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2009. MR2483235 (2010h:05005)
- [11] Stefanie Gerke, Omer Giménez, Marc Noy, and Andreas Weißl, *The number of graphs not containing  $K_{3,3}$  as a minor*, Electron. J. Combin. **15** (2008), no. 1, Research Paper 114, 20. MR2438586 (2009d:05109)
- [12] O. Giménez, M. Noy, and J. Rué, *Graph classes with given 3-connected components: asymptotic enumeration and random graphs*, Random Structures Algorithms **42** (2013), no. 4, 438–479. MR3068033
- [13] Svante Janson, Donald E. Knuth, Tomasz Luczak, and Boris Pittel, *The birth of the giant component, with an introduction by the editors*, Random Structures Algorithms **4** (1993), no. 3, 231–358, DOI 10.1002/rsa.3240040303. MR1220220 (94h:05070)

- [14] Svante Janson, Tomasz Luczak, and Andrzej Rucinski, *Random graphs*, Wiley-Interscience Series in Discrete Mathematics and Optimization, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 2000. MR1782847 (2001k:05180)
- [15] Mihyun Kang and Tomasz Luczak, *Two critical periods in the evolution of random planar graphs*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **364** (2012), no. 8, 4239–4265, DOI 10.1090/S0002-9947-2012-05502-4. MR2912453
- [16] Tomasz Luczak, Boris Pittel, and John C. Wierman, *The structure of a random graph at the point of the phase transition*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **341** (1994), no. 2, 721–748, DOI 10.2307/2154580. MR1138950 (94d:05123)
- [17] Richard Otter, *The number of trees*, Ann. of Math. (2) **49** (1948), 583–599. MR0025715 (10,53c)
- [18] V. E. Stepanov, *Some features of the structure of a random graph near a critical point* (Russian), Teor. Veroyatnost. i Primenen. **32** (1987), no. 4, 633–657. MR927246 (89m:05102)
- [19] E. M. Wright, *The number of connected sparsely edged graphs. III. Asymptotic results*, J. Graph Theory **4** (1980), no. 4, 393–407, DOI 10.1002/jgt.3190040409. MR595607 (82d:05070)

DEPARTAMENT DE MATEMÀTICA APLICADA II, UNIVERSITAT POLITÈCNICA DE CATALUNYA,  
JORDI GIRONA 1-3, 08034 BARCELONA, SPAIN

*E-mail address:* marc.noy@upc.edu

LIAFA UMR CNRS 7089, UNIVERSITÉ DENIS DIDEROT, 175, RUE DU CHEVALERET, 75013  
PARIS, FRANCE

*E-mail address:* vlad@liafa.univ-paris-diderot.fr

INSTITUTO DE CIENCIAS MATEMÁTICAS, CALLE NICOLÁS CABRERA 15, 28049 MADRID, SPAIN  
*E-mail address:* juanjo.rue@icmat.es